Project Status Health-Quality Criteria Report

Overall Status: On Track

Project Name: OWASP Broken Web Applications Project

July 17, 2014

Status Code Legend

- Healthy
- Warning signs

- High Risk issues: At risk, with a high risk of going off track
- Off Track: Potential problematic issues

Does the project meet the	This VM contains real broken applications. The applications are ready to use and deployed within the
health criteria: Mostly	VM.
	(available at http://sourceforge.net/projects/owaspbwa/files/)
	The project was updated last year September, 2013
	 Project leader is active, presenting at APPSEC 2014 and many other security conferences
	 The project has a friendly license (GPLv2, but this does not override the license each individual software package incorporates).
	Has a mailing list group (https://groups.google.com/forum/#!forum/owaspbwa)
	 It has a total of 634 downloads this month (Measured up to 17 July 2014)
Issues:	OWASP Wiki page lacks a good summary, but the brief explanation might do for this type of project
	No information on FAQ wiki page
	Road Map is very outdated (2011)
	No contributors appear in the wiki page
	The project is not vendor neutral. The sponsor logo appears on its wiki page.
Does the project meet	The project has a wiki for users to report issues (https://code.google.com/p/owaspbwa/issues/list)
Quality Criteria: Yes	 It has an open source repository: https://code.google.com/p/owaspbwa/source/checkout
	It has a complete User guide online: https://code.google.com/p/owaspbwa/wiki/UserGuide
	 It is very easy to deploy and use (Just download and configure the VM, and you are done)

Project Abstract

We consider this project in LAB status, with a potential to become flagship, but it needs better information on its wiki page for new users and the general public to understand what this tool does at first sight. Especially, the information on the OWASP wiki page is very outdated and incomplete. In order to find all the information regarding the user guide and the applications contained in the VM, users must navigate the google project wiki (https://code.google.com/p/owaspbwa/) to find out about it. Vendor neutrality also must be

1

observed here since a logo appears but says "this project is sponsored in part by: "logo sponsor". If it is partially sponsored, why does it
need so much exposure?