

X strictly dominates Y if the payoff for X is always better than the payoff for Y, no matter what the others do.

X very weakly dominates Y if the payoff for X is always at least as good as (not always better than) the payoff of Y, no matter what others do.

In a Nash equilibrium outcome, no player would prefer to change to a different strategy, even knowing that anyone else did.

a Pareto optimal outcome is one where there's ~~one~~ no one other outcome that would make one player better and nobody worse.