Sweet.js - Hygienic Macros for JavaScript

Tim Disney Nate Faubion David Herman UC Santa Cruz Mozilla

1. Introduction

Sweet.js is a new hygienic macro system for JavaScript.

Macros systems have a long history in the design of extensible programming languages going back at least to lisp as a tool to provide programmers syntactic flexibility.

While powerful macro systems have been used extensively in lisp derived languages there has been considerable less movement for macros systems for languages with an expression based syntax such as JavaScript. This is due to a variety of technical reasons that have held back macro systems in expression based languages which we address in this paper.

Recently the Honu project has shown how to overcome some of the existing challenges in developing a macro system for expression based language. The Honu technique was designed for an idealized JavaScript like language. In this paper we show how to extend the ideas of Honu for full JavaScript and present additional techniques that target expression based languages.

The design of sweet.js attempts to overcome the following technical challenges:

- a correct implementation of read that structures the token stream before expansion begins
- parser class annotation (e.g. :expr) in patterns to allow macro authors easier declaration of a macro shape
- operator overloading
- · infix macros
- the invoke primitive to allow custom parser classes and more powerful matching

2. Overview

TODO: syntax, main features etc...

[Copyright notice will appear here once 'preprint' option is removed.]

3. Read

The syntax of JavaScript presents a challenge to correctly implement the critical read function. This challenge is not present in Honu because their language is an idealized syntax that misses the problematic interaction of delimiters and regular expression literals.

TODO: motivate read with examples etc.

$$e ::= x | /x/$$

| $e(e)$
| if $(e)e$

3.1 Proof of read

We first define a simplified grammar that captures just the essential complexity we want to address, namely the interaction between the division symbol / and the regular expression literal /x/.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \textit{Token} & ::= & x \mid / \\ \textit{TokenTree} & ::= & x \mid / \mid /x/ \end{array}$$

We also define Expr' with the same productions as Expr but defined over $TokenTree^*$ instead of $Token^*$. So the terminal /x/ in the second production of Expr is three distinct tokens (/, x, and /) while it is a single token in Expr'.

We define the grammar of a $Program_e$ as a function from Token to Expr.

$$Program_e :: Token \rightarrow Expr$$

Theorem 1 (Parse Equivalence).

$$\forall s.s \in Program_e \Leftrightarrow read(s, \epsilon, b) \in Program'_e$$

Proof.

By showing parse equivalence for each non-terminal in the grammar. Details in the appendix. \Box

The grammar we present is a simplified version of the grammar specified in the ES5 specification. The simplification we are making do not affect the read algorithm, and only serve to shorten its presentation. In particular, functions can only have a single parameter, function bodies are not allowed to be empty and a few other minor changes. We only address the = assignment operator and only the / and + binary operators.

Figure 1: Simplified ES Grammar

```
PrimaryExpression<sub>x</sub>
PrimaryExpression<sub>/x/</sub>
                                                          / · x · /
PrimaryExpression_{\{x:e\}}
                                                          {x: AssignmentExpression<sub>e</sub>}
                                                  ::=
PrimaryExpression<sub>(e)</sub>
                                                           (AssignmentExpression<sub>e</sub>)
MemberExpression_e
                                                          PrimaryExpression<sub>e</sub>
                                                  ::=
{\it MemberExpression}_e
                                                          Function Expression_e \\
                                                   ::=
MemberExpression_{e.x}
                                                          MemberExpression<sub>e</sub> . x
                                                  ::=
CallExpression_{e (e')}
                                                          MemberExpression_e (AssignmentExpression_{e'})
                                                  ::=
CallExpression_{e \ (e')}
                                                  ::=
                                                          CallExpression<sub>e</sub> (AssignmentExpression<sub>e'</sub>)
CallExpression_{e.x}
                                                          CallExpression_e . x
                                                  ::=
BinaryExpression_e
                                                          CallExpression_e
BinaryExpression_{e / e'}
                                                  ::=
                                                          BinaryExpression<sub>e</sub> / BinaryExpression<sub>e</sub>
BinaryExpression_{e+e'}
                                                          BinaryExpression + BinaryExpression
                                                  ::=
                                                          BinaryExpression_e
AssignmentExpression<sub>e</sub>
                                                  ::=
                                                          CallExpression_e = AssignmentExpression_{e'}
AssignmentExpression_{e=e'}
ExpressionStatement<sub>e</sub>
                                                          AssignmentExpression<sub>e</sub>;
                                                          where lookahead \neq { or function
ReturnStatement_return;
ReturnStatement_{\mathtt{return}}\ e
                                                          return [no line terminator here] AssignmentExpression<sub>e</sub>;
                                                  ::=
Block_{\{e\}}
                                                           {StatementList<sub>e</sub>}
                                                  ::=
StatementList_e
                                                   ::=
                                                          StatementList<sub>e</sub> Statement<sub>e</sub>
StatementList<sub>e,e'</sub>
                                                   ::=
LabelledStatement_{x: e}
                                                          x : Statement_e
IfStatement<sub>if (e) e'</sub>
                                                          if (AssignmentExpression<sub>e</sub>) Statement<sub>e'</sub>
Statemente
                                                          Block_e
                                                   ::=
                                                          ExpressionStatement_{e}
Statement<sub>e</sub>
                                                   ::=
Statement_e
                                                          \dot{\it IfStatement}_e
                                                  ::=
Statement_e
                                                          ReturnStatement_e
                                                          LabelledStatement_e
Statement_e
                                                  ::=
FunctionDeclaration_{function \ x \ (x') \ \{e\}}
                                                          function x (x') {SourceElements<sub>e</sub>}
                                                  ::=
FunctionExpression_{function \ x \ (x') \ \{e\}}
                                                          function x (x') {SourceElements<sub>e</sub>}
SourceElement_e
                                                          Statement<sub>e</sub>
                                                   ::=
SourceElement_e
                                                          Function Declaration_e
                                                  ::=
SourceElements<sub>e</sub>
                                                   ::=
                                                          SourceElement<sub>e</sub>
                                                          SourceElements<sub>e</sub> SourceElement<sub>e</sub>,
SourceElements<sub>e,e'</sub>
Program<sub>e</sub>
                                                          SourceElements_e
Program
                                                  ::=
                                                          \epsilon
```

2 2014/3/19

```
Punctuator
                                                                                               / | + | : | ; | = | .
                                                      Keyword
                                                                                    ::=
                                                                                               return | function | if |
                                                                                    ::=
                                                      ExpressionPrefix
                                                                                               = | / | + | return
\operatorname{read}(/\cdot x\cdot/\cdot s,\;\epsilon,\;b)
                                                                                                    /x/\cdot \operatorname{read}(s, /x/, b)
read(/\cdot x \cdot /\cdot s, p \cdot p', b)
                                                                                                    /x/\cdot \operatorname{read}(s, p \cdot p' \cdot /x/, b)
    if p' \in Punctuator or Keyword
read(/\cdot x \cdot /\cdot s, p \cdot if \cdot (p'), b)
                                                                                                    /x/\cdot \text{read}(s, p \cdot \text{if} \cdot (p') \cdot /x/, b)
read(/\cdot x \cdot /\cdot s, p \cdot p' \cdot function \cdot x \cdot (q) \cdot \{q'\}, b)
                                                                                                    /x/\cdot \operatorname{read}(s, p \cdot p' \cdot \operatorname{function} \cdot x \cdot (q) \cdot \{q'\} \cdot /x/, b)
    if p' \notin ExpressionPrefix
                                                                                                    /x/\cdot \operatorname{read}(s, p \cdot \{q\}^l \cdot /x/, b)
\operatorname{read}(/\cdot x \cdot /\cdot s, p \cdot \{q\}^l, b)
    where true = isObjectPrefix(p, b, l)
read(/\cdot s, p \cdot x, b)
                                                                                                    /\cdot \operatorname{read}(s, p \cdot x \cdot /, b)
read(/\cdot s, p \cdot /x/, b)
                                                                                                    /\cdot \operatorname{read}(s, p\cdot/x/\cdot/, b)
 \begin{array}{l} \operatorname{read}(/\cdot s,\ p\cdot (p'),\ b) \\ \operatorname{read}(/\cdot s,\ p\cdot p'\cdot \operatorname{function}\cdot x\cdot (q)\cdot \{q'\},\ b) \end{array} 
                                                                                                    / \cdot \operatorname{read}(s, p \cdot (p') \cdot /, b)
                                                                                                     / \cdot \text{read}(s, p \cdot p' \cdot \text{function} \cdot x \cdot (q) \cdot \{q'\} \cdot /, b)
    if p' \in ExpressionPrefix
\operatorname{read}(/\cdot s, p \cdot \{q'\}^l, b)
                                                                                                    /\cdot \operatorname{read}(s, p \cdot \{q'\}^l \cdot /, b)
    where false = isObjectPrefix(p, b, l)
read((\cdot s \cdot) \cdot s', p, b)
                                                                                                     (t) \cdot \operatorname{read}(s', p \cdot (t), b)
    where s contains no unmatched )
                                                                                                        where t = \text{read}(s, \epsilon, false)
read(\{l \cdot s \cdot\} \cdot s', p, b)
                                                                                                    \{t\}^l \cdot \operatorname{read}(s', \ p \cdot \{t\}^l, \ b)
    where s contains no unmatched }
                                                                                                    where t = \text{read}(s, \epsilon, \text{ isObjectPrefix}(p, b, l))
read(x \cdot s, p, b)
                                                                                                    x \cdot \text{read}(s, p \cdot x, b)
read(\epsilon, p, b)
                                                  isObjectPrefix(\epsilon, true, l)
                                                                                                             =
                                                                                                                     true
                                                  isObjectPrefix(p \cdot /, b, l)
                                                                                                             =
                                                                                                                     true
                                                  isObjectPrefix(p \cdot +, b, l)
                                                                                                             =
                                                                                                                    true
                                                  isObjectPrefix(p \cdot :, b, l)
                                                                                                                     b
                                                  isObjectPrefix(p \cdot \text{return}^l, b, l')
                                                                                                            =
                                                                                                                    false
                                                                                                                                 if l \neq l'
                                                                                                                                 if l = l'
                                                  isObjectPrefix(p \cdot \text{return}^l, b, l')
                                                                                                            =
                                                                                                                    true
                                                  isObjectPrefix(p, b, l)
                                                                                                                    false
```

3

4. Enforestation

The core algorithm introduced by Honu is called *enforestation* which is basically responsible for expanding macros and building a partial syntax tree with enough structure to match on parse classes. Sweet.js implements this algorithm mostly as described with some additions to provide infix macros and invoke pattern classes described below.

4.1 Infix Macros

The macros we have described so far must all be prefixed by the macro identifier and syntax after the macro name is matched. This is sufficient for many kinds of macros but some syntax forms require the macro identifier to sit between patterns.

Honu addresses this need in a limited way by providing a way to define new binary and unary operators which during expansion can manipulate their operators. However, those operators must be fully expanded and must match as an expression.

Sweet.js provides *infix macros* which allows a macro identifier to match syntax before it. For example, the following implements ES6-style arrow functions via infix macros:

The macros we have described so far must all be prefixed by the macro identifier and syntax after the macro name is matched. This is sufficient for many kinds of macros but some syntax forms require the macro identifier to sit between patterns.

Honu addresses this need in a limited way by providing a way to define new binary and unary operators which during expansion can manipulate their operators. However, those operators must be fully expanded and must match as an expression.

Sweet.js provides *infix macros* which allows a macro identifier to match syntax before it. For example, the following implements ES6-style arrow functions via infix macros:

This is accomplished by simply providing the state of previously expanded syntax to macro transformers. Macros may then consume from either ends as needed, yielding new previous and subsequent syntax. At first glance, this can appear brittle:

```
var foo = bar(x) \Rightarrow \{ return x; \}
```

We've juxtaposed the => macro next to a function call, which we did not intend to be valid syntax. A naive expansion results in unparsable code:

```
var foo = bar function(x) { return x; }
```

In more subtle cases, a naive expansion can result in parsable code with incorrect semantics. [Example needed?] To preserve the integrity of previously expanded syntax, we verify that an infix macro only matches previous syntax on boundaries delimited by the partial syntax tree we've built. The syntax tree would show bar(x) as a complete function call term. Consuming the parentheses would result in a split term and is disallowed, failing the rule. In practice, this has proven to be an intuitive restriction. [Elaborate?]

The primary limitation of infix macros is they do not obey precedence and associativity. They stand as a complement to Honu operators, not as a replacement, for when an infix form does not adhere to operator semantics, much like the => macro. Its left-hand-side and right-hand-side are not arbitrary expressions but must match a specific form. Additionally, it would need a precedence of infinity so as to always bind tighter than other operators.

4.2 Invoke and Pattern Classes

[Something about default pattern classes and ':expr'...]

Pattern classes are extensible via the invoke class which is parameterized by a macro name.

```
macro color {
  rule { red } => { red }
  rule { green } => { green }
  rule { blue } => { blue }
}
macro colors_options {
```

```
rule { ($opt:invoke(color) ...) } => { ... }
}
```

The macro is essentially inserted into the token stream. If the expansion succeeds, the result will be loaded into the pattern variable, otherwise the rule will fail. We've added sugar so that any non-primitive pattern classes are interpretted as invoke parameterized by the custom class. We've also added identity rules to shorten definitions of simple custom classes.

```
macro color {
  rule { red }
  rule { green }
  rule { blue }
}
macro colors_options {
  rule { ($opt:color (,) ...) } => { ... }
}
```

These macros may return an optional pattern environment which will be scoped and loaded into the invoking macro's pattern environment. This lets us define Honu-style pattern classes as simple macro-generating macros.

```
macro color {
    ...
}
macro number {
    ...
}
// 'pattern' is just a macro-generating macro
pattern color_value { $color:color $num:number }

macro color_options {
    rule { ($opt:color_value (,) ...) } => {
        var cols = [$opt$color (,) ...];
        var nums = [$opt$num (,) ...];
    }
}
...?
```

5. Hygiene

4

Mostly straightforward implementation from scheme with some details to handle var.

6. Implementation

Sweet.js is written in JavaScript and runs in the major JS environments (i.e. the brower and node.js). This is in contrast to Honu which translates its code to Racket code and reuses the hygienic expansion machinery already built in Racket. While this simplifies the implementation of Honu it also requires an installation of Racket which in some cases is not feasible (e.g. sweet.js is able to run in mobile device browsers).

7. Related Work

- Scheme/Racket
- Honu
- Template Haskell
- Nemerle
- Scala
- Closure

8. Conclusion

5 2014/3/19

A. Read Proof

Theorem 2 (Parse Equivalence for Program).

 $\forall s.s \in Program_e \Leftrightarrow read(s, \epsilon, b) \in Program'_e$

Proof. For the if direction, there are two cases:

- $s \in Program_e := \epsilon$. The result is immediate.
- $s \in Program_e ::= SourceElements_e$ this holds by Lemma 1.

A similar argument holds for the only if direction.

Lemma 1 (Parse Equivalence for SourceElements).

 $\forall s.s \in SourceElements_e \Leftrightarrow read(s, \epsilon, b) \in SourceElements_e' Proof.$ For the if direction we have several cases:

Proof. For the if direction, there are two cases:

- $s \in SourceElements_e ::= SourceElement_e$. This holds by Lemma 2.
- $s \in SourceElements_{e,e'}$ by case:

SourceElements_e SourceElement_{e'}

We have $s = s' \cdot s''$ where $s' \in SourceElements_e$ and $s'' \in SourceElement_{e'}$.

$$t = \operatorname{read}(s' \cdot s'', \epsilon, b)$$

= $\operatorname{read}(s', \epsilon, b) \cdot \operatorname{read}(s'', \operatorname{read}(s', \epsilon, b), b)$

By induction read $(s', \epsilon, b) \in SourceElements'_e$ and by Lemma 2, read(s'', read(s', ϵ , b), b) \in SourceElement'_{e'} (since by Lemma 16, read(s', ϵ , b) \in *RePrefix*). Thus $t \in SourceElements'_{e,e'}$.

The argument is similar for the only if direction.

Lemma 2 (Parse Equivalence for SourceElement).

 $\forall s, p \in RePrefix.$

 $s \in SourceElement_e \Leftrightarrow read(s, p, b) \in SourceElement'_e$

Proof. For the if direction there are two cases:

- $s \in SourceElement_e ::= Statement_e$. This holds by Lemma 4 since $p \in RePrefix$.
- $s \in SourceElement_e ::= FunctionDeclaration_e$. This holds by Lemma 3.

Lemma 3 (Parse Equivalence for FunctionDeclaration).

 $\forall s.s \in FunctionDeclaration_e \Leftrightarrow read(s, p, b) \in$ FunctionDeclaration'_e

Proof. For the if direction we only have a single case:

 $s \in \mathit{FunctionDeclaration}_{\mathtt{function}} \ x \ (x) \ \{e\} ::=$ function x (x) {SourceElements_e}

We have $s = \text{function } x \ (x) \ \{s'\} \text{ where } s' \in$ *SourceElements*_e so:

$$t = \text{read}(\text{function } x (x) \{s'\}, p, b)$$

= function $x (x) \{t'\}$

where $t' = \text{read}(s', \epsilon, true)$. **TODO: explain why b = true**. Since by Lemma 1, $t' \in SourceElements'_e$ we have $t \in FunctionDeclaration'_{function} x (x) \{e\}.$

Lemma 4 (Parse Equivalence for Statement).

 $\forall s, p \in RePrefix.$

 $s \in Statement_e \Leftrightarrow read(s, p, b) \in Statement'_e$

- $s \in Statement_e ::= Block_e$. This holds by Lemma 8.
- $s \in Statement_e ::= ExpressionStatement_e$. This holds by Lemma 10.
- $s \in Statement_e ::= IfStatement_e$. This holds by Lemma
- $s \in Statement_e ::= ReturnStatement_e$. This holds by Lemma 7.
- $s \in Statement_e ::= LabelledStatement_e$. This holds by

Lemma 5 (Parse Equivalence for IfStatement).

 $\forall s, p \in RePrefix.$

 $s \in \mathit{IfStatement}_e \Leftrightarrow \mathit{read}(s, p, b) \in \mathit{IfStatement}'_e$

Proof. For the if direction we have:

 $s \in \mathit{IfStatement}_{if (e) e'} ::=$ if $(AssignmentExpression_e)$ Statement_{e'}

We have $s = if \cdot (s') \cdot s''$ where $s' \in AssignmentExpression_e$ and $s'' \in Statement_{e'}$.

$$\begin{array}{lll} t & = & \mathrm{read}(\mathtt{if} \cdot (s') \cdot s'', \ p, \ b) \\ & = & \mathrm{if} \cdot (t') \cdot t'' \end{array}$$

where

$$t' = read(s', \epsilon, b)$$

$$t'' = read(s'', p \cdot if \cdot (t'), b)$$

By Lemma 11 $t' \in AssignmentExpression'_{e}$. By Lemma 4, $t'' \in Statement'_{e'}$ (since $p \cdot (t') \in RePrefix$). Thus $t \in \mathit{IfStatement'}_{if (e) e'}.$

Lemma 6 (Parse Equivalence for LabelledStatement).

 $\forall s.s \in LabelledStatement_e \Leftrightarrow read(s, p, b) \in$ LabelledStatement'_e

Proof. For the if direction we have a single case: $s \in$ LabelledStatement_{x: e} ::= x : Statement_e. We have s = $x \cdot : \cdot s'$ where $s' \in Statement_e$. Then:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} t & = & \operatorname{read}(\mathtt{x} \cdot : \cdot s', \ p, \ b) \\ & = & \mathtt{x} \cdot : \cdot \operatorname{read}(s', \ p \cdot \mathtt{x} \cdot :, \ b) \end{array}$$

By Lemma 4, $read(s', p \cdot x \cdot :, b) \in Statement'_e \text{ so } t \in$ LabelledStatement' $_{x}$: $_{e}$. TODO: need to take into account b and the prefix.

Lemma 7 (Parse Equivalence for ReturnStatement).

Proof. To show the if direction there are two cases:

- $s \in ReturnStatement_{return}$; ::= return; Since $s = \mathtt{return}$; and $\mathtt{read}(s, p, q) = \mathtt{return} \cdot$; \in ReturnStatement'return; we have our result directly.
- We have $s = \mathtt{return} \cdot s'$ where $s' \in AssignmentExpression_a$. Then:

$$t = \text{read}(\text{return} \cdot s', p, b)$$

= return \cdot read(s', p \cdot return, b)

By Lemma 11, read $(s', p \cdot \text{return}, b) \in AssignmentExpressionAssignmentExpression'_e$ thus $t \in ReturnStatement'_{return\ e}$.

Lemma 8 (Parse Equivalence for Block).

 $\forall s.s \in Block_e \Leftrightarrow read(s, p, b) \in Block'_e$

Proof. For the if direction we have:

$$s \in Block_{\{e\}} ::= \{StatementList_e\}$$

so $s = \{s'\}$ where $s' \in StatementList_e$.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} t & = & \mathrm{read}(\{s'\}, \; p, \; b) \\ & = & \{t'\} \end{array}$$

where $t' = \text{read}(s', \epsilon, true)$. By Lemma 9, t'StatementList'_e so $t \in Block'_{\{e\}}$.

Lemma 9 (Parse Equivalence for StatementList).

 $\forall s, p \in RePrefix.$

 $s \in \mathit{StatementList}_e \Leftrightarrow \mathit{read}(s, p, b) \in \mathit{StatementList'}_e$

Proof. For the if direction we have two cases:

- $s \in StatementList_e ::= Statement_e$. This follows by Lemma 4 since $p \in RePrefix$.
- $s \in StatementList_{e \ e'} ::= StatementList_e \ Statement_{e'}$. So $s = s' \cdot s''$ where $s' \in StatementList_e$ and $s'' \in$ $Statement_{e'}$. Then,

$$t = read(s' \cdot s'', p, b)$$

= read(s', p, b) \cdot read(s'', p \cdot read(s', p, b), b)

By induction read $(s', p, b) \in StatementList'_e$ and by Lemma 4, read(s'', $p \cdot \text{read}(s', p, b)$, b) \in Statement'_{e'} (since by Lemma 17, $p \cdot \text{read}(s', p, b) \in RePrefix$). Thus, $t \in StatementList'_{e e'}$.

Lemma 10 (Parse Equivalence for ExpressionStatement). $\forall s, p \in RePrefix.$

 $s \in ExpressionStatement_e \Leftrightarrow read(s, p, b) \in$ ExpressionStatement'_e

 $\forall s.s \in \textit{ReturnStatement}_e \Leftrightarrow \textit{read}(s,\ p,\ b) \in \textit{ReturnStatement'}_e\textit{Proof}.$ For the if direction we have $s \in \textit{ExpressionStatement}_e$::= AssignmentExpression_e; so $s = s' \cdot$; where $s' \in$ AssignmentExpression_e. Then:

$$t = \operatorname{read}(s' \cdot ;, p, b)$$

= $\operatorname{read}(s', p, b) \cdot ;$

• $s \in \textit{ReturnStatement}_{\texttt{return}\ e} ::= \texttt{return}\ \textit{AssignmentExpression}_e^{\texttt{Since}}\ p \in \textit{RePrefix}\ \text{by Lemma 11, read}(s',\ p,\ b) \in$ A^essignmentExpression'_e we have $t \in ExpressionStatement'_e$.

Lemma 11 (Parse Equivalence for AssignmentExpression).

 $\forall s, p \in RePrefix.$

7

 $s \in AssignmentExpression_e \Leftrightarrow read(s, p, b) \in$

Proof. For the if direction we have two cases:

- $s \in AssignmentExpression_e ::= BinaryExpression_e$. This holds by Lemma 12.
- $s \in AssignmentExpression_{e=e'}$ by

 $CallExpression_e = AssignmentExpression_{e'}$

Then $s = s' \cdot = s''$ where $s' \in CallExpression_e$ and $s'' \in AssignmentExpression_{e'}$. Then:

$$t = \operatorname{read}(s' \cdot = \cdot s'', p, b)$$

$$= \operatorname{read}(s', p, b) \cdot \operatorname{read}(= \cdot s'', p \cdot \operatorname{read}(s', p, b), b)$$

$$= \operatorname{read}(s', p, b) \cdot = \cdot \operatorname{read}(s'', p \cdot \operatorname{read}(s', p, b) \cdot =, b)$$

Since $p \in RePrefix$ by Lemma 13, read $(s', p, b) \in$ $CallExpression'_{e}$ and by induction read $(s'', p \cdot read(s', p, b) \cdot read(s', p, b))$ $=, b \in AssignmentExpression'_{e'} (since p \cdot read(s', p, b) \cdot$ $= \in RePrefix)$ so $t \in AssignmentExpression'_{e=e'}$.

Lemma 12 (Parse Equivalence for BinaryExpression).

 $\forall s, p \in RePrefix.$

 $s \in BinaryExpression_e \Leftrightarrow read(s, p, b) \in BinaryExpression'_e$

Proof. To show the if direction we have three cases:

- $s \in BinaryExpression_e ::= CallExpression_e$. This holds by Lemma 13 since $p \in RePrefix$.
- $s \in BinaryExpression_{e/e'}$ by

BinaryExpression_e / BinaryExpression_e,

We have $s = s' \cdot / \cdot s''$ where $s' \in BinaryExpression_e$ and $s'' \in BinaryExpression_{a'}$. Then:

$$\begin{array}{lll} t &=& \operatorname{read}(s' \cdot / \cdot s'', \ p, \ b) \\ &=& \operatorname{read}(s', \ p, \ b) \cdot \operatorname{read}(/ \cdot s'', \ p \cdot \operatorname{read}(s', \ p, \ b), \ b) \\ &=& \operatorname{read}(s', \ p, \ b) \cdot / \cdot \operatorname{read}(s'', \ p \cdot \operatorname{read}(s', \ p, \ b) \cdot /, \ b) \\ && (\operatorname{since} \ p \cdot \operatorname{read}(s', \ p, \ b) \in \operatorname{DivPrefix}) \end{array}$$

By induction read $(s', p, b) \in BinaryExpression'_e$ and $read(s'', p \cdot read(s', p, b) \cdot /, b) \in BinaryExpression'_{e'}$ (since $p \cdot \text{read}(s', p, b) \cdot / \in \textit{RePrefix}$) thus $t \in$ $BinaryExpression'_{e/e'}$.

• $s \in BinaryExpression_{e+e'}$ by

BinaryExpression_e + BinaryExpression_{e'}

We have $s = s' \cdot + \cdot s''$ where $s' \in BinaryExpression_e$ and $s'' \in BinaryExpression_{e'}$. Then:

$$\begin{array}{lll} t &=& \mathrm{read}(s'\cdot + \cdot s'',\ p,\ b) \\ &=& \mathrm{read}(s',\ p,\ b)\cdot + \cdot \mathrm{read}(s'',\ p\cdot \mathrm{read}(s',\ p,\ b)\cdot +,\ b) \end{array}$$

By induction read $(s', p, b) \in BinaryExpression'_e$ and $read(s'', p \cdot read(s', p, b) \cdot +, b) \in BinaryExpression'_{e'}$ (since $p \cdot \text{read}(s', p, b) \cdot + \in RePrefix$) thus $t \in$ $BinaryExpression'_{e+e'}$.

Lemma 13 (Parse Equivalence for CallExpression).

 $\forall s, p \in RePrefix.$

 $s \in CallExpression_e \Leftrightarrow read(s, p, b) \in CallExpression'_e$

Proof. For the if direction we have three cases:

• $s \in CallExpression_{e(e')}$ by

MemberExpression_e (AssignmentExpression'_{e'})

We have $s = s' \cdot (s'')$ where $s' \in MemberExpression_{o}$ and $s'' \in AssignmentExpression_{e'}$. Then

$$\begin{array}{lll} t & = & \operatorname{read}(s' \cdot (s''), \; p, \; b) \\ & = & \operatorname{read}(s', \; p, \; b) \cdot \operatorname{read}((s''), \; p \cdot \operatorname{read}(s', \; p, \; b), \; b) \end{array}$$

Since $p \in RePrefix$, by Lemma 14 we have read $(s', p, b) \in$ MemberExpression'_e and my Lemma 11 we have $read(s'', \epsilon, false) \in AssignmentExpression'_{e'}$ thus $t \in \mathit{CallExpression'}_{e\ (e')}.$

• $s \in \mathit{CallExpression}_{e.\mathtt{x}} ::= \mathit{CallExpression}_{e}$. x. Then $s = s' \cdot ... \times \text{ where } s' \in CallExpression_e$. Then

$$\begin{array}{rcl} t & = & \mathrm{read}(s' \cdot \ldots \mathbf{x}, \; p, \; b) \\ & = & \mathrm{read}(s', \; p, \; b) \cdot \ldots \mathbf{x} \end{array}$$

By induction read $(s', p, b) \in CallExpression'_{e}$. Thus $t \in CallExpression'_{e,x}$.

Lemma 14 (Parse Equivalence for MemberExpression).

 $\forall s, p \in RePrefix.$

 $s \in \textit{MemberExpression}_e \Leftrightarrow \textit{read}(s, \ p, \ b) \in \textit{MemberExpression}_{e}^{read}(s, \ p, \ b) \in \textit{MemberExpress$

Proof. For the if direction we have three cases:

• $s \in MemberExpression_e ::= PrimaryExpression_e$. This follows from Lemma 15 since $p \in RePrefix$.

- $s \in MemberExpression_e ::= FunctionExpression_e$. This follows from Lemma ??.
- $s \in MemberExpression_{e,x} ::= MemberExpression_e$. x. We have $s = s' \cdot .x$ where $s \in MemberExpression_e$. Then

$$t = read(s' \cdot . \cdot x, p, b)$$

= read(s', p, b) \cdot . \cdot x

By induction read $(s', p, b) \in MemberExpression'_e$ thus $t \in MemberExpression'_{e,x}$.

Lemma 15 (Parse Equivalence for PrimaryExpression).

 $\forall s, p \in RePrefix.$

8

 $s \in PrimaryExpression_e \Leftrightarrow read(s, p, b) \in PrimaryExpression'_e$

Proof. For the if direction we have several cases:

- $s \in PrimaryExpression_{x} ::= x$. Then s = x and $read(x, p, b) \in PrimaryExpression'_{x} directly.$
- $s \in PrimaryExpression_{/x/} ::= / \cdot x \cdot /.$ Then $s = / \cdot x \cdot /.$ and read $(/ \cdot x \cdot /, p, b) = /x/ \in PrimaryExpression'_{/x/}$ since $p \in RePrefix$.
- $\bullet \ s \in \textit{PrimaryExpression}_{\{\mathtt{x}\,:\,e\}} ::= \{\mathtt{x}\,:\! \textit{AssignmentExpression}_e\}.$ Then $s = x \cdot : \cdot \{s'\}$ where $s' \in AssignmentExpression_e$. Then:

$$t = read(\mathbf{x} \cdot : \cdot \{s'\}, \ p, \ b)$$
$$= \mathbf{x} \cdot : \cdot \{t'\}$$

where $t' = \text{read}(s', \epsilon, \text{ false})$. By Lemma 11, $t' \in$ AssignmentExpression'_e and thus $t \in PrimaryExpression'_{\{x:e\}}$.

• $s \in PrimaryExpression_{(e)} ::= (AssignmentExpression_e)$. Then s = (s') where $s' \in AssignmentExpression_e$. So,

$$t = read((s'), p, b)$$
$$= (t')$$

where $t' = \text{read}(s', \epsilon, \text{ false})$. By Lemma 11 $t' \in$ AssignmentExpression'_e. So, $t \in PrimaryExpression'_{(e)}$.

Lemma 16 (SourceElement Prefix).

 $\forall s, p \in RePrefix.$

 $s \in SourceElement_e \Rightarrow read(s, p, b) \in RePrefix$

Proof. There are two cases:

- $s \in Statement_e$. This holds by Lemma 17.
- $s \in FunctionDeclaration_e$. Follows directly.

Lemma 17 (Statement Prefix).

 $read(s, p, b) \in Statement'_{e} \Rightarrow p \cdot read(s, p, b) \in$ RePrefix

Proof. We have several cases:

- $read(s, p, b) \in Block'_e$. Follows since $p \in RePrefix$.
- read $(s, p, b) \in \textit{ExpressionStatement'}_e$. Follows since $t \cdot \textbf{;} \in \textit{RePrefix}$ for any t.
- $t = \operatorname{read}(s, p, b) \in \operatorname{IfStatement'}_e$. Since $t = \operatorname{if} \cdot (t') \cdot t''$ where $t'' \in \operatorname{Statement'}_{e'}$ by induction $t'' \in \operatorname{RePrefix}$ and thus $t \in \operatorname{RePrefix}$.
- $t = \text{read}(s, p, b) \in \textit{ReturnStatement'}_e$. Either $t = \text{return} \cdot \textbf{;}$ or $t = \text{return} \cdot t' \cdot \textbf{;}$ and since $\textbf{;} \in \textit{RePrefix}$ then $t \in \textit{RePrefix}$.
- $t = \text{read}(s, p, b) \in \textit{LabelledStatement'}_e$. Since $t = \texttt{x} \cdot : \cdot t'$ where $t' \in \textit{Statement'}_e$ by induction $t' \in \textit{RePrefix}$ and thus $t \in \textit{RePrefix}$.

9 2014/3/19