# MA 205 AUTUMN 2022 TUTORIAL SOLUTIONS

Siddhant Midha & Anurag Pendse

Last updated : August 8, 2022

## Contents

1 Tutorial Sheet 1

## TUTORIAL SHEET 1

1. Show for all complex numbers z and w that

$$||z| - |w|| \le |z - w|$$

### Sol.

First, let us see the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.1.** For any  $x \in \mathbb{C}$  we have that  $Re(x) \leq |x|$ .

*Proof.* Done in class.

Recall,  $|x|^2 = x\bar{x}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{C}$ . Thus, we have,

$$|z - w|^2 = (z - w)\overline{(z - w)}$$

$$= (z - w)(\overline{z} - \overline{w})$$

$$= z\overline{z} - z\overline{w} - w\overline{z} + w\overline{w}$$

$$= |z|^2 + |w|^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}(z\overline{w})$$

Where we use that  $x + \bar{x} = 2Re(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{C}$ . Also we have that

$$||z| - |w||^2 = |z|^2 + |w|^2 - 2|z||w|$$
$$= |z|^2 + |w|^2 - 2|z||\overline{w}|$$
$$= |z|^2 + |w|^2 - 2|z\overline{w}|$$

Where we used that |x||y| = |xy| and  $|x| = |\bar{x}|$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$ . Now since  $z\bar{w} \in \mathbb{C}$ , by the lemma above, we have

$$Re(z\bar{w}) \le |z\bar{w}|$$

$$2Re(z\bar{w}) \le 2|z\bar{w}|$$

$$-2Re(z\bar{w}) \ge -2|z\bar{w}|$$

$$|z|^2 + |w|^2 - 2Re(z\bar{w}) \ge |z|^2 + |w|^2 - 2|z\bar{w}|$$

$$|z - w|^2 > ||z| - |w||^2$$

Since  $|z-w|, ||z|-|w|| \ge 0$ , we get

$$|z - w| \ge ||z| - |w||$$

Alternatively, if we assume the triangle inequality (proved in class) we see that

$$|z| = |z - w + w| \le |z - w| + |w| \implies |z - w| \ge |z| - |w|$$

And similarly,  $|z - w| = |w - z| \ge |w| - |z|$ . Thus,  $|z - w| \ge ||z| - |w||$ .

**2.** Show for all complex numbers z and w that

$$|z + w|^2 + |z - w|^2 = 2(|z|^2 + |w|^2)$$

Sol.

As in the first question, we have

$$|z - w|^2 = z\bar{z} - z\bar{w} - w\bar{z} + w\bar{w}$$

And similarly,

$$|z+w|^2 = z\bar{z} + z\bar{w} + w\bar{z} + w\bar{w}$$

Combining the two, we get

$$|z + w|^2 + |z - w|^2 = 2(|z|^2 + |w|^2)$$

**3.** Show for all complex numbers  $z \neq 0$  that Re(z) > 0 if and only if Re(1/z) > 0. **Sol.** 

• Brute Force. Let  $z = a + \iota b$ . We have,

$$\frac{1}{z} = \frac{1}{a + \iota b}$$

$$= \frac{a - \iota b}{(a + \iota b)(a - \iota b)}$$

$$= \frac{a - \iota b}{a^2 + b^2}$$

$$= \frac{a}{a^2 + b^2} + \iota(\frac{-b}{a^2 + b^2})$$

Since  $a^2 + b^2 > 0$   $(z \neq 0)$ , we have

$$a > 0 \implies \frac{a}{a^2 + b^2} > 0$$

Similarly, one can let  $1/z = c + \iota d$  and repeat the exact procedure.

• Polar Form. If we let  $z = r \exp i\theta$  for some  $r, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$  and r > 0 (since  $z \neq 0$ ). Thus we have

$$\frac{1}{z} = \frac{1}{r} \exp{-\iota \theta}$$

Now using that fact that  $\exp \iota \alpha = \cos \alpha + \iota \sin \alpha \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , we see that

$$Re(z) = r \cos \theta$$
$$Re(1/z) = (1/r) \cos \theta$$

Since Re(z) > 0 we have  $\cos \theta > 0$  and we are done with the first implication. Again, we can repeat the process for 1/z and establish the reverse implication.

**4.** For z : |z| < 1 and w : |w| < 1 then show

$$|\frac{w-z}{1-\bar{w}z}|<1$$

Sol.

We have,

$$|z| < 1 \implies |z|^2 < 1$$
,  $|w| < 1 \implies |w|^2 < 1$   
 $\implies (1 - |z|^2)(1 - |w|^2) > 0$   
 $\implies 1 + |z|^2|w|^2 - |z|^2 - |w|^2 > 0$ 

Note that (skipping some familiar steps),

$$|1 - \bar{w}z|^2 = (1 - \bar{w}z)(1 - w\bar{z})$$
  
= 1 + \bar{w}zw\bar{z} - \bar{w}z - w\bar{z}  
= 1 + |w|^2|z|^2 - 2Re(z\bar{w})

And,

$$|w - z|^2 = |w|^2 + |z|^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}(z\bar{w})$$

Thus,

$$|1 - \bar{w}z|^2 - |w - z|^2 = (1 + |w|^2|z|^2 - 2Re(z\bar{w})) - (|w|^2 + |z|^2 - 2Re(z\bar{w}))$$
  
= 1 + |w|^2|z|^2 - |w|^2 - |z|^2 > 0

Where the last inequality was established above. Thus,

$$|1 - \bar{w}z|^2 - |w - z|^2 > 0$$

$$|1 - \bar{w}z|^2 > |w - z|^2$$

$$1 > |\frac{w - z}{1 - \bar{w}z}|^2 \implies |\frac{w - z}{1 - \bar{w}z}| < 1$$

**5.** Determine if f is holomorphic and then calculate f'(z)

1. f(z) = Re(z)

2. f(z) = |z|

3.  $f(z) = |z|^2$ 

4.  $f(z) = \frac{z+1}{1+|z|^2}$ 

#### Sol.

Since all of the functions here are defined on  $\mathbb{C}$ , one needs to check holomorphicity on  $\mathbb{C}$ . Recall, a function f is holomorphic on its domain if it is complex differentiable on each point in the domain and f' is continuous on the domain. Particularly, note that

holomorphicity  $\implies$  differentiability

Hence, if a function is not differentiable at even a single point in its domain, then it is not holomorphic on the domain.

For checking differentiability, we shall use two methods:

1. Checking the limit

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(z_0 + h) - f(z_0)}{h}$$

along simple directions of approach (note that  $h \in \mathbb{C}$ ).

- 2. Checking whether the Cauchy Riemann (CR) equations are satisfied. We know that if a function is complex differentiable at z, it will satisfy the CR equations at z. Thus, this serves as a necessary condition. (What would be a sufficient condition?)
- 1. Let  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ 
  - (a) First way: Consider two possible paths of approach z:  $Re(z) = Re(z_0)$  and  $z : Im(z) = Im(Z_0)$ . For the first path, we have the limit to be 0 and the limit along the second path is 1. Thus, it is complex differentiable nowhere.
  - (b) Second way: We have,  $f(z_0 = x_0 + \iota y_0) = Re(z_0) = x_0 + \iota 0$ . Thus, u(x,y) = x and v(x,y) = 0. One observes,

$$u_x = 1$$
,  $v_y = 0$ 

Thus, the CR equations are satisfied nowhere. Thus, it is complex differentiable nowhere.

Hence, not holomorphic.

2. Let  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ . We shall start with the second way here (why?). We have,  $f(z_0 = x_0 + \iota y_0) = |z_0| = \sqrt{x_0^2 + y_0^2 + \iota 0}$ . Thus,

$$\begin{split} u(x,y) &= \sqrt{x^2+y^2} \ , \, \nu(x,y) = 0 \\ u_x &= \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} \ , \, \nu_y = 0 \end{split}$$

Thus the CR equations are not satisfied at any  $z \neq 0$ . For z = 0, one can check that the partial derivative  $u_x$  does not exist. One can also use the limit method to see that the limit

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\frac{|h|}{h}$$

does not exist. Hence, complex differentiable nowhere and thus not holomorphic.

3. Similar as the last part for  $z \neq 0$ . But note that at z = 0 the CR equations are satisfied. This warrants for a use of the limit method. We have,

$$\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{|h|^2}{h} = \lim_{h\to 0} \bar{h} = 0$$

Thus, f is complex differentiable nowhere except at z = 0. Nonetheless, it is not holomorphic. Is it holomorphic at the point z = 0?

4. Upon simple algebra one sees  $u_x$  is not identically equal to  $v_y$ . Hence, not holomorphic. As a concrete example, consider z = 1. Then, consider the limits along the curves: |z| = 1 and Im(z) = 0. The limit along the first curve can be found trivially to be  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Along the second curve, we can differentiate it as a real function to obtain the limit to be  $\frac{-1}{2}$ . It can also be checked that CR equations are not satisfied at z = 1. Hence, not holomorphic.