# 1 Congruences

## 1.1 Introduction to Congruences

---

1. **Introduction:** Suppose you wished to find $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $2x^2 - 8y = 11$. There is no solution because no matter what, $2x^2 - 8y$ is even and 11 is odd. What if even/odd does not work... what else might? $3x^2 - 15y = 8$, 3 divides the left side but not the right. If even/odd or divided by 3 works, there is no guarantee that it works $\underbrace{3x^2 - 15y = 9}_{\text{might work}}$. The idea of modular arithmetic formalizes all of this.

2. **Definition and Equivalencies:** For $a, b, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $m \geq 2$ we write $a \equiv b \bmod m$ which is read as "$a$ and $b$ are congruent modulo $m$." to mean that $m \mid (a - b)$. A few notes on this,

   - Equivalent to saying $m \mid (b - a)$.
   - Equivalent to saying $\exists c \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $mc = a - b$ or $\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $mc = b - a$ (definition of divisibility).
   - Equivalent to saying that if we divide $a$ and $b$ by $m$, the remainders are the same.

   **Ex.** $8 \equiv 18 \bmod 5$ in fact $8 \equiv 18 \equiv 3 \equiv -2 \equiv 23 \equiv \cdots \bmod 5$. Here with remainder 3. Also note $5 \mid (18 - 8)$ and $5 \mid (8 - 18)$.

   Even/odd is the same as $m = 2$.

   **CS Note.** In computer science we often define $\text{mod}(a, m) =$ remainder when $a/m = a\%m$. It is not uncommon to see $a = b \bmod m$ or $a \equiv_m b$ (strongly discouraged).

   Moving forward, please use $a \equiv b \bmod m$.

3. **Properties:**

   (a) **Theorem.** Congruence acts like an equals sign in the following sense:

   (i) $a \equiv a \bmod m$ (Reflexive).

   (ii) if $a \equiv b \bmod m$ then $b \equiv a \bmod m$ (Symmetric).

   (iii) If $a \equiv b \bmod m$ and $b \equiv c \bmod m$ then $a \equiv c \bmod m$ (Transitivity).

   *Proof.* $a \equiv b \bmod m \implies \exists x$ such that $a - b = mx$, $b \equiv c \bmod m \implies \exists y$ such that $b - c = my$. Then $a - c = (a - b) + (b - c) = mx + my = m(x + y)$ so $m \mid (a - c)$ so $a \equiv c \bmod m$. □

   (iv) If $a \equiv b \bmod m$ and $c \equiv \bmod m$ then $a \pm c \equiv b \pm d \bmod m$.

i.e. If we know $x \equiv y \bmod 5$ we can conclude $x + 7 \equiv y + 7 \bmod 5$ and also $x + 7 \equiv y + 12 \bmod 5$.

(v) If $a \equiv b \bmod m$ and $c \equiv d \bmod m$ then $ac \equiv bd \bmod m$

i.e. If we know $x \equiv y \bmod 5$ then we can conclude $17x \equiv 17y \bmod 5$ but we can also conclude $17x \equiv 12y \bmod 5$

(vi) If $a \equiv b \bmod m$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq 1$ then $a^k \equiv b^k \bmod m$. (Note: we can *not* use different powers!)

(b) **Division Issues.** First everything must be an integer, so does $2 \equiv 8 \bmod 6 \implies \frac{2}{3} \equiv \frac{8}{3} \bmod 6$ this is garbage because $\frac{2}{3}, \frac{8}{3} \notin \mathbb{Z}$. However, is $2 \equiv 8 \bmod 6 \implies \frac{2}{2} \equiv \frac{8}{2} \bmod 6$ true? No! because $1 \equiv 4 \bmod 6$ is not true. The point is even if division makes both sides integers there is no guarantee that the congruence is preserved!

**Theorem.** Suppose we have $ac \equiv bc \bmod m$ then $a \equiv b \bmod m/\gcd(m, c)$. In other words we may cancel an integer from both sides provided we divide the modulus by the gcd of the modulus and the integer we're canceling.

*Proof.* Suppose $ac \equiv bc \bmod m$, $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $mk = ac - bc$. So $mk = c(b - a)$,

$$\frac{m}{\gcd(c, m)}k = \frac{c}{\gcd(c, m)}(a - b)$$

Note that from a previous theorem we know that:

$$\gcd\left(\frac{m}{\gcd(c, m)}, \frac{c}{\gcd(c, m)}\right) = 1$$

Then the above statement says that $\frac{m}{\gcd(c,m)} \Big| \frac{c}{\gcd(c,m)}(a - b)$ which implies $\frac{m}{\gcd(\mathrm{c,m})} \Big| a - b$. Therefore, $a \equiv b \bmod \frac{m}{\gcd(c,m)}$. □

**Note.** Don't think division, think cancelation when dealing with modulo.
**Ex.** If we know that $4x \equiv 8y \bmod 50$ then we can conclude that $x \equiv 2y \bmod 50/\gcd(50, 4)$ and so $x \equiv 2y \bmod 25$ (think *cancel* the 4).
**Corollary.** If $ac \equiv bc \bmod m$ and $\gcd(c, m) = 1$ then $a \equiv b \bmod m$.
**Ex.** $15x \equiv 20y \bmod 27$, note that $\gcd(5, 27) = 1$ so we may cancel the 5. So $3x \equiv 4y \bmod 27$.

4. **Residue Classes:**

(a) **Introduction:** Suppose we are working $\bmod m = 5$. We know $0 \equiv 5 \equiv 10 \equiv -5 \equiv \cdots \bmod 5$, we also know $1 \equiv 6 \equiv 11 \equiv -4 \equiv \cdots \bmod 5$, all

of $\mathbb{Z}$ fall into one out of $m = 5$ classes.

$$\{\cdots, -15, -10, -5, 0, 5, 10, 15, \cdots\}$$
$$\{\cdots, -16, -9, -4, 1, 6, 11, 16, \cdots\}$$
$$\{\cdots, -13, -8, -3, 2, 7, 12, 17, \cdots\}$$
$$\{\cdots, -12, -7, -2, 3, 8, 13, 18, \cdots\}$$
$$\{\cdots, -11, -6, -1, 4, 9, 14, 19, \ldots\}$$

(b) **Definition.** For a given $m \geq 2$ there are $m$ congruence classes.

(c) **Definition.** From each we may pick a representative of the class so those would be $m$ representatives.
**Ex.** $m = 5 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ (the obvious one) or you could use $m = 5 : \{0, 2, 4, 6, 8\}$ (all even) or $m = 5 : \{0, 2, 4, 8, 16\}$ (all powers of 2, except 0).
**Ex.** $m = 5 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ (the obvious one) or you could use $m = 5 : \{0, 2, 4, 6, 8\}$ (all even) or $m = 5 : \{0, 2, 4, 8, 16\}$ (all powers of 2, except 0).

(d) **Definition.** The set of representatives $\{0, \cdots, m-1\}$ = the complete set of least non-negative residues.

In $\mathbb{R}$, $17^x = 48246319 \implies x = \log_1 7(48246319)$. Now consider $\mathbb{Z}$ mod 100, $6^x \equiv 88 \bmod 100$ is *significantly* harder to solve (the discrete logarithm problem).

(e) **Definition.** A complete set of residues (CSOR) mod $m$ is a set of $m$ integers, no two of which are congruent mod $m$.
**Ex.** $m = 5$: here are 3 CSORs: $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $\{0, 2, 4, 6, 8\}$, $\{0, 2, 4, 8, 16\}$, and more!

(f) **Theorem.** A subset $S$ of $\mathbb{Z}$ is a CSOR mod $m$ if and only if every integer is congruent to exactly one element in $S$.
**Ex.** $m = 4$: $S = \{0, 9, 14, 3\}$ some observations:

- $m = 4$ of them.
- No two are congruent to each other.
- Any $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ is congruent to exactly one of these.

(g) **Theorem.** If $\{r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_m\}$ is a CSOR mod $m$ and if $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\gcd(a, m) = 1$ then $\{ar_1 + b, ar_2 + b, \cdots, ar_m + b\}$ if also a CSOR mod $m$.

*Proof.* We will show that no two are congruent mod $m$. Suppose $ar_i + b \equiv ar_j + b \bmod m$ with $i \neq j$. Then $ar_i \equiv ar_j \bmod m \implies r_i \equiv r_j \bmod m$ because $\gcd(a, m) = 1$. Contradiction because the $r_i, r_j$ came from a CSOR mod $m$. □

**Ex.** $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ CSOR mod 5. Pick $a = 9, b = 42$, $\{0 \cdot 9 + 42, 1 \cdot 9 + 42, 2 \cdot 9 + 42, 3 \cdot 9 + 42, 4 \cdot 9 + 42\}$ is also a CSOR mod 5.

5. **Fast Arithmetic - Fast Exponentiation.** Suppose we wished to calculate $2^{503} \equiv a \bmod 5$, $a = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ but which one? Warning: Do not reduce exponent mod 5! $2^{503} \equiv 2^x \bmod 5$.

   (a) Look for patterns: $2^1 = 2 \bmod 5$, $2^2 \equiv 4 \bmod 5$, $2^3 \equiv 3 \bmod 5$, $2^4 \equiv 1 \bmod 5$, $2^5 \equiv 2 \bmod 5$. This last one is a repeat, so it repeats every 4. Note $503 = 4(125) + 1$ so

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{503} &\equiv (2^4)^{503} 2^3 \\ &\equiv (1)^{125} 2^3 \bmod 5 \\ &\equiv (1)8 \bmod 5 \\ &\equiv 3 \bmod 5 \end{aligned}$$

   (b) Use binary expansions. Suppose we want $3^{81} \equiv a \bmod 5$. $3^1 \equiv 3$, $3^2 \equiv 4$, $3^4 \equiv 1$, $3^8 \equiv 1$, $3^{16} \equiv 1$, $3^{32} \equiv 1$, $3^{64} \equiv 1$. Then $81 = 64 + 16 + 1$ so

$$\begin{aligned} 3^{81} &= 3^{64} 3^{16} 3^1 \\ &\equiv 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \\ &\equiv 4 \bmod 5 \end{aligned}$$

## 1.2 Solving Linear Congruences

---

1. **Introduction:** The idea is that we would ideally like to solve "equations" like $3x^2 + x \equiv 5 \bmod 72$, $8^x \equiv 12 \bmod 5$, etc... So let's go back to basics.
   **Definition:** A linear congruence has the form $ax \equiv b \bmod m$. We would like to find all possible solutions, whatever that means.
   **Process:**

   (a) Do solutions exist?

   (b) If so, can we find just one?

   (c) Can we find more?

   (d) When are they "different"

2. **Do Solutions Exist:** To say that $ax \equiv b \bmod m$ has a solution means, $\exists x$ such that $ax \equiv b \bmod m$ which in turn means $\exists x, \exists y$ such that $ax + my = b$ ($ax \equiv b \bmod m \implies m \mid (ax - b) \implies my = ax - b \implies ax - my = b$).
   This means that $b$ is a linear combination of $a, m$.
   **Recall:** {Linear combination of $a, m$} = { multiples of $\gcd(a, m)$}.
   Thus, $b$ is a linear combination of $a, m$ when $b$ =multiple of $\gcd(a, m)$, so $ax \equiv b \bmod m$ has solution(s) if and only if $\gcd(a, m) \mid b$.
   **Ex.** $2x \equiv 8 \bmod 18$ has solutions, because gcd(2,18)=2 | 8.
   $6x \equiv 8 \bmod 36$ does not, because gcd(6,36)=6 $\nmid$ 8.

3. **Finding One Solution:** We would like to solve $ax + my = b$, with $b$ as a multiple of $\gcd(a, m)$. Well, we can solve $ax' + my' = \gcd(a, m)$! But how? With the Euclidean Algorithm. Use the Euclidean Algorithm to solve $ax' + my' = \gcd(a, m)$ then multiple both sides to get $b$ on the right.
**Ex.** Consider $4x \equiv 6 \bmod 50$. We have gcd(4,50)=2 | 6 so solutions exist. First we use the Euclidean Algorithm to solve:

$$4x' + 50y' = 2$$

This gives us $4\underbrace{(-12)}_{x'} + 50\underbrace{(1)}_{y'} = 2$, we want to get a 6 on the right hand side so multiple by 3. So then we get $4\underbrace{(-36)}_{x} + 50\underbrace{(3)}_{y} = 6$, so $4(-36) \equiv 6 \bmod 50$.
Typically, we will use the least non-negative residue (add until you get a non-negative). So here the solution is $x_0 = (-36) + 50 = 14$.

4. **Finding All Solutions:** Suppose we have our one solution, $x_0 \implies ax_0 \equiv b \bmod m$. Suppose now $x$ is another, this implies $ax \equiv b \bmod m$. So we subtract the second from the first

$$\begin{aligned} a(x) - a(x_0) &\equiv b - b \bmod m \\ a(x - x_0) &\equiv 0 \bmod m \\ x - x_0 &\equiv 0 \bmod \frac{m}{\gcd(a, m)} \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$x = x_0 + k\left(\frac{m}{\gcd(a, m)}\right)$$

Warning! Solutions must look like this but are all things which look like this actually solutions?

We would like $ax \equiv b \bmod m$.

$$\begin{aligned} ax &\equiv a\left(x_0 + k\left(\frac{m}{\gcd(a, m)}\right)\right) \bmod m \\ ax &\equiv \underbrace{ax_0}_{b} + \underbrace{k\left(\frac{m}{\gcd(a, m)}\right)}_{\text{lcm}} \bmod m \\ ax &\equiv b + k\text{lcm}(a, m) \bmod m \\ ax &\equiv b \bmod m \end{aligned}$$

Therefore all solutons can be gained by doing, $x = x_0 + k\left(\frac{m}{\gcd(a,m)}\right), \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lastly, when are they unique mod $m$?

Consider that two of them with $k_1$ and $k_2$ are identical mod $m$ when:

$$x_0 + k_1\left(\frac{m}{\gcd(a,m)}\right) \equiv x_0 + k_2\left(\frac{m}{\gcd(a,m)}\right) \bmod m$$
$$k_1\left(\frac{m}{\gcd(a,m)}\right) \equiv k_2\left(\frac{m}{\gcd(a,m)}\right) \bmod m$$
$$k_1 \equiv k_2 \bmod \frac{m}{m/\gcd(a,m)}$$
$$k_1 \equiv k_2 \bmod \gcd(a,m)$$

Therefore, it follows that solutions will be congruent mod $m$ when $k$-values are congruent mod $\gcd(a,m)$. So solutions are not congruent mod $m$ by ensuring that the $k$-values are not congruent mod $\gcd(a,m)$. This can be done using $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, \gcd(a,m) - 1$.

5. **Summary Theorem:** The linear congruence $ax \equiv b \bmod m$ has solutions if and only if $\gcd(a,m) \mid b$. If it has solutions then it has $\gcd(a,m)$ unique solutions mod $m$. If $x_0$ is one of those then all are

$$x = x_0 + k \cdot \frac{m}{\gcd(a,m)}, \text{ for } k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, \gcd(a,m) - 1$$

**Ex.** $20x \equiv 15 \bmod 65$, $\gcd(20,65)=5 \mid 15$ so $\exists 5$ incongruent solutions mod 65. The Euclidean Algorithm gives us a solution $x_0 \equiv 56 \bmod 65$. So all solutions are then

$$x \equiv 56 + k \cdot \frac{65}{\gcd(20,65)} \bmod m, \text{ for } k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$$

$$x \equiv 56 + 13k \bmod 65, k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$$

That is $x \equiv 56, 4, 17, 30, 43 \bmod 65$.

**Note:** If $\gcd(a,m) = 1$ there exists only one solution mod $m$.

## 1.3 The Chinese Remainder Theorem

---

1. **Introduction:** How can we solve systems of linear congruences? For example, suppose we wished to find $x$ satisfying all of these:

$$x \equiv 2 \mod 6$$
$$x \equiv 4 \mod 7$$
$$x \equiv 3 \mod 25$$

Is it always possible to find a solution to something like this? No! However, under certain circumstances, yes!

2. **Chinese Remainder Theorem:** Suppose we have a system of the form

$$\begin{aligned} x &\equiv a_1 \mod m_1 \\ x &\equiv a_2 \mod m_2 \\ &\vdots \\ x &\equiv a_n \mod m_n \end{aligned}$$

If all the $m_i$ are pairwise coprime (so $\gcd(m_i, m_j) = 1, \forall i, j$), then $\exists!$ solution mod $M = m_1 m_2 \cdots m_n$. So for our example, since $6, 7, 25$ are all pairwise coprime, $\exists!$ solution mod $(6)(7)(25) = 1050$.

*Proof.* For each $i$ define $M_i = M/m_i$, then consider the equation:

$$M_i y_i \equiv 1 \mod m_i$$

Note that $\gcd(M_i, m_i) = 1$ [1]. because the $m_i$ are all coprime. Since $\gcd(M_i, m_i) = 1 \mid 1, \exists!$ solution mod $m_i$. Let $y_i$ be that solution. Take all $y_i$ and construct the integer:

$$x = a_1 M_1 y_1 + a_2 M_2 y_2 + \cdots + a_n M_n y_n$$

Claim that this is a solution to the system. Pick some $i$ and observe that

$$\begin{aligned} x &\equiv a_1 M_1 y_1 + a_2 M_2 y_2 + \cdots + a_n M_n y_n \mod m_i \\ &\equiv 0 + 0 + \cdots + a_i M_i y_i + \cdots + 0 \mod m_i \\ &\text{(because } M_i \equiv 0 \mod m_i \text{ when } j \neq i) \\ x &\equiv a_i(1) \mod m_i \\ x &\equiv a_i \mod m_i \end{aligned}$$

Claim $x$ is unique mod $M$. Suppose $x_1, x_2$ are both solutions to the original system.

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 \equiv a_1 \mod m_1 \text{ and } x_2 \equiv a_1 \mod m_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_1 \equiv a_n \mod m_n \text{ and } x_2 \equiv a_n \mod m_n \end{aligned}$$

From here we get,

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 \equiv x_2 \mod m_1 &\implies m_1 \mid (x_1 - x_2) \\ x_1 \equiv x_2 \mod m_2 &\implies m_2 \mid (x_1 - x_2) \\ &\vdots \\ x_1 \equiv x_2 \mod m_n &\implies m_n \mid (x_1 - x_2) \end{aligned}$$

[1] Recall: $ax \equiv b \mod m$ solutions if and only if $\gcd(a, m) | b$ $\exists \gcd(a, m)$ solutions.

Since the $m_i$ are all pairwise coprime, we get

$$m_1 m_2 \cdots m_n \mid (x_1 - x_2)$$

Thus, $x_1 \equiv x_2 \mod M$. □

3. **Example:** Take a look at

$$\begin{aligned} x &\equiv 2 \mod 6 \\ x &\equiv 4 \mod 7 \\ x &\equiv 3 \mod 25 \end{aligned}$$

This means that $M = (6)(7)(25) = 1050$ and that $M_1 = \frac{1050}{6} = 175$, $M_2 = \frac{1050}{7} = 150$, $M_3 = \frac{1050}{25} = 42$.

Solve for $y_1$:

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 y_1 &\equiv 1 \mod m_1 \\ 175 y_1 &\equiv 1 \mod 6 \\ 1 y_1 &\equiv 1 \mod 6 \\ y_1 &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Solve $y_2$:

$$\begin{aligned} M_2 y_2 &\equiv 1 \mod m_2 \\ 150 y_2 &\equiv 1 \mod 7 \\ 3 y_2 &\equiv 1 \mod 7 \\ y_2 &\equiv 5 \mod 7 \\ y_2 &= 5 \end{aligned}$$

Solve $y_3$:

$$\begin{aligned} M_3 y_3 &\equiv 1 \mod m_3 \\ 42 y_3 &\equiv 1 \mod 25 \\ 17 y_3 &\equiv 1 \mod 25 \\ y_3 &\equiv 3 \mod 25 \\ y_3 &= 3 \end{aligned}$$

Now for the solution,

$$\begin{aligned} x &\equiv (2)(175)(1) + (4)(150)(5) + (3)(42)(3) \mod 1050 \\ x &\equiv 3728 \equiv 578 \mod 1050 \end{aligned}$$

## 1.4 Factoring Using Pollard's Rho Method

## 1.5 Problems

1. Calculate the least positive residues modulo 47 of each of the following with justification:
   (a) $2^{543}$
   (b) $32^{932}$
   (c) $46^{327349287323}$
2. Exhibit a complete set of residues mod 17 composed entirely of multiples of 3.
3. Show that if $a, b, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $m > 0$ and if $a \equiv b \mod m$ then $\gcd(a, m) = \gcd(b, m)$.
4. Suppose $p$ is prime and $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies $x^2 \equiv x \mod p$. Prove that $x \equiv 0 \mod p$ or $x \equiv 1 \mod p$. Show with a counterexample that this fails if $p$ is not prime.
5. Show that if $n$ is an odd positive integer or if $n$ is a positive integer divisible by 4 that:
$$1^3 + 2^3 + ... + (n-1)^3 \equiv 0 \mod n$$
6. Find all solutions (mod the given value) to each of the following.
   (a) $10x \equiv 25 \mod 75$
   (b) $9x \equiv 8 \mod 12$
7. Solve each of the following linear congruences using inverses.
   (a) $3x \equiv 5 \mod 17$
   (b) $10x \equiv 3 \mod 11$
8. What could the prime factorization of $m$ look like so that $6x \equiv 10 \mod m$ has at least one solution? Explain.
9. Use the Chinese Remainder Theorem to solve:
   A troop of monkeys has a store of bananas. When they arrange them into 7 piles, none remain. When they arrange them into 10 piles there are 3 left over. When they arrange them into 11 piles there are 2 left over. What is the smallest positive number of bananas they can have? What is the second smallest positive number?
10. Solve the system of linear congruences:
$$\begin{aligned} 2x + 1 &\equiv 3 \mod 10 \\ x + 2 &\equiv 7 \mod 9 \\ 4x &\equiv 1 \mod 7 \end{aligned}$$