

## Board examples - critical sections, race conditions

ex

```
int balance = 50;
```

```
void withdraw (int amount) {
```

```
    if (balance > amount) {
```

```
        cout << "approved";
```

```
        balance -= amount;
```

```
    }
```

```
}
```

2 threads start

T1 withdraw 40 }  $40 + 25 = 65 > 50$  !  
T2 withdraw 25 }

sequence

T1 starts gets to 3 (past the filter)

Balance After

T1 preempted by T2

50

T2 runs from 1 → 5

25

T1 switched back on

-15

race condition - when program output depends on what gets done first.

## Race condition

ex.

```
int global = 2;
```

```
void fun() {
```

②     if (global == 0)  
       doZero();

      else

       doNotZero();

```
}
```

```
int main() {
```

①     thread myt(fun);

      global = 0;

      myt.join();

```
}
```

execute doZero() or  
doNotZero()?

if ① happens before ②

doZero();

if ② happens before ①

doNotZero();

how can you tell?

you cannot as written

you can however use  
condition variables to  
synchronize these (later)

PSA you may see code  
that "fixes" this with  
delays (sleep\_for(),  
sleep\_until()) this is  
a cheesy non-scalable  
solution. Do not do this



critical section - an area of code where only 1 thread can be at a time.

Question: if you launch no threads, do you have CS's?

Answer: No, but design your app to be ported from single to multiple threads. That is, identify, mark, condense critical sections comment them but don't add synchronization code (kills performance!)

ex.

```
int gi = 0;
```

```
void fun() {
```

```
    gi++;
```

```
}
```

where are critical section(s)

if no thread

if fun just reads gi

if thread started in ① ② or ③

```
int main() {
```

① start here → // thread t1 (fun)

② or → int v = gi;

i = i + 1;

③ or → gi = i;

```
    t1.join();
```