

# Linear Decision Rule Approach

Zhan Lin

## 1 Original Problem

Consider a network system consisting of  $m$  resources, with capacity levels  $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_m)^T$ , and  $n$  products, with corresponding prices denoted by  $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)^T$ . Each products needs at most one unit of each resource. Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be the resource coefficient matrix, where  $a_{ij} = 1$  if product  $j$  uses one unit of resource  $i$  and  $a_{ij} = 0$  otherwise. Define  $\xi = (1, \xi_{1,1}, \xi_{1,2}, \dots, \xi_{1,n}, \dots, \xi_{t,1}, \xi_{t,2}, \dots, \xi_{t,n})^T$  where  $\xi_{t,j}$  is demand of product  $j$  in period  $t$ . Let  $\xi^t$  be the observed history demands and  $\mathbf{x}_t(\xi^t)$  be the booking limits in period  $t$ , while  $p_t(\xi) = (\xi_{t,1}, \xi_{t,2}, \dots, \xi_{t,n})^T$ . Realisation of  $\xi$  is limited to  $\Xi$ . The optimality equations can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \mathbb{E}_\xi \left( \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{x}_t(\xi^t) \right) \\ s.t. \quad & \sum_{t=1}^T A \mathbf{x}_t(\xi^t) \leq \mathbf{c} \\ & \mathbf{x}_t(\xi^t) \leq \mathbf{p}_t(\xi) \\ & \forall \xi \in \Xi, t = 1, \dots, T \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

## 2 Linear Decision Rule Approach

### 2.1 Primal Problem

Approach original problem with  $\mathbf{x}_t(\xi^t) = X_t P_t \xi$ ,  $\Xi = \{\xi : W\xi \leq h\}$ , and  $\mathbf{p}_t(\xi) = p_t \xi$  where  $X_t$ ,  $P_t$ ,  $W$  and  $p_t$  are all matrix. Then we obtain the primal problem

$$\begin{aligned}
\max \quad & \mathbb{E}_\xi \left( \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{v}^T X_t P_t \xi \right) \\
s.t. \quad & \sum_{t=1}^T A X_t P_t \xi \leq \mathbf{c} \\
& X_t P_t \xi \leq p_t \xi \\
& \forall \xi \in \Xi = \{ \xi : W \xi \leq h \}, t = 1, \dots, T
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ -1 & & & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ & -1 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & & -1 & \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$h = (1, -1, q_{1,1,sup}, -q_{1,1,inf}, q_{1,2,sup}, -q_{1,2,inf}, \dots, q_{t,n,sup}, -q_{t,n,inf})^T$$

in which  $q_{t,j,p}$  is the  $p$  quantile of  $\xi_{t,j}$ .

There are  $nmt\tau + 2nmt + n^2t^2$  variables and  $m + 2nt$  constraints in total.

## 2.2 Duality

Firstly we transform equation(1) into a tighter formulation.

$$\begin{aligned}
\max \quad & \mathbb{E}_\xi \left( \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{x}_t(\xi^t) \right) \\
s.t. \quad & \sum_{t=1}^T \tilde{A} \mathbf{x}_t(\xi^t) \leq \tilde{\mathbf{c}}_t(\xi) \\
& \mathbf{x}_t(\xi^t) \geq 0 \\
& \forall \xi \in \Xi, t = 1, \dots, T
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Then it has a duality.

$$\begin{aligned}
\min \quad & \mathbb{E}_{\xi} \left( \sum_{t=1}^T \tilde{\mathbf{c}}_t(\xi)^T \mathbf{y}_t(\xi^t) \right) \\
s.t. \quad & \sum_{t=1}^T \tilde{A}^T \mathbf{y}_t(\xi^t) \geq \mathbf{v}^T \\
& \mathbf{y}_t(\xi^t) \geq 0 \\
& \forall \xi \in \Xi, t = 1, \dots, T
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

We can apply same approach and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\min \quad & \mathbb{E}_{\xi} \left( \sum_{t=1}^T (\mathbf{c}^T, (p_t \xi)^T) \mathbf{y}_t(\xi^t) \right) \\
s.t. \quad & \sum_{t=1}^T \tilde{A}^T Y_t P_t \xi \geq \mathbf{v}^T \\
& Y_t P_t \xi \geq 0 \\
& \forall \xi \in \Xi \{ \xi : W \xi \leq h \}, t = 1, \dots, T
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

### 3 Numerical Results

Rounding can matter a lot to benefits. Therefore, I tried genetic algorithm to get a not bad policy. However, it sometimes offer a worse policy than simply ceil. Also, when I adjust parameters,  $t$  and quantile seem play an important role in optimizing. It suggests that if we optimize the problem with changes of  $t$  and quantile, higher benefits should be possible. What's more, please notice that all results obtained now are under constant policies.

#### 3.1 First Case in Re-solving

The highest benefit achieved is 418538 with  $t = 10$ ,  $sup = 0.9$  and  $inf = 0.65$ , better than values obtained without re-solving in the paper, that is, 401980(DLP-alloc), 415410(SLP-alloc), 347690(DLP-bid) and 347990(SLP-bid).

#### 3.2 Second Case in Re-solving

The highest benefit achieved is 601788 with  $t = 10$ ,  $sup = 0.9$  and  $inf = 0.7$ , better than values obtained without re-solving in the paper, that is, 583630(DLP-alloc), 595620(SLP-alloc), 518470(DLP-bid) and 520210(SLP-bid).

### **3.3 Data from Approximate Linear Programming**

As far as I am concerned, their data scale is limited and not suitable for decision rule approach. Maybe we shouldn't make use of it?

### **3.4 Computational Ability**

Amazon EC2 provides cheap cloud computing resources, which should be enough to satisfy our needs.