Chapter 8 Security

A note on the use of these Powerpoint slides: We're making these slides freely available to all (faculty, students, readers). They're in PowerPoint from soy use the animations; and can add, motify, and defele slides (including this one) and slide content to suit your needs. They obviously represent a for of work on our part. In return for use, we only ask the following:

- If you use these slides (e.g., in a class) that you mention their source (after all, we'd like people to use our book).
 If you post any slides on a www site, that you note that they are adapted from (or perhaps identical to) our slides, and note our copyright of this material.

Thanks and enjoy! JFK/KWR

All material copyright 1996-2016
 J.F Kurose and K.W. Ross, All Rights Reserved



Computer Networking: A Top Down Approach

7th edition Jim Kurose, Keith Ross Pearson/Addison Wesley April 2016

Security 8-1

Chapter 8: Network Security

Chapter goals:

- understand principles of network security:
 - cryptography and its many uses beyond "confidentiality"
 - · authentication
 - message integrity
- security in practice:
 - · firewalls and intrusion detection systems
 - security in application, transport, network, link layers

Security 8-2

Chapter 8 roadmap

- 8.1 What is network security?
- 8.2 Principles of cryptography
- 8.3 Message integrity, authentication
- 8.4 Securing e-mail
- 8.5 Securing TCP connections: SSL
- 8.6 Network layer security: IPsec
- 8.7 Securing wireless LANs
- 8.8 Operational security: firewalls and IDS

What is network security?

confidentiality: only sender, intended receiver should "understand" message contents

- sender encrypts message
- receiver decrypts message

authentication: sender, receiver want to confirm identity of each other


message integrity: sender, receiver want to ensure message not altered (in transit, or afterwards) without detection

access and availability: services must be accessible and available to users

Security 8-4

Friends and enemies: Alice, Bob, Trudy

- well-known in network security world
- Bob, Alice (lovers!) want to communicate "securely"
- Trudy (intruder) may intercept, delete, add messages



Who might Bob, Alice be?

- ... well, real-life Bobs and Alices!
- Web browser/server for electronic transactions (e.g., on-line purchases)
- on-line banking client/server
- DNS servers
- routers exchanging routing table updates
- other examples?

8-6

There are bad guys (and girls) out there!

Q: What can a "bad guy" do?

A: A lot! See section 1.6

- eavesdrop: intercept messages
- actively insert messages into connection
- impersonation: can fake (spoof) source address in packet (or any field in packet)
- hijacking: "take over" ongoing connection by removing sender or receiver, inserting himself in place
- denial of service: prevent service from being used by others (e.g., by overloading resources)


Security 8-7

Chapter 8 roadmap

- 8.1 What is network security?
- 8.2 Principles of cryptography
- 8.3 Message integrity, authentication
- 8.4 Securing e-mail
- 8.5 Securing TCP connections: SSL
- 8.6 Network layer security: IPsec
- 8.7 Securing wireless LANs
- 8.8 Operational security: firewalls and IDS

Security 8-8

The language of cryptography



m plaintext message $K_A(m)$ ciphertext, encrypted with key K_A $m = K_B(K_A(m))$


cipher-text only attack: Trudy has ciphertext she can analyze two approaches: brute force: search through all keys known-plaintext attack: Trudy has plaintext corresponding to ciphertext e.g., in monoalphabetic cipher, Trudy determines pairings for a,l,i,c,e,b,o,

 chosen-plaintext attack: Trudy can get ciphertext for chosen plaintext

Security 8-10

Symmetric key cryptography

statistical analysis



symmetric key crypto: Bob and Alice share same (symmetric) key: $\ensuremath{\mathrm{K}_{\,\mathrm{S}}}$

- e.g., key is knowing substitution pattern in mono alphabetic substitution cipher
- Q: how do Bob and Alice agree on key value?

Security 8-1

Simple encryption scheme

substitution cipher: substituting one thing for another
 monoalphabetic cipher: substitute one letter for another

plaintext: abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz
 ciphertext: mnbvcxzasdfghjklpoiuytrewq

e.g.: Plaintext: bob. i love you. alice
 ciphertext: nkn. s gktc wky. mgsbc

Encryption key: mapping from set of 26 letters

to set of 26 letters

A more sophisticated encryption approach

- n substitution ciphers, M₁,M₂,...,M_n
- cycling pattern:
 - e.g., n=4: M₁,M₃,M₄,M₃,M₂; M₁,M₃,M₄,M₃,M₂; ...
- for each new plaintext symbol, use subsequent substitution pattern in cyclic pattern
 - dog: d from M_1 , o from M_3 , g from M_4

Encryption key: n substitution ciphers, and cyclic pattern

• key need not be just n-bit pattern

Security 8-13

Symmetric key crypto: DES

DES: Data Encryption Standard


- US encryption standard [NIST 1993]
- 56-bit symmetric key, 64-bit plaintext input
- block cipher with cipher block chaining
- how secure is DES?
 - DES Challenge: 56-bit-key-encrypted phrase decrypted (brute force) in less than a day
 - no known good analytic attack
- making DES more secure:
 - 3DES: encrypt 3 times with 3 different keys

Security 8-14

Symmetric key crypto: DES

– DES operation –

initial permutation
16 identical "rounds" of function application, each using different 48 bits of key final permutation



AES: Advanced Encryption Standard

- symmetric-key NIST standard, replaced DES (Nov 2001)
- processes data in 128 bit blocks
- 128, 192, or 256 bit keys
- brute force decryption (try each key) taking I sec on DES, takes I49 trillion years for AES

Security 8-16

Public Key Cryptography

symmetric key crypto


- requires sender, receiver know shared secret key
- Q: how to agree on key in first place (particularly if never "met")?

- public key crypto

- radically different approach [Diffie-Hellman76, RSA78]
- sender, receiver do not share secret key
- public encryption key known to all
- private decryption key known only to receiver

Security 8-17

Public key cryptography



Public key encryption algorithms	5	
requirements:		
need $K_B^+(.)$ and $K_B^-(.)$ such that		
$K_{B}(K_{B}^{\dagger}(m)) = m$		
2 given public key K _B ⁺ , it should be impossible to compute private key K _B ⁻		
RSA: Rivest, Shamir, Adelson algorithm		
-	Security 8-19	
Prerequisite: modular arithmetic		
x mod n = remainder of x when divide by n		
■ facts: [(a mod n) + (b mod n)] mod n = (a+b) mod n		
[(a mod n) * (b mod n)] mod n = (a b) mod n [(a mod n) * (b mod n)] mod n = (a*b) mod n		
• thus		
(a mod n) ^d mod n = a ^d mod n ■ example: x=14, n=10, d=2: (x mod n) ^d mod n = 4 ² mod 10 = 6 x ^d = 14 ² = 196 x ^d mod 10 = 6		
	Security 8-20	
	···· •	
RSA: getting ready		
 message: just a bit pattern bit pattern can be uniquely represented by an integer number 		
 thus, encrypting a message is equivalent to encrypting a number 		
example: • m= 10010001 . This message is uniquely represented by the decimal number 145.	у	
 the decimal number 145. to encrypt m, we encrypt the corresponding number, which gives a new number (the ciphertext). 		
V F 7		
	Security 8-21	

RSA: Creating public/private key pair

- I. choose two large prime numbers p, q. (e.g., 1024 bits each)
- 2. compute n = pq, z = (p-1)(q-1)
- 3. choose e (with e<n) that has no common factors with z (e, z are "relatively prime").
- 4. choose $\frac{d}{d}$ such that ed-1 is exactly divisible by z. (in other words: $ed \mod z = 1$).
- 5. public key is $(\underline{n,e})$. private key is $(\underline{n,d})$.

Security 8-22

RSA: encryption, decryption

- 0. given (n,e) and (n,d) as computed above
- I. to encrypt message m (< n), compute $c = m^e \mod n$
- 2. to decrypt received bit pattern, c, compute $m = c^d \mod n$


magic
$$m = (m^e \mod n)^d \mod n$$

Security 8-23

RSA example:

Bob chooses p=5, q=7. Then n=35, z=24. e=5 (so e, z relatively prime). d=29 (so ed-1 exactly divisible by z).

encrypting 8-bit messages.



٧/	\/hv	ر طمہ	s RS	Λ	vor	()
V	vny	' ασε	32 K3	\mathbf{A}	vor	K!

- must show that c^d mod n = m where c = m^e mod n
- fact: for any x and y: $\sqrt[x]{mod n} = x^{(y \mod z)} \mod n$
 - where n= pq and z = (p-1)(q-1)
- thus,

 $c^d \mod n = (m^e \mod n)^d \mod n$

= m^{ed} mod n

 $= m^{(ed \mod z)} \mod n^{-}$

 $= m^{I} \mod n$

= m

Security 8-25

RSA: another important property

The following property will be very useful later:

$$K_{B}(K_{B}^{+}(m)) = m = K_{B}^{+}(K_{B}^{-}(m))$$

use public key first, followed by private key use private key first, followed by public key

result is the same!

Security 8-26

Why $K_{B}^{-}(K_{B}^{+}(m)) = m = K_{B}^{+}(K_{B}^{-}(m))$?

follows directly from modular arithmetic:

 $(m^e \bmod n)^d \bmod n = m^{ed} \bmod n$ $= m^{de} \bmod n$ $= (m^d \bmod n)^e \bmod n$

Why is RSA secure?		
 suppose you know Bob's public key (n,e). How hard is it to determine d? essentially need to find factors of n without 		
knowing the two factors p and q • fact: factoring a big number is hard		
	Security 8-28	
RSA in practice: session keys		
 exponentiation in RSA is computationally intensive DES is at least 100 times faster than RSA 		
 use public key crypto to establish secure connection, then establish second key – symmetric session key – for encrypting data 		
session key, K _S Bob and Alice use RSA to exchange a symmetric key K _S		
• once both have K_s , they use symmetric key cryptograph	y ·	
	Security 8-29	
Chapter 8 roadmap		
8.1 What is network security?8.2 Principles of cryptography		
8.3 Message integrity, authentication8.4 Securing e-mail8.5 Securing TCP connections: SSL		
8.6 Network layer security: IPsec 8.7 Securing wireless LANs		
8.8 Operational security: firewalls and IDS		
	Security 8-30	

Authentication Goal: Bob wants Alice to "prove" her identity to him Protocol ap 1.0: Alice says "I am Alice" Failure scenario?? Security 8-31 **Authentication** Goal: Bob wants Alice to "prove" her identity to him Protocol ap 1.0: Alice says "I am Alice" in a network, Bob can not "see" Alice, so Trudy simply declares herself to be Alice Security 8-32 Authentication: another try Protocol ap 2.0: Alice says "I am Alice" in an IP packet containing her source IP address Failure scenario??

Authentication: another try


Protocol ap 2.0: Alice says "I am Alice" in an IP packet containing her source IP address



Security 8-34

Authentication: another try


Protocol ap3.0: Alice says "I am Alice" and sends her secret password to "prove" it.



Security 8-35

Authentication: another try

Protocol ap3.0: Alice says "I am Alice" and sends her secret password to "prove" it.



Authentication: yet another try


Protocol ap3.1: Alice says "I am Alice" and sends her encrypted secret password to "prove" it.



Security 8-37

Authentication: yet another try


Protocol ap3.1: Alice says "I am Alice" and sends her encrypted secret password to "prove" it.



Authentication: yet another try

Goal: avoid playback attack


nonce: number (R) used only once-in-a-lifetime ap4.0: to prove Alice "live", Bob sends Alice nonce, R. Alice must return R, encrypted with shared secret key



Authentication: ap5.0

ap4.0 requires shared symmetric key


• can we authenticate using public key techniques? ap5.0: use nonce, public key cryptography



Security 9-40

ap5.0: security hole

man (or woman) in the middle attack: Trudy poses as Alice (to Bob) and as Bob (to Alice)



Security 8-41

ap5.0: security hole

man (or woman) in the middle attack: Trudy poses as Alice (to Bob) and as Bob (to Alice)



difficult to detect:

- Bob receives everything that Alice sends, and vice versa. (e.g., so Bob, Alice can meet one week later and recall conversation!)
- problem is that Trudy receives all messages as well!

Chapter 8 roadmap 8.1 What is network security? 8.2 Principles of cryptography 8.3 Message integrity, authentication 8.4 Securing e-mail 8.5 Securing TCP connections: SSL 8.6 Network layer security: IPsec 8.7 Securing wireless LANs 8.8 Operational security: firewalls and IDS Security 8-43 Digital signatures cryptographic technique analogous to hand-written sender (Bob) digitally signs document, establishing he is document owner/creator. verifiable, nonforgeable: recipient (Alice) can prove to someone that Bob, and no one else (including Alice), must have signed document Security 8-44 Digital signatures simple digital signature for message m: ■ Bob signs m by encrypting with his private key $K_{\overline{B}}$, creating "signed" message, $K_{\overline{B}}(m)$ K Bob's private Bob's message, m $m, K_B(m)$ Dear Alice Bob's message, m, signed (encrypted) with his private key Oh, how I have missed you. I think of you all the time! ...(blah blah blah) Public key

Bob

Digital signatures

- suppose Alice receives msg m, with signature: m, K_B(m)
- If $K_B^+(K_B^-(m)) = m$, whoever signed m must have used Bob's private key.

Alice thus verifies that:

- Bob signed m
- no one else signed m
- Bob signed m and not m '

non-repudiation:

 \checkmark Alice can take m, and signature $K_{B}(m)$ to court and prove that Bob signed m


Security 8-46

Message digests

computationally expensive to public-key-encrypt long messages

goal: fixed-length, easy-to-compute digital "fingerprint"

 apply hash function H to m, get fixed size message digest, H(m).



Hash function properties:

- many-to-l
- produces fixed-size msg digest (fingerprint)
- given message digest x, computationally infeasible to find m such that x = H(m)

Security 8-47

Internet checksum: poor crypto hash function

Internet checksum has some properties of hash function:

- produces fixed length digest (16-bit sum) of message
- is many-to-one

But given message with given hash value, it is easy to find another message with same hash value:

ASCII format ASCII format <u>message</u> <u>message</u> IOU1 00.9 49 4F 55 31 30 30 2E 39 I O U 9 49 4F 55 <u>39</u> 30 30 2E 31 00.1 9 B O B 39 42 D2 42 9 B O B 39 42 D2 42 different messages — B2 C1 D2 AC **B2 C1 D2 AC** but identical checksums!

Digital signature = signed message digest

Bob sends digitally signed message:

Alice verifies signature, integrity of digitally signed message:

large message function function digital signature signature (encrypt)

Bob's digital signature (encrypt)

Bob's digital signature (encrypt)

Alice verifies signature, integrity of digitally signed message:

| large message message

msg digest KB(H(m))

Security 8-49

H(m)

equal


Hash function algorithms

- MD5 hash function widely used (RFC 1321)
 - computes 128-bit message digest in 4-step process.
 - arbitrary 128-bit string x, appears difficult to construct msg m whose MD5 hash is equal to x
- SHA-I is also used
 - US standard [NIST, FIPS PUB 180-1]
 - 160-bit message digest

Security 8-50

Recall: ap5.0 security hole

man (or woman) in the middle attack: Trudy poses as Alice (to Bob) and as Bob (to Alice)



Public-key certification


- motivation: Trudy plays pizza prank on Bob
 - Trudy creates e-mail order:
 Dear Pizza Store, Please deliver to me four pepperoni pizzas. Thank you, Bob
 - Trudy signs order with her private key
 - Trudy sends order to Pizza Store
 - Trudy sends to Pizza Store her public key, but says it's Bob's public key
 - · Pizza Store verifies signature; then delivers four pepperoni pizzas to Bob
 - Bob doesn't even like pepperoni

Security 8-52

Certification authorities


- certification authority (CA): binds public key to particular entity, E.
- E (person, router) registers its public key with CA.
 E provides "proof of identity" to CA.
 CA creates certificate binding E to its public key.

 - certificate containing E's public key digitally signed by $\mathsf{CA}-\mathsf{CA}$ says "this is E's public key"



Certification authorities

- when Alice wants Bob's public key:
 - gets Bob's certificate (Bob or elsewhere).
 - apply CA's public key to Bob's certificate, get Bob's public key




Chapter 8 roadmap

- 8.1 What is network security?
- 8.2 Principles of cryptography
- 8.3 Message integrity, authentication
- 8.4 Securing e-mail
- 8.5 Securing TCP connections: SSL
- 8.6 Network layer security: IPsec
- 8.7 Securing wireless LANs
- 8.8 Operational security: firewalls and IDS

Security 8-55

Secure e-mail

Alice wants to send confidential e-mail, m, to Bob.




Alice:

- generates random symmetric private key, K_S
 encrypts message with K_S (for efficiency)
- also encrypts K_S with Bob's public key
- sends both $K_S(m)$ and $K_B(K_S)$ to Bob

Secure e-mail


Alice wants to send confidential e-mail, m, to Bob.



- uses his private key to decrypt and recover K_S
- uses K_s to decrypt K_s(m) to recover m

Secure e-mail (continued)

Alice wants to provide sender authentication message integrity




- Alice digitally signs message
- sends both message (in the clear) and digital signature

Security 8-58

Secure e-mail (continued)

Alice wants to provide secrecy, sender authentication, message integrity.



Alice uses three keys: her private key, Bob's public key, newly created symmetric key

Security 8-5

Chapter 8 roadmap

- 8.1 What is network security?
- 8.2 Principles of cryptography
- 8.3 Message integrity
- 8.4 Securing e-mail
- 8.5 Securing TCP connections: SSL
- 8.6 Network layer security: IPsec
- 8.7 Securing wireless LANs
- 8.8 Operational security: firewalls and IDS

SSL: Secure Sockets Layer

- widely deployed security protocol
 - supported by almost all browsers, web servers
 - https
 - billions \$/year over SSL
- mechanisms: [Woo 1994], implementation: Netscape
- variation -TLS: transport layer security, RFC 2246
- provides
 - confidentiality
 - integrity
 - authentication

- original goals:
 - Web e-commerce transactions
 - encryption (especially credit-card numbers)
 - Web-server authentication
 - optional client authentication
 - minimum hassle in doing business with new merchant
- available to all TCP
- applicationssecure socket interface

Security 9-6

SSL and TCP/IP



Application

SSL

TCP


normal application

application with SSL

- SSL provides application programming interface (API) to applications
- C and Java SSL libraries/classes readily available

Security 8-62

Could do something like PGP:



- but want to send byte streams & interactive data
- want set of secret keys for entire connection
- want certificate exchange as part of protocol: handshake phase

Toy SSL: a simple secure channel

- handshake: Alice and Bob use their certificates, private keys to authenticate each other and exchange shared secret
- key derivation: Alice and Bob use shared secret to derive set of keys
- data transfer: data to be transferred is broken up into series of records
- connection closure: special messages to securely close connection

Security 8-64

Toy: a simple handshake



MS: master secret
EMS: encrypted master secret

Security 8-65

Toy: key derivation

- considered bad to use same key for more than one cryptographic operation
 - use different keys for message authentication code (MAC) and encryption
- four keys:
 - $K_c =$ encryption key for data sent from client to server
 - M_c = MAC key for data sent from client to server
 - K_s = encryption key for data sent from server to client
 - M_s = MAC key for data sent from server to client
- keys derived from key derivation function (KDF)
 - takes master secret and (possibly) some additional random data and creates the keys

Τo	y: data records
• wł TC	ny not encrypt data in cons CP?
•	where would we put the MAC until all data processed.
•	e.g., with instant messaging, how

- stant stream as we write it to
 - If at end, no message integrity
 - ow can we do integrity check over all bytes sent before displaying?
- instead, break stream in series of records
 - each record carries a MAC
 - each record carries a MAC
 receiver can act on each record as it arrives
- issue: in record, receiver needs to distinguish MAC from data
 - want to use variable-length records

length	data	MAC

Security 8-67

Toy: sequence numbers

- problem: attacker can capture and replay record or re-order records
- solution: put sequence number into MAC:
 - MAC = MAC(M_x, sequence||data)
 - note: no sequence number field
- problem: attacker could replay all records
- solution: use nonce


Security 8-68

Toy: control information

- problem: truncation attack:
 - attacker forges TCP connection close segment
 - one or both sides thinks there is less data than there
- solution: record types, with one type for closure
 - type 0 for data; type I for closure
- MAC = MAC(M_x, sequence||type||data)



Toy SSL: summary





Security 8-70

Toy SSL isn't complete

- how long are fields?
- which encryption protocols?
- want negotiation?
 - allow client and server to support different encryption algorithms
 - allow client and server to choose together specific algorithm before data transfer

Security 8-71

SSL cipher suite

- cipher suite
 - public-key algorithm
 - symmetric encryption algorithm
 MAC algorithm
- SSL supports several cipher
- negotiation: client, server agree on cipher suite
 - client offers choice
 - server picks one

common SSL	symmetric
ciphers	

- DES Data Encryption
- Standard: block

 3DES Triple strength: block
- RC2 Rivest Cipher 2: block
 RC4 Rivest Cipher 4: stream

SSL Public key encryption

RSA


Purpose 1. server authentication 2. negotiation: agree on crypto algorithms 3. establish keys 4. client authentication (optional)	
Security 8-73	
Real SSL: handshake (2) 1. client sends list of algorithms it supports, along with client nonce 2. server chooses algorithms from list; sends back: choice + certificate + server nonce 3. client verifies certificate, extracts server's public key, generates pre_master_secret, encrypts with server's public key, sends to server 4. client and server independently compute encryption and MAC keys from pre_master_secret and nonces 5. client sends a MAC of all the handshake messages 6. server sends a MAC of all the handshake messages	
Real SSL: handshaking (3) last 2 steps protect handshake from tampering client typically offers range of algorithms, some strong, some weak man-in-the middle could delete stronger algorithms from list last 2 steps prevent this last two messages are encrypted	
Country Of The	

Real SSL: handshaking (4)

- why two random nonces?
- suppose Trudy sniffs all messages between Alice & Bob
- next day, Trudy sets up TCP connection with Bob, sends exact same sequence of records
 - Bob (Amazon) thinks Alice made two separate orders for the same thing
 - solution: Bob sends different random nonce for each connection. This causes encryption keys to be different on the two days
 - Trudy's messages will fail Bob's integrity check

Security 8-76

SSL record protocol



record header: content type; version; length

 $\it MAC$: includes sequence number, MAC key $\it M_x$ $\it fragment$: each SSL fragment 2¹⁴ bytes (~16 Kbytes)

Security 8-77

SSL record format

1 byte	2 bytes	3 bytes	
content type	SSL version	length	
data			
MAC			

data and MAC encrypted (symmetric algorithm)

Real SSL connection everything henceforth is encrypted	handshake: ClientHello handshake: ServerHello handshake: Certificate handshake: ServerHelloDone handshake: ClientKeyExchange ChangeCipherSpec handshake: Finished ChangeCipherSpec handshake: Finished		
TCP FIN follows	application_data application_data Alert: warning, close_notify	Security 8-79	

Key derivation

- client nonce, server nonce, and pre-master secret input into pseudo random-number generator.
 - produces master secret
- master secret and new nonces input into another random-number generator: "key block"
 because of resumption: TBD
- key block sliced and diced:
 - client MAC keyserver MAC key

 - client encryption key

 - server encryption key
 client initialization vector (IV)
 server initialization vector (IV)

Security 8-80

Chapter 8 roadmap

- 8.1 What is network security?
- 8.2 Principles of cryptography
- 8.3 Message integrity
- 8.4 Securing e-mail
- 8.5 Securing TCP connections: SSL
- 8.6 Network layer security: IPsec
- 8.7 Securing wireless LANs
- 8.8 Operational security: firewalls and IDS

What is network-layer confidentiality? between two network entities: sending entity encrypts datagram payload, payload could be: • TCP or UDP segment, ICMP message, OSPF message all data sent from one entity to other would be hidden: • web pages, e-mail, P2P file transfers, TCP SYN packets • "blanket coverage" Security 8-82 Virtual Private Networks (VPNs) motivation: institutions often want private networks for security. • costly: separate routers, links, DNS infrastructure. • VPN: institution's inter-office traffic is sent over public Internet instead • encrypted before entering public Internet • logically separate from other traffic Security 8-83 Virtual Private Networks (VPNs) salesperson in hotel

router w/ IPv4 and IPsec


branch office

router w/ IPv4 and IPsec

headquarters

| data integrity | origin authentication | replay attack prevention | confidentiality | two protocols providing different service models: | AH - Authentication Header protocol | ESP - Encapsulation Security protocol | Two different packet forms | Transport mode | Tunnel mode

IPsec transport mode




- IPsec datagram emitted and received by end-system
- protects upper level protocols

Security 8-86

IPsec – tunneling mode






 edge routers IPsecaware • hosts IPsec-aware

I W	o irsec proto	ocois		
	JOC p. O	20.0		
	hentication Header		ty but not	
Ċ	 provides source authentication & data integrity but not confidentiality 		ty but not	
	 Encapsulation Security Protocol (ESP) provides source authentication, data integrity, and 		and	
ċ	onfidentiality	• ,	, unc	
• 11	nore widely used than	ΑΠ		
			Security 8-88	
_				
Fou	r combinatio	ons are possi	ible!	
			1	
	Host mode	Host mode		
	with AH	with ESP		
	Turn not monda	Turnalmanda		
	Tunnel mode with AH	Tunnel mode with ESP		
			J	
		most commo		
		most importa	ınt	
			Security 8-89	
		(5.4.)		
Secu	rity associati	ons (SAs)		
• bef	ore sending data, "s	security association	n (SA)"	
est	ablished from sendi SAs are simplex: for on	ng to receiving enti	ity	
enc	ling, receiving entitl		formation	
	out SA recall: TCP endpoints a	also maintain state info)	
• 1	P is connectionless; IPs	sec is connection-orie	nted!	
	w many SAs in VPN ce, and n traveling s		branch	
	J			

Example SA from R1 to R2



R1 stores for SA:

- 32-bit SA identifier: Security Parameter Index (SPI)
- origin SA interface (200.168.1.100)
- destination SA interface (193.68.2.23)
- type of encryption used (e.g., 3DES with CBC)
- encryption key
- type of integrity check used (e.g., HMAC with MD5)
- authentication key

Security 8-91


Security Association Database (SAD)

- endpoint holds SA state in security association database (SAD), where it can locate them during processing.
- with n salespersons, 2 + 2n SAs in R1's SAD
- when sending IPsec datagram, R1 accesses SAD to determine how to process datagram.
- when IPsec datagram arrives to R2, R2 examines SPI in IPsec datagram, indexes SAD with SPI, and processes datagram accordingly.


Security 8-92

IPsec datagram

focus for now on tunnel mode with ESP



What happens?




R1: convert original datagram to IPsec datagram

- appends to back of original datagram (which includes original header fields!) an "ESP trailer" field.
- encrypts result using algorithm & key specified by SA.
- appends to front of this encrypted quantity the "ESP header, creating "enchilada".
- creates authentication MAC over the whole enchilada, using algorithm and key specified in SA;
- appends MAC to back of enchilada, forming payload;
- creates brand new IP header, with all the classic IPv4 header fields, which it appends before payload

Security 8-95

Inside the enchilada:



- ESP trailer: Padding for block ciphers
- ESP header:
 - SPI, so receiving entity knows what to do
 - Sequence number, to thwart replay attacks
- MAC in ESP auth field is created with shared secret key

IPsec sequence numbers	
 for new SA, sender initializes seq. # to 0 each time datagram is sent on SA: sender increments seq # counter places value in seq # field 	
 goal: prevent attacker from sniffing and replaying a packet receipt of duplicate, authenticated IP packets may disrupt service 	
 method: destination checks for duplicates doesn't keep track of all received packets; instead uses a window 	
Security 8-97	
Security Policy Database (SPD)	
 policy: For a given datagram, sending entity needs 	
to know if it should use IPsec needs also to know which SA to use may use: source and destination IP address; protocol number	
info in SPD indicates "what" to do with arriving datagram	
info in SAD indicates "how" to do it	
Security 8-98	
Summary: IPsec services	
suppose Trudy sits somewhere between R1 and R2. she doesn't know the keys.	
 will Trudy be able to see original contents of datagram? How about source, dest IP address, transport protocol, application port? 	
 flip bits without detection? masquerade as R1 using R1's IP address? replay a datagram? 	

IKE: Internet Key Exchange	
 previous examples: manual establishment of IPsec SAs in IPsec endpoints: Example SA SPI: 12345 	
Source IP: 200.168.1.100 Dest IP: 193.68.2.23 Protocol: ESP Encryption algorithm: 3DES-cbc	
HMAC algorithm: MD5 Encryption key: 0x7aeaca HMAC key:0xc0291f manual keying is impractical for VPN with 100s of	
endpoints instead use IPsec IKE (Internet Key Exchange)	
Security 8-100	
IKE: PSK and PKI	
 authentication (prove who you are) with either pre-shared secret (PSK) or 	
 with PKI (pubic/private keys and certificates). 	
 PSK: both sides start with secret run IKE to authenticate each other and to generate IPsec SAs (one in each direction), including encryption, authentication keys 	
 PKI: both sides start with public/private key pair, certificate 	
 run IKE to authenticate each other, obtain IPsec SAs (one in each direction). 	
similar with handshake in SSL.	
Security 8-101	
IKE phases	
 IKE has two phases phase I: establish bi-directional IKE SA note: IKE SA different from IPsec SA 	
 aka ISAKMP security association phase 2: ISAKMP is used to securely negotiate IPsec pair of SAs 	
 phase I has two modes: aggressive mode and main mode 	
 aggressive mode uses fewer messages main mode provides identity protection and is more flexible 	

IPsec summary	
 IKE message exchange for algorithms, secret keys, SPI numbers 	
either AH or ESP protocol (or both)	
AH provides integrity, source authentication	
ESP protocol (with AH) additionally provides	
encryption	
 IPsec peers can be two end systems, two routers/firewalls, or a router/firewall and an end 	
system	
Security 8-103	
Chapter 8 roadmap	
8.1 What is network security?	
8.2 Principles of cryptography	
8.3 Message integrity	
8.4 Securing e-mail 8.5 Securing TCP connections: SSL	
8.6 Network layer security: IPsec	
8.7 Securing wireless LANs	
8.8 Operational security: firewalls and IDS	
Security 8-104	
WEP design goals	
 symmetric key crypto confidentiality 	
• end host authorization	
data integrity	
 self-synchronizing: each packet separately encrypted given encrypted packet and key, can decrypt; can 	
continue to decrypt packets when preceding packet was	
lost (unlike Cipher Block Chaining (CBC) in block ciphers)	
Efficient	
implementable in hardware or software	
Security 8-105	

Review: symmetric stream ciphers



- combine each byte of keystream with byte of plaintext to get ciphertext:
 - m(i) = ith unit of message
 - ks(i) = ith unit of keystream
 - c(i) = ith unit of ciphertext
 - $c(i) = ks(i) \oplus m(i) (\oplus = exclusive or)$
 - m(i) = ks(i) ⊕ c(i)
- WEP uses RC4

Security 8-106

Stream cipher and packet independence

- recall design goal: each packet separately encrypted
- if for frame n+1, use keystream from where we left off for frame n, then each frame is not separately encrypted
 - need to know where we left off for packet n
- WEP approach: initialize keystream with key + new IV for each packet:



Security 8-107


WEP encryption (I)

- sender calculates Integrity Check Value (ICV, four-byte hash/CRC over data
- each side has 104-bit shared key
- sender creates 24-bit initialization vector (IV), appends to key: gives 128-bit key
- sender also appends keyID (in 8-bit field)
- 128-bit key inputted into pseudo random number generator to get keystream
- data in frame + ICV is encrypted with RC4:
 - bytes of keystream are XORed with bytes of data & ICV
 IV & keyID are appended to encrypted data to create payload

 - payload inserted into 802.11 frame



WEP encryption (2)



new IV for each frame

Security 8-109

WEP decryption overview



MAC pavload


- receiver extracts IV
- inputs IV, shared secret key into pseudo random generator, gets keystream
- XORs keystream with encrypted data to decrypt data + ICV
- verifies integrity of data with ICV
 - note: message integrity approach used here is different from MAC (message authentication code) and signatures (using PKI).

Security 8-110

End-point authentication w/ nonce

Nonce: number (R) used only once —in-a-lifetime

How to prove Alice "live": Bob sends Alice nonce, R. Alice
must return R, encrypted with shared secret key




WEP authentication		
(C)		
authentication request nonce (128 bytes)		
nonce encrypted shared key	_	
	_	
success if decrypted value equals nonce		
Notes: not all APs do it, even if WEP is being used AP indicates if authentication is necessary in beacon frame done before association	_	
Security	8-112	
2002 LL M/FD 2000 At 200		
Breaking 802.11 WEP encryption		
ecurity hole: 24-bit IV, one IV per frame, -> IV's eventually reused	_	
IV transmitted in plaintext -> IV reuse detected ttack:		
• Trudy causes Alice to encrypt known plaintext $d_1 \ d_2 \ d_3 \ d_4 \ \dots$	_	
 Trudy sees: c_i = d_i XOR k_i^{IV} 	_	
 Trudy knows c_i d_i, so can compute k_i^{IV} Trudy knows encrypting key sequence k_i^{IV} k₂^{IV} k₃^{IV} 	_	
Next time IV is used, Trudy can decrypt!		
Security	8-113	
802.11i: improved security	_	
numerous (stronger) forms of encryption possibleprovides key distribution	_	
 uses authentication server separate from access point 	_	
	_	
	_	
	_	
Security	0.114	

802.11i: four phases of operation

STA: client station	AP: access point wired netwo	↓	AS: Authentication server
Discovery of security capability	ties		
	ually authenticate, togethe Key (MK). AP serves as		
STA derives Pairwise Master Key (PMK)	•	3 AS derive same PN sends to	IK,
STA, AP use PMK to Temporal Key (TK) in encryption, integrity			Security 8-115

EAP: extensible authentication protocol

- EAP: end-end client (mobile) to authentication server protocol
- EAP sent over separate "links"
 - mobile-to-AP (EAP over LAN)
 - AP to authentication server (RADIUS over UDP)



Security 8-116


Chapter 8 roadmap

- 8.1 What is network security?
- 8.2 Principles of cryptography
- 8.3 Message integrity
- 8.4 Securing e-mail
- 8.5 Securing TCP connections: SSL
- 8.6 Network layer security: IPsec
- 8.7 Securing wireless LANs
- 8.8 Operational security: firewalls and IDS

Firewalls

- firewall

isolates organization's internal net from larger Internet, allowing some packets to pass, blocking others



Security 8-118

Firewalls: why

prevent denial of service attacks:

 SYN flooding attacker establishes many bogus TCP connections, no resources left for "real" connections

prevent illegal modification/access of internal data

• e.g., attacker replaces CIA's homepage with something else

allow only authorized access to inside network

set of authenticated users/hosts

three types of firewalls:

- stateless packet filters
- stateful packet filters
- application gateways

Security 8-119



- internal network connected to Internet via router firewall
- router filters packet-by-packet, decision to forward/drop packet based on:
 - source IP address, destination IP address
 - TCP/UDP source and destination port numbers
 - ICMP message type
 - TCP SYN and ACK bits

Stateless packet filtering: example

- example 1: block incoming and outgoing datagrams with IP protocol field = 17 and with either source or dest port = 23
 - result: all incoming, outgoing UDP flows and telnet connections are blocked
- example 2: block inbound TCP segments with ACK=0.
 - result: prevents external clients from making TCP connections with internal clients, but allows internal clients to connect to outside.

Security 8-121

Stateless packet filtering: more examples

Policy	Firewall Setting
No outside Web access.	Drop all outgoing packets to any IP address, port 80
No incoming TCP connections, except those for institution's public Web server only.	Drop all incoming TCP SYN packets to any IP except 130.207.244.203, port 80
Prevent Web-radios from eating up the available bandwidth.	Drop all incoming UDP packets - except DNS and router broadcasts.
Prevent your network from being used for a smurf DoS attack.	Drop all ICMP packets going to a "broadcast" address (e.g. 130.207.255.255).
Prevent your network from being tracerouted	Drop all outgoing ICMP TTL expired traffic

Security 8-122

Access Control Lists

ACL: table of rules, applied top to bottom to incoming packets: (action, condition) pairs: looks like OpenFlow forwarding (Ch. 4)!

action	source address	dest address	protocol	source port	dest port	flag bit
allow	222.22/16	outside of 222.22/16	TCP	> 1023	80	any
allow	outside of 222.22/16	222.22/16	TCP	80	> 1023	ACK
allow	222.22/16	outside of 222.22/16	UDP	> 1023	53	-
allow	outside of 222.22/16	222.22/16	UDP	53	> 1023	
deny	all	all	all	all	all	all

Stateful packet filtering

- stateless packet filter: heavy handed tool
 - admits packets that "make no sense," e.g., dest port = 80, ACK bit set, even though no TCP connection established:

action	source address	dest address	protocol	source port	dest port	flag bit
allow	outside of 222.22/16	222.22/16	TCP	80	> 1023	ACK

- stateful packet filter: track status of every TCP connection
 - track connection setup (SYN), teardown (FIN): determine whether incoming, outgoing packets "makes sense"
 - timeout inactive connections at firewall: no longer admit packets

Security 8-124

Stateful packet filtering


ACL augmented to indicate need to check connection state table before admitting packet

action	source address	dest address	proto	source port	dest port	flag bit	check conxion
allow	222.22/16	outside of 222.22/16	TCP	> 1023	80	any	
allow	outside of 222.22/16	222.22/16	TCP	80	> 1023	ACK	Х
allow	222.22/16	outside of 222.22/16	UDP	> 1023	53		
allow	outside of 222.22/16	222.22/16	UDP	53	> 1023		X
deny	all	all	all	all	all	all	

Security 8-125

Application gateways

- filter packets on application data as well as on IP/TCP/UDP fields.
- example: allow select internal users to telnet outside



- $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$. require all telnet users to telnet through gateway.
- 2. for authorized users, gateway sets up telnet connection to dest host. Gateway relays data between 2 connections
- router filter blocks all telnet connections not originating from gateway.

Limitations of firewalls, gateways

- IP spoofing: router can't know if data "really" comes from claimed source
- if multiple app's. need special treatment, each has own app. gateway
- client software must know how to contact gateway.
 - e.g., must set IP address of proxy in Web browser
- filters often use all or nothing policy for UDP
- tradeoff: degree of communication with outside world, level of security
- many highly protected sites still suffer from attacks

Security 8-127


Intrusion detection systems

- packet filtering:
 - operates on TCP/IP headers only
 - no correlation check among sessions
- IDS: intrusion detection system
 - deep packet inspection: look at packet contents (e.g., check character strings in packet against database of known virus, attack strings)
 - examine correlation among multiple packets
 - port scanning
 - network mapping
 - DoS attack

Security 8-128

Intrusion detection systems

multiple IDSs: different types of checking at different locations $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$



Description (Summary) basic techniques..... • cryptography (symmetric and public) • message integrity • end-point authentication used in many different security scenarios • secure email • secure transport (SSL) • IP sec • 802.11 operational security: firewalls and IDS