



Conclusions and closing



Conclusions



Participation

- **Around 150 participants from 23 countries:**
 - Australia, Bangladesh, Belgium, Canada, China, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Korea, Luxembourg, Mexico, Netherlands, New Zealand, Saudi Arabia, Singapore, Slovenia, Spain, Taiwan, UK, US
 - **Professional backgrounds:**
 - Academia, Broadcasting, Consultancy, Database host, Library, Government agency, International organization, Research, Professional society, Software development, Standards body
 - **First-time visitors about one-third of audience**
-



Present and future

- Strength of DCMI Community is mix of HTML and XML-based, level-1, interoperability and “semantic” RDF-based approaches
 - Level-1 interoperability has been hugely successful – it’s where most of the data is and what serves today’s needs
 - Today’s practice should serve as a basis as we move to wider interoperability in the future
-



Theory versus practice

- Healthy tension between the “aspirational” promise of Linked Data and the practical application
 - Moving towards more linking (e.g. people, subjects, places) based on needs
 - Issues of trust and persistence of vocabularies and good practice in linking to be solved
-



Next steps

- Communities and Task Groups to build on energy attracted and generated at DC-2010
 - DCMI to strengthen role in helping the community, providing guidance and training resources in support of interoperability
 - Consider role of DCMI as a trusted party for “reference vocabularies”
-



Thanks



Thanks for the help

Conference Committee

- Stuart Sutton (chair)
- Diane Hillman
- Mike Lauruhn
- Liddy Nevile
- Marcia Zeng

Logistics by ASIS&T

- Dick Hill
- Vanessa Foss
- Jan Hatzakos
- Sandra Holder
- Carline Hayes
- Letresha Vick

Student volunteers

- Sue Yeon Syn
- Steve Van Tuyl
- Riley Stoermer
- Jack Balzer
- Angela Presutto
- Ben DeCrease
- Margaret Dull



Thanks to our supporters





Thanks for your participation!