Abstract

Applications that rely on Doppler radar estimates of precipitation include hydro-meteorology, engineering, floodplain management, and weather forecasting. In this article we provide a methodology to quantify, in a probabilistic sense, the uncertainty associated with Doppler radar estimates of precipitation and the propagation of such uncertainties in the rainfall-runoff model. Through multiple Monte-Carlo type simulations we demonstrate the variation in these calculations related to the uncertainty of estimation and a practical way in which the engineering management of reservoirs may use this methodology to assist them in decision-making.

KEYWORDS: Radar Precipitation Uncertainty, Rainfall-Runoff Model, Monte-Carlo Simulations

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Dr. Prasada Rao for his role in collecting and compiling the data used for simulations in this paper.

References

- [1] Austin P.M. Relation between Measured Radar Reflectivity and Surface Rainfall. *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 115(5):1053–1070, 1987.
- [2] Baeck M.L., Smith J.A. Rainfall estimation by the WSR-88D for heavy rainfall events. Weather Forecast., 13(2):416–436, 1998.
- [3] Barnston A.G., Thomas J.L. . Rainfall measurement acuracy in FACE: a comparison of Gauge and Radar rainfalls. *J. Climmate App. Met.*, 22(12):2038–2052, 1983.
- [4] Berne A., Krajewski, W. F. Radar for hydrology: Unfulfilled promise or unrecognized potential? Adv. Water. Resour., 51:357–366, 2013.
- [5] Bloor, C. AWRA 2019 Spring Specialty Conference. Integrated Water Resources Management, Omaha, NE, 2019.
- [6] Brandes E.A., Vivekanandan J., Wilson J. W. A comparison of radar reflectivity estimates of rainfall from collocated radars. J. Atmospheric Ocean. Technol., 16(9):1264–1272, 1999.
- [7] Bucaj, V. Association of State Floodplain Managers' Annual Floodplain Management Conference. Evaluating Complicated Hydrology, Cleveland OH, 2019.
- [8] Chow V., Maidment D., Mays L. App. Hydrol. McGraw-Hill Book Co., Singapore, 1988.
- [9] Ciach G. J., Krajewski W.F., Villarini G. Product-error-driven uncertainty model for probabilistic quantitative precipitation estimation with NEXRAD data. *J. Hydrometeorol.*, 8(6):1325–1347, 2007.
- [10] Cunha L. K., Smith J. A., Baeck M. L., Krajewski W. F. An early performance evaluation of the NEXRAD dual-polarization radar rainfall estimates for urban flood applications. Weather Forecast., 28(6):1478–1497, 2013.
- [11] Dinku T., Anagnostou E. N., Borga M. Improving radar-based estimation of rainfall over complex terrain. *J. App. Met.*, 41(12):1163–1178.
- [12] Fulton R. Hydrometerology group;s projects and plans for improving wsr88d rainfall algorithms and products.
- [13] Gourley J.J., Maddox R. A., Howard K. W., Burgess D. W. An exploratory multisensor technique for quantitative estimation of stratiform rainfall. J. Hydrometeorol., 3(2):166–180, 2002.
- [14] Hromadka T.V., Rao P., Walsh T. Assessment of uncertainty in Doppler-Radar estimated precipitation. *Amer. Inst. Hydrol. Bull.*, 34(2), 2018.
- [15] Jayakrishnan R., Srinivasan R., Arnold J. . Comparison of raingage and WSR88D stage III precipitation data over the Texas-Gulf basin. J. Hydrol., 292(14):135–152, 2004.

- [16] Klazura G. E., Thomale J. M., Kelly D. S., Jendrowski P. A comparison of NEXRAD WSR-88D radar estimates of rain accumulation with gauge measurements for high and low reflectivity horizontal gradient precipitation events. J. Atmospheric Ocean. Technol., 16(11):1842–1850, 1999.
- [17] Lim K., Engel B., Muthukrishnan S., Harbor J. Effects of initial abstraction and urbanization on estimated runoff using CN technology. J. Am. Water Resour. As., 42(3):629–643, 2007.
- [18] LMNO Engineering Research and Software Ltd. Tr-55 peak discharge and runoff calculator.
- [19] Morin E., Maddox R. A., Goodrich D. C., Sorooshian S. Radar Z-R relationship for summer monsoon storms in Arizona. *Weather Forecast.*, 20(4):672–679, 2005.
- [20] Parzen E. On Estimation of a Probability Density Function and Mode. Ann. Math. Statist., 33(3):1065–1076, 1962.
- [21] Quintero F., Sempere-Torres D., Berenguer M., Baltas E. A scenario-incorporating analysis of the propagation of uncertainty to flash flood simulations. J. Hydrol., 460-461:90–102, 2012.
- [22] Rico-Ramirez M.A., Liguori S., Schellart A.N.A. Quantifying radar-rainfall uncertainties in urban drainage flow modelling. J. Hydrol., 528:17–28, 2015.
- [23] Rossa, A., Liechti K., Zappa M., Bruen M., Germann U., Keil C., Kraheh P. The COST 731 Action: a review on uncertainty propagation in advanced-meteorological forecast systems. Atmos. Res., (100):150–167, 2011.
- [24] Seo B., Dolan B., Krajewski W. F., Rutledge S. A., Petersen W. Comparison of single and dual polarization based rainfall estimates using NEXRAD data for the NASA Iowa flood studies project. J. Hydrometeorol., 16(4):1658–1675, 2015.
- [25] Villarini G., Krajewski W.F. Empirically based modelling of radar-rainfall uncertainties for c-band radar at different time-scales. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc.*, 135(643):1424–1438, 2009.
- [26] Walsh T., Scioletti M., Rao P., Hromadka T.V., Minvale M. Assessment of uncertainty in Doppler-Radar estimates of precipitation for use in geosciene studies. The Professional Geologist, 56(1), 2019.
- [27] Williams J. R., Kannan N., Wang X., Santhi C., Arnold J. G. Evolution of the SCS runoff curve number method and its applications to continuous runoff simulation. *J. Hydrol. Eng.*, 17(11), 2012.