Adaptive Learning System Roadmap for Higher Education

Principal AI Architect Report October 23, 2025

Contents

1 Executive Summary

- Approach: Adopt a hybrid Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) architecture enhanced by adaptive bandit learning and fine-tuned pedagogical modules.
- **Grounding:** RAG ensures factual accuracy and traceability by generating answers only from retrieved trusted content.
- Adaptivity: A contextual bandit policy personalizes content sequencing based on demonstrated learning gains.
- Fine-Tuning: LoRA/PEFT adapters are used for style, grading, and distractor generation without retraining large models.
- Cold-Start Resilience: Zero-shot recommendations use semantic and metadata heuristics until live feedback accumulates.
- Learning First: Rewards and KPIs focus on *learning gains*, not click-through rates or dwell time.
- Incremental Delivery: A 12-week roadmap yields measurable outcomes each 2-week sprint.
- Safety & Governance: Grounded responses, content length caps, privacy compliance (FERPA/GDPR).
- Modularity: Each layer—retrieval, pedagogy, analytics, and orchestration—is independently upgradable.
- Outcome: A scalable, measurable, and pedagogically sound adaptive learning engine.

2 Architecture Options Comparison

Option A: RAG-first + Reranking + Agentic Orchestration

- Core Components: Hybrid BM25 + dense vector search, cross-encoder reranking, orchestrator managing retrieval and grounding.
- Cost: Low initial cost, no training required.
- Latency: Moderate (2–5s end-to-end).
- Data Needs: Minimal; operates cold-start via semantic retrieval.

- Expected Learning Impact: Accurate and grounded responses; low personalization.
- Risks: Static experience; retrieval quality depends on corpus coverage.
- **Team Fit:** Excellent; matches existing agentic-AI skills.

Option B: Lightweight Fine-Tuning (LoRA/PEFT) + RAG

- Core Components: Adds small LoRA adapters for tone, pedagogy, and domain vocabulary.
- Cost: Moderate; one-time training of adapters on few hundred samples.
- Latency: Similar to base LLM; negligible overhead.
- Data Needs: Low to medium (synthetic data or expert-labeled).
- Expected Learning Impact: Improves consistency and educational clarity.
- Risks: Requires data governance and evaluation; small risk of drift.
- Team Fit: Strong; within DS team's expertise.

Option C: RL/Bandits for Content Selection on RAG Baseline

- Core Components: Contextual bandit (UCB/Thompson) learns to select snippets maximizing learning gain.
- Cost: Moderate; online training infra required.
- Latency: Very low runtime cost (ms).
- Data Needs: Requires ongoing interaction data; starts with safe heuristic policy.
- Expected Learning Impact: High; adaptivity yields better time-to-mastery.
- Risks: Reward mis-specification; exploration risks mitigated via constraints.
- Team Fit: Excellent; RL expertise present.

Option D: Fully Fine-Tuned Task-Specific Models

- Core Components: Individual small models for QG, grading, and difficulty estimation.
- Cost: High total dev cost across multiple pipelines.

- Latency: Lower per-model but sequential execution adds up.
- Data Needs: High (thousands of labeled examples per subtask).
- Expected Learning Impact: Moderate to good but limited adaptivity.
- Risks: Maintenance overhead; model drift; integration burden.
- **Team Fit:** Feasible but resource-intensive.

Recommendation: Adopt a hybrid of A + B + C.

3 Final Recommended Architecture

Overview Diagram

Core Layers

- Retrieval: Hybrid search (BM25 + embeddings). Chunk size 300–500 tokens with 20–30% overlap. Rerank via cross-encoder and MMR for diversity.
- Content Minimization: ASR + semantic segmentation for videos, section extraction for PDFs. Hard cap: videos ≤5 min, PDFs ≤3 pages. Define sufficiency score = semantic coverage / duration.
- Pedagogical Layer: Generates formative questions (aligned with Bloom's taxonomy), hints, distractors, and rubrics. Uses self-consistency decoding for reliability.

- Assessment & Analytics: IRT-based ability θ estimation (2PL/3PL), item calibration, difficulty drift detection, learning gain tracking.
- Agentic Orchestration: A planner coordinates retrieval, pedagogy, evaluation, and next-step decisions based on observed performance.

4 Data Plan: From Cold Start to Flywheel

- Cold Start: Heuristics + metadata filters, ASR semantic coverage, weak labels from Q&A overlap, and teacher-in-the-loop bootstrapping.
- Rapid Labeling: Human rubric for "best minimal resource"; inter-rater agreement monitoring; active learning loops for new labels.
- Leverage Historical Logs: Use existing Q&A/assessment data to pretrain question generator, grader, and difficulty estimation.
- **Flywheel:** Logged interactions → reward signals → updated bandit policy → improved recommendations → more data.

5 Metrics & Evaluation

- Retrieval Quality: nDCG@k, Recall@k, Coverage, Time-to-first-useful-resource.
- Minimality: Median resource length, Overkill rate (% exceeding limits), Compression ratio.
- Question Quality: Expert rubric (clarity, Bloom level), pass@k, factuality alignment.
- Assessment Quality: Item discrimination (a), difficulty (b), guessing (c), test information, reliability, θ stability.
- Learning Outcomes: $\Delta\theta$, normalized gain, mastery progression, time-to-mastery.
- Safety: Hallucination rate, refusal accuracy, bias/fairness checks.

6 12-Week Stepwise Roadmap

1. M1 (Weeks 1–2): RAG baseline with minimality constraints. Acceptance: nDCG@3 ≥ 0.7, overkill rate < 20%.

- 2. M2 (Weeks 3–4): Add cross-encoder reranker, ASR/PDF segmenters, JSON outputs. Acceptance: nDCG@3 \geq 0.8, median length \leq 3 min, hallucinations <1%.
- 3. M3 (Weeks 5–6): Pedagogy tools (QG, grading, hints) + IRT-lite calibration. Acceptance: rubric scores $\geq 4/5$; stable item params.
- 4. M4 (Weeks 7–8): Contextual bandit for content selection. Acceptance: $\Delta\theta$ proxy uplift $\geq 10\%$ with overkill unchanged.
- 5. M5 (Weeks 9–10): LoRA fine-tunes for pedagogy style, grading consistency. *Acceptance:* improved expert scores, consistent grading, no latency penalty.
- 6. **M6** (Weeks 11–12): Production hardening (safety, bias, compliance). *Acceptance:* privacy checks, dashboard metrics stable, ready for release.

7 Reinforcement Learning Design

 $R = w_1(\Delta\theta) + w_2(\text{Minimality Bonus}) - w_3(\text{Hallucination Penalty}) - w_4(\text{Latency/Cost Penalty})$

- Algorithm: Start with contextual bandits (Thompson Sampling or LinUCB) using retrieval candidates as arms.
- Reward Inputs: Post-quiz correctness uplift (proxy for $\Delta\theta$), content brevity bonus, safety penalties.
- Evaluation: Off-policy via IPS/DR estimators on logged data.
- Safety: Constrain arms by length and confidence; allow uncertainty-aware deferrals.
- Escalation: Move to full RL only after sufficient data and plateaued bandit performance.

8 Fine-Tuning Policy

- No full-model fine-tuning early. Focus on LoRA/PEFT for modular adaptability.
- Candidate modules: question generator, rubric grader, distractor generator, short-explainer style.
- Entry Criteria: N_k high-quality samples, plateaued prompt-only results, projected inference savings, governance approval.

• Migration Plan: Adapter transfer to new base models; versioned adapters; fall-back to prompt baseline.

9 Risks & Mitigations

- Cold-start: Mitigate with heuristics + teacher validation.
- Over-long Resources: Enforce hard caps; prefer coverage-per-minute ranking.
- Hallucinations: Grounded verification and refusal policy.
- Difficulty Drift: Regular IRT recalibration and anchor items.
- Privacy: Encrypted logs, role-based access, FERPA/GDPR compliance.
- Bias: Diversity checks and fairness audits.
- Model Drift: Continuous monitoring and version control for adapters.

10 Deliverables per Milestone

- M1: Prototype notebook, data/model cards, baseline metrics, red-team report.
- M2: Updated pipeline with reranker & segmenter, JSON outputs, new evaluation report.
- M3: Pedagogy module code, prompt library, initial IRT calibration, expert rubric results.
- M4: Bandit module + off-policy eval notebook, policy performance report.
- M5: Fine-tuned adapters, A/B test report, model cards for tuned modules.
- M6: Compliance report, dashboards, continuous-learning plan, final evaluation.

First 14 Days Task List

- 1. Content ingestion and chunking (video + PDF).
- 2. Implement hybrid retrieval and baseline LLM prompt.
- 3. Build test query set and compute nDCG/Recall metrics.
- 4. Prototype end-to-end RAG QA flow.
- 5. Document M1 findings and prepare for M2 integration.