

Ask me about anything that isn't clear.

Linear dynamical system $\dot{x} = Ax$, with $x(t) \in \mathbf{R}^n$

System is called **constant norm** if for every trajectory x , $\|x(t)\|$ is constant, *i.e.*, doesn't depend on t

System is called **constant speed** if for every trajectory x , $\|\dot{x}(t)\|$ is constant, *i.e.*, doesn't depend on t

Give an example of a constant norm system.

Give an example of a constant speed system.

Find the conditions on A under which the system is constant norm.

Find the conditions on A under which the system is constant speed.

Is every constant norm system a constant speed system?

Is every constant speed system a constant norm system?

Discussion/solution.

The system is constant norm if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{d}{dt} \|x(t)\|^2 \\ &= 2x(t)^T \dot{x}(t) \\ &= 2x(t)^T A x(t) \\ &= x(t)^T (A + A^T) x(t) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x(t)$, which occurs if and only $A + A^T = 0$, which is the same as $A^T = -A$, i.e., A is skew-symmetric. There are many other ways to see this. For example, the norm of the state will be constant provided the velocity vector is always orthogonal to the position vector, i.e., $\dot{x}(t)^T x(t) = 0$. This also leads us to $A + A^T = 0$.

Another approach uses the state transition matrix e^{tA} . The system is constant norm provided e^{tA} is orthogonal for all $t \geq 0$. From here, you'd have to argue that A must be skew-symmetric.

The system is constant speed if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{d}{dt} \|\dot{x}(t)\|^2 \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \|A x(t)\|^2 \\ &= 2(A x(t))^T A \dot{x}(t) \\ &= 2x(t)^T A^T A^2 x(t) \\ &= x(t)^T A^T (A + A^T) A x(t) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x(t)$, which occurs if and only $A^T (A + A^T) A = 0$. In other words, the matrix $A^T A^2$ is skew-symmetric.

We see that if a system is constant norm, then it must be constant speed, since $A + A^T = 0$ implies that $A^T (A + A^T) A = 0$.

But the converse is false, as the simple system

$$\dot{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x,$$

which is a double integrator, shows. This system has trajectories of the form

$$x(t) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1(0) + t x_2(0) \\ x_2(0) \end{bmatrix}.$$

It doesn't have constant norm, but it does have constant speed, since $\dot{x} = (x_2(0), 0)$.

Ask me about anything that isn't clear.

card(x) denotes the number of nonzero entries in the vector $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$

suppose we have

$$y = Ax, \quad \text{card}(x) \leq k$$

you know $A \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$, $y \in \mathbf{R}^m$, and k

how would you determine whether there is a **unique** x that satisfies these conditions, and if so, find x ?

Discussion/solution.

Without the cardinality condition, there is a unique solution x only if A has zero nullspace. This requires that $m \geq n$ and that A have rank n . When we add the cardinality information, it can happen that we have a unique solution, even when $m < n$, or $\text{Rank}(A) < n$. These ideas are central to a new research area called compressed sensing. But back to our problem ...

Let's consider *any* set of k indices. Form the matrix $\tilde{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$, taking only the associated columns of A . Now consider the equation $\tilde{A}z = y$. Any solution of this equation gives us a solution x of $Ax = y$, with $\text{card}(x) \leq k$, just by inserting the entries of z into the positions of x associated with the indices, with zeros elsewhere. If the equation $\tilde{A}z = y$ has more than one solution, then the original x is not recoverable; there are at least two values of x that satisfy $Ax = y$ and $\text{card}(x) \leq k$ (indeed, the two solutions have the same sparsity pattern). So the equation $\tilde{A}x = y$ can have only one or zero solutions. If $\tilde{A}x = y$ has one solution, then it is for sure a candidate for x .

Now, we carry out this analysis of the equation $\tilde{A}z = y$ for *all* $\binom{n}{k}$ choices of k indices from $1, \dots, n$. If for any choice of indices there is more than one solution, we can't recover x . We can just quit the whole process right there.

If for all choices that have a solution, the solution is the same, then that vector is x , and it is the unique solution.

There are several ways to carry out this method. (There are also several incorrect ways to do it.) Here is one correct way: For each subset, check if $\tilde{A}z = y$ has a solution. If not, go on to the next subset. If it does, check the rank of \tilde{A} . If it is less than k , quit the entire algorithm, announcing

Now, this isn't really practical, since $\binom{n}{k}$ is a really big number, unless k is very small. But I didn't ask for a practical method.

None of the following was needed, but you might find it interesting. It is likely there isn't a much better way to answer the question with certainty than to do an exhaustive search over subset of cardinality k . However, there are some very good heuristics for finding a sparse x that satisfies $Ax = y$. One way is to minimize $\|x\|_1$ subject to $y = Ax$. This can be done using linear programming. This is a heuristic — it can be wrong — but it very often does recover a sparse x from $y = Ax$.

Ask me about anything that isn't clear.

The *average* of a vector $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ is defined as

$$\text{avg}(x) = \frac{x_1 + \cdots + x_n}{n}.$$

Average-preserving linear transformation.

Under what conditions on $A \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ do we have

$$\text{avg}(Ax) = \text{avg}(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$?

Average-reducing linear transformation.

Under what conditions on $A \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ do we have

$$|\text{avg}(Ax)| \leq |\text{avg}(x)|$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$?

Discussion/solution. We can write $\text{avg}(x) = (1/n)\mathbf{1}^T x$, so

$$\text{avg}(Ax) = \text{avg}(x) \iff (1/m)\mathbf{1}^T Ax = (1/n)\mathbf{1}^T x. \iff \mathbf{1}^T Ax = (m/n)\mathbf{1}^T x.$$

This holds for all x if and only if $\mathbf{1}^T A = (m/n)\mathbf{1}^T$, which can be expressed as $A^T \mathbf{1} = (m/n)\mathbf{1}$. This means that all columns of A must sum to m/n .

Another way to say it is: If you add up the rows of A , you get a row vector all of whose entries are m/n .

If A is square (which it need not be), the condition also means that A has $\mathbf{1}$ as a left eigenvector, with associated eigenvalue m/n .

The second question is a bit trickier. The solution is: $|\text{avg}(Ax)| \leq |\text{avg}(x)|$ for all x if and only if $A^T \mathbf{1} = \alpha(m/n)\mathbf{1}$ for some α with $|\alpha| \leq 1$. In other words, all columns of A must sum to m/n , times a constant (which is the same for all columns) less than or equal to one in magnitude. In terms of eigenvectors, the condition can be expressed as: A has $\mathbf{1}$ as a left eigenvector, with associated eigenvalue λ , with $|\lambda| \leq m/n$.

The "if" direction is clear: If $A^T \mathbf{1} = \alpha(m/n)\mathbf{1}$, where $|\alpha| \leq 1$, then for any x we have

$$\text{avg}(Ax) = (1/m)|(A^T \mathbf{1})^T x| = (|\alpha|/n)|\mathbf{1}^T x| \leq (1/n)|\mathbf{1}^T x| = \text{avg}(x).$$

Now we'll show the opposite direction. Let $a = A^T \mathbf{1}$ and $b = (m/n)\mathbf{1}$. Then $|\text{avg}(Ax)| \leq |\text{avg}(x)|$ can be written as $|a^T x| \leq |b^T x|$. Suppose that $|a^T x| \leq |b^T x|$ for all x . We'll show that $a = \alpha b$, for some $\alpha \in [-1, 1]$. Note that this holds if $a = 0$, with $\alpha = 0$, so we will assume that $a \neq 0$.

Clearly if $b^T x = 0$, then $a^T x = 0$. Thus $\mathcal{N}(b^T) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(a^T)$. Taking orthogonal complements we get $\mathcal{R}(b) \supseteq \mathcal{R}(a)$. In particular $b \in \mathcal{R}(a)$, which means that $b = \alpha a$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$. Taking $x = b$ in $|a^T x| \leq |b^T x|$ yields

$$|a^T b| = |\alpha| a^T a \leq |b^T b| = \alpha^2 a^T a,$$

so $|\alpha| \leq \alpha^2$. From this we conclude $|\alpha| \leq 1$.

Another way to come to the conclusion that the sums of the columns of A must be equal is to consider the particular values of x given by $x = e_i - e_j$, with $i \neq j$. Then $\text{avg}(x) = 0$, so we have to have $\text{avg}(Ax) = 0$. But $\text{avg}(Ax)$ is exactly half the difference of the sum of column i and column j . We conclude that these column sums must be equal; since i and j were arbitrary, we see that all columns of A must have the same sum.

The entries of an invertible $n \times n$ matrix A are integers.

When are all the entries of A^{-1} integers?

(Always? Never? Sometimes?)

Discussion/solution.

As always, the point is not the solution; the point is the clarity of the arguments used.

The identity matrix is an example showing it's possible for all entries of A and A^{-1} to be integers. Another more interesting example is an upper or lower triangular matrix, with its diagonal entries all 1 or -1 .

Let's start with 1×1 matrices, *i.e.*, scalars. Here the inverse is an integer only if $A = 1$ or $A = -1$.

Now let's look at 2×2 matrices. We have

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = A^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix},$$

so if $\det A = 1$ or -1 , then all entries of A^{-1} are integers. The converse is also true: if all entries of the inverse are integers, then $\det A = 1$ or -1 . To see this, we note that

$$1 = \det I = \det(AA^{-1}) = (\det A)(\det A^{-1}).$$

If A and A^{-1} have all integer entries, then $\det A$ and $\det A^{-1}$ are both integers (since they are sums of products of entries). These two integers have a product equal to 1, so they can only be both 1, or both -1 .

Now we can guess the general case: A^{-1} has integer entries if and only if $\det A$ is 1 or -1 . To show one way, assume that $\det A = 1$ or -1 . Cramer's formula for the inverse is

$$(A^{-1})_{ij} = \frac{(-1)^{i+j} \det \tilde{A}}{\det A},$$

where \tilde{A} is formed from A by removing a column and a row. The numerator is an integer, and the denominator is 1 or -1 , so $(A^{-1})_{ij}$ is an integer. To prove the converse, the argument above works: if A and A^{-1} both have integer entries, then $(\det A)(\det A^{-1}) = 1$, and we conclude that $\det A = 1$ or -1 .