

# COT 5615 Math for Intelligent Systems, Fall 2021

## Homework 9

1. Equation (15.10) states that

$$(\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{A} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^\top = \mathbf{A}^\top (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^\top + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1}.$$

Therefore the solution equals to

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}^\top (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^\top + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{b}.$$

This implies that we can compute  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  as follows:

- 1: Form  $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^\top + \lambda \mathbf{I}$  ( $m^2 n$  flops)
- 2: Cholesky factorization  $\mathbf{L} \mathbf{L}^\top = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^\top + \lambda \mathbf{I}$  ( $(1/3)m^3$  flops)
- 3: back substitution  $\mathbf{L}^{-1} \mathbf{b}$  ( $n^2$  flops)
- 4: forward substitution  $\mathbf{L}^{-\top} \mathbf{L}^{-1} \mathbf{b}$  ( $n^2$  flops)
- 5: matrix-vector multiplication  $\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{L}^{-\top} \mathbf{L}^{-1} \mathbf{b}$  ( $2mn$  flops)

The first two steps dominate, resulting in  $\mathcal{O}(m^2 n + m^3)$  complexity.

Alternatively, notice that

$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^\top + \lambda \mathbf{I} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}^\top \\ \sqrt{\lambda} \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix}^\top \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}^\top \\ \sqrt{\lambda} \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix}.$$

If we take the QR factorization

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}^\top \\ \sqrt{\lambda} \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{R},$$

then

$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^\top + \lambda \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{R}^\top \mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}^\top \mathbf{R}.$$

This suggests the following algorithm:

- 1: QR factorization  $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}^\top \\ \sqrt{\lambda} \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{R}$  ( $2(m+n)m^2$  flops)
- 2: back substitution  $\mathbf{R}^{-\top} \mathbf{b}$  ( $n^2$  flops)
- 3: forward substitution  $\mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{R}^{-\top} \mathbf{b}$  ( $n^2$  flops)
- 4: matrix-vector multiplication  $\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{R}^{-\top} \mathbf{b}$  ( $2mn$  flops)

The first step dominates, and the resulting complexity is again  $\mathcal{O}(m^2 n + m^3)$ .

**Remark.** Remember the useful rule-of-thumb: smart linear algebra (linear algebra done right) usually leads to the complexity of big times small squared.

2. (a) The characteristic polynomial of  $\mathbf{C}$  is  $p(x) = \det(x\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{C})$ . We use the recursive definition of determinant

$$\det \mathbf{A} = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+j} a_{ij} \det \mathbf{A}_{ij},$$

where  $\mathbf{A}_{ij}$  is the matrix obtained by removing the  $i$ th row and  $j$ th column of  $\mathbf{A}$ . We also use the properties that the determinant of a triangular matrix equals to the product of the diagonal entries and the determinant of a block-diagonal matrix equals to the product of the diagonal-block determinant. Let  $\mathbf{A} = x\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{C}$  and apply the recursive definition to the last column of  $\mathbf{A}$

$$\det(x\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{C}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i+n} c_{i-1} \det \mathbf{A}_{in} + (c_{n-1} + x) \det \mathbf{A}_{nn},$$

where  $\mathbf{A}_{in}$  looks like

$$\mathbf{A}_{in} = \begin{bmatrix} x & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -1 & x & 0 & & \\ 0 & -1 & x & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & x \\ & & & & -1 & x & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & -1 & x & & \vdots \\ & & & & \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & & -1 & x \\ & & & & & & & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The first diagonal block is  $(i-1) \times (i-1)$ . The second diagonal block is  $(n-i) \times (n-i)$ . Therefore  $\det \mathbf{A}_{in} = (-1)^{n-i} x^{i-1}$ . Plug this back, we get

$$\det(x\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{C}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i+n} c_{i-1} (-1)^{n-i} x^{i-1} + (c_{n-1} + x) x^{n-1} = x^n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k x^k.$$

If the characteristic polynomial is defined as  $\det(\mathbf{A} - x\mathbf{I})$ , then there is a difference of  $(-1)^n$  factor, which does not affect the roots.

- (b) An eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{C}$  is a root of its characteristic polynomial, so

$$\lambda_i^n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k \lambda_i^k = 0, \implies \lambda_i^n = - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k \lambda_i^k, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Consider the  $i$ th row of  $\mathbf{V}$

$$\mathbf{v}_i^\top = [1 \ \lambda_i \ \lambda_i^2 \ \cdots \ \lambda_i^{n-1}].$$

We have

$$\mathbf{v}_i^\top \mathbf{C} = \left[ \lambda_i \ \cdots \ \lambda_i^{n-1} \ - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k \lambda_i^k \right] = [\lambda_i \ \lambda_i^2 \ \cdots \ \lambda_i^n] = \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i^\top.$$

Stacking all rows together gives

$$\mathbf{V}\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{V}.$$

3. (a) Equivalently, we verify  $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{F}$ , or more specifically their  $j$ th row of both sides  $\mathbf{f}_j^\top \mathbf{C} = \lambda_j \mathbf{f}_j^\top$ . The  $k$ th entry of the left-hand-side is

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{d=1}^{k-1} \omega^{(j-1)(d-1)} c_{n-k+d} + \sum_{d=k}^n \omega^{(j-1)(d-1)} c_{d-k+1}.$$

Notice that  $\omega^d$  is periodic:  $\omega^d = \omega^{d+n}$ , so that sum becomes

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{d=k}^{n+k-1} \omega^{(j-1)(d-1)} c_{d-k+1}.$$

Replace  $d$  with  $d - k + 1$  and we have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \omega^{k-1} \sum_{d=1}^n \omega^{(j-1)(d-1)} c_d.$$

Moving on to the right-hand-side,  $\lambda_j$  is the  $j$ th entry of  $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{c}$

$$\lambda_j = \sum_{d=1}^n \omega^{(j-1)(d-1)} c_d,$$

and thus the  $k$ th entry of  $\lambda_j \mathbf{f}_j^\top$  is

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \lambda_j \omega^{k-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \omega^{k-1} \sum_{d=1}^n \omega^{(j-1)(d-1)} c_d.$$

Therefore for all  $j, k = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $[\mathbf{F}\mathbf{C}]_{jk} = [\mathbf{A}\mathbf{F}]_{jk}$ , meaning  $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{F}$ . Multiply both sides from the left with  $\mathbf{F}^{-1} = \mathbf{F}^*$ , we get  $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{F}^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{F}$ .

- (b) Now we know every circulant matrix  $\mathbf{C}$  factors into  $\mathbf{F}^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{F}$  where  $\mathbf{A} = \sqrt{n} \text{Diag}(\mathbf{F}\mathbf{c})$ . To solve the equation  $\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  we know mathematically  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{F}^* \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{b}$ . This can be computed as follows:

- 1: Compute  $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{b}$  via FFT ( $n \log n$  flops)
- 2: Compute  $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{c}$  via FFT ( $n \log n$  flops)
- 3: Compute  $\mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{F}\mathbf{b}$  ( $n$  flops)
- 4: Compute  $\mathbf{F}^* \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{F}\mathbf{b}$  via IFFT ( $n \log n$  flops)

The overall complexity is  $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ .

If you have taken a course on Fourier analysis, you might resonate the following statements: The Fourier transform of a real signal is conjugate symmetric; the Fourier transform of a (conjugate) symmetric signal is real; (circular) convolution in the primal domain is direct multiplication in the Fourier domain; etc. These are all consistent with the eigen analysis of the corresponding circulant matrix.

4. (a) Replace  $\mathbf{A}$  with  $\mathbf{U}\Sigma\mathbf{V}^\top$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  with  $\mathbf{V}\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{U}^\top\mathbf{b}$  into the equation  $\mathbf{A}^\top\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}^\top\mathbf{b}$ , we get

$$\mathbf{A}^\top\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{V}\Sigma\mathbf{U}^\top\mathbf{U}\Sigma\mathbf{V}^\top\mathbf{V}\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{U}^\top\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{V}\Sigma\Sigma\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{U}^\top\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{V}\Sigma\mathbf{U}^\top\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{A}^\top\mathbf{b}.$$

The two sides are indeed equal.

- (b) For a particular vector  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  that satisfies  $\mathbf{A}^\top\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}^\top\mathbf{b}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{b}\|^2 &= \|\mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{b}\|^2 \\ &= \|\mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{b}\|^2 - 2(\mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}})^\top(\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{b}) \\ &= \underbrace{\|\mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}}\|^2}_{\geq 0} + \|\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{b}\|^2 - 2(\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}})^\top \underbrace{(\mathbf{A}^\top\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{A}^\top\mathbf{b})}_{=0} \\ &\geq \|\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{b}\|^2\end{aligned}$$

So any  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  that satisfies  $\mathbf{A}^\top\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}^\top\mathbf{b}$  is an optimal solution that minimizes  $\|\mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{b}\|^2$ . It may not be unique, and one particular choice can be obtained via the SVD. If  $\mathbf{A}^\top\mathbf{A}$  is invertible, then the solution is unique and given by  $(\mathbf{A}^\top\mathbf{A})^{-1}\mathbf{A}^\top\mathbf{b}$ , and is a special case of the SVD solution.

5. (a) The purpose is to show you that this simple algorithm actually works.
- (b) In the first iteration we have  $\mathbf{R}_0^\top = \mathbf{Q}_1\mathbf{R}_1$ . Therefore  $\mathbf{R}_0^\top\mathbf{R}_0 = \mathbf{Q}_1\mathbf{R}_1\mathbf{R}_0$ . The matrix  $\mathbf{Q}_1$  has orthonormal columns. The product of two upper triangular matrices,  $\mathbf{R}_1\mathbf{R}_0$ , is also upper triangular. The QR factorization tries to factor the matrix into the product of an orthonormal matrix and an upper triangular matrix, and  $\mathbf{Q}_1(\mathbf{R}_1\mathbf{R}_0)$  meets the requirement.
- (c) Since  $\mathbf{R}_0^\top = \mathbf{Q}_1\mathbf{R}_1$ , multiply from the left with  $\mathbf{Q}_1^\top$  we get  $\mathbf{Q}_1^\top\mathbf{R}_0^\top = \mathbf{Q}_1^\top\mathbf{Q}_1\mathbf{R}_1 = \mathbf{R}_1$ . Therefore  $\mathbf{R}_1\mathbf{R}_1^\top = \mathbf{R}_1\mathbf{R}_0\mathbf{Q}_1$ .
- In the second iteration  $\mathbf{R}_1^\top = \mathbf{Q}_2\mathbf{R}_2$ , so  $\mathbf{R}_1\mathbf{R}_1^\top = \mathbf{R}_2^\top\mathbf{Q}_2^\top\mathbf{Q}_2\mathbf{R}_2 = \mathbf{R}_2^\top\mathbf{R}_2$ .
- As a result,

$$\mathbf{R}_2^\top\mathbf{R}_2 = \mathbf{R}_1\mathbf{R}_1^\top = \mathbf{R}_1\mathbf{R}_0\mathbf{Q}_1 = \mathbf{Q}_1^\top\mathbf{Q}_1\mathbf{R}_1\mathbf{R}_0\mathbf{Q}_1 = \mathbf{Q}_1^\top\mathbf{R}_0^\top\mathbf{R}_0\mathbf{Q}_1.$$

This means if  $\mathbf{R}_2^\top\mathbf{R}_2\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}$ , then  $\mathbf{R}_0^\top\mathbf{R}_0(\mathbf{Q}_1\mathbf{v}) = \lambda(\mathbf{Q}_1\mathbf{v})$ , for all eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{R}_2^\top\mathbf{R}_2$ . In other words,  $\mathbf{B}_0 = \mathbf{R}_0^\top\mathbf{R}_0$  and  $\mathbf{B}_1 = \mathbf{R}_2^\top\mathbf{R}_2$  have the same set of eigenvalues.

- (d) With similar arguments, we see that  $\mathbf{B}_k$  and  $\mathbf{B}_{k+1}$  have the same set of eigenvalues. The QR factorization of  $\mathbf{B}_k$  is  $\mathbf{Q}_{k+1}(\mathbf{R}_{k+1}\mathbf{R}_k)$ , and  $\mathbf{B}_{k+1}$  is obtain by  $(\mathbf{R}_{k+1}\mathbf{R}_k)\mathbf{Q}_{k+1}$ . This indeed shows that  $\{\mathbf{B}_k\}$  is the sequence obtained by applying the QR iteration to  $\mathbf{B}_0 = \mathbf{R}_0^\top\mathbf{R}_0$ . Notice that  $\mathbf{B}_0$  also equals to

$$\mathbf{B}_0 = \mathbf{R}_0^\top\mathbf{R}_0 = \mathbf{R}_0^\top\mathbf{Q}_0^\top\mathbf{Q}_0\mathbf{R}_0 = \mathbf{A}^\top\mathbf{A},$$

and the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}^\top\mathbf{A}$  are the squared singular values of  $\mathbf{A}$ .