$\label{lem:https://teorth.github.io/equational} he orieshttps://github.com/teorth/equational_theorieshttps://teorth.github.io/equational_theorieshttps://teorth.github.com/teorth/equational_theorieshttps://teorth.github.io/equational_theorieshttps://teorth.github.com/teorth/equational_theorieshttps://teorth.github.com/teorth/equational_theorieshttps://teorth.github.com/teorth/equational_theorieshttps://teorth.github.com/teorth/equational_theorieshttps://teorth.github.com/teorth/equational_theorieshttps://teorth.github.com/teorth/equational_theorieshttps://teorth.github.com/teorth/equational_theorieshttps://teorth.github.com/teorth/equational_theorieshttps://teorth.github.com/teorth/equational_theorieshttps://teorth.github.com/teorth/equational_theorieshttps://teorth.github.com/teorth/equational_theorieshttps://teorth.github.com/teorth/equational_theorieshttps://teorth.github.com/teorth/equational_theorieshttps://teorth.github.com/teorth/equational_theorieshttps://teorth.github.com/teorth/equational_theorieshttps://teorth.github.com/teorth/equational_theorieshttps://teorth/equational_theorieshttps://teorth.github.com/teorth/equational_theorieshttps://teorth/equational_theoriesh$ 

# Equational theories

Terence Tao

September 26, 2024

### Introduction

**Definition 1.** Magma Magma is a set G equipped with a binary operation  $\circ: G \times G \to G$ .

A law is an equation involving a finite number of indeterminate variables and the operation  $\circ$ . A magma G then obeys that law if the equation holds for all possible choices of indeterminate variables in G. For instance, the commutative law

$$x \circ y = y \circ x$$

holds in a magma G if and only if that magma is abelian.

We will be interested in seeing which laws imply which other laws, in the sense that magmas obeying the former law automatically obey the latter. We will also be interested in *anti-implications* showing that one law does *not* imply another, by producing examples of magmas that obey the former law but not the latter.

## **Equations**

**Definition 2** (Equation 1). Equation 1 magma-def Equation 1 is the law x = x.

**Definition 3** (Equation 2). Equation 2 magma-def Equation 2 is the law x = y.

**Definition 4** (Equation 3). Equation 3 magma-def Equation 3 is the law  $x = x \circ x$ .

**Definition 5** (Equation 4). Equation 4 magma-def Equation 4 is the law  $x = x \circ y$ .

**Definition 6** (Equation 5). Equation5magma-def Equation 5 is the law  $x = y \circ x$ .

**Definition 7** (Equation 6). Equation 6 magma-def Equation 6 is the law  $x = y \circ y$ .

**Definition 8** (Equation 7). Equation 7 magma-def Equation 7 is the law  $x = y \circ z$ .

**Definition 9** (Equation 8). Equation8magma-def Equation 8 is the law  $x = x \circ (x \circ x)$ .

**Definition 10** (Equation 42). Equation 42 magma-def Equation 42 is the law  $x \circ y = x \circ z$ .

**Definition 11** (Equation 43). Equation 43 magma-def Equation 43 is the law  $x \circ y = y \circ x$ .

**Definition 12** (Equation 46). Equation 46 magma-def Equation 46 is the law  $x \circ y = z \circ w$ .

**Definition 13** (Equation 387). Equation 387 magma-def Equation 387 is the law  $x \circ y = (y \circ y) \circ x$ .

**Definition 14** (Equation 4512). Equation 4512 magma-def Equation 4512 is the law  $x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ y) \circ z$ .

**Definition 15** (Equation 4513). Equation 4513 magma-def Equation 4513 is the law  $x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ y) \circ w$ .

**Definition 16** (Equation 4552). Equation 4552 magma-def Equation 4552 is the law  $x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ w) \circ u$ .

**Definition 17** (Equation 4582). Equation 4582 magma-def Equation 4582 is the law  $x \circ (y \circ z) = (w \circ u) \circ v$ .

# **Implications**

To reduce clutter, trivial or very easy implications will not be displayed here.

**Theorem 18** (387 implies 43).  $eq387, eq43Equation387; mplies_Equation43Definition??impliesDefinition??.$ 

Proof. (From MathOverflow). By Definition ??, one has the law

$$(x \circ x) \circ y = y \circ x. \tag{3.1}$$

Specializing to  $y = x \circ x$ , we conclude

$$(x \circ x) \circ (x \circ x) = (x \circ x) \circ x$$

and hence by another application of (??) we see that  $x \circ x$  is idempotent:

$$(x \circ x) \circ (x \circ x) = x \circ x. \tag{3.2}$$

Now, replacing x by  $x \circ x$  in (??) and then using (??) we see that

$$(x \circ x) \circ y = y \circ (x \circ x)$$

so in particular  $x \circ x$  commutes with  $y \circ y$ :

$$(x \circ x) \circ (y \circ y) = (y \circ y) \circ (x \circ x). \tag{3.3}$$

Also, from two applications of (??) one has

$$(x \circ x) \circ (y \circ y) = (y \circ y) \circ x = x \circ y.$$

Thus (??) simplifies to  $x \circ y = y \circ x$ , which is Definition ??.

# Counterexamples

<b>Theorem 19</b> (46 does not imply 4). $Equation 46_n ot_i mplies_E quation 4eq 46$ ,	$eq 4 Definition \ref{lem:prop} does not imply Define the properties of the properti$
<i>Proof.</i> Use the natural numbers $\mathbb N$ with operation $x\circ y:=0$ .	
<b>Theorem 20</b> (4 does not imply 4582). $Equation 4_not_implies_Equation 4582e$	$eq4, eq4582 Definition \ref{lem:eq4} does not impose the properties of the properti$
<i>Proof.</i> Use the natural numbers $\mathbb N$ with operation $x\circ y:=x.$	
<b>Theorem 21</b> (4 does not imply 43). $Equation 4_n ot_i mplies_E quation 43 eq 4, eq. (4)$	$q43 Definition \ref{lem:state} does not imply Definition \ref{lem:state}. The state of the state$
<i>Proof.</i> Use the natural numbers $\mathbb N$ with operation $x\circ y:=x.$	
<b>Theorem 22</b> (Equation 4582 does not imply Equation 42). Equation $4582_n$	$ot_implies_E quation 42 eq 4582, eq 42 Detection 1 = 100 eq 400 eq 400$
<i>Proof.</i> Use the natural numbers $\mathbb N$ with operation $x\circ y$ equal to 1 if $x=y$ and 2 otherwise.	y = 0
<b>Theorem 23</b> (Equation 4582 does not imply Equation 43). Equation $4582_n$	$ot_implies_E quation 43 eq 4582, eq 43 Detection 1 = 100 eq 400 eq 400$
<i>Proof.</i> Use the natural numbers $\mathbb N$ with operation $x\circ y$ equal to 3 if $x=1$ $y=2$ and 4 otherwise.	$\Box$ and
<b>Theorem 24</b> (Equation 42 does not imply Equation 43). $Equation 42_not_i m_i$	$plies_{E}quation 43eq 42, eq 43 Definition 1$
<i>Proof.</i> Use the natural numbers $\mathbb N$ with operation $x\circ y:=x.$	
<b>Theorem 25</b> (Equation 42 does not imply Equation 4512). $Equation 42_not_i$	$mplies_E quation 4512 eq 42, eq 4512 Detection 1.0 to 1.$
<i>Proof.</i> Use the natural numbers $\mathbb N$ with operation $x\circ y:=x+1$ .	
<b>Theorem 26</b> (Equation 43 does not imply Equation 42). Equation $43_n ot_i m_i$	$plies_{E}quation 42 eq 43, eq 42 Definition 1$
<i>Proof.</i> Use the natural numbers $\mathbb N$ with operation $x\circ y:=x+y$ .	
<b>Theorem 27</b> (Equation 43 does not imply Equation 4512). Equation $43not_i$	$mplies_{E}quation 4512eq 43, eq 4512Detection 1000000000000000000000000000000000000$
<i>Proof.</i> Use the natural numbers $\mathbb N$ with operation $x\circ y:=x\cdot y+1$ .	

$\textbf{Theorem 28} \; (\text{Equation 4513 does not imply Equation 4552}). \; Equation 4513 not implies_{E} quation 4552 eq 4513, equation 4552 eq 4513. \\$
<i>Proof.</i> Use the natural numbers $\mathbb N$ with operation $x\circ y$ equal to 1 if $x=0$ and $y\leq 2,$ 2 if $x=0$ and $y>2,$ and $x$ otherwise.
$\textbf{Theorem 29} \; (\text{Equation 4512 does not imply Equation 4513}). \; \textit{Equation 4512} \\ \textit{not}_{i} \\ \textit{mplies}_{E} \\ \textit{quation 4513eq 4512}, \\ \textit{equation 4512eq 4512}, \\ equation 45$
<i>Proof.</i> Use the natural numbers $\mathbb N$ with operation $x \circ y := x + y$ .
$\textbf{Theorem 30} \ (\text{Equation } 387 \ \text{does not imply Equation } 42). \ \textit{Equation } 387, oot_implies_E quation \\ 42eq387, eq \\ 42Define \\ 42eq38, eq \\ 42eq38, $
<i>Proof.</i> Use the boolean type Bool with $x \circ y := x  y$ .
$\textbf{Theorem 31} \ (\text{Equation 43 does not imply Equation 387}). \ \textit{Equation43} \\ \textit{not}_{i} \\ \textit{mplies}_{E} \\ \textit{quation387} \\ \textit{eq387} \\ \textit{Defined for the equation 387}.$
<i>Proof.</i> Use the natural numbers $\mathbb N$ with $x \circ y := x + y$ .
$\textbf{Theorem 32} \; (\text{Equation } 387 \; \text{does not imply Equation } 4512). \; \textit{Equation } 387 not_implies_E quation \\ 4512eq387, eq451eq387, eq451eq476, eq451eq387, eq451eq387, eq451eq387, eq451eq387, eq451eq387,$
<i>Proof.</i> Use the reals with $x \circ y := (x+y)/2$ .