Week 6 Coalotional Came theory - polifics, political parties - companies . morriage, building a house, etc lagre unit - a beam, So the facus is on a group of agents Transferable utility - we can assign some payoff to the whole coalition Conditional game with transferable is a pour (N, w) where - N - finite set of players, indexed by i - v:2" >R associates with each coalition SCN ablety function a real-valued payoff N(S) that couldron's for every coal from S number con dostribute among themselves el(0) 20

Questions? Which coalition will form? - How coalitron should divite it's payoff among it's members? (four us stable) Superadditive Coalition Game -A game 6 = (N, O) if for all S, TCN 3 for all pairs (S, T) if SNT=0 3 kms different set of augusts then w(SUT) >, w(S)+v(T) (if we form a coaletron of Sana o, then its poyoff is att least as good as sum of their payoffs separately) It will lead to a formation of a Grand Coals tran E.g. N=3, w(1). w(2)-w(3)=1 R odditve game w(1,2,3)=7 10 (1,2)=3 le (1,3) = 4 le (2,3) = 5 greater than any of these greater than +1, 0(1), 12(2) N(1)+2631 ve (2)+ve(3)

The Shapley Value Question! what is a "fair way for a coalifion to divide its payoff? (how we define " fairners "?) So we can define some set of apiems to define, t. Lloyd Shapley's colear members receive payoff proportional to their central fution (marginal) o Symmetry if 2 agents i and j, when contributed, give the same amount of payoff, they are interchangeable for all S which contains neither i nor j, e(sutis) = v(sutis) if we add i or j, it will give some So they should rective the same amount of payments

· hinny player i is a dummy player if for all Si v(Suhis) = v(S) i.e. i doesn't gove anything duning player should receive nothing · Adolotmy If we can separate a game into 2

parts, we should be able to

decomposite payments:

with $v = v, + v_2$, So, will all these apiens Ros game G(N, w) the shapley Value dandes pay offs among the players according to: chi (N, v) 2 1 5 (51! (INI-151-1)! .

chi (N, v) 2 1 5 (S!! (INI-151-1)! .

chi (N, v) 2 1 5 (N) (i) iranglubring it by
how many de Herent
ways we could come up
with this color for each i with this coloubou . [v(suliy) -v(s)] so we average over all these how much istedd to a . Things coolotion in thoug him

Theorem Given a coal tonal game (N, ce), there is a unique payoft devision n(v). \$ (W, w) that derides the payof mayer and tololionty axioms the Shappy Walue. Shaply Value Φ_ε(N, u) = 1 Σ 15/4 (1W1-(9-1)! . · [v (SU(is) -v(s)] . This captures the "marginal contribution of agent i averaging over all dofferent sequences according to which the grand coaletson could be built up V (1 12,123,..) o for any such sequence look at agent's marginal contributorer: [10 (5 Ulig) -10(5)]

· Weight this quantity by the 1511 ways the set S could be formed por i's addotion by the (IWI-ISI-I)! ways the remaining prayers cared be added a from over all possible sets of S The member of possible orderings of Eg. Le(414) = 1 v(23) = 2 P1-15 P2=25 Suppose N.2, Eg2 0(1)=0, 0(2)=2 w(1,2)=2 Ø1 (NU) 20 \$2 (NU) 22 Confributes nothing = s gets O.

The Core The Shapley value - how to defi divide in a fair way but it ignores the question of stability. I.e. would agents be usung to form the grand coalebran? Or they would use to form smaller? (sometimes smalles is attractive) Example (Votry game) A parlament is made up of 4 portres; At B.C.D which have 45, 25, 15, 15 representatives

Mey are to vote 55 should note for to pass, otherwise majority Shapley values is (50, 16.67, 16.67, 16.67) But A and B con form a coalitron and get more (75+25)! so they have in centre to defect

. So under what playment divisions would the agents want to form the grand coalition? Corc a payall vector is in the core of a coaletimal game (N, ce) iff USEN, 2 m. 7,06) ćES if they dereabe forevery value they and don't form would get of Grand Coal from coalition they could form form a G. C. The sum of payoffs to the agents in any subconcition S is at ceast as much as they could learn on their own · Analogous to NE

Core is sometimes empty (not alway exists) stuble way of splotting And Core is not always conque Smylle barne A game G=(N, E) is simple if USCN, w(S) € 1919 for all coalobrous they gown either our ! (Vote game is simple! they either get money or not] Veto player a player i is a veto player if w(N(fis) 20 (participation of i is necessary if a coaletree wants to preduce any

Theorem. In a simple goine the core is empty ift there is no verto player. If there are veto players, the core consists of all payoff vectors in which the nonveto players get O, Example (Birport Game) Several cities airports Ophons: Big regional airport & Share costs vs our airports N-set of citres

U(S) - sum of costs of building rummays
for each city in S - cost of the largest
runway sequised by any city in S Convex game A game G = (N, w) is convey if for all (S, T)CN, v(SUT) 7, v(S)+ ve(T) can achieved by it net all coal trons in pu common

Gronger condition than superadditing Arrport game is a conver game The Every convex game has a nonempty cone The En every convex gauge, the Shapuy Value is in the core (stable and four division possible to do both) Eg Suppose Ne3 v(1) 2 v(2) - v(3) 20 v(1,2) - v(2,3) - v(3,1) - 2/3 10(1,2,3)21. What is the core? (1 1 1) is the core it substres for every i +j: X, + x; 7, 2