

https://teorth.github.io/equational_theories[https : //github.com/teorth/equational_theories](https://github.com/teorth/equational_theories)[https : //teorth](https://teorth.github.io/equational_theories)

Equational theories

Terence Tao

September 26, 2024

Chapter 1

Introduction

Definition 1. A magma is a set G equipped with a binary operation $\circ : G \times G \rightarrow G$.

A *law* is an equation involving a finite number of indeterminate variables and the operation \circ . A magma G then obeys that law if the equation holds for all possible choices of indeterminate variables in G . For instance, the commutative law

$$x \circ y = y \circ x$$

holds in a magma G if and only if that magma is abelian.

We will be interested in seeing which laws imply which other laws, in the sense that magmas obeying the former law automatically obey the latter. We will also be interested in *anti-implications* showing that one law does *not* imply another, by producing examples of magmas that obey the former law but not the latter.

Chapter 2

Equations

Definition 2 (Equation 1). Equation1magma-def Equation 1 is the law $x = x$.

Definition 3 (Equation 2). Equation2magma-def Equation 2 is the law $x = y$.

Definition 4 (Equation 3). Equation3magma-def Equation 3 is the law $x = x \circ x$.

Definition 5 (Equation 4). Equation4magma-def Equation 4 is the law $x = x \circ y$.

Definition 6 (Equation 5). Equation5magma-def Equation 5 is the law $x = y \circ x$.

Definition 7 (Equation 6). Equation6magma-def Equation 6 is the law $x = y \circ y$.

Definition 8 (Equation 7). Equation7magma-def Equation 7 is the law $x = y \circ z$.

Definition 9 (Equation 8). Equation8magma-def Equation 8 is the law $x = x \circ (x \circ x)$.

Definition 10 (Equation 38). Equation38magma-def Equation 38 is the law $x \circ x = x \circ y$.

Definition 11 (Equation 39). Equation39magma-def Equation 39 is the law $x \circ x = y \circ x$.

Definition 12 (Equation 40). Equation40magma-def Equation 40 is the law $x \circ x = y \circ y$.

Definition 13 (Equation 41). Equation41magma-def Equation 41 is the law $x \circ x = y \circ z$.

Definition 14 (Equation 42). Equation42magma-def Equation 42 is the law $x \circ y = x \circ z$.

Definition 15 (Equation 43). Equation43magma-def Equation 43 is the law $x \circ y = y \circ x$.

Definition 16 (Equation 46). Equation46magma-def Equation 46 is the law $x \circ y = z \circ w$.

Definition 17 (Equation 168). Equation168magma-def Equation 168 is the law $x = (y \circ x) \circ (x \circ z)$.

Definition 18 (Equation 387). Equation387magma-def Equation 387 is the law $x \circ y = (y \circ y) \circ x$.

Definition 19 (Equation 4512). Equation4512magma-def Equation 4512 is the law $x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ y) \circ z$.

Definition 20 (Equation 4513). Equation4513magma-def Equation 4513 is the law $x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ y) \circ w$.

Definition 21 (Equation 4552). Equation4552magma-def Equation 4552 is the law $x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ w) \circ u$.

Definition 22 (Equation 4582). Equation4582magma-def Equation 4582 is the law $x \circ (y \circ z) = (w \circ u) \circ v$.

Chapter 3

Implications

To reduce clutter, trivial or very easy implications will not be displayed here.

Theorem 23 (387 implies 43). *eq387,eq43Equation387_iimplies_Equation43Definition??impliesDefinition??.*

Proof. (From MathOverflow). By Definition ??, one has the law

$$(x \circ x) \circ y = y \circ x. \quad (3.1)$$

Specializing to $y = x \circ x$, we conclude

$$(x \circ x) \circ (x \circ x) = (x \circ x) \circ x$$

and hence by another application of (??) we see that $x \circ x$ is idempotent:

$$(x \circ x) \circ (x \circ x) = x \circ x. \quad (3.2)$$

Now, replacing x by $x \circ x$ in (??) and then using (??) we see that

$$(x \circ x) \circ y = y \circ (x \circ x)$$

so in particular $x \circ x$ commutes with $y \circ y$:

$$(x \circ x) \circ (y \circ y) = (y \circ y) \circ (x \circ x). \quad (3.3)$$

Also, from two applications of (??) one has

$$(x \circ x) \circ (y \circ y) = (y \circ y) \circ x = x \circ y.$$

Thus (??) simplifies to $x \circ y = y \circ x$, which is Definition ??. \square

Theorem 24 (7 equivalent to 2). *eq2,eq7Equation7_iimplies_Equation2,Equation2_iimplies_Equation7Definition??.*

Proof. When $x = y * z$, obviously $x = y$ because $x = x * x$ and $y = x * x$. the other way around is trivial. \square

Theorem 25 (6 equivalent to 2). *eq2,eq6Equation6impliesEquation2,Equation2impliesEquation6Definition*

Proof. Similar to the previous argument. □

More generally, any equation of the form $x = f(y, z, w, u, v)$ is equivalent to Equation 2 (eventually once we have enough API for Magma relations, we could formalize this general claim rather than establish it on a case by case basis). It is also trivial that Equation 2 implies every other equation.

Chapter 4

Counterexamples

Theorem 26 (46 does not imply 4). *Equation 46 does not imply Equation 46, eq 4 Definition ?? does not imply Definition ??*

Proof. Use the natural numbers \mathbb{N} with operation $x \circ y := 0$. \square

Theorem 27 (4 does not imply 4582). *Equation 4 does not imply Equation 4582, eq 4582 Definition ?? does not imply Definition ??*

Proof. Use the natural numbers \mathbb{N} with operation $x \circ y := x$. \square

Theorem 28 (4 does not imply 43). *Equation 4 does not imply Equation 43, eq 43 Definition ?? does not imply Definition ??*

Proof. Use the natural numbers \mathbb{N} with operation $x \circ y := x$. \square

Theorem 29 (4582 does not imply 42). *Equation 4582 does not imply Equation 42, eq 42 Definition ?? does not imply Definition ??*

Proof. Use the natural numbers \mathbb{N} with operation $x \circ y$ equal to 1 if $x = y = 0$ and 2 otherwise. \square

Theorem 30 (4582 does not imply 43). *Equation 4582 does not imply Equation 43, eq 43 Definition ?? does not imply Definition ??*

Proof. Use the natural numbers \mathbb{N} with operation $x \circ y$ equal to 3 if $x = 1$ and $y = 2$ and 4 otherwise. \square

Theorem 31 (42 does not imply 43). *Equation 42 does not imply Equation 43, eq 43 Definition ?? does not imply Definition ??*

Proof. Use the natural numbers \mathbb{N} with operation $x \circ y := x$. \square

Theorem 32 (42 does not imply 4512). *Equation 42 does not imply Equation 4512, eq 4512 Definition ?? does not imply Definition ??*

Proof. Use the natural numbers \mathbb{N} with operation $x \circ y := x + 1$. \square

Theorem 33 (43 does not imply 42). *Equation 43 does not imply Equation 42, eq 42 Definition ?? does not imply Definition ??*

Proof. Use the natural numbers \mathbb{N} with operation $x \circ y := x + y$. \square

Theorem 34 (43 does not imply 4512). *Equation 43 does not imply Equation 4512, eq 4512 Definition ?? does not imply Definition ??*

Proof. Use the natural numbers \mathbb{N} with operation $x \circ y := x \cdot y + 1$. \square

Theorem 35 (4513 does not imply 4552). *Equation4513_not_imp_lies_Equation4552eq4513, eq4552Def_in_ition??doesnotimplyDef_in_ition??*

Proof. Use the natural numbers \mathbb{N} with operation $x \circ y$ equal to 1 if $x = 0$ and $y \leq 2$, 2 if $x = 0$ and $y > 2$, and x otherwise. \square

Theorem 36 (4512 does not imply 4513). *Equation4512_not_imp_lies_Equation4513eq4512, eq4513Def_in_ition??doesnotimplyDef_in_ition??*

Proof. Use the natural numbers \mathbb{N} with operation $x \circ y := x + y$. \square

Theorem 37 (387 does not imply 42). *Equation387_not_imp_lies_Equation42eq387, eq42Def_in_ition??doesnotimplyDef_in_ition??*

Proof. Use the boolean type Bool with $x \circ y := x || y$. \square

Theorem 38 (43 does not imply 387). *Equation43_not_imp_lies_Equation387eq43, eq387Def_in_ition??doesnotimplyDef_in_ition??*

Proof. Use the natural numbers \mathbb{N} with $x \circ y := x + y$. \square

Theorem 39 (387 does not imply 4512). *Equation387_not_imp_lies_Equation4512eq387, eq4512Def_in_ition??doesnotimplyDef_in_ition??*

Proof. Use the reals \mathbb{R} with $x \circ y := (x + y)/2$. \square

Theorem 40 (3 does not imply 42). *Equation3_not_imp_lies_Equation42eq3, eq42Def_in_ition??doesnotimplyDef_in_ition??*

Proof. Use the natural numbers \mathbb{N} with $x \circ y := y$. \square

Theorem 41 (3 does not imply 4512). *Equation3_not_imp_lies_Equation4512eq3, eq4512Def_in_ition??doesnotimplyDef_in_ition??*

Proof. Use the natural numbers \mathbb{N} with $x \circ y$ equal to x when $x = y$ and $x + 1$ otherwise. \square

Theorem 42 (46 does not imply 3). *Equation46_not_imp_lies_Equation3eq46, eq3Def_in_ition??doesnotimplyDef_in_ition??*

Proof. Use the natural numbers \mathbb{N} with $x \circ y := 0$. \square

Theorem 43 (43 does not imply 3). *Equation43_not_imp_lies_Equation3eq43, eq3Def_in_ition??doesnotimplyDef_in_ition??*

Proof. Use the natural numbers \mathbb{N} with $x \circ y := x + y$. \square