

Mathematical Biostatistics Boot Camp: Lecture 8, Asymptotics

Brian Caffo

Department of Biostatistics
Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health
Johns Hopkins University

September 19, 2012

Table of contents

Numerical limits

- Imagine a sequence
 - $a_1 = .9,$
 - $a_2 = .99,$
 - $a_3 = .999, \dots$
- Clearly this sequence converges to 1
- Definition of a limit: For any fixed distance we can find a point in the sequence so that the sequence is closer to the limit than that distance from that point on
- $|a_n - 1| = 10^{-n}$

Limits of random variables

- The problem is harder for random variables
- Consider \bar{X}_n the sample average of the first n of a collection of *iid* observations
 - Example \bar{X}_n could be the average of the result of n coin flips (i.e. the sample proportion of heads)
- We say that \bar{X}_n **converges in probability** to a limit if for any fixed distance the *probability* of \bar{X}_n being closer (further away) than that distance from the limit converges to one (zero)
- $P(|\bar{X}_n - \text{limit}| < \epsilon) \rightarrow 1$

The Law of Large Numbers

- Establishing that a random sequence converges to a limit is hard
- Fortunately, we have a theorem that does all the work for us, called the **Law of Large Numbers**
- The law of large numbers states that if X_1, \dots, X_n are iid from a population with mean μ and variance σ^2 then \bar{X}_n converges in probability to μ
- (There are many variations on the LLN; we are using a particularly lazy one)


Proof using Chebyshev's inequality

- Recall Chebyshev's inequality states that the probability that a random variable variable is more than k standard deviations from its mean is less than $1/k^2$
- Therefore for the sample mean

$$P\{|\bar{X}_n - \mu| \geq k \text{ sd}(\bar{X}_n)\} \leq 1/k^2$$

- Pick a distance ϵ and let $k = \epsilon/\text{sd}(\bar{X}_n)$

$$P(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| \geq \epsilon) \leq \frac{\text{sd}(\bar{X}_n)^2}{\epsilon^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n\epsilon^2}$$



Useful facts

- Functions of convergent random sequences converge to the function evaluated at the limit
- This includes sums, products, differences, ...
- Example $(\bar{X}_n)^2$ converges to μ^2
- Notice that this is different than $(\sum X_i^2)/n$ which converges to $E[X_i^2] = \sigma^2 + \mu^2$
- We can use this to prove that the sample variance converges to σ^2

Continued

$$\begin{aligned}\sum (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2 / (n-1) &= \frac{\sum X_i^2}{n-1} - \frac{n(\bar{X}_n)^2}{n-1} \\ &= \frac{n}{n-1} \times \frac{\sum X_i^2}{n} - \frac{n}{n-1} \times (\bar{X}_n)^2 \\ &\xrightarrow{p} 1 \times (\sigma^2 + \mu^2) - 1 \times \mu^2 \\ &= \sigma^2\end{aligned}$$

Hence we also know that the sample standard deviation converges to σ

Discussion

- An estimator is **consistent** if it converges to what you want to estimate
- The LLN basically states that the sample mean is consistent
- We just showed that the sample variance and the sample standard deviation are consistent as well
- Recall also that the sample mean and the sample variance are unbiased as well
- (The sample standard deviation is biased, by the way)

The Central Limit Theorem

- The **Central Limit Theorem** (CLT) is one of the most important theorems in statistics
- For our purposes, the CLT states that the distribution of averages of iid variables, properly normalized, becomes that of a standard normal as the sample size increases
- The CLT applies in an endless variety of settings

The CLT

- Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a collection of iid random variables with mean μ and variance σ^2
- Let \bar{X}_n be their sample average
- Then

$$P\left(\frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \leq z\right) \rightarrow \Phi(z)$$




- Notice the form of the normalized quantity

$$\frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{\text{Estimate} - \text{Mean of estimate}}{\text{Std. Err. of estimate}}.$$

Example

- Simulate a standard normal random variable by rolling n (six sided)
- Let X_i be the outcome for die i
- Then note that $\mu = E[X_i] = 3.5$
- $\text{Var}(X_i) = 2.92$
- $\text{SE } \sqrt{2.92/n} = 1.71/\sqrt{n}$
- Standardized mean

$$\frac{\bar{X}_n - 3.5}{1.71/\sqrt{n}}$$




Coin CLT

- Let X_i be the 0 or 1 result of the i^{th} flip of a possibly unfair coin
- The sample proportion, say \hat{p} , is the average of the coin flips
- $E[X_i] = p$ and $\text{Var}(X_i) = p(1 - p)$
- Standard error of the mean is $\sqrt{p(1 - p)/n}$
- Then

$$\frac{\hat{p} - p}{\sqrt{p(1 - p)/n}}$$

will be approximately normally distributed



CLT in practice

- In practice the CLT is mostly useful as an approximation

$$P\left(\frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \leq z\right) \approx \Phi(z).$$

- Recall 1.96 is a good approximation to the .975th quantile of the standard normal
- Consider

$$\begin{aligned} .95 &\approx P\left(-1.96 \leq \frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \leq 1.96\right) \\ &= P\left(\bar{X}_n + 1.96\sigma/\sqrt{n} \geq \mu \geq \bar{X}_n - 1.96\sigma/\sqrt{n}\right), \end{aligned}$$

Confidence intervals

- Therefore, according to the CLT, the probability that the random interval

$$\bar{X}_n \pm z_{1-\alpha/2}\sigma/\sqrt{n}$$

contains μ is approximately 95%, where $z_{1-\alpha/2}$ is the $1 - \alpha/2$ quantile of the standard normal distribution

- This is called a 95% **confidence interval** for μ
- **Slutsky's theorem**, allows us to replace the unknown σ with s

Sample proportions

- In the event that each X_i is 0 or 1 with common success probability p then $\sigma^2 = p(1 - p)$
- The interval takes the form

$$\hat{p} \pm z_{1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$$

- Replacing p by \hat{p} in the standard error results in what is called a Wald confidence interval for p
- Also note that $p(1 - p) \leq 1/4$ for $0 \leq p \leq 1$
- Let $\alpha = .05$ so that $z_{1-\alpha/2} = 1.96 \approx 2$ then

$$2\sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}} \leq 2\sqrt{\frac{1}{4n}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$$

- Therefore $\hat{p} \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ is a quick CI estimate for p