

Compiling to iso-recursive types

Experience with Waml and Wob

Andreas Rossberg

Recap

Iso-recursive types – syntax

$strtype ::= (\text{func } valtype^* \text{ } valtype^*) \mid (\text{struct } valtype^*) \mid \dots$

$deftype ::= (\text{sub } \$t^* \text{ } strtype)$
 $\quad \mid (\text{rec } (\text{sub } \$t^* \text{ } strtype)^+)$

For now, allowing at most 1 supertype

$(\text{sub } \varepsilon \text{ } strtype)$ can be abbreviated to just $strtype$

Iso-recursive types – semantics

Subtyping rule only uses **declared subtyping** (constant time)

$(\text{sub } \$t \text{ strtype})$ is **well-formed** iff strtype matches $\$t$

(rec subtype^+) is **well-formed** iff all subtype^+ are

...**shallow check**, recursively assumes declared subtyping

Rules almost **like nominal** typing, except that identical type defs are equivalent

...**nominal up to type canonicalisation** (bottom-up)

...rec definitions only equivalent if types in them are, in same order

rtt.sub instruction removed, **rtt.canon** on subtype takes its role

Iso-recursive types – example

```
(type $t1 (struct i32))  
(type $t2 (struct i32))           ;; $t1 == $t2
```

```
(rec  
  (type $u1 (struct (ref $v1)))  
  (type $v1 (sub $t1 (struct i32 (ref $u1))))  ;; $v1 <: $t1  
)
```

```
(rec  
  (type $u2 (struct (ref $v2)))           ;; $u1 == $u2  
  (type $v2 (sub $t2 (struct i32 (ref $u2))))  ;; $v1 == $v2, $v2 <: $t2  
)
```

```
(rec  
  (type $v3 (sub $t2 (struct i32 (ref $u3))))  ;; $v3 != $v2  
  (type $u3 (struct (ref $v3)))               ;; $u3 != $u2  
)
```


Iso-recursive types – example

```
(rec
  (type $t1 (struct i32))
  (type $t2 (struct i32))           ;; $t1 != $t2

  (type $u1 (struct (ref $v1)))
  (type $v1 (sub $t1 (struct i32 (ref $u1))))   ;; $v1 <: $t1

  (type $u2 (struct (ref $v2)))           ;; $u1 != $u2
  (type $v2 (sub $t2 (struct i32 (ref $u2))))   ;; $v1 != $v2, $v2 <: $t2

  (type $v3 (sub $t2 (struct i32 (ref $u3))))   ;; $v3 != $v2
  (type $u3 (struct (ref $v3)))               ;; $u3 != $u2
)
```

single global `rec` degenerates into nominal semantics

Porting from equi to iso

General recipe

1. Replace uses of `rtt.sub` instruction with `sub` definitions
2. If not making use of structural typing:
 - (a) Wrap entire type section into a `single rec`
3. Otherwise:
 - (a) Wrap each recursion group into `separate rec`
 - (b) Possibly, `normalise` type order in each `rec`
(if compiling for separate compilation)

Separating concerns

1. During code generation, emit type definitions *without concern for recursion*
2. In the end, *run SCC* over types to insert **rec's**
3. If necessary, also *normalise order* of type definitions

Essentially, (2-3) is an algorithm for translating a set of *equi-recursive to iso-recursive* definitions

Waml

Changes to Waml

1. Replace uses of `rtt.sub` with `sub` definitions
2. Other than that, `emit` type definitions `as before`
3. In the end, run a simple `SCC` algorithm to group types

`125 line change` to Waml compiler, `+70 lines` SCC module

Took approximately `3 hours` to implement

Why Waml was easy

Main use of type recursion in Waml is for function and functor **closures**

- ...mutual recursion between code pointer **func** and closure environment **struct**

- ...recursive data types do *not* lower to recursive types, due to uniform representation

Compiler always emits type recursion in **fixed order**

- ...source code does not affect order within recursion

- ...no need for additional normalisation

SCC only needed for rediscovering recursion **group boundaries**

- ...could have avoided even that by refactoring some code

Wob

Changes to Wob

1. Replace uses of `rtt.sub` with `sub` definitions
2. Other than that, `emit` type definitions *as before*
3. In the end, run a simple `SCC` algorithm to group types
4. Then, *normalise* order of types

260 line change/addition to Wob compiler

+70 lines `SCC` module (reused from Waml)

+130 lines generic type renumbering code (reused from Wln)

Took 1-2 days to implement, main challenge was defining a suitable ordering algorithm

Why Wob was more work

Type recursion in Wob comes from `classes`

...mutual recursion between instance `struct`, vtable `struct`, and method pointer `funcs`

...but also, possibly mutual recursion between multiple classes, injected by source

When generating imports, compiler only has `type signature` of imported module, not source

...lost ordering information between mutually recursive classes

Three possible fixes:

(A) `extend signature` information to allow reconstructing order in code generator

(B) `normalise order` in a generic fashion in module builder

(C) use `type imports` for nominal source types (but type imports not yet implemented in GC branch)

Took second route – probably was more work, but a fully general, language-agnostic solution

...represents expected upper bound of complexity to support separate compilation with iso

Normalising “Recify” algorithm

1. Run **SCC** algorithm
2. Define a **total ordering** on Wasm type definitions (NB: not related to subtyping)
 - ...pick some total order on type constructors
 - ...lift lexicographically to (lists of) *strtype* trees
 - ...extended to graphs for recursive SCCs (essentially, comparing tree keys)
3. **Sort** SCC's according to ordering function
 - ...incrementally, along SCC dependency frontier
 - ...recursive groups are first sorted internally
4. Apply **renumbering** and insert **rec's**

About **180 lines** of code, once I knew what I was doing :)

Tools

Win

1. Adapt renumbering code to type syntax changes

25 line change

Took less than 1 hour to implement

JS runner

No changes

Summary of Impact

Minimal impact on **whole-program** compilers

- ...wrapping module in a big **rec** is enough

Small impact on **separate** compiler for language with **explicit type recursion**

- ...either produce appropriate **rec** grouping by construction,

- ...or run SCC in the end

Larger impact on **separate** compiler for language with **liberal type recursion**

- ...either ensure appropriate **rec** grouping and type order by construction,

- ...or run SCC and order normalisation in the end

Practically no impact on loaders and linkers

Porting everything took about 2 days

Open Questions

How much does it affect other tools?

Are there cases of structural source languages it can't handle?

<https://github.com/WebAssembly/gc/tree/iso/interpreter> (Reference interpreter)

<https://github.com/WebAssembly/gc/tree/iso.waml/proposals/gc/wob> (Wob)

<https://github.com/WebAssembly/gc/tree/iso.waml/proposals/gc/waml> (Waml)

<https://github.com/WebAssembly/gc/tree/iso.waml/proposals/gc/wln> (Linker)

outtakes

Summary of Recify

Recify algorithm is generic, independent of source language

- ...can be encapsulated in module builder

- ...frees code generators from thinking about type recursion

Essentially, maps a set of equi-recursive type equations into iso-recursive definitions that are minimal and normalised