Chapter 9: Crash Recovery

Database System Concepts





Chapter 9: Crash Recovery

- Failure Classification
- Storage Structure
- Recovery and Atomicity
- Log-Based Recovery
- Shadow Paging
- Remote Backup Systems



Failure Classification

- **Transaction failure** :
  - **Logical errors**: transaction cannot complete due to some internal error condition
  - **System errors**: the database system must terminate an active transaction due to an error condition (e.g., deadlock)
- **System crash**: a power failure or other hardware or software failure causes the system to crash.
  - **Fail-stop assumption**: non-volatile storage contents are assumed to not be corrupted by system crash
    - Database systems have numerous integrity checks to prevent corruption of disk data
- **Disk failure**: a head crash or similar disk failure destroys all or part of disk storage
  - Destruction is assumed to be detectable: disk drives use checksums to detect failures

Recovery Algorithms

- Recovery algorithms are techniques to ensure database consistency and transaction atomicity and durability despite failures
  - Focus of this chapter
- Recovery algorithms have two parts
  1. Actions taken during normal transaction processing to ensure enough information exists to recover from failures
  2. Actions taken after a failure to recover the database contents to a state that ensures atomicity, consistency and durability

Storage Structure

- **Volatile storage**:
  - does not survive system crashes
  - examples: main memory, cache memory
- **Nonvolatile storage**:
  - survives system crashes
  - examples: disk, tape, flash memory,
    non-volatile (battery backed up) RAM
- **Stable storage**:
  - a mythical form of storage that survives all failures
  - approximated by maintaining multiple copies on distinct nonvolatile media

Data Access

- **Physical blocks** are those blocks residing on the disk.
- **Buffer blocks** are the blocks residing temporarily in main memory.
- Block movements between disk and main memory are initiated through the following two operations:
  - **input**(*B*) transfers the physical block *B* to main memory.
  - **output**(*B*) transfers the buffer block *B* to the disk, and replaces the appropriate physical block there.
- Each transaction T_i has its private work-area in which local copies of all data items accessed and updated by it are kept.
  - T_i's local copy of a data item X is called x_i.
- We assume, for simplicity, that each data item fits in, and is stored inside, a single block.

Data Access (Cont.)

- Transaction transfers data items between system buffer blocks and its private work-area using the following operations :
  - **read**(X) assigns the value of data item X to the local variable x_i.
  - **write**(X) assigns the value of local variable x_i to data item $\{X\}$ in the buffer block.
  - both these commands may necessitate the issue of an **input**(B_X) instruction before the assignment, if the block B_X in which X resides is not already in memory.
- Transactions
  - Perform **read**(X) while accessing X for the first time;
  - All subsequent accesses are to the local copy.
  - After last access, transaction executes **write**(X).
- **output**(B_X) need not immediately follow **write**(X). System can perform the **output** operation when it deems fit.

Example of Data Access



Recovery and Atomicity

- Modifying the database without ensuring that the transaction will commit may leave the database in an inconsistent state.
- Consider transaction T_i that transfers \$50 from account *A* to account *B*; goal is either to perform all database modifications made by T_i or none at all.
- Several output operations may be required for T_i (to output *A* and *B*). A failure may occur after one of these modifications have been made but before all of them are made.

Recovery and Atomicity (Cont.)

- To ensure atomicity despite failures, we first output information describing the modifications to stable storage without modifying the database itself.
- We study two approaches:
  - **log-based recovery**, and
  - **shadow-paging**
- We assume (initially) that transactions run serially, that is, one after the other.

Log-Based Recovery

- A **log** is kept on stable storage.
  - The log is a sequence of **log records**, and maintains a record of update activities on the database.
- When transaction T_i starts, it registers itself by writing a
  <T_i **start**>log record
- *Before* T_i executes **write**(X), a log record <T_i, X, V_1, V_2> is written, where V_1 is the value of X before the write, and V_2 is the value to be written to X.
  - Log record notes that T_i has performed a write on data item X_j X_j had value V_1 before the write, and will have value V_2 after the write.
- When T_i finishes it last statement, the log record <T_i **commi**t> is written.
- We assume for now that log records are written directly to stable storage (that is, they are not buffered)
- Two approaches using logs
  - Deferred database modification
  - Immediate database modification

Deferred Database Modification

- The **deferred database modification** scheme records all modifications to the log, but defers all the **write**s to after partial commit.
- Assume that transactions execute serially
- Transaction starts by writing <T_i ***start***> record to log.
- A **write**(X) operation results in a log record <T_i, X, V> being written, where V is the new value for X
  - Note: old value is not needed for this scheme
- The write is not performed on X at this time, but is deferred.
- When T_i partially commits, <T_i **commit**> is written to the log
- Finally, the log records are read and used to actually execute the previously deferred writes.

Deferred Database Modification (Cont.)

- During recovery after a crash, a transaction needs to be redone if and only if both <T_i **start**> and<T_i **commit**> are there in the log.
- Redoing a transaction T_i (**redo**T_i) sets the value of all data items updated by the transaction to the new values.
- Crashes can occur while
  - the transaction is executing the original updates, or
  - while recovery action is being taken
- example transactions T_0 and T_1 (T_0 executes before T_1):

T_0: **read** (*A*)

A: - A - 50

Write (*A*)

read (*B*)

B:- B + 50

write (*B*)

T_1 : **read** (*C*)

C:- C- 100

write (*C*)

Deferred Database Modification (Cont.)

- Below we show the log as it appears at three instances of time.

(a)	(b)	(c)
<T_0 start>	<T_0 start>	<T_0 start>
<T_0, A, 950>	<T_0, A, 950>	<T_0, A, 950>
<T_0, B, 2050>	<T_0, B, 2050>	<T_0, B, 2050>
	<T_0 commit>	<T_0 commit>
	<T_1 start>	<T_1 start>
	<T_1, C, 600>	<T_1, C, 600>
		<T_1 commit>

- If log on stable storage at time of crash is as in case:
  - (a) No redo actions need to be taken
  - (b) redo(T_0) must be performed since <T_0 **commit**> is present
  - (c) **redo**(T_0) must be performed followed by redo(T_1) since <T_0 **commit**> and <T_i commit> are present

Immediate Database Modification

- The **immediate database modification** scheme allows database updates of an uncommitted transaction to be made as the writes are issued
  - since undoing may be needed, update logs must have both old value and new value
- Update log record must be written *before* database item is written
  - We assume that the log record is output directly to stable storage
  - Can be extended to postpone log record output, so long as prior to execution of an **output**(*B*) operation for a data block B, all log records corresponding to items *B* must be flushed to stable storage
- Output of updated blocks can take place at any time before or after transaction commit
- Order in which blocks are output can be different from the order in which they are written.

Immediate Database Modification Example

Log	**Database**
<T_0 **start**>	
<T_0, A, 1000, 950>	
T_o, B, 2000, 2050	
	A = 950
	B = 2050
<T_0 **commit**>	
<T_1 **start**>	
<T_1, C, 700, 600>	
	C = 600
<T_1 **commit**>	

Immediate Database Modification (Cont.)

- Recovery procedure has two operations instead of one:
  - **undo**(T_i) restores the value of all data items updated by T_i to their old values, going backwards from the last log record for T_i
  - **redo**(T_i) sets the value of all data items updated by T_i to the new values, going forward from the first log record for T_i
- Both operations must be **idempotent**
  - That is, even if the operation is executed multiple times the effect is the same as if it is executed once
    - Needed since operations may get re-executed during recovery
- When recovering after failure:
  - Transaction T_i needs to be undone if the log contains the record <T_i **start**>, but does not contain the record <T_i **commit**>.
  - Transaction T_i needs to be redone if the log contains both the record <T_i **start**> and the record <T_i **commit**>.
- Undo operations are performed first, then redo operations.

Immediate DB Modification Recovery Example

Below we show the log as it appears at three instances of time.

(a)	(b)	(c)
<T_0 start>	<T_0 start>	<T_0 start>
<T_0, A, 1000, 950>	<T_0, A, 1000, 950>	<T_0, A, 1000, 950>
<T_0, B, 2000, 2050>	<T_0, B, 2000, 2050>	<T_0, B, 2000, 2050>
	<T_0 commit>	<T_0 commit>
	<T_1 start>	<T_1 start>
	<T_1, C, 700, 600>	<T_1, C, 700, 600>
		<T_1 commit>

Recovery actions in each case above are:

(a) undo (T_0): B is restored to 2000 and A to 1000.

(b) undo (T_1) and redo (T_0): C is restored to 700, and then *A* and *B* are set to 950 and 2050 respectively.

(c) redo (T_0) and redo (T_1): A and B are set to 950 and 2050 respectively. Then *C* is set to 600

Remote Backup Systems

- Remote backup systems provide high availability by allowing transaction processing to continue even if the primary site is destroyed.



Remote Backup Systems (Cont.)

- **Detection of failure**: Backup site must detect when primary site has failed
  - to distinguish primary site failure from link failure maintain several communication links between the primary and the remote backup.
  - Heart-beat messages
- **Transfer of control**:
  - To take over control backup site first perform recovery using its copy of the database and all the long records it has received from the primary.
    - Thus, completed transactions are redone and incomplete transactions are rolled back.
  - When the backup site takes over processing it becomes the new primary
  - To transfer control back to old primary when it recovers, old primary must receive redo logs from the old backup and apply all updates locally.

Remote Backup Systems (Cont.)

- **Time to recover**: To reduce delay in takeover, backup site periodically proceses the redo log records (in effect, performing recovery from previous database state), performs a checkpoint, and can then delete earlier parts of the log.
- **Hot-Spare** configuration permits very fast takeover:
  - Backup continually processes redo log record as they arrive, applying the updates locally.
  - When failure of the primary is detected the backup rolls back incomplete transactions, and is ready to process new transactions.
- Alternative to remote backup: distributed database with replicated data
  - Remote backup is faster and cheaper, but less tolerant to failure
    - more on this in Chapter 19

Remote Backup Systems (Cont.)

- Ensure durability of updates by delaying transaction commit until update is logged at backup; avoid this delay by permitting lower degrees of durability.
- **One-safe:** commit as soon as transaction's commit log record is written at primary
  - Problem: updates may not arrive at backup before it takes over.
- **Two-very-safe:** commit when transaction's commit log record is written at primary and backup
  - Reduces availability since transactions cannot commit if either site fails.
- **Two-safe:** proceed as in two-very-safe if both primary and backup are active. If only the primary is active, the transaction commits as soon as is commit log record is written at the primary.
  - Better availability than two-very-safe; avoids problem of lost transactions in one-safe.

Shadow Paging

- **Shadow paging** is an alternative to log-based recovery; this scheme is useful if transactions execute serially
- Idea: maintain *two* page tables during the lifetime of a transaction –the **current page table**, and the **shadow page table**
- Store the shadow page table in nonvolatile storage, such that state of the database prior to transaction execution may be recovered.
  - Shadow page table is never modified during execution
- To start with, both the page tables are identical. Only current page table is used for data item accesses during execution of the transaction.
- Whenever any page is about to be written for the first time
  - A copy of this page is made onto an unused page.
  - The current page table is then made to point to the copy
  - The update is performed on the copy

Sample Page Table



Example of Shadow Paging

Shadow and current page tables after write to page 4



Shadow Paging (Cont.)

- To commit a transaction :
  1. Flush all modified pages in main memory to disk
  2. Output current page table to disk
  3. Make the current page table the new shadow page table, as follows:
     - keep a pointer to the shadow page table at a fixed (known) location on disk.
     - to make the current page table the new shadow page table, simply update the pointer to point to current page table on disk
- Once pointer to shadow page table has been written, transaction is committed.
- No recovery is needed after a crash — new transactions can start right away, using the shadow page table.
- Pages not pointed to from current/shadow page table should be freed (garbage collected).

Show Paging (Cont.)

- Advantages of shadow-paging over log-based schemes
  - no overhead of writing log records
  - recovery is trivial
- Disadvantages :
  - Copying the entire page table is very expensive
    - Can be reduced by using a page table structured like a B^+-tree
      - No need to copy entire tree, only need to copy paths in the tree that lead to updated leaf nodes
  - Commit overhead is high even with above extension
    - Need to flush every updated page, and page table
  - Data gets fragmented (related pages get separated on disk)
  - After every transaction completion, the database pages containing old versions of modified data need to be garbage collected
  - Hard to extend algorithm to allow transactions to run concurrently
    - Easier to extend log based schemes

Block Storage Operations