Brian Caffo

contents

Conditiona probability

Conditional densities

Baves' Ru

Diagnostic

DLRs

Mathematical Biostatistics BootCamp: Lecture 5, Conditional Probability

Brian Caffo

Department of Biostatistics
Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health
Johns Hopkins University

August 16, 2012

Table of contents

Brian Caffo

Table of contents

probability

densities

bayes Kui

Diagnostic tests

DLRs

- 1 Table of contents
- 2 Conditional probability
- 3 Conditional densities
- 4 Bayes' Rule
- **6** Diagnostic tests
- **6** DLRs

Bayes' Rule

Diagnosti tests

DLRs

Conditional probability, motivation

- The probability of getting a one when rolling a (standard) die is usually assumed to be one sixth
- Suppose you were given the extra information that the die roll was an odd number (hence 1, 3 or 5)
- conditional on this new information, the probability of a one is now one third

Conditional densities

Diagnosti

tests

DLRs

Conditional probability, definition

- Let B be an event so that P(B) > 0
- Then the conditional probability of an event A given that B has occurred is

$$P(A \mid B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}$$

• Notice that if A and B are independent, then

$$P(A \mid B) = \frac{P(A)P(B)}{P(B)} = P(A)$$

Conditiona densities

--

Diagnostic

DI B-

Consider our die roll example

•
$$B = \{1, 3, 5\}$$

•
$$A = \{1\}$$

$$P(\text{one given that roll is odd}) = P(A \mid B)$$

$$= \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}$$

$$= \frac{P(A)}{P(B)}$$

$$= \frac{1/6}{3/6} = \frac{1}{3}$$

Conditional densities

Diagnosti

..

Conditional densities and mass functions

- Conditional densities or mass functions of one variable conditional on the value of another
- Let f(x, y) be a bivariate density or mass function for random variables X and Y
- Let f(x) and f(y) be the associated marginal mass function or densities disregarding the other variables

$$f(y) = \int f(x,y)dx$$
 or $f(y) = \sum_{x} f(x,y)dx$.

• Then the **conditional** density or mass function given that Y = y is given by

$$f(x \mid y) = f(x, y)/f(y)$$

DLR

- It is easy to see that, in the discrete case, the definition of conditional probability is exactly as in the definition for conditional events where A = the event that X = x and B = the event that Y = y
- The continuous definition is a little harder to motivate, since the events X=x and Y=y each have probability 0
- However, a useful motivation can be performed by taking the appropriate limits as follows
- Define $A = \{X \le x\}$ while $B = \{Y \in [y, y + \epsilon]\}$

Conditional densities

Baves' Rul

Diagnostic

DLRs

Continued

$$P(X \le x \mid Y \in [y, y + \epsilon]) = P(A \mid B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}$$

$$= \frac{P(X \le x, Y \in [y, y + \epsilon])}{P(Y \in [y, y + \epsilon])}$$

$$= \frac{\int_{y}^{y+\epsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{x} f(x,y) dx dy}{\int_{y}^{y+\epsilon} f(y) dy}$$

$$= \frac{\epsilon \int_{y}^{y+\epsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{x} f(x,y) dx dy}{\epsilon \int_{y}^{y+\epsilon} f(y) dy}$$

Conditional

densities

Duyes Ital

tests

DLRs

$$= \frac{\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{y+\epsilon} \int_{\infty}^{x} f(x,y) dx dy - \int_{-\infty}^{y} \int_{-\infty}^{x} f(x,y) dx dy}{\epsilon}}{\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{y+\epsilon} f(y) dy - \int_{-\infty}^{y} f(y) dy}{\epsilon}}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{g_1(y+\epsilon)-g_1(y)}{\epsilon}}{\frac{g_2(y+\epsilon)-g_2(y)}{\epsilon}}$$

where

$$g_1(y)=\int_{-\infty}^y\int_{-\infty}^x f(x,y)dxdy$$
 and $g_2(y)=\int_{-\infty}^y f(y)dy.$

Conditional densities

Baves' Rul

Diagnostio tests

DLRs

- Notice that the limit of the numerator and denominator tends to g_1' and g_2' as ϵ gets smaller and smaller
- Hence we have that the conditional distribution function is

$$P(X \le x \mid Y = y) = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{x} f(x, y) dx}{f(y)}.$$

Now, taking the derivative with respect to x yields the conditional density

$$f(x \mid y) = \frac{f(x,y)}{f(y)}$$

probability

Conditional densities

Bayes' Rul

Diagnostic

DLR

Geometrically

- Geometrically, the conditional density is obtained by taking the relevant slice of the joint density and appropriately renormalizing it
- This idea extends to any other line, or even non-linear functions

Conditional densities

• Let
$$f(x,y) = ye^{-xy-y}$$
 for $0 \le x$ and $0 \le y$

Then note

$$f(y) = \int_0^\infty f(x, y) dx = e^{-y} \int_0^\infty y e^{-xy} dx = e^{-y}$$

Therefore

$$f(x \mid y) = f(x,y)/f(y) = \frac{ye^{-xy-y}}{e^{-y}} = ye^{-xy}$$

contents Conditional

Conditional densities

Bayes' Rule

--,--

DI P.

• Let $f(x, y) = 1/\pi r^2$ for $x^2 + y^2 \le r^2$

- X and Y are uniform on a circle with radius r
- What is the conditional density of X given that Y = 0?
- Probably easiest to think geometrically

$$f(x \mid y = 0) \propto 1$$
 for $-r^2 \le x \le r^2$

Therefore

$$f(x \mid y = 0) = \frac{1}{2r^2}$$
 for $-r^2 \le x \le r^2$

contents

probability Conditional

densities

Bayes' Rule

Diagnosti

DI Rs

- Let $f(x \mid y)$ be the conditional density or mass function for X given that Y = v
- Let f(y) be the marginal distribution for y
- Then if *y* is continuous

$$f(y \mid x) = \frac{f(x \mid y)f(y)}{\int f(x \mid t)f(t)dt}$$

• If y is discrete

$$f(y \mid x) = \frac{f(x \mid y)f(y)}{\sum_{y} f(x \mid t)f(t)}$$

contents

probability

densities

Bayes' Rule

Diagnostic tests

DLRs

- Bayes' rule relates the conditional density of $f(y \mid x)$ to the $f(x \mid y)$ and f(y)
- A special case of this kind relationship is for two sets A and B, which yields that

$$P(B \mid A) = \frac{P(A \mid B)P(B)}{P(A \mid B)P(B) + P(A \mid B^c)P(B^c)}.$$

Proof:

- Let X be an indicator that event A has occurred
- Let Y be an indicator that event B has occurred
- Plug into the discrete version of Bayes' rule

Duyes Ital

Diagnostic tests

DLR

Example: diagnostic tests

- Let + and be the events that the result of a diagnostic test is positive or negative respectively
- Let D and D^c be the event that the subject of the test has or does not have the disease respectively
- The **sensitivity** is the probability that the test is positive given that the subject actually has the disease, $P(+ \mid D)$
- The **specificity** is the probability that the test is negative given that the subject does not have the disease, $P(-\mid D^c)$

Bayes' Rul

Diagnostic tests

. . .

More definitions

- The positive predictive value is the probability that the subject has the disease given that the test is positive, P(D | +)
- The **negative predictive value** is the probability that the subject does not have the disease given that the test is negative, $P(D^c \mid -)$
- The prevalence of the disease is the marginal probability of disease, P(D)

probability

densities

Dayes Kui

Diagnostic tests • The diagnostic likelihood ratio of a positive test, labeled DLR_+ , is $P(+ \mid D)/P(+ \mid D^c)$, which is the

$$sensitivity/(1-specificity)$$

• The diagnostic likelihood ratio of a negative test, labeled DLR_- , is $P(-\mid D)/P(-\mid D^c)$, which is the

$$(1-sensitivity)/specificity$$

Bayes Rul

Diagnostic tests

DLRs

- A study comparing the efficacy of HIV tests, reports on an experiment which concluded that HIV antibody tests have a sensitivity of 99.7% and a specificity of 98.5%
- Suppose that a subject, from a population with a .1% prevalence of HIV, receives a positive test result. What is the probability that this subject has HIV?
- Mathematically, we want $P(D \mid +)$ given the sensitivity, $P(+ \mid D) = .997$, the specificity, $P(- \mid D^c) = .985$, and the prevalence P(D) = .001

Dayes Itul

Diagnostic tests

DLRs

Using Bayes' formula

$$P(D \mid +) = \frac{P(+ \mid D)P(D)}{P(+ \mid D)P(D) + P(+ \mid D^{c})P(D^{c})}$$

$$= \frac{P(+ \mid D)P(D)}{P(+ \mid D)P(D) + \{1 - P(- \mid D^{c})\}\{1 - P(D)\}}$$

$$= \frac{.997 \times .001}{.997 \times .001 + .015 \times .999}$$

$$= .062$$

- In this population a positive test result only suggests a 6% probability that the subject has the disease
- (The positive predictive value is 6% for this test)



densities

Bayes' Rul

Diagnostic tests

DLRs

More on this example

- The low positive predictive value is due to low prevalence of disease and the somewhat modest specificity
- Suppose it was known that the subject was an intravenous drug user and routinely had intercourse with an HIV infected partner
- Notice that the evidence implied by a positive test result does not change because of the prevalence of disease in the subject's population, only our interpretation of that evidence changes

Likelihood ratios

Using Bayes rule, we have

$$P(D \mid +) = \frac{P(+ \mid D)P(D)}{P(+ \mid D)P(D) + P(+ \mid D^c)P(D^c)}$$

and

$$P(D^c \mid +) = \frac{P(+ \mid D^c)P(D^c)}{P(+ \mid D)P(D) + P(+ \mid D^c)P(D^c)}.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{P(D\mid +)}{P(D^c\mid +)} = \frac{P(+\mid D)}{P(+\mid D^c)} \times \frac{P(D)}{P(D^c)}$$

ie

post-test odds of
$$D = DLR_+ \times \text{pre-test}$$
 odds of D

• Similarly, *DLR*_ relates the decrease in the odds of the disease after a negative test result to the odds of disease prior to the test.



Diagnosti

DLRs

• Suppose a subject has a positive HIV test

- $DLR_{+} = .997/(1 .985) \approx 66$
- The result of the positive test is that the odds of disease is now 66 times the pretest odds
- Or, equivalently, the hypothesis of disease is 66 times more supported by the data than the hypothesis of no disease

HIV example revisited

- Suppose that a subject has a negative test result
- $DLR_{-} = (1 .997)/.985 \approx .003$
- Therefore, the post-test odds of disease is now .3% of the pretest odds given the negative test.
- Or, the hypothesis of disease is supported .003 times that of the hypothesis of absence of disease given the negative test result