

Gaussian Elimination with Partial Pivoting

In the problem below, we have order of magnitude differences between coefficients in the different rows.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 0.02 & 0.01 & 0 & 0 & 0.02 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 100 & 200 & 800 \end{array} \right]$$

Step 0a: Find the entry in the left column with the largest absolute value. This entry is called the pivot.

Step 0b: Perform row interchange (if necessary), so that the pivot is in the first row.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 0.02 & 0.01 & 0 & 0 & 0.02 \\ \textcircled{1} & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 100 & 200 & 800 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Row Interchange}} \left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} \textcircled{1} & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0.02 & 0.01 & 0 & 0 & 0.02 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 100 & 200 & 800 \end{array} \right]$$

Step 1: Gaussian Elimination

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0.02 & 0.01 & 0 & 0 & 0.02 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 100 & 200 & 800 \end{array} \right] \quad \downarrow$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -0.03 & -0.02 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 100 & 200 & 800 \end{array} \right]$$

Step 2: Find new pivot

Step 3: Switch rows (if necessary)

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -0.03 & -0.02 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 100 & 200 & 800 \end{array} \right] \quad \begin{matrix} \curvearrowleft \\ \curvearrowright \end{matrix}$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & -0.03 & -0.02 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 100 & 200 & 800 \end{array} \right]$$

Step 4: Gaussian Elimination

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & -0.03 & -0.02 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 100 & 200 & 800 \end{array} \right] \quad \downarrow$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.04 & 0.03 & 0.12 \\ 0 & 0 & 100 & 200 & 800 \end{array} \right]$$

Step 5: Find new pivot

Step 6: Switch rows (if necessary)

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.04 & 0.03 & 0.12 \\ 0 & 0 & 100 & 200 & 800 \end{array} \right] \quad \begin{matrix} \curvearrowleft \\ \downarrow \\ \curvearrowright \end{matrix}$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 100 & 200 & 800 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.04 & 0.03 & 0.12 \end{array} \right]$$

Step 7: Gaussian Elimination

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 100 & 200 & 800 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.04 & 0.03 & 0.12 \end{array} \right]$$



$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 100 & 200 & 800 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.05 & -0.2 \end{array} \right]$$

Step 8: Back Substitute

$$-0.2x_4 = -0.05; \quad x_4 = 4$$

$$100x_3 + 200x_4 = 800; \quad x_3 = 0$$

$$x_2 + 2x_3 + x_4 = 4; \quad x_2 = 0$$

$$x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 = 1; \quad x_1 = 1$$

Pivoting helps reduce rounding errors; you are less likely to add/subtract with very small number (or very large) numbers.

Gaussian Elimination with Partial Pivoting

Can Partial Pivoting fail?

- Each multiplier m_{ji} in the partial pivoting algorithm has magnitude less than or equal to 1.
- Although this strategy is sufficient for many linear systems, situations do arise when it is inadequate.
- The following (contrived) example illustrates the point.

Gaussian Elimination with Partial Pivoting

Example: When Partial Pivoting Fails

The linear system

$$E_1 : 30.00x_1 + 591400x_2 = 591700$$

$$E_2 : 5.291x_1 - 6.130x_2 = 46.78$$

is the same as that in the two previous examples **except** that all the entries in the first equation have been multiplied by 10^4 .

Gaussian Elimination with Partial Pivoting

Example: When Partial Pivoting Fails

The linear system

$$E_1 : 30.00x_1 + 591400x_2 = 591700$$

$$E_2 : 5.291x_1 - 6.130x_2 = 46.78$$

is the same as that in the two previous examples **except** that all the entries in the first equation have been multiplied by 10^4 .

The partial pivoting procedure described in the algorithm with **4-digit** arithmetic leads to the **same incorrect results** as obtained in the first example (Gaussian elimination without pivoting).

Gaussian Elimination with Partial Pivoting

$$E_1 : 30.00x_1 + 591400x_2 = 591700$$

$$E_2 : 5.291x_1 - 6.130x_2 = 46.78$$

Apply Partial Pivoting

Gaussian Elimination with Partial Pivoting

$$E_1 : 30.00x_1 + 591400x_2 = 591700$$

$$E_2 : 5.291x_1 - 6.130x_2 = 46.78$$

Apply Partial Pivoting

The maximal value in the first column is 30.00, and the multiplier

$$m_{21} = \frac{5.291}{30.00} = 0.1764$$

Gaussian Elimination with Partial Pivoting

$$E_1 : 30.00x_1 + 591400x_2 = 591700$$

$$E_2 : 5.291x_1 - 6.130x_2 = 46.78$$

Apply Partial Pivoting

The maximal value in the first column is 30.00, and the multiplier

$$m_{21} = \frac{5.291}{30.00} = 0.1764$$

leads to the system

$$30.00x_1 + 591400x_2 \approx 591700$$

$$-104300x_2 \approx -104400$$

Gaussian Elimination with Partial Pivoting

$$E_1 : 30.00x_1 + 591400x_2 = 591700$$

$$E_2 : 5.291x_1 - 6.130x_2 = 46.78$$

Apply Partial Pivoting

The maximal value in the first column is 30.00, and the multiplier

$$m_{21} = \frac{5.291}{30.00} = 0.1764$$

leads to the system

$$30.00x_1 + 591400x_2 \approx 591700$$

$$-104300x_2 \approx -104400$$

which has the same inaccurate solutions as in the first example:
 $x_2 \approx 1.001$ and $x_1 \approx -10.00$.

Outline

- 1 Why Pivoting May be Necessary
- 2 Gaussian Elimination with Partial Pivoting
- 3 Gaussian Elimination with Scaled Partial (Scaled-Column) Pivoting

Gaussian Elimination with Scaled Partial Pivoting

Scaled Partial Pivoting

Gaussian Elimination with Scaled Partial Pivoting

Scaled Partial Pivoting

- Scaled partial pivoting places the element in the pivot position that is largest relative to the entries in its row.

Gaussian Elimination with Scaled Partial Pivoting

Scaled Partial Pivoting

- Scaled partial pivoting places the element in the pivot position that is largest relative to the entries in its row.
- The first step in this procedure is to define a scale factor s_i for each row as

$$s_i = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |a_{ij}|$$

Gaussian Elimination with Scaled Partial Pivoting

Scaled Partial Pivoting

- Scaled partial pivoting places the element in the pivot position that is largest relative to the entries in its row.
- The first step in this procedure is to define a scale factor s_i for each row as

$$s_i = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |a_{ij}|$$

- If we have $s_i = 0$ for some i , then the system has no unique solution since all entries in the i th row are 0.

Gaussian Elimination with Scaled Partial Pivoting

Scaled Partial Pivoting (Cont'd)

- Assuming that this is not the case, the appropriate row interchange to place zeros in the first column is determined by choosing the least integer p with

$$\frac{|a_{p1}|}{s_p} = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \frac{|a_{k1}|}{s_k}$$

and performing $(E_1) \leftrightarrow (E_p)$.

Gaussian Elimination with Scaled Partial Pivoting

Scaled Partial Pivoting (Cont'd)

- Assuming that this is not the case, the appropriate row interchange to place zeros in the first column is determined by choosing the least integer p with

$$\frac{|a_{p1}|}{s_p} = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \frac{|a_{k1}|}{s_k}$$

and performing $(E_1) \leftrightarrow (E_p)$.

- The effect of scaling is to ensure that the largest element in each row has a **relative magnitude of 1** before the comparison for row interchange is performed.

Gaussian Elimination with Scaled Partial Pivoting

Scaled Partial Pivoting (Cont'd)

- In a similar manner, before eliminating the variable x_i using the operations

$$E_k - m_{ki}E_i, \quad \text{for } k = i+1, \dots, n,$$

we select the smallest integer $p \geq i$ with

$$\frac{|a_{pi}|}{s_p} = \max_{i \leq k \leq n} \frac{|a_{ki}|}{s_k}$$

and perform the row interchange $(E_i) \leftrightarrow (E_p)$ if $i \neq p$.

Gaussian Elimination with Scaled Partial Pivoting

Scaled Partial Pivoting (Cont'd)

- In a similar manner, before eliminating the variable x_i using the operations

$$E_k - m_{ki}E_i, \quad \text{for } k = i+1, \dots, n,$$

we select the smallest integer $p \geq i$ with

$$\frac{|a_{pi}|}{s_p} = \max_{i \leq k \leq n} \frac{|a_{ki}|}{s_k}$$

and perform the row interchange $(E_i) \leftrightarrow (E_p)$ if $i \neq p$.

- The scale factors s_1, \dots, s_n are computed **only once**, at the start of the procedure.

Gaussian Elimination with Scaled Partial Pivoting

Scaled Partial Pivoting (Cont'd)

- In a similar manner, before eliminating the variable x_i using the operations

$$E_k - m_{ki}E_i, \quad \text{for } k = i + 1, \dots, n,$$

we select the smallest integer $p \geq i$ with

$$\frac{|a_{pi}|}{s_p} = \max_{i \leq k \leq n} \frac{|a_{ki}|}{s_k}$$

and perform the row interchange $(E_i) \leftrightarrow (E_p)$ if $i \neq p$.

- The scale factors s_1, \dots, s_n are computed **only once**, at the start of the procedure.
- They are row dependent, so they must also be **interchanged** when row interchanges are performed.

Gaussian Elimination with Scaled Partial Pivoting

Example

Returning to the previous example, we will apply scaled partial pivoting for the linear system:

$$E_1 : 30.00x_1 + 591400x_2 = 591700$$

$$E_2 : 5.291x_1 - 6.130x_2 = 46.78$$

Gaussian Elimination with Scaled Partial Pivoting

$$E_1 : 30.00x_1 + 591400x_2 = 591700$$

$$E_2 : 5.291x_1 - 6.130x_2 = 46.78$$

Solution (1/2)

Gaussian Elimination with Scaled Partial Pivoting

$$E_1 : 30.00x_1 + 591400x_2 = 591700$$

$$E_2 : 5.291x_1 - 6.130x_2 = 46.78$$

Solution (1/2)

We compute

$$s_1 = \max\{|30.00|, |591400|\} = 591400$$

and $s_2 = \max\{|5.291|, |-6.130|\} = 6.130$

Gaussian Elimination with Scaled Partial Pivoting

$$E_1 : 30.00x_1 + 591400x_2 = 591700$$

$$E_2 : 5.291x_1 - 6.130x_2 = 46.78$$

Solution (1/2)

We compute

$$s_1 = \max\{|30.00|, |591400|\} = 591400$$

$$\text{and } s_2 = \max\{|5.291|, |-6.130|\} = 6.130$$

so that

$$\frac{|a_{11}|}{s_1} = \frac{30.00}{591400} = 0.5073 \times 10^{-4}, \quad \frac{|a_{21}|}{s_2} = \frac{5.291}{6.130} = 0.8631,$$

and the interchange $(E_1) \leftrightarrow (E_2)$ is made.

Gaussian Elimination with Scaled Partial Pivoting

Solution (2/2)

Applying Gaussian elimination to the new system

$$5.291x_1 - 6.130x_2 = 46.78$$

$$30.00x_1 + 591400x_2 = 591700$$

produces the correct results: $x_1 = 10.00$ and $x_2 = 1.000$.