ICPSR 22140

HIV Transmission Network Metastudy Project: An Archive of Data From Eight Network Studies, 1988--2001

Martina Morris *University of Washington. Center for AIDS Research*

Richard Rothenberg

Emory University. Rollins School of Public

Health

Codebook for Persons

Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research P.O. Box 1248 Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106 www.icpsr.umich.edu

Terms of Use

The terms of use for this study can be found at: http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/icpsrweb/ICPSR/studies/22140/terms

Information about Copyrighted Content

Some instruments administered as part of this study may contain in whole or substantially in part contents from copyrighted instruments. Reproductions of the instruments are provided as documentation for the analysis of the data associated with this collection. Restrictions on "fair use" apply to all copyrighted content. More information about the reproduction of copyrighted works by educators and librarians is available from the United States Copyright Office.

NOTICE WARNING CONCERNING COPYRIGHT RESTRICTIONS

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Under certain conditions specified in the law, libraries and archives are authorized to furnish a photocopy or other reproduction. One of these specified conditions is that the photocopy or reproduction is not to be "used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research." If a user makes a request for, or later uses, a photocopy or reproduction for purposes in excess of "fair use," that user may be liable for copyright infringement.

Data Completeness Report

Notes: (1) Variables are individually listed only if they have greater than 5% missing data. These variables are listed under the appropriate percentage category in the order in which they appear in the data file. (2) The Data Completeness Report only captures information about system missing or other values that are declared missing. Codes that have a label implying that they are missing but that are not declared missing values are not reflected in this report. Data users should consult the codebook for more specific information about missing values. (3) Some variables that have 100% missing data may have been blanked by ICPSR to protect respondent confidentiality. Data users should consult the codebook for more specific information about blanked variables. (4) Data do not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected in the data as coded.

Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values--Persons

Variable Name and Label (Total Cases = 49415)		Percent of Cases with Missing Values
79.4% (27 of 34 variables)	have 0% Missing Values	
5.9% (2 of 34 variables)	have 0% - 1% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 34 variables)	have 1% - 3% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 34 variables)	have 3% - 5% Missing Values	
14.7% (5 of 34 variables)	have 5% - 10% Missing Values	
sg	Sample generation class for a link traci	6.6%
gonoev	Overall gonorrhea test	5.1%
syphev	Overall syphilis test	5.1%
chlamev	Overall chlamydia test	5.1%
sources	Number of unique individuals that named	8.2%
0.0% (0 of 34 variables)	have 10% - 20% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 34 variables)	have 20% - 40% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 34 variables)	have 40% - 99% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 34 variables)	have 100% missing values	

ICPSR 22140

HIV Transmission Network Metastudy Project: An Archive of Data From Eight Network Studies, 1988--2001

Variable Description and Frequencies

Note: Frequencies displayed for the variables are not weighted. They are purely descriptive and may not be representative of the study population. Please review any sampling or weighting information available with the study.

Summary statistics (minimum, maximum, mean, median, and standard deviation) may not be available for every variable in the codebook. Conversely, a listing of frequencies in table format may not be present for every variable in the codebook either. However, all variables in the dataset are present and display sufficient information about each variable. These decisions are made intentionally and are at the discretion of the archive producing this codebook.

HIV Transmission Network Metastudy Project: An Archive of Data From Eight Network Studies, 1988--2001

Persons

rid	Identifier unique to the interviewed/tes
Location:	1-6 (width: 6; decimal: 0)
Variable Type:	numeric
Question:	Identifier unique to the interviewed/tested/ eligible person, within that particular study (values not necessarily unique across datasets) -primary key
	Based upon 49415 valid cases out of 49415 total cases.
	 Mean: 31887.18 Minimum: 0.00 Maximum: 402122.00 Standard Deviation: 60855.70

sample Sampling scheme used

Location: 7-7 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Question: Sampling scheme used

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Saturation	10941	22.1 %
2	Contact Tracing pos	4342	8.8 %
4	Chain-link tracing	2893	5.9 %
6	Egocentric	4352	8.8 %
7	3- link chain & mixed	26887	54.4 %

Based upon 49415 valid cases out of 49415 total cases.

Mean: 4.97Median: 7.00Mode: 7.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

Standard Deviation: 2.58

sg	Sample generation class for a link tra

Location: 8-10 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Question: Sample generation class for a link tracing design for respondent

- Study 22140 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	OOD	6502	13.2 %
-8	ID	2667	5.4 %
-1	-1:Undefined code	7081	14.3 %
0	Seed	2086	4.2 %
1	1:Steps from seed	19680	39.8 %
2	2: Steps from seed	7996	16.2 %
3	3:Steps from seed	6	0.0 %
4	4: Steps from seed	6	0.0 %
5	5: Steps from seed	6	0.0 %
6	6: Steps from seed	6	0.0 %
7	7: Steps from seed	6	0.0 %
8	8: Steps from seed	5	0.0 %
9	9: Steps from seed	5	0.0 %
10	10: Steps from seed	5	0.0 %
11	11: Undefined Code	73	0.1 %
12	12: Undefined Code	8	0.0 %
13	13: Undefined Code	1	0.0 %
16	16: Undefined Code	5	0.0 %
22	22: Undefined Code	5	0.0 %
44	44: Undefined Code	1	0.0 %
. (M)	-	3265	6.6 %

Based upon 46150 valid cases out of 49415 total cases.

Mean: -1.08Median: 1.00Mode: 1.00Minimum: -9.00Maximum: 44.00

• Standard Deviation: 3.95

ntype Node type (hierarchical) classifies node

Location:

11-11 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type:

numeric

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Respondent	5910	12.0 %
2	Eligible	314	0.6 %
3	Alter	43191	87.4 %

Based upon 49415 valid cases out of 49415 total cases.

Mean: 2.75Median: 3.00Mode: 3.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 3.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.65

frsttype For longitudinal design studies, indicat

Location: 12-12 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Question: For longitudinal design studies, indicates if first appearance of respondent was as a respondent or

not

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Respondent	4207	8.5 %
3	Alter	45208	91.5 %

Based upon 49415 valid cases out of 49415 total cases.

Mean: 2.83Median: 3.00Mode: 3.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 3.00

Standard Deviation: 0.56

idknown Whether the identity of the person was s

Location: 13-14 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Question: Whether the identity of the respondent was sufficiently determined to confidently treat as a discrete

individual

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	OOD	6381	12.9 %
-8	-8: Undefined Code	23060	46.7 %
0	Identity unknown	5167	10.5 %
1	Identity known	14807	30.0 %

Based upon 49415 valid cases out of 49415 total cases.

Mean: -4.60Median: -8.00Mode: -8.00Minimum: -9.00Maximum: 1.00

• Standard Deviation: 4.42

local Whether the person had resided in the st

15-16 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Location:

Question:

Whether the respondent had resided in the study jurisdiction

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	OOD	6321	12.8 %
-8	-8: Undefined Code	31644	64.0 %
0	Exogenous	1071	2.2 %
1	Local	10379	21.0 %

Based upon 49415 valid cases out of 49415 total cases.

• Mean: -6.06 Median: -8.00 Mode: -8.00 • Minimum: -9.00 Maximum: 1.00

• Standard Deviation: 3.84

race Race (non-black hispanics categorized as

Location: 17-18 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Question: Race of respondent (non-Black Hispanics categorized as White)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	OOD	25721	52.1 %
-8	Not asked	1546	3.1 %
1	Amer Indian	693	1.4 %
2	Black	11447	23.2 %
3	Asian/PIsle	5946	12.0 %
4	White	3983	8.1 %
5	Other	79	0.2 %

Based upon 49415 valid cases out of 49415 total cases.

• Mean: -3.77 Median: -9.00 Mode: -9.00 • Minimum: -9.00 Maximum: 5.00

• Standard Deviation: 5.77

ethn Indicates hispanic ethnicity

Location: 19-20 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Question: Indicates Hispanic ethnicity of respondent

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	OOD	635	1.3 %
-8	Not asked	27402	55.5 %
0	Non-Hisp	18494	37.4 %
1	Hisp	2884	5.8 %

Based upon 49415 valid cases out of 49415 total cases.

Mean: -4.49Median: -8.00Mode: -8.00Minimum: -9.00Maximum: 1.00

Standard Deviation: 4.05

sex Gender

Location: 21-22 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Question: Sex of respondent

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	OOD	1583	3.2 %
0	Male	25595	51.8 %
1	Female	22237	45.0 %

Based upon 49415 valid cases out of 49415 total cases.

Mean: 0.16
Median: 0.00
Mode: 0.00
Minimum: -9.00
Maximum: 1.00

Standard Deviation: 1.74

orient Self-reported sexual orientation

Location: 23-24 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Question: Self-reported sexual orientation of respondent

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	OOD	4115	8.3 %
-8	Not asked	43944	88.9 %
0	Heterosexual	1130	2.3 %
1	Homosexual	134	0.3 %
2	Bisexual	89	0.2 %
3	3 : Undefined Code	3	0.0 %

Based upon 49415 valid cases out of 49415 total cases.

Mean: -7.86Median: -8.00Mode: -8.00Minimum: -9.00Maximum: 3.00

Standard Deviation: 1.39

behav Behavioral sexual orientation, as measur

Location: 25-26 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Question: Behavioral sexual orientation of respondent, as measured from observed sexual pairings

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	OOD	31724	64.2 %
0	Heterosexual	17177	34.8 %
2	Bisexual	514	1.0 %

Based upon 49415 valid cases out of 49415 total cases.

Mean: -5.76
Median: -9.00
Mode: -9.00
Minimum: -9.00
Maximum: 2.00

• Standard Deviation: 4.35

occpres Occupational prestige Location: 27-32 (width: 6; decimal: 2) Variable Type: numeric Question: Occupational prestige for respondent Value Label -9 OOD -8 Not asked/NA

Value	Label
-7	Refusal
-6	Don't know
-5	Skip

Based upon 49415 valid cases out of 49415 total cases.

Mean: -3.86Minimum: -9.00Maximum: 78.73

Standard Deviation: 13.62

occcens Occupational census code, 1990 census

Location: 33-36 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Question: Occupational census code for respondent, 1990 census

Value	Label
-9	OOD
-8	Not asked/NA
-7	Refusal
-6	Don't know
-5	Skip

Based upon 49180 valid cases out of 49415 total cases.

Mean: 32.72Median: -8.00Mode: -8.00Minimum: -9.00Maximum: 889.00

• Standard Deviation: 149.81

pro Prostitute

Location: 37-38 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Question: Respondent is a prostitute

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	OOD	693	1.4 %
-8	Not asked/NA	40770	82.5 %
0	No	7336	14.8 %
1	Yes	616	1.2 %

Based upon 49415 valid cases out of 49415 total cases.

Mean: -6.71Median: -8.00Mode: -8.00Minimum: -9.00Maximum: 1.00

• Standard Deviation: 2.98

pimp Pimp

Location: 39-40 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Question: Respondent is a pimp

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	OOD	687	1.4 %
-8	Not asked/NA	41739	84.5 %
0	No	6895	14.0 %
1	Yes	94	0.2 %

Based upon 49415 valid cases out of 49415 total cases.

Mean: -6.88Median: -8.00Mode: -8.00Minimum: -9.00Maximum: 1.00

Standard Deviation: 2.80

john Customer of prostitute

Location: 41-42 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Question: Respondent is a customer of a prostitute

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	OOD	17	0.0 %
-8	Not asked/NA	41739	84.5 %
0	No	6687	13.5 %
1	Yes	972	2.0 %

Based upon 49415 valid cases out of 49415 total cases.

Mean: -6.74Median: -8.00Mode: -8.00Minimum: -9.00

• Maximum: 1.00

· Standard Deviation: 2.94

dealer Drug dealer

Location: 43-44 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Question: Respondent is a drug dealer

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	OOD	691	1.4 %
-8	Not asked/NA	40770	82.5 %
0	No	7393	15.0 %
1	Yes	561	1.1 %

Based upon 49415 valid cases out of 49415 total cases.

Mean: -6.71Median: -8.00Mode: -8.00Minimum: -9.00Maximum: 1.00

Standard Deviation: 2.98

drugman Drug manufacturer

Location: 45-46 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Question: Respondent is a drug manufacturer

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	OOD	688	1.4 %
-8	Not asked/NA	41739	84.5 %
0	No	6925	14.0 %
1	Yes	63	0.1 %

Based upon 49415 valid cases out of 49415 total cases.

Mean: -6.88 Median: -8.00 Mode: -8.00 Minimum: -9.00 Maximum: 1.00

• Standard Deviation: 2.80

thief Thief, burgler, or hustler

Location: 47-48 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Question: Respondent is a thief, burgler, or hustler

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	OOD	688	1.4 %
-8	Not asked/NA	41468	83.9 %
0	No	7076	14.3 %
1	Yes	183	0.4 %

Based upon 49415 valid cases out of 49415 total cases.

Mean: -6.84
Median: -8.00
Mode: -8.00
Minimum: -9.00
Maximum: 1.00

• Standard Deviation: 2.85

retired Retired from legitimate occupation

Location: 49-50 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Question: Respondent is retired from legitimate occupation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	OOD	688	1.4 %
-8	Not asked/NA	41065	83.1 %
0	No	7473	15.1 %
1	Yes	189	0.4 %

Based upon 49415 valid cases out of 49415 total cases.

Mean: -6.77
Median: -8.00
Mode: -8.00
Minimum: -9.00
Maximum: 1.00

· Standard Deviation: 2.91

hwife Housewife

Location: 51-52 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Question: Respondent is a housewife

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	OOD	683	1.4 %
-8	Not asked/NA	41065	83.1 %
0	No	7264	14.7 %
1	Yes	403	0.8 %

Based upon 49415 valid cases out of 49415 total cases.

Mean: -6.76Median: -8.00Mode: -8.00Minimum: -9.00Maximum: 1.00

• Standard Deviation: 2.93

disable Collects disability

Location: 53-55 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Question: Respondent collects disability

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	OOD	688	1.4 %
-8	Not asked/NA	40770	82.5 %
0	No	7373	14.9 %
1	Yes	582	1.2 %
10	10: Undefined Code	2	0.0 %

Based upon 49415 valid cases out of 49415 total cases.

Mean: -6.71Median: -8.00Mode: -8.00Minimum: -9.00Maximum: 10.00

Standard Deviation: 2.98

unemp Unemployed (without legitimate work) Location: 56-57 (width: 2; decimal: 0) Variable Type: numeric

Question: Respondent is unemployed (without legitimate work)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%	
-9	OOD	699	1.4 %	

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	Not asked/NA	39103	79.1 %
0	No	6418	13.0 %
1	Yes	3195	6.5 %

Based upon 49415 valid cases out of 49415 total cases.

Mean: -6.39
Median: -8.00
Mode: -8.00
Minimum: -9.00
Maximum: 1.00

· Standard Deviation: 3.31

streets Homeless, lives on the streets

Location: 58-59 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Question: Respondent is homeless, lives on the streets

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	OOD	690	1.4 %
-8	Not asked/NA	39400	79.7 %
0	No	8500	17.2 %
1	Yes	825	1.7 %

Based upon 49415 valid cases out of 49415 total cases.

Mean: -6.49
Median: -8.00
Mode: -8.00
Minimum: -9.00
Maximum: 1.00

• Standard Deviation: 3.18

occ Occupation

Location: 60-89 (width: 30; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

Question: Occupation of respondent

Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
	1488	3.0 %
Masked	47927	97.0 %

Based upon 49415 valid cases out of 49415 total cases.

occloc Location of occupation

Location: 90-119 (width: 30; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

Question: occupation for respondent

Based upon 49415 valid cases out of 49415 total cases.

gonoev Overall gonorrhea test

Location: 120-121 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Question: Overall gonorrhe test of respondent

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	OOD	973	2.0 %
-8	No test	42575	86.2 %
0	Neg	3301	6.7 %
1	Pos	68	0.1 %
. (M)	-	2498	5.1 %

Based upon 46917 valid cases out of 49415 total cases.

Mean: -7.44
Median: -8.00
Mode: -8.00
Minimum: -9.00
Maximum: 1.00

Standard Deviation: 2.08

syphev Overall syphilis test

Location: 122-123 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Question: Overall syphilis test of respondent

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	OOD	7092	14.4 %
-8	No test	38321	77.5 %
0	Neg	1386	2.8 %
1	Pos	118	0.2 %
. (M)	-	2498	5.1 %

Based upon 46917 valid cases out of 49415 total cases.

Mean: -7.89Median: -8.00

Mode: -8.00Minimum: -9.00Maximum: 1.00

• Standard Deviation: 1.49

chlamev Overall chlamydia test

Location: 124-125 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Question: Overall chlamydi test of respondent

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	OOD	973	2.0 %
-8	No test	42575	86.2 %
0	Neg	2395	4.8 %
1	Pos	974	2.0 %
. (M)	-	2498	5.1 %

Based upon 46917 valid cases out of 49415 total cases.

Mean: -7.43Median: -8.00Mode: -8.00Minimum: -9.00Maximum: 1.00

• Standard Deviation: 2.15

sources Number of unique individuals that named

Location: 126-127 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Question: Number of unique individuals that named the respondent

Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	43020	87.1 %
2	1529	3.1 %
3	397	0.8 %
4	161	0.3 %
5	67	0.1 %
6	59	0.1 %
7	41	0.1 %
8	17	0.0 %
9	9	0.0 %
10	9	0.0 %
11	2	0.0 %

- Study 22140 -

Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
12	10	0.0 %
13	4	0.0 %
14	5	0.0 %
15	1	0.0 %
16	1	0.0 %
17	3	0.0 %
18	1	0.0 %
19	2	0.0 %
20	1	0.0 %
21	1	0.0 %
27	1	0.0 %
28	2	0.0 %
31	1	0.0 %
36	1	0.0 %
. (M)	4070	8.2 %

Based upon 45345 valid cases out of 49415 total cases.

Mean: 1.10Median: 1.00Mode: 1.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 36.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.67

frstyr Study year that this person first appear

Location: 128-128 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Question: Study Year that the respondent first appears in the dataset

Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	23570	47.7 %
2	13835	28.0 %
3	9718	19.7 %
4	1824	3.7 %
5	468	0.9 %

Based upon 49415 valid cases out of 49415 total cases.

Mean: 1.82Median: 2.00Mode: 1.00

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.94

studynum Study Number

Location: 129-129 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Question: Study Number

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	CoSprings Project90	7676	15.5 %
2	Bushwick SFHR	3265	6.6 %
3	Atlanta Urban	2297	4.6 %
4	Flagstaff Rural	596	1.2 %
5	Atlanta Antiviral	4352	8.8 %
6	Houston	2160	4.4 %
7	Baltimore SHIELD	24727	50.0 %
8	Manitoba	4342	8.8 %

Based upon 49415 valid cases out of 49415 total cases.

Mean: 5.38Median: 7.00Mode: 7.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 8.00

• Standard Deviation: 2.44

yob Year of birth

Location: 130-133 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Question: Year of birth

Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
1900	2730	5.5 %
1903	5	0.0 %
1904	5	0.0 %
1907	6	0.0 %
1908	12	0.0 %
1909	10	0.0 %
1910	10	0.0 %
1911	18	0.0 %
1912	13	0.0 %

Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
1913	16	0.0 %
1914	63	0.1 %
1915	22	0.0 %
1916	29	0.1 %
1917	50	0.1 %
1918	44	0.1 %
1919	60	0.1 %
1920	69	0.1 %
1921	71	0.1 %
1922	93	0.2 %
1923	87	0.2 %
1924	118	0.2 %
1925	106	0.2 %
1926	111	0.2 %
1927	121	0.2 %
1928	148	0.3 %
1929	131	0.3 %
1930	148	0.3 %
1931	164	0.3 %
1932	193	0.4 %
1933	234	0.5 %
1934	185	0.4 %
1935	220	0.4 %
1936	241	0.5 %
1937	263	0.5 %
1938	292	0.6 %
1939	252	0.5 %
1940	259	0.5 %
1941	316	0.6 %
1942	390	0.8 %
1943	394	0.8 %
1944	382	0.8 %
1945	517	1.0 %
1946	612	1.2 %
1947	786	1.6 %
1948	843	1.7 %
1949	899	1.8 %
1950	993	2.0 %
1951	1037	2.1 %
1952	1235	2.5 %

Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
1953	1274	2.6 %
1954	1201	2.4 %
1955	1515	3.1 %
1956	1533	3.1 %
1957	1664	3.4 %
1958	1750	3.5 %
1959	1686	3.4 %
1960	1704	3.4 %
1961	1655	3.3 %
1962	1698	3.4 %
1963	1571	3.2 %
1964	1369	2.8 %
1965	1304	2.6 %
1966	1324	2.7 %
1967	1164	2.4 %
1968	1192	2.4 %
1969	996	2.0 %
1970	970	2.0 %
1971	837	1.7 %
1972	817	1.7 %
1973	665	1.3 %
1974	553	1.1 %
1975	567	1.1 %
1976	519	1.1 %
1977	539	1.1 %
1978	512	1.0 %
1979	495	1.0 %
1980	399	0.8 %
1981	304	0.6 %
1982	267	0.5 %
1983	221	0.4 %
1984	201	0.4 %
1985	187	0.4 %
1986	177	0.4 %
1987	205	0.4 %
1988	156	0.3 %
1989	197	0.4 %
1990	184	0.4 %
1991	161	0.3 %
1992	140	0.3 %

- Study 22140 -

Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
1993	118	0.2 %
1994	109	0.2 %
1995	105	0.2 %
1996	96	0.2 %
1997	74	0.1 %
1998	20	0.0 %
1999	8	0.0 %
. (M)	9	0.0 %

Based upon 49406 valid cases out of 49415 total cases.

Mean: 1956.28
Median: 1959.00
Mode: 1900.00
Minimum: 1900.00
Maximum: 1999.00
Standard Deviation: 19.22