
CS 192 AY 2014-2015

General Programming Standards and Guidelines Code Review Checklist

Reviewer's Name:	Cabiles, Miguel C.	Peer Review Date:	02/18/15
Project Name:	ElevenFifty-Nine	Project ID: (if applicable)	
Developer's Name:	080419Android	Sprint Number	1
Review Files & Source code	AddTaskActivity.java	CalendarData.java	CalendarDataAccess.java
	CalendarDataController.java	CalendarDataField.java	CalendarFileUtil.java
	CalendarGlobals.java	CalendarViewActivity.java	
Code Approved			

This checklist is to be used to assess source code during a peer review. Items which represent the code being reviewed should be checked off.

Refer to the *Android and Java General Programming Standards and Guidelines* (<https://source.android.com/source/code-style.html>) document for more complete descriptions and examples of the items listed below.

Place a check mark if the code complies with the criteria. If not, place comments at the remarks column.

1. License

Criteria	Comply	Remarks
License exists at the top of the code		No license
License contains the name of the author		No name of author
License contains the following: "This is a course requirement for CS 192 Software Engineering II under the supervision of Asst. Prof. Ma. Rowena C. Solamo of the Department of Computer Science, College of Engineering, University of the Philippines, Diliman for the AY 2014-2015".		No description

2. Code History

Criteria	Comply	Remarks
Code History appears after the license of the source code.		No code history
Code History has been updated accordingly based on name of programmer, change date and change description.		No code history

3. Internal Documentation

Criteria	Comply	Remarks
A comment block exists after the Code History containing the following information: file creation date, development group, client group and a brief statement of the purpose of the software in the file.		No information
Each method in the file is preceded by a comment block which provides the following information: method name, routine's creation date, purpose of the routine, a list of the calling arguments (their types and what they do), a list of required files and/or database tables, and return value.		Only some of the methods had inline documentation. Comments were placed within the method, instead of before.
Indentations are 5 spaces.	Yes	
Inline comments are found at the top of the statement using '/*' and '*/'.		Used '//'
Bracketing of block statements follows the following format: if () { statements; }	Yes	
Exceptions are being handled appropriately.	Yes	

Reviewer's Comments: