# **Dublin to Drupal Project Charter**

### 1.0 Project Overview

1.1 Name	Dublin to Drupal
1.2 Document Date	Jan 14, 2012
1.3 Stakeholders	Stuart Sutton, CEO, DCMI, Project Sponsor
	Joe Tennis, University of Washington, Advisor
	Mike Crandall, University of Washington, Advisor
	DCMI, Customer
4 4 Duois et Manager	Mark Cavina Hairwarity of Washington
1.4 Project Manager 1.5 Email Address	Mark Squire, University of Washington
and/or Website	http://dublintodrupal.org
1.6 Goal Statement	Develop and document a Drupal-based website prototype for the initiative to replace (and greatly enhance) its current website. This will move the website from Web 1.0 to Web 2.0 capabilities.
1.7 Description and/or Background (optional)	The Dublin Core Metadata Initiative currently faces some limitations within the current ten year-old structure of their globally dispersed and web-based community. Siloed communities that could and should benefit from more active collaboration lack sufficient capability due to the older infrastructure. This project will improve this situation by providing a prototype Drupal 7 website along with the underlying information architecture.
1.8 Objectives	This project will help the DCMI community move closer to an efficient collaborative website based on open-source technology.
1.9 Scope	Analyze the unique information needs of the DCMI community, present a plan to implement a comprehensive Drupal 7 website with best practices in information architecture and taxonomy. Provide recommendations and possible implementation practices for social media integration. Deploy prototype to the degree possible within the allotted time frame.
1.10 Schedule	Beginning of January - Create a blog, wiki, and listserv to facilitate community discussion.  February - Charter approval February Conduct user analysis and gather requirements. February - Review existing work and documentation. February - Content audit, user role review. February/March - Create draft low-fi prototypes to be iterated on. March - Develop work flow visualizations that map scenarios of use. April - Iterate on an initial taxonomy to enable tagging and faceted browsing of content. April - Develop an information architecture assessment and recommendation. April/May - Prototype this architecture within Drupal 7. May - Refine Drupal 7 prototype.

### **Dublin to Drupal Project Charter**

1.11 Time Reporting	None
1.12 Oversight and/or Reviews Planned	Stuart Sutton from DCMI and Mike Crandall and Joe Tennis from University of Washington will conduct periodic project reviews.

#### 2.0 Project Resources

2.1 Project Team	Sarah Davies, University of Washington, Developer Mark Squire, University of Washington, Project Manager Isaac Pattis, University of Washington, Account Manager/Taxonomy Lead
2.2 Cost Estimate	Poster production \$50 Graphic design \$200
2.3 Customer Involvement	Review at each milestone to make sure project is meeting DCMI goals and expectations.



### 3.0 Assumptions, Constraints, Dependencies, Impacts and Risks

3.1 Assumptions	DCMI will provide a sustainable hosting platform for final implementation.  DCMI will provide ongoing support and development of site.
3.2 Constraints	Limited financial resources.
	Limited project team time.
3.3 Dependencies	Dependent on DCMI infrastructure capable of supporting project long-term.
3.4 Impacts	User training will be required.
3.5 Risks	Scope creep as the project gains momentum.
	Failure of chosen hosting service for initial development.

### 4.0 Deliverables, Expected Outcomes

4.1 Key Deliverables	Charter, blog updates, wiki, Gantt Chart, documentation, roughed out and initial implementation of the hi-fi prototype website and information architecture.
4.2 Project Communication Plan	Status will be reported weekly via blog post beginning February 6th. Isaac will write short synopses of progress from the prior week and will provide a

## **Dublin to Drupal Project Charter**

	regular short-term projection of work to come. Each post will be accompanied by reminders emailed to members of the Dublin to Drupal list serve and wiki.
4.3 Success Criteria	1) An architecture that allows for the straightforward and streamlined contribution of content by all community members. This architecture will enable more effective content contribution by members of the community.  2) The creation of a "Metadata Ecology Watch" that clearly displays the activity of various communities involved in DCMI whose activities are currently not as visible as they could be. This feature would serve to increase community awareness and involvement. Methods toward this end will include better calendaring and social media inclusion.

### 5.0 Reviews and Approvals

5.1 Review History None