

# 1 Insertion Sort without Sentinel

---

## 1.1 Pseudocode

---

**Algorithm 1** Insertion Sort without Sentinel

---

```
1: for  $i = 2$  to  $n$  do
2:    $t \leftarrow A[i]$ 
3:    $j \leftarrow i - 1$ 
4:   while  $j > 0$  and  $A[j] > t$  do
5:      $A[j + 1] \leftarrow A[j]$ 
6:      $j \leftarrow j - 1$ 
7:   end while
8:    $A[j + 1] \leftarrow t$ 
9: end for
```

---

## 1.2 Analysis of Comparisons

### Worst Case

Worst case is when the array is reverse sorted, and every  $i$ th iteration of the loop must compare against all previous  $(i - 1)$  elements.

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=2}^n \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} 1 &= \sum_{i=2}^n (i - 1) = \sum_{i=2}^n i - \sum_{i=2}^n 1 \\ &= \frac{(n + 1)n}{2} - (1 - (n - 1)) \\ &= \frac{(n - 1)n}{2}\end{aligned}$$

### Best Case

Best case is when the array is already sorted, so there will just be 1 comparison per iteration of the outermost loop. (Same as Insertion Sort with Sentinel.)

$$\sum_{i=2}^n 1 = (n - 2) + 1 = n - 1$$

### Average Case

On average, the sentinel costs  $\sum_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{i}$  comparisons. This is simply just the Harmonic Series. In other words, the sentinel costs  $H_n - 1$  comparisons, so Insertion

Sort without Sentinel is

$$\frac{(n+4)(n-1)}{4} - (H_n - 1) \approx \frac{(n+4)(n-1)}{4} - \ln n$$

### 1.3 Analysis of Exchanges

Removing the sentinel adds no new exchanges so the best, worst, and average cases are all the same as Insertion Sort with Sentinel.