How various formats can deal with \LaTeX math

HPL

Jun 29, 2013

This document is translated to the format **pdflatex**. The purpose is to test math and doconce and various output formats.

Test 1: Inline math. Here is a sentence contains the equation $u(t) = e^{-at}$.

Test 2: A single equation without label. Here it is

$$u(t) = e^{-at}$$

Test 3: A single equation with label. Here it is as a one-line latex code,

!bt \begin{equation} u(t)=e^{-at} label{eq1}\end{equation} !et

looking like

$$u(t) = e^{-at} (1)$$

and as a three-line latex code:

!bt
\begin{equation}
u(t)=e^{-at} label{eq1b}
\end{equation}
!et

looking like

$$u(t) = e^{-at} (2)$$

This equation has label (??).

Test 4: Multiple, aligned equations without label. Only the align environment is supported by other formats than LaTeX for typesetting multiple, aligned equations. The code reads

```
!bt
\begin{align*}
u(t)&=e^{-at}\\
v(t) - 1 &= \frac{du}{dt}\
end{align*}
```

and results in

$$u(t) = e^{-at}$$
$$v(t) - 1 = \frac{du}{dt}$$

Test 5: Multiple, aligned equations with label. We use align with labels:

```
!bt
\begin{align}
u(t)&=e^{-at}
label{eq2b}\\
v(t) - 1 &= \frac{du}{dt}
label{eq3b}\end{align}
!et
```

and results in

$$u(t) = e^{-at} (3)$$

$$v(t) - 1 = \frac{du}{dt} \tag{4}$$

We can refer to the last equations as the system (??)-(??).

Test 6: Multiple, aligned equarray equations without label. Let us try the old equarray environment.

```
!bt
\begin{eqnarray*}
u(t)&=& e^{-at}\\
v(t) - 1 &=& \frac{du}{dt}\
end{eqnarray*}
!et
```

and results in

$$u(t) = e^{-at}$$

$$v(t) - 1 = \frac{du}{dt}$$

Test 7: Multiple, eqnarrayed equations with label. We use eqnarray with labels:

!bt \begin{eqnarray} u(t) &=& e^{-at} label{eq2c}\\ v(t) - 1 &=& \frac{du}{dt} label{eq3c} \end{eqnarray}

and results in

$$u(t) = e^{-at} (5)$$

$$u(t) = e^{-at}$$

$$v(t) - 1 = \frac{du}{dt}$$
(5)

Can we refer to the last equations as the system (??)-(??)?

Test 8: newcommands and boldface bm vs pmb. We have

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u} = \nu \nabla^2 \boldsymbol{u} - \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p,$$

and $\nabla u(x) \cdot n$ with plain old pmb. Here are the same formulas using \bm:

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u} = \nu \nabla^2 \boldsymbol{u} - \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p,$$

and $\nabla \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{n}$.