

# Object-Oriented Programming and Data Structures

## COMP2012: Generic Programming With Class and Function Templates

Prof. Brian Mak  
Prof. C. K. Tang

Department of Computer Science & Engineering  
The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology  
Hong Kong SAR, China



# How Many my\_max( ) Functions Do You Need?

```
int my_max(int a, int b) { return (a > b) ? a : b; }
```

```
char my_max(char a, char b) { return (a > b) ? a : b; }
```

```
double my_max(double a, double b) { return (a > b) ? a : b; }
```

```
#include <string>
```

```
string my_max(string a, string b) { return (a > b) ? a : b; }
```

```
#include "teacher.h"
```

```
Teacher my_max(const Teacher& a, const Teacher& b)  
    { return (a > b) ? a : b; }
```

# How Many Stack Classes Do You Need?

```
class int_stack {  
    private: int data[100]; int top_index;  
    public: int_stack( );  
        int top( ) const; void push(int); void pop( );  
        bool empty( ) const; bool full( ) const; int size( ) const;  
};
```

```
class char_stack {  
    private: char data[100]; int top_index;  
    public: char_stack( );  
        char top( ) const; void push(char); void pop( );  
        bool empty( ) const; bool full( ) const; int size( ) const;  
};
```

```
#include "student.h"  
class student_stack {  
    private: Student data[100]; int top_index;  
    public: student_stack( );  
        Student top( ) const; void push(Student); void pop( );  
        bool empty( ) const; bool full( ) const; int size( ) const;  
};
```

# Generic Programming using Templates

- A lot of times, we find **functions** and **data structures** that look alike: they differ only in the **types** of objects they manipulate.
- Since C++ allows **function overloading**, one may define many **my\_max( )** functions, one for each type of values/objects **T**, but they all have the following **general** form:

```
T my_max(const T& a, const T& b) { ... }
```

- For stacks of different types of objects, one has to make up **different** class names for them (int\_stack, char\_stack, etc.).
- Again, we don't like the solution of creating the various **my\_max( )** or stacks by “**copy-and-paste-and-modify**”.
- The solution is **generic programming** using **function templates** and **class templates**.
- They are similar to function definitions and class definitions but the types of objects they manipulate are **parameterized** with **type variables**.
- **Generic programming** allows programmers to write just **one** version of code that works for **different types** of objects.

# Function Template of my\_max( )

- It starts with the keyword **template**.

```
template <typename T>                               /* File: max-template.cpp */  
T my_max(const T& a, const T& b)  
{  
    return (a > b) ? a : b;  
}
```

- The **typename** keyword may be replaced by **class**.

```
template <class T>                                   /* File: max-template2.cpp */  
T my_max(const T& a, const T& b)  
{  
    return (a > b) ? a : b;  
}
```

- This is just a **function template definition**; it itself is not a real function and **cannot** be called directly.

## Example: Use of the `my_max( )` Function Template

- Now we can use **`my_max( )`** for **any** types, as long as the function body codes make sense for the types they represent.
- In the case of **`my_max( )`**, it is required that the types can be compared by the operator "`>`".

```
#include <iostream>                                /* File: max-calls.cpp */
using namespace std;
template <typename T>
T my_max(const T& a, const T& b) { return (a > b) ? a : b; }

int main( )
{
    int x = 4, y = 8;
    cout << my_max(x, y) << " is a bigger number!" << endl;

    string a("cheetah"), b("gorilla");
    cout << my_max(a, b) << " is stronger!" << endl;
    return 0;
}
```

# Function Template Instantiation

- Based on the function **template definition**, the compiler will create the **real** functions when they are called.
- This is called **template instantiation**. The parameter **T** in the template definition is called the **formal parameter** or **formal argument**.
- In our case, the compiler creates two **my\_max( )** functions using the **function template**.

```
template <typename T>  
T my_max(const T& a, const T& b) { return (a > b) ? a : b; }
```

- The template is **instantiated** with the **actual arguments** **int** and **string**, respectively.

# Template: Formal Argument Matching

```
#include <iostream>                                /* File: max-match-arg.cpp */
using namespace std;
template <typename T>
T my_max(const T& a, const T& b) { return (a > b) ? a : b; }

int main( ) {
    cout << my_max(3, 5) << endl;                  // T is int;
    cout << my_max(4.3, 5.6) << endl;              // T is double
}
```

- When the compiler **instantiates** a **template**, it determines the **actual type** of the template parameter by looking at the types of the **actual arguments**.
- However, there is **no automatic type conversion** for template arguments.

```
cout << my_max(4, 5.5);    // Error
```

- You can help by **explicitly instantiating** the **function template**.  
cout << my\_max<double>(4, 5.5);



# Function Template w/ More Than One Formal Argument

```
#include <iostream>                                /* File: fcn-template-2arg.cpp */
using namespace std;

template <typename T1, typename T2>
T1 max(const T1& a, const T2& b) { return (a > b) ? a : b; }

int main( )
{
    cout << max(4, 5.5) << endl;                    // T1 is int, T2 is double
    cout << max(5.5, 4) << endl;                    // T1 is double, T2 is int
}
```

- A **template** may take **more** than one **type arguments**, each using a different **typename**.
- However, there is a subtle problem in this case: the return type of this **my\_max** is the type of the first argument.
- So what will the above code print?

# Function Template w/ More Than One Formal Argument ..

- The following **template definition** does not suffer from the problem but it doesn't return a value.

```
#include <iostream>                                /* File: fcn-template-2arg-ok.cpp */
using namespace std;

template <typename T1, typename T2>
void print_max(const T1& a, const T2& b)
{
    if (a > b)
        cout << a << endl;
    else
        cout << b << endl;
}

int main( ) { print_max(4, 5.5); print_max(5.5, 4); }
```

# Template Arguments: Too Many Combinations

```
/* File: many-combination.cpp */  
short s = 1; char c = 'A';  
int i = 1023; double d = 3.1415;  
  
print_max(s, s); print_max(s, c);  
print_max(c, s); print_max(s, i);  
// ... And all other combinations; 16 in total.
```

- With the above code, the compiler will **instantiate** a **print\_max( )** for each of the 16 different combinations of arguments.
- With the current compiler technology, this means that we get 16 (almost identical) fragments of code in the executable program. There is no sharing of code.
- So a simple program may have a surprisingly large binary size, if we are not careful.

# Function Template: Common Errors

```
#include <iostream>                                /* File: fcn-template-error.cpp */
using namespace std;
template <class T> T* create( ) { return new T; };
template <class T> void f( ) { T a; cout << a << endl; }
int main( ) { create( ); f( ); }
```

```
fcn-template-error.cpp:5:15: error: no matching function for call to 'create'
int main( ) { create( ); f( ); }
                ~~~~~
```

```
fcn-template-error.cpp:3:23: note: candidate template ignored: couldn't infer
    template argument 'T'
template <class T> T* create( ) { return new T; };
                ^
```

```
fcn-template-error.cpp:5:26: error: no matching function for call to 'f'
int main( ) { create( ); f( ); }
                ^
```

```
fcn-template-error.cpp:4:25: note: candidate template ignored: couldn't infer
    template argument 'T'
template <class T> void f( ) { T a; cout << a << endl; }
                ^
```

```
fcn-template-error.cpp:4:25: note: candidate template ignored: couldn't infer
    template argument 'T'
template <class T> void f( ) { T a; cout << a << endl; }
                ^
```

The compiler **can't deduce** the actual object types from such calls.

# Class Template for Nodes of a List

- The **template** mechanism works for classes as well. This is particularly useful for defining **container classes** — classes that contains objects of the same kind such as arrays, lists, and sets.

```
template <typename T>                                     /* File: listnode.h */
class List_Node
{
    public:
        List_Node(const T& data)
            : _data(data), _next(0), _prev(0) { }

        List_Node* _next;
        List_Node* _prev;
        T _data;
};
```

# Class Template for a List

```
#include "listnode.h"                                     /* File: list.h */
template <typename T> class List                          // A doubly linked list
{
    public:
        List( ) : _head(0), _tail(0) { }
        void append(const T& item) {
            List_Node<T>* new_node = new List_Node<T>(item);
            if(!_tail)
                _head = _tail = new_node;
            else
                { /* incomplete */ }
        }
        void print( ) const {
            for (const List_Node<T>* p = _head; p; p = p->_next)
                cout << p->_data << endl;
        }
        // ... Other member functions
    private:
        List_Node<T>* _head;
        List_Node<T>* _tail;
};
```

# Class Template: List Example

- Now we can use the **parameterized list class template** to create lists to store any type of element that we want, without having to resort to “code re-use by copying”.

```
#include <iostream>                                     /* File: list-example.cpp */
using namespace std;
#include "list.h"
#include "student.h"

int main( )
{
    List<char> letters; letters.append('a');
    cout << "*** print char list *** \n"; letters.print( );

    List<int> primes; primes.append(2);
    cout << "### print int list ###\n"; primes.print( );

    List<Student> students;
    students.append(Student("James", CSE, 4.0));
    students.append(Student("Billy", ECE, 3.5));
}
```

# Nontype Parameters for Templates

- **Template** may also have **nontype** parameters, which are not type variables.

```
#include "listnode.h"                                /* File: nontype-list.h */
template <typename T, int max_num_items>              // A doubly linked list
class List {
public:
    List( ) : num_items(0), _head(0), _tail(0) { }
    bool append(const T& item)
    {
        if (num_items == max_num_items) {
            std::cerr << "List is full\n"; return false;
        }
        else {                                         /* incomplete */ return true; }
    }
    // ... Other member functions

private:
    int num_items;
    List_Node<T>* _head, _tail;
};
```



# Difference Between Class and Function Templates

- For **function templates**, the compiler can deduce the template arguments.

```
int i = my_max(4, 5); // Rely on compilers to deduce my_max<int>  
int j = my_max<int>(7, 2); // Explicitly instantiation
```

- For **class templates**, you always have to specify the actual template arguments; the compiler does **not** deduce the template arguments.

```
List primes; // Error: how can compilers deduce the type?  
primes.append(2); // Error: too late; compilers can't lookahead!
```

# Separate Compilation For Templates??

- For regular **non-template** functions, we usually put their **declarations** in a **header file**, and their **definitions** in a corresponding **.cpp** file.
- Should we do the same for **templates**?

```
/* File: max.h */  
template <typename T> T my_max(const T& a, const T& b);
```

```
/* File: max.cpp */  
template <typename T> T my_max(const T& a, const T& b)  
{  
    return (a > b) ? a : b;  
}
```

- But a function/class is **instantiated only when** it is used.
- **No**, we usually put the function **definitions** in the header file as well and include the **template header file** in **every** files which use the template.