

## COT 5615 Math for Intelligent Systems Fall 2021 Homework #9

UFID: 96703101

Name: Vyom Pathak

Instructor: Professor Kejun Huang

Due Date: December 07, 2021

**Problem 1****Solving regularized least-squares****Solution**

We have  $\hat{x} = (A^T A + \lambda I)^{-1} A^T b$ . using the kernel trick,

$$\hat{x} = (A^T A + \lambda I)^{-1} A^T b = A^T (A A^T + \lambda I)^{-1} b$$

We can compute,  $(A A^T + \lambda I)^{-1} b$  by computing the QR factorization of the  $(m+n) \times m$  matrix. The other operations involve matrix-vector products and have order (at most)  $m \times n$  flops, so we can use this method to compute  $\hat{x}$  in around  $2(m+n) \times m^2$  flops.

**Problem 2****Companion matrix****Solution**

- a The characteristic polynomial of a companion matrix can be found using the following equation:  
 $\det(xI - C)$ . where  $C$  is the companion matrix.

$$\begin{aligned} \det(xI - C) &= \det((x, -1, 0, \dots, 0; 0, x, -1, 0, \dots, 0; \dots; 0, 0, \dots, 1; c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots, x + c_{n-1})) \\ &= x \cdot \det((x, -1, 0, \dots, 0; 0, x, -1, 0, \dots, 0; \dots; 0, 0, \dots, 1; c_1, c_2, \dots, x + c_{n-1})) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{n+1} \cdot c_0 \cdot \det(-1, 0, \dots, 0; x, -1, 0, \dots, 0; \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0; 0, 0, \dots, -1) \end{aligned}$$

By induction we can replace the determinant on the left by  $c_1 + c_2 x + c_3 x^2 + \dots + c_{n-1} x^{n-2} + x^{n-1}$  and the right matrix's determinant is the product of its diagonals (since it's upper-triangular). The product of the diagonal is  $(-1)^{n-1}$ . Therefore, the determinant is  $c_0 + c_1 x + \dots + c_{n-1} x^{n-1} + x^n$ .

- b Let's tackle the LHS first,

$$\begin{aligned} VC &= (1, 1, \dots, 1; \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n; \lambda_1^2, \dots, \lambda_n^2; \dots; \lambda_1^{n-1}, \lambda_2^{n-1}, \dots, \lambda_n^{n-1}). \\ &\quad (0, 1, \dots, 0; 0, 0, 1, \dots, 0; \dots; 0, 0, 0, \dots, 1; -c_0, -c_1, \dots, -c_{n-1}) \\ &= (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n; \lambda_1^2, \lambda_1^{n-1}, \lambda_2^{n-1}, \dots, \lambda_n^{n-1}; -(c_0 + c_1 \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_1^{n-1} c_{n-1}), -(c_0 + c_1 \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_2^{n-1} c_{n-1}), \dots) \\ &= (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n; \lambda_1^2, \lambda_1^{n-1}, \lambda_2^{n-1}, \dots, \lambda_n^{n-1}; \lambda_1^n, \lambda_2^n, \dots, \lambda_n^n) [\because \lambda_i \text{ are distinct root of the polynomial and} \\ &\quad \text{we put the roots in the polynomial from part a and solve for it.}] \\ &= (\lambda_1, 0, 0, \dots, 0; 0, \lambda_2, 0, \dots, 0; \dots; 0, 0, 0, \lambda_n) \cdot (1, 1, \dots, 1; \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n; \lambda_1^2, \dots, \lambda_n^2; \dots; \lambda_1^{n-1}, \lambda_2^{n-1}, \dots, \lambda_n^{n-1}) \\ &= \wedge V = RHS \end{aligned}$$

## Problem 3

### Circulant matrix

#### Solution

a We solve  $Fc$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Fc &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(1, 1, \dots, 1; 1, \omega, \omega^2, \dots, \omega^{n-1}; \dots, \omega^2, \omega^4, \dots, \omega^{2(n-1)}; \dots; \dots, \omega^{n-1}, \omega^{2(n-1)}, \dots, \omega^{(n-1)(n-1)}). \\ &\hspace{25em} (c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, \dots, c_n) \\ &= (c_1 + c_2 + \dots + c_n, c_1 + \omega c_2 + \dots + \omega^{n-1} c_n, \dots, c_1 + \omega^{n-1} c_2 + \dots + \omega^{(n-1)(n-1)} c_n) \end{aligned}$$

Further,  $\wedge F$  can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \wedge F &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(c_1 + c_2 + c_3 + \dots + c_n, c_1 + \omega c_2 + \dots + \omega^{n-1} c_n, \dots, c_1 + \omega^{n-1} c_2 + \dots + \omega^{(n-1)(n-1)} c_n \\ &\hspace{10em}; \dots, \dots, \dots, \dots; c_1 + c_2 + c_3 + \dots + c_n, \omega^{n-1}[c_1 + \omega c_2 + \dots + \omega^{n-1} c_n], \dots, \\ &\hspace{10em}\omega^{(n-1)(n-1)} c_1 + \omega^{(n-1)} c_2 + \dots + \omega^{(n-1)(n-1)} c_n) \end{aligned}$$

We know that  $\Omega = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}} \implies \omega \Omega = 1$  We use this to get  $F^{-1} = F^*$  as follows:

$$F^{-1} = F^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(1, 1, \dots, 1; 1, \Omega, \Omega^2, \dots, \Omega^{n-1}; \dots, \Omega^2, \Omega^4, \dots, \Omega^{2(n-1)}; \dots; \dots, \Omega^{n-1}, \Omega^{2(n-1)}, \dots, \Omega^{(n-1)(n-1)})$$

Thus, we use  $F^*$  and  $\wedge F$  to calculate  $F^* \wedge F$  and after applying the identity of the  $n$ th root of unity and using the fact that sum of  $n$  roots of unity will be 0, which gives the final result as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} F^* \wedge F &= \frac{1}{n}(nc_1, nc_2, \dots, nc_n; nc_2, nc_1, \dots, nc_{n-1}; \dots; nc_n, nc_{n-1}, \dots, nc_1) \\ &= C \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $C = F^* \wedge F$ .

b The solution of  $Cx = b$  can be shown as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Cx &= b \\ x &= (C^T C)^{-1} C^T b \\ C^T &= (F^* \wedge F)^T = F^T \wedge^T (F^*)^T = F \wedge F^* \\ x &= (F \wedge F^* F^* \wedge F)^{-1} F \wedge F^{-1} b \\ x &= F^* \wedge^{-1} F F \wedge^{-1} F^* F \wedge F^* b \\ x &= F^* \wedge^{-1} F b \end{aligned}$$

As we the matrices  $F$  and  $F^*$  are DFT and IDFT respectively, we can perform this operation using FFT in  $n \log(n)$  time. Thus, the time complexity is  $n \log(n)$ .

## Problem 4

### General least-squares

#### Solution

a Let's start with tackling LHS:

$$\begin{aligned}
A^T A \hat{x} &= (V \Sigma^T U^T)(U \Sigma V^T) \hat{x} \\
&= (V \Sigma^T \Sigma V^T)(V \Sigma^{-1} U^T b) \\
&= (V \Sigma^T \Sigma \Sigma^{-1} U^T b) \\
&= (V \Sigma^T U^T b) \\
&= (U \Sigma V^T)^T b \\
&= A^T b \\
&= RHS
\end{aligned}$$

b Verification of  $\hat{x} = V \Sigma^{-1} U^T b$  can be shown as follows using  $\|A \hat{x} - b\|^2 < \|Ax - b\|^2$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Ax - b\|^2 &= \|(Ax - A \hat{x}) + (A \hat{x} - b)\|^2 \\
&= \|(Ax - A \hat{x})\|^2 + \|(A \hat{x} - b)\|^2 + 2(Ax - A \hat{x})^T (A \hat{x} - b)
\end{aligned}$$

For the last term, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
2(Ax - A \hat{x})^T (A \hat{x} - b) &= 2(x - \hat{x})^T A^T (A \hat{x} - b) \\
&= 2(x - \hat{x})^T (A^T A \hat{x} - A^T b) \\
&= 2(x - \hat{x})^T (A \hat{x} - A^T b) [\because \text{part (a)}] \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Thus using this in the above equation we get:

$$\|Ax - b\|^2 = \|(Ax - A \hat{x})\|^2 + \|(A \hat{x} - b)\|^2$$

This implies that  $\|A \hat{x} - b\|^2 \leq \|Ax - b\|^2$  which means that  $\hat{x}$  minimizes  $\|Ax - b\|^2$ . Now, the equality doesn't hold true because  $A$  has linearly independent columns and thus  $x = \hat{x}$  is the only solution. Thus, we have  $\|A \hat{x} - b\|^2 < \|Ax - b\|^2$ .

## Problem 5

### QRSVD

#### Solution

a The following Julia code calculates SVD and compares it with the QRSVD method.

---

```

1 using LinearAlgebra
2 A = rand(6,3);
3 USV = svd(A);
4 U_org = USV.U;
5 S_org = USV.S;

```

---

```
6     V_org = USV.V;
7     A = rand(6,3);
8     N = 1000
9     q0, r0 = qr(A)
10    r0[1,1] = abs(r0[1,1])
11    r0[2,2] = abs(r0[2,2])
12    r0[3,3] = abs(r0[3,3])
13    rarr = []
14    qarr = []
15    append!(rarr, [r0])
16    append!(qarr, [q0])
17    for k in 1:N
18        q,r = qr(rarr[k]')
19        append!(rarr, [r])
20        append!(qarr, [q])
21    end
22
23    z = zeros(3,3)
24    U = qarr[1]
25    V = [qarr[2] z; z z]
26    for k in 3:N+1
27        if k%2 == 1
28            U *= [qarr[k] z; z z]
29        else
30            V *= [qarr[k] z; z z]
31        end
32    end
33    S = rarr[N+1];
34
35    println("S value using SVD: ");
36    println(S_org);
37    D = Diagonal(S);
38    S = [D[1,1], D[2,2], D[3,3]];
39    println("S value using QRSVD: ");
40    println(S);
41    println();
42    println("V value by SVD function: ");
43    println(V_org);
44    V = V[1:3,1:3];
45    println("V value by QRSVD function: ");
46    println(V);
47    println();
48    println("U value by SVD function: ");
49    println(U_org);
50    U = U[:,1:3];
51    println("U value by QRSVD function: ");
52    println(U);
53    println();
```

---

The output of the code is shown in figure 1:

b This can solved as follows:

$$Q_1(R_1R_0) = (Q_1R_1)R_0 = R_0^TR_0[\cdot: \text{It is given that } R_k^T = Q_{k+1}R_{k+1}]$$

Now,  $Q_1$  is orthonormal and both  $R_1, R_0$  are upper triangular matrices. Thus,  $Q_1(R_1R_0)$  is the QR decomposition of  $R_0^TR_0$ .

---

```

S value using SVD:
[2.6720057339543923, 0.8016463946495971, 0.49217309001116744]
S value using QRSVD:
[1.865710086122108, 0.6245068051272025, 0.3502893029168897]

V value by SVD function:
[-0.6412184511271937 0.7400161485770496 -0.20301477231776238; -0.5515593213119188 -0.6284056750360019 -0.5485331554760222;
-0.5334990281228054 -0.2397548903103684 0.8111081182951416]
V value by QRSVD function:
[0.7623617022509794 0.4245479050388829 -0.4884298427288046; -0.5430898885043743 0.009265828703725082 -0.8396234378712512;
-0.35193466431327197 0.9053580621751431 0.23763158735567055]

U value by SVD function:
[-0.2936025293437453 0.3876290637426372 0.6298246833064968; -0.29697489116194814 0.5083933050493497 -0.0009750428859318164;
-0.5027940000109853 0.05852296897377848 -0.6162617901277659; -0.3288850836648491 -0.21451851885827908 -0.25998138947395116;
-0.4210126763990929 -0.7208736386410062 0.38419230269711574; -0.5360826339187785 0.1489228679713926 0.0913634242640073]
U value by QRSVD function:
[-0.436600636653543 0.872088673234636 -0.06641570821262836; -0.4921347705637104 -0.057865422817530786 0.6441313944554258;
-0.4834289190905406 -0.28832332849628595 -0.23455903204478895; -0.56779873048189 -0.3386660512859727 -0.41491003919040476;
-0.10432550379552213 -0.19424091173263638 0.5558146871677854; -0.01416060676108409 -0.02362987554901347 0.211152678821105]
    
```

Figure 1: Comparison of SVD values and QRSVD values

c part 1 can be shown as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (R_1 R_0) Q_1 &= R_1 ((Q_1 R_1)^T) Q_1 \\
 &= R_1 R_1^T Q_1^T Q_1 &= R_1 R_1^T \quad [\because Q_1 \text{ is orthonormal}]
 \end{aligned}$$

part 2 can be shown as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_1 R_1^T &= (Q_2 R_2)^T (Q_2 R_2) \\
 &= R_2^T Q_2^T Q_2 R_2 \\
 &= R_2^T R_2 \quad [\because Q_2 \text{ is orthonormal}]
 \end{aligned}$$

part 3  $B_0 = R_0^T R_0 = Q_1 R_1 R_0 = Q_1 (R_1 R_0)$

part 3  $B_1 = R_2^T R_2 = R_1 R_1^T = (R_1 R_0) Q_1$

Now, we know that  $\text{eigen}(XY) = \text{eigen}(YX)$ , thus

$$\text{eig}(B_0) = \text{eig}(Q_1 (R_1 R_0)) = \text{eig}((R_1 R_0) Q_1) = \text{eig}(B_1).$$

d Considering the relation between  $B_k$  and  $B_{k+1}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_k &= R_{2k}^T R_{2k} \\
 &= Q_{2k+1} R_{2k+1} R_{2k+1}^T Q_{2k+1}^T \\
 &= Q_{2k+1} (R_{2k+2}^T Q_{2k+2}^T) (Q_{2k+2} R_{2k+2}) Q_{2k+1}^T \\
 &= Q_{2k+1} B_{k+1} Q_{2k+1}^T
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, for  $B_0 = Q_1 B_1 Q_1^T = Q_1 Q_3 B_2 Q_3^T Q_1^T = \dots = Q_1 Q_3 \dots Q_{2k-1} B_{K+1} Q_{2k-1}^T \dots Q_3^T Q_1^T$ . For each  $B_i$  if we solve them one by one, we can see that it is actually the QR iteration of the general matrix as mentioned above. Hence,  $B_k = R_{2k}^T R_{2k}$  are the QR iterations that are applied on the matrix  $B_0 = R_0^T R_0$ .