Looping with `for`

The INFDEV Team @ HR

Hogeschool Rotterdam
Rotterdam, Netherlands

Introduction

Lecture topics

- the (lack of) limitations of `while` loops
- `for` statements and their semantics
- `for` as a *limited* form of `while`

while loops

Potential issues

- While loops specify unbounded iteration
- This means that the number of iterations is not necessarily easy to specify
- For example
  - Virtual machines
  - User-driven loops
  - Servers
  - Operating systems
  - ...

Unbounded loop example

```
n,m = input("Let's have two numbers")
cnt = 1
while n > m:
  cnt = cnt + 1
  n = n / m
print("Result is %d" % cnt)
```

What does this code do?

How many steps does it take?

Unbounded loop example

```
quit = False
while not quit:
  action = raw_input("Should␣I␣quit?")
  if (action == "Yes") | (action == "yes"):
    quit = True
  else:
    print("You␣are␣not␣a␣quitter.")
```

What does this code do?

How many steps does it take?

Unbounded loop example

```
y = 10.0
vy = 0.0
dt = 0.05
while (abs(vy) > 0.9) | (y > 0.2):
  new_y = y + vy * dt
  if new_y <= 0.1:
    vy = -vy * 0.7
  else:
    vy -= 9.8 * dt
    y = new_y
  ... draw a ball at position (10,y) ...
```

What does this code do?

https://github.com/hogeschool/INFDEV01-1/blob/master/code/bouncing%20ball%20sample/bouncing%20ball.py

How many steps does it take?

`while` loops

Potential issues

- `while` loops are very powerful
- with great power comes...

`while` loops

Potential issues

- `while` loops are very powerful
- with great power comes...
- ...greater chance of bugs

`while` loops

Potential issues

- Subtle changes might affect behaviour deeply
- For example, a change in value of `0.1` makes the loop non-terminating
- The culprit may be hidden in a lot of places
  - Floating point errors
  - Logical repetition: state always changes, within a circular trajectory
  - ...

Unbounded loop example

```
y = 10.0
vy = 0.0
dt = 0.05
while (abs(vy) > 0.9) | (y > 0.1):
  new_y = y + vy * dt
  if new_y <= 0.1:
    vy = -vy * 0.7
  else:
    vy -= 9.8 * dt
    y = new_y
  ... draw a ball at position (10,y) ...
```

Does this loop terminate? (This is not the same code as in Slide ??!)

Unbounded loop example

```
y = 10.0
vy = 0.0
dt = 0.05
while (abs(vy) > 0.9) | (y > 0.1):
  new_y = y + vy * dt
  if new_y <= 0.1:
    vy = -vy * 0.7
  else:
    vy -= 9.8 * dt
    y = new_y
  ... draw a ball at position (10,y) ...
```

Does this loop terminate? (This is not the same code as in Slide ??!)

No. The condition has changed to `y > 0.1`.

Unbounded loop example

```
y = 10.0
vy = 0.0
dt = 0.1
while (abs(vy) > 0.9) | (y > 0.2):
  new_y = y + vy * dt
  if new_y <= 0.1:
    vy = -vy * 0.8
  else:
    vy -= 9.8 * dt
    y = new_y
  ... draw a ball at position (10,y) ...
```

Does this loop terminate? (This is not the same code as in Slide ??!)

Unbounded loop example

```
y = 10.0
vy = 0.0
dt = 0.1
while (abs(vy) > 0.9) | (y > 0.2):
  new_y = y + vy * dt
  if new_y <= 0.1:
    vy = -vy * 0.8
  else:
    vy -= 9.8 * dt
    y = new_y
  ... draw a ball at position (10,y) ...
```

Does this loop terminate? (This is not the same code as in Slide ??!)

No. `dt = 0.1` and `vy = -vy * 0.8`.

Correctly encoding intentions

Why is `while` not enough

- The expressive power of `while` is not always needed
- Sometimes we want something simpler, and less dangerous
- For example, consider:
  - For each *hostile alien*
  - Do *attack it*

Correctly encoding intentions

Why is `while` not enough

- A loop such as:
  - For each *hostile alien*
  - Do *attack it*
- Is predictable
- Performs a fixed number of steps (one per hostile alien)
- Will certainly terminate

Correctly encoding intentions

Why is `while` not enough

- In general, we wish to always correctly encode our intention of repeating code N times
- The code must precisely fit our intentions, like a tailored italian suit
  - Code should not be too complicated
  - Code should not be too simple

Correctly encoding intentions

Code that is too complicated?

- A `while` loop where we need to perform `N` steps
- There are many subtle ways to break the code

Correctly encoding intentions

Code that is too complicated?

- Classes, objects, and inheritance everywhere
- To know which code is actually run to say `Hello world!` you need to read twelve files

Correctly encoding intentions

Code that is too complicated?

- Events, lambda's, higher-order combinators everywhere
- To know what the program does you need two doctorates (CompSci and Maths)
  - Plus internal access to the sliced brain of the original programmer

Correctly encoding intentions

Code that is too simple?

- No handling of error cases
- Ignoring hard circumstances

Correctly encoding intentions

Code that is too simple?

- No handling of error cases
- Ignoring hard circumstances
- Not implementing all features correctly
  - Showing progress off
  - Building impressive but pointless demo's

Iterating with `for`

Code that is too simple?

- Python, and many other modern languages, offer explicit constructs for bounded repetition
  - We specify precisely the number of steps that need to be performed
  - The language takes care of performing the right number of steps
  - The construct is much harder to break[a] than a `while`-loop
- These constructs are called for-loops

[a] Running forever

Iterating with `for`

Syntax of `for`

- Number of repetitions (a `range` iterator)
- That stores the index of the current repetition (a variable)
- Body of the loop that is repeated at every iteration (a block of code)

Syntax of for

```
for VARIABLE in range(END):
  BODY
```

- VARIABLE is any valid variable name that becomes useable within the BODY; will range from 0 to END-1
- END is any positive number; the body will be repeated END-1 times
- BODY is a series of statements

Iterating with `for`

Semantics of `for`

- The general form is `for VAR in RANGE: BODY` (f_{VRB})
- If `VAR` is still within `RANGE`, then we jump to the beginning of `BODY` and then increment the variable, otherwise we jump to the end of the whole `for`

$$\begin{cases} (PC, S) \overset{f_{VRB}}{\rightarrow} (firstLine(B), S) & when \quad S[V] \in R \\ (PC, S) \overset{f_{VRB}}{\rightarrow} (skipAfter(B), S) & when \quad S[V] \notin R \end{cases}$$

- At the end of the loop assume that we have two invisible instructions
  - `V = V + 1`
  - `jump back to begin loop`

Iterating with `for`

Index of the current repetition

- The `BODY` of the `for` loop is always the same
- Depending on the current step, we may perform different processing
- For this, we need to know how far we have come in the loop
  - The iteration `VARIABLE` tells us this

Index of the current repetition

```
graph = ""
for i in range(11):
  for j in range((i-5) * (i-5)):
    graph += '='
  graph += "\n"
print(graph)
```

What does this do?

How do the different steps perform different actions based on the value of the iteration variable(s)?

Iterating with `for`

Index of the current repetition

- Different processing per different steps makes the loop perform a more complex operation.
- Complex is not the same as complicated.
- To avoid needless complication, the different steps must still do related things

Unrelated actions in a loop

```
for i in range(6):
    if i == 0:
        #...run a game of tic-tac-toe...
    elif i == 1:
        #...draw a smiley...
    elif i == 2:
        #...run a turtle program...
    elif i == 3:
        #...convert degrees to fahrenheit...
    elif i == 4:
        #...draw a square...
    elif i == 5:
        #...draw a triangle...
```

What is the relationship between the iterations?

Is a `for` loop really needed?

Iterating with `for`

Body of the loop

- The code of the body is a block of code
- A block of code is any statement or series of statements
- Among these statements, we can use as many `if`'s, `for`'s, and `while`'s

Iterating with `for`

Body of the loop

- There is no *obfuscated code* prize available
- Nesting too many complex constructs might make code **needlessly complicated**
- Remember that a `for`-loop adds a large number of possible execution paths, just like a `while`-loop

Advanced loops

Ranges of iteration

- We do not always want to iterate through values between 0 and a given number
- Even though we still need to perform a fixed number of steps
- So a `for`-loop still has advantages over a `while`-loop

Advanced loops

We might want to...

- ...decrement instead of increment, that is “go backwards”
- ...iterate between a range of values that does not start with zero
- ...take steps of more than one between iterations

Advanced loops

The `range` function

- Actually takes three parameters: `range(start, end, step)`
- With one parameter we only specify `end`, while `start = 0` and `step = 1`
- With two parameters we specify `start` and `end`, while `step = 1`
- With all parameters we specify `start`, `end`, and `step`

Specific starting point

```
for i in range(2, 10, 1):
  print(i)
```

Multiple steps


```
for i in range(0, 20, 5):
  print(i)
```

Backwards range

```
for i in range(10, 0, -1):
  print("␣␣␣␣␣␣␣␣␣␣␣\r" + str(i)),
  sleep(0.3)
print("\rBOOOM!!!!!")
```

Advanced loops

Nesting `for`-loops

- The `BODY` of a `for`-loop contains arbitrary code
- This arbitrary code may also contain loops
- Loops within loops have a "multiplicative" behaviour
  - A loop of `M` step within a loop of `N` steps performs `N*M` steps

Multiplicative behaviour


```
cnt = 0
for i in range(10):
  for j in range(5):
    cnt += 1
print(cnt)
```

Advanced loops

Nesting `for`-loops

- Each loop adds its own iteration variable
- The iteration variables, together, are an N-dimensional point
- A single loop performs a “linear” computation, two loops perform a “square” computation, three perform a “cubic” computation, etc.

Advanced loops

Nesting `for`-loops

- Multiple `for`-loops perform a predetermined number of computations
- This means that we can always translate multiple `for`-loops into a single one[a]

[a] This will usually break readability, so it is not advised: it is just a reasoning exercise.

```
for i in range(0,10):
  for j in range(0,5):
    print(i,j)
```

can be simulated with

```
for x in range(0,50):
  i = x / 5
  j = x % 5
  print(i, j)
```

Conclusion

Conclusion

- `while`-loops can encode any form of iteration.
- When the number of iterations is known beforehand, while is too powerful
- To use the right level of abstraction (which is less sensitive to bugs), we use `for`-loops instead
- This allows us to instruct the language to perform exactly the required number of steps, usually with less code

This is it!

The best of luck, and thanks for the attention!