# User-User Collaborative Filtering Quiz 测验, 10 个问题

| 1<br>poin  | t  |  |  |  |
|------------|--|--|--|--|
| (as opp    | of the following is a problem with using Pearson correlation<br>bosed to other similarity metrics) for computing user<br>ities in user-user collaborative filtering? |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ | Users may use different portions of the rating scale.  |  |  |  |
|            | If users have only rated a small number of the same items, their correlation may be too high.  |  |  |  |
|            | Users may not have rated any of the the same items.  |  |  |  |
|            | The user may not know any other users in the system.   |  |  |  |
| 1 point    | t  |  |  |  |
| compu      | vector cosine or Pearson correlation are often used to ite a weight in user-user collaborative filtering. What are metrics trying to measure?                        |  |  |  |
|            | These are measures of the similarity of ratings history between users.   |  |  |  |
|            | These are measures of how well the recommendations match the user's preferences.   |  |  |  |
|            | These are measures of the number of ratings users have in common.  |  |  |  |
|            |  |  |  |  |

### These are measures of how much the target user likes User-User Collaboratives Filtering Quiz

测验, 10 个问题

1 point

3.

A basic user-user collaborative filtering algorithm uses the formula:

$$\mathbf{P}_{a,i} = \frac{\sum_{u=1}^{n} r_{u,i} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{a,u}}{\sum_{u=1}^{n} \mathbf{w}_{a,u}}$$

What is the purpose of the term wa,u in the numerator?

- It is used to ensure that the resulting prediction is on the same scale as the ratings.
- It is used to make sure that only a limited number of neighbors are part of the computation.
- lt is used to give some neighbors a greater influence on a target user's prediction than others.
- It is used to normalize ratings, since users rate on different scales.

1 point

4.

Resnick discussed a sybil-based shilling attack against a recommender system. Which of these best describes such an attack?

- Creating bogus accounts to promote (or demote) particular items
- Creating many accounts to overload and slow down or crash the recommender

| User-User<br>测验, 10 个问题 | Writing a review of a book you wrote with your personal Collaborative Filteringe Quiz                        |
|-------------------------|--|
|                         | Rating items randomly to confuse the recommender   |
|                         | 1 point 5.   |
|                         | Cosley experimented with giving people deliberately inaccurate predictions. He examined three possibilities: |
|                         |  |
|                         | I. People would notice that predictions were wrong   |
|                         | II. People would be biased by the wrong predictions and enter different ratings.                             |
|                         | III. People would have lower satisfaction with the system after receiving bad predictions.                   |
|                         |  |
|                         | Which ones happened?   |
|                         | All three of the results were confirmed.   |
|                         | II and III were confirmed, but I was not confirmed   |
|                         | I and III were confirmed, but II was not confirmed.  |
|                         | I and II were confirmed, but III was not confirmed.  |
|                         |  |
|                         | 1 point  |

6.

#### User-User Collaborative Filtering Quiz

测验, 10 个问题

Which of the following would most indicate a situation where useruser collaborative filtering would be strongly preferable to contentbased filtering (i.e., filtering based on user preferences of keywords or attributes)?

| The items being recommended don't have good attributes or keywords to describe them (e.g., user-submitted children's drawings without tags). |
|--|
| Only implicit ratings are available; users won't provide explicit ratings.   |
| Most users have rated a core set of popular items, though they have different tastes on that core set.                                       |
| There are lots of items to recommend, and relatively few users.  |
|  |

point

7。

Resnick talked about resistance of collaborative filtering recommender systems to attacks from fake accounts (called sybils). Which of these statements about this problem is true

- In order to be resistant to attacks from more sybils, you lose predictive power from genuine raters.
- The only way to be resistant to attacks from sybils is to trick them into rating fake movies that reveal that they aren't real users.
- There is unfortunately no way to bound the theoretical damage associated with a specific number of sybils -- no matter what you do, three clever sybils can inflict unlimited damage.
- If a Pearson correlation-based user-user collaborative filtering recommender is robust against attack from n sybils, a similar system based on Spearman correlation will only be resistant to attack from (n/2 1) sybils.

### User-User Collaborative Filtering Quiz

测验, 10 个问题

1 point

8.

User-user collaborative filtering depends on certain assumptions. Which of the following IS NOT a requirement for a successful user-user collaborative filtering system

- User tastes must either be generally stable (individually) or if changing, they change in sync with other user's tastes.
- The domain in which we are performing collaborative filtering is scoped such that people who agree within one part of that domain generally agree within other parts of the domain.
- Past agreement between users is predictive of future agreement -- i.e., if you and I have agreed on items before, we mostly still do now.
- Users mostly have similar tastes on a set of popular items, though they may have individually different tastes on unpopular items.

1 point

9.

A more advanced user-user collaborative filtering formula is:

$${
m P}_{a,i} = ar{r}_a + rac{\sum_{u=1}^n (r_{u,i} - ar{r}_u) imes {
m w}_{a,u}}{\sum_{u=1}^n {
m w}_{a,u}}$$

What is the purpose of the  $\bar{r}_a$  and  $\bar{r}_u$  terms in this version of the formula?

These terms limit the number of neighbors used in the

| User-Usei  | Coll  | computation<br>aborative Filtering Quiz   |  |  |  |
|------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| 测验, 10 个问题 |   | These terms weight the recommendations so closer neighbors count more than distant neighbors.   |  |  |  |
|            |   | These terms normalize the computation to adjust for different users' rating scales.   |  |  |  |
|            |   | These terms specify that we're combining the ratings of lots of other users together.   |  |  |  |
|            | 1<br>point  | t   |  |  |  |
|            | 10. Golbeck explained that trust-based recommenders differ from similarity-based collaborative filtering in all of the following ways EXCEPT which one? |   |  |  |  |
|            |   | Trust-based systems have an underlying graph of user trust, while similarity-based systems don't need a graph because they only use pairwise similarity scores.   |  |  |  |
|            |   | Trust-based systems only consider ratings from users that the target user has a direct trust relationship with, and thus often use many fewer ratings in computing a prediction or recommendation                 |  |  |  |
|            |   | Similarity-based collaborative filtering treats all rated items as roughly equivalent in evaluating neighbors, trust-based systems may give very strong weight to the items that a user is most passionate about. |  |  |  |
|            |   | Trust-based systems are harder to get going, because it is often challenging to get trust data.   |  |  |  |
|            | <u> </u>  | 我( <b>伟臣 沈</b> )了解提交不是我自己完成的作业 将永远不会通过<br>此课程或导致我的 Coursera 帐号被关闭。<br>了解荣誉准则的更多信息   |  |  |  |

# User-User Collaborative Filtering Quiz 测验, 10 个问题

