Homework 5 Solutions - Berkeley STAT 157

Your name: XX, SID YY (Please add your name, and SID to ease Ryan and Rachel to grade.)

Please submit your homework through <u>gradescope (http://gradescope.com/)</u> instead of Github, so you will get the score distribution for each question. Please enroll in the <u>class</u> (https://www.gradescope.com/courses/42432) by the Entry code: MXG5G5

Handout 2/19/2019, due 2/26/2019 by 4pm in Git by committing to your repository.

In this homework, we will model covariate shift and attempt to fix it using logistic regression. This is a fairly realistic scenario for data scientists. To keep things well under control and understandable we will use <u>Fashion-MNIST (http://d2l.ai/chapter_linear-networks/fashion-mnist.html)</u> as the data to experiment on.

Follow the instructions from the Fashion MNIST notebook to get the data.

```
In [15]: %matplotlib inline
    from mxnet import autograd, gluon, init, nd
    from mxnet.gluon import data as gdata, loss as gloss, nn, utils
    import numpy as np
    import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
    import seaborn as sns

sns.set_style('darkgrid')

mnist_train = gdata.vision.FashionMNIST(train=True)
    mnist_test = gdata.vision.FashionMNIST(train=False)
```

1. Logistic Regression

- 1. Implement the logistic loss function $l(y, f) = -\log(1 + \exp(-yf))$ in Gluon.
- 2. Plot its values and its derivative for y = 1 and $f \in [-5, 5]$, using automatic differentiation in Gluon.
- 3. Generate training and test datasets for a binary classification problem using Fashion-MNIST with class 1 being a combination of sneaker and pullover and class -1 being the combination of sandal and shirt categories.
- 4. Train a binary classifier of your choice (it can be linear or a simple MLP such as from a previous lecture) using half the data (i.e. 12,000 observations mixed as abvove) and one using the full dataset (i.e. 24,000 observations as arising from the 4 categories) and report its accuracy.


Hint - you should encapsulate the training and reporting code in a callable function since you'll need it quite a bit in the following.

```
In [87]: ### Part 1 ###
         def logitLoss(y, f):
              return -nd.log(1. + nd.exp(-nd.dot(y,f)))
          ### Part 2 ###
         x = np.linspace(-5,5,100)
          f = nd.array(x)
          y = nd.array([[1,],])
          f.attach grad()
         with autograd.record():
              1 = logitLoss(y, f.reshape(1,100))
              1.backward()
         plt.plot(x, logitLoss(y, f.reshape(1,100))[0].asnumpy(), label='logitLos
         s')
         plt.plot(x, f.grad.asnumpy(), label = 'grad logitLoss')
         plt.legend()
         plt.show()
         ### Part 3 ###
         X sneaker train = nd.array(mnist train. data.asnumpy()[mnist train. labe
          1 == 71)
         X pullover train = nd.array(mnist train. data.asnumpy()[mnist train. lab
         el == 21)
         X sandal train = nd.array(mnist train. data.asnumpy()[mnist train. label
          == 51)
         X shirt train = nd.array(mnist train. data.asnumpy()[mnist train. label
          == 61)
         X sneaker test = nd.array(mnist test. data.asnumpy()[mnist test. label =
          = 71)
         X_pullover_test = nd.array(mnist_test._data.asnumpy()[mnist_test._label
          == 21)
         X sandal test = nd.array(mnist test. data.asnumpy()[mnist test. label ==
         X shirt test = nd.array(mnist test. data.asnumpy()[mnist test. label ==
          6])
         X0 train = nd.concatenate([X sandal train, X shirt train], axis=0)
         y0 train = nd.array([-1]*X0 train.shape[0])
         X1 train = nd.concatenate([X sneaker train, X pullover train], axis=0)
         y1 train = nd.array([1]*X1 train.shape[0])
         X0_test = nd.concatenate([X_sandal_test, X_shirt_test], axis=0)
         y0 \text{ test} = \text{nd.array}([-1]*X0 \text{ test.shape}[0])
         X1 test = nd.concatenate([X sneaker test, X pullover test], axis=0)
         y1 test = nd.array([1]*X1 test.shape[0])
         X train = nd.concatenate([X0 train, X1 train], axis = 0)/255
         y train = nd.concatenate([y0 train, y1 train], axis = 0)
         X \text{ test} = \text{nd.concatenate}([X0 \text{ test}, X1 \text{ test}], \text{ axis} = 0)/255
         y test = nd.concatenate([y0 test, y1 test], axis = 0)
```

3/16/2019

```
ix = np.random.choice(X_train.shape[0], 12000)
X_train_half = X_train[ix]
y_train_half = y_train[ix]
### Part 4 ###
def accuracy(net, X, y):
   out = net(X)
    pred = nd.sign(out).reshape(-1,)
    return nd.sum(pred == y)/X.shape[0]
def train model(model, epochs, X train, y train, X test, y test, lr, bat
ch size, loss fn):
    model.initialize(init.Normal(sigma=0.01))
    trainer = gluon.Trainer(model.collect_params(), 'adam', {'learning_r
ate': lr})
    data loader = gdata.DataLoader(gdata.ArrayDataset(X train,y train),
batch_size=batch_size, shuffle=True)
    for e in range(epochs):
        for Xb, yb in data loader:
            with autograd.record():
                loss = loss_fn(model(Xb),yb).mean()
            loss.backward()
            trainer.step(batch_size)
        #loss_train = loss_fn(model(X_train), y_train).mean()
    loss test = loss_fn(model(X_test),y_test).mean()
    print('Test Loss: %s, Test Accuracy: %s'
            % (loss test.asscalar(), accuracy(model, X test,y test).assc
alar()))
model_full = gluon.nn.Dense(1)
print("====== training with full dataset =======")
train_model(model_full, 50, X_train, y_train, X_test, y_test, 0.0005, 25
6, gloss.LogisticLoss())
model half = gluon.nn.Dense(1)
print("====== training with half dataset =======")
train model(model half, 50, X train half, y train half, X test, y test,
0.0005, 256, gloss.LogisticLoss())
```

3/16/2019 homework5_solutions



====== training with full dataset ======== Test Loss: 0.2894197, Test Accuracy: 0.8885 ======= training with half dataset ======== Test Loss: 0.3132914, Test Accuracy: 0.88

2. Covariate Shift

Your goal is to introduce covariate shit in the data and observe the accuracy. For this, compose a dataset of 12,000 observations, given by a mixture of sneaker and pullover and of sandal and shirt respectively, where you use a fraction $\lambda \in \{0.05,0.1,0.2,\dots0.8,0.9,0.95\}$ of one and a fraction of $1-\lambda$ of the other datasets respectively. For instance, you might pick for $\lambda=0.1$ a total of 600 sneaker and 5,400 pullover images and likewise 600 sandal and 5,400 shirt photos, yielding a total of 12,000 images for training. Note that the test set remains unbiased, composed of 2,000 photos for the sneaker + pullover category and of the sandal + shirt category each.

- 1. Generate training sets that are appropriately biased. You should have 11 datasets.
- 2. Train a binary classifier using this and report the test set accuracy on the unbiased test set.

```
In [131]: Lambda = np.arange(0.1,1, .1)
          Lambda = np.sort(np.concatenate([[.05,.95],Lambda]))
          def create_dataset(lam):
              ix1 = np.random.choice(6000, int(lam*6000))
              ix2 = np.random.choice(6000, int((1-lam)*6000))
              X_sneak_lam = X_sneaker_train[ix1]
              X pull lam = X pullover train[ix2]
              X_sand_lam = X_sandal_train[ix1]
              X_shirt_lam = X_shirt_train[ix2]
              X0 lam = nd.concatenate([X sand lam, X shirt lam], axis=0)
              y0_lam = nd.array([-1]*X0_lam.shape[0])
              X1 lam = nd.concatenate([X sneak lam, X pull lam], axis=0)
              y1 lam = nd.array([1]*X1 lam.shape[0])
              X lam = nd.concatenate([XO lam, X1 lam], axis = 0)/255
              y_lam = nd.concatenate([y0_lam, y1_lam], axis = 0)
              return X lam, y lam
          for lam in Lambda:
              X lam, y lam = create dataset(lam)
              model curr = nn.Dense(1)
              print("====== Lambda=%s ======= % round(lam,2))
              train model(model_curr, 50, X_lam, y_lam, X_test, y_test, 0.005, 256
          , gloss.LogisticLoss())
```

```
====== Lambda=0.05 =======
Test Loss: 0.37277567, Test Accuracy: 0.84875
====== Lambda=0.1 =======
Test Loss: 0.3369718, Test Accuracy: 0.8675
====== Lambda=0.2 =======
Test Loss: 0.31146935, Test Accuracy: 0.87625
====== Lambda=0.3 =======
Test Loss: 0.29705656, Test Accuracy: 0.88825
====== Lambda=0.4 =======
Test Loss: 0.28826368, Test Accuracy: 0.888
====== Lambda=0.5 =======
Test Loss: 0.28912184, Test Accuracy: 0.8865
====== Lambda=0.6 =======
Test Loss: 0.28970745, Test Accuracy: 0.889
====== Lambda=0.7 =======
Test Loss: 0.2978464, Test Accuracy: 0.884
====== Lambda=0.8 =======
Test Loss: 0.32571408, Test Accuracy: 0.8795
====== Lambda=0.9 =======
Test Loss: 0.36462387, Test Accuracy: 0.8635
====== Lambda=0.95 =======
Test Loss: 0.44213355, Test Accuracy: 0.85875
```

3. Covariate Shift Correction

Having observed that covariate shift can be harmful, let's try fixing it. For this we first need to compute the appropriate propensity scores $\frac{dp(x)}{dq(x)}$. For this purpose pick a biased dataset, let's say with $\lambda=0.1$ and try to fix the covariate shift.

- 1. When training a logistic regression binary classifier to fix covariate shift, we assumed so far that both sets are of equal size. Show that re-weighting data in training and test set appropriately can help address the issue when both datasets have different size. What is the weighting?
- 2. Train a binary classifier (using logistic regression) distinguishing between the biased training set and the unbiased test set. Note you need to weigh the data.
- 3. Use the scores to compute weights on the training set. Do they match the weight arising from the biasing distribution λ ?
- 4. Train a binary classifier of the covariate shifted problem using the weights obtained previously and report the accuracy. Note you will need to modify the training loop slightly such that you can compute the gradient of a weighted sum of losses.

Part 1.

Note that the training set size is 12,000 and the test set size is 4,000. Then define the distribution

$$r(x, z) = \frac{3}{4}p(x)\delta(z, 1) + \frac{1}{4}q(x)\delta(z, -1)$$

where we take p(x) to be the distribution of x in the training set and q(x) to be the distribution of x in the testing set. We are interested in estimating $\alpha(x) = \frac{q(x)}{p(x)}$. Then note

$$r(z = 1|x) = \frac{\frac{3}{4}p(x)}{\frac{3}{4}p(x) + \frac{1}{4}q(x)}$$

and

$$r(z = -1|x) = \frac{\frac{1}{4}q(x)}{\frac{3}{4}p(x) + \frac{1}{4}q(x)}$$

Therefore $\alpha(x) = \frac{1}{3} \frac{r(z=-1|x)}{r(z=1|x)}$. Note that this is useful since

$$\mathbb{E}_{p}[\alpha(x)l(\theta;x,y)] = \int l(\theta;x,y)\alpha(x)p(y|x) = \int l(\theta;x,y)q(x)p(y|x) = \mathbb{E}_{q}[l(\theta;x,y)]$$

so if we adjust our loss by α in the training phase, we can compensate for the difference between the training and testing distributions.

3/16/2019 homework5_solutions

```
In [135]: ### Part 2 ###
          X lam, y_lam = create_dataset(lam = 0.1)
          z0 = nd.array([1]*X_lam.shape[0])
          z1 = nd.array([-1]*X_test.shape[0])
          X_disc = nd.concatenate([X_lam, X_test],axis=0)
          z = nd.concatenate([z0,z1], axis=0)
          def train alpha model(model, epochs, X train, y train, lr, batch size, l
          oss_fn):
              model.initialize(init.Normal(sigma=0.01))
              trainer = gluon.Trainer(model.collect params(), 'adam', {'learning r
          ate': lr})
              data loader = gdata.DataLoader(gdata.ArrayDataset(X_train,y_train),
          batch size=batch size, shuffle=True)
              for e in range(epochs):
                  for Xb, yb in data_loader:
                      with autograd.record():
                           loss = loss fn(model(Xb),yb).mean()
                       loss.backward()
                       trainer.step(batch size)
                  loss_train = loss_fn(model(X_train), y_train).mean()
              print('Train Loss: %s, Accuracy: %s'
                   % (loss_train.asscalar(), accuracy(model, X_train,y_train).assca
          lar()))
          alpha = nn.Dense(1)
          train alpha model(alpha, 100, X disc, z, 0.0001, 256, gloss.LogisticLoss
          ())
```

Train Loss: 0.48326635, Accuracy: 0.7995

Part 3

We saw in class that when we train with a logistic model, $\frac{r(z=-1|x)}{r(z=1|x)} = \exp(f(x))$, so our weights are $\frac{1}{2}\exp(f(x_i))$. Note these will be different if we use the unbiased training distribution vs the biased one.

```
In [136]: ### Part 4 ###
          def train_model_weighted(model, epochs, X_train, y_train, X_test, y_test
          , lr, batch_size, loss_fn, alpha):
              model.initialize(init.Normal(sigma=0.01))
              trainer = gluon.Trainer(model.collect_params(), 'adam', {'learning_r
          ate': lr})
              data loader = gdata.DataLoader(gdata.ArrayDataset(X_train,y_train),
          batch size=batch size, shuffle=True)
              for e in range(epochs):
                  for Xb, yb in data_loader:
                      with autograd.record():
                          loss = (1/3*nd.exp(alpha(Xb))*loss_fn(model(Xb),yb)).mea
          n()
                      loss.backward()
                      trainer.step(batch_size)
                  #loss_train = loss_fn(model(X_train), y_train).mean()
              loss_test = loss_fn(model(X_test),y_test).mean()
              print('Test Loss: %s, Test Accuracy: %s'
                      % (loss_test.asscalar(), accuracy(model, X_test,y_test).assc
          alar()))
          model_weighted = nn.Dense(1)
          train model weighted (model weighted, 50, X lam, y lam, X test, y test, .
          005, 256, gloss.LogisticLoss(), alpha)
```

Test Loss: 0.3229382, Test Accuracy: 0.8745

```
In [ ]:
```