

https://teorth.github.io/equational_theories[https : //github.com/teorth/equational_theories](https://github.com/teorth/equational_theories)[https : //teorth](https://teorth.github.io/equational_theories)

Equational theories

Terence Tao

October 1, 2024

Chapter 1

Basic theory of magmas

Definition 1.1 (Magma). A *magma* is a set G equipped with a binary operation $\circ : G \times G \rightarrow G$. A *homomorphism* $\varphi : G \rightarrow H$ between two magmas is a map such that $\varphi(x \circ y) = \varphi(x) \circ \varphi(y)$ for all $x, y \in G$. An *isomorphism* is an invertible homomorphism.

Groups, semi-groups, and monoids are familiar examples of magmas. However, in general we do not expect magmas to have any associative properties.

A magma is called *empty* if it has cardinality zero, *singleton* if it has cardinality one, and *non-trivial* otherwise.

The number of magma structures on a set G of cardinality n is of course n^{n^2} , which is ¹

1, 1, 16, 19683, 4294967296, 298023223876953125, ...

(OEIS A002489). Up to isomorphism, the number of finite magmas of cardinality n up to isomorphism is the slightly slower growing sequence

1, 1, 10, 3330, 178981952, 2483527537094825, 14325590003318891522275680, ...

(OEIS A001329).

Definition 1.2 (Free Magma). The *free magma* M_X generated by a set X (which we call an *alphabet*) is the set of all finite formal expressions built from elements of X and the operation \circ . An element of M_X will be called a *word* with alphabet X . The *order* of a word is the number of \circ symbols needed to generate the word. Thus for instance X is precisely the set of words of order 0 in M_X .

For sake of concreteness, we will take the alphabet X to default to the natural numbers \mathbb{N} if not otherwise specified.

For instance, if $X = \{0, 1\}$, then M_X would consist of the following words:

¹All sequences start from $n = 0$ unless otherwise specified.

- 0, 1 (the words of order 0);
- $0 \circ 0, 0 \circ 1, 1 \circ 0, 1 \circ 1$ (the words of order 1);
- $0 \circ (0 \circ 0), 0 \circ (0 \circ 1), 0 \circ (1 \circ 0), 0 \circ (1 \circ 1), 1 \circ (0 \circ 0), 1 \circ (0 \circ 1), 1 \circ (1 \circ 0), 1 \circ (1 \circ 1), (0 \circ 0) \circ 0, (0 \circ 0) \circ 1, (0 \circ 1) \circ 0, (0 \circ 1) \circ 1, (1 \circ 0) \circ 0, (1 \circ 0) \circ 1, (1 \circ 1) \circ 0, (1 \circ 1) \circ 1$ (the words of order 2);
- etc.

Lemma 1.3. *FreeMagma.elementsOfNumNodesEqCardEqCatalan_mul_powForafinitealphabetX, thenumberofw where C_n is the n^{th} Catalan number and X is the cardinality of X .*

Proof. Follows from standard properties of Catalan numbers. \square

The first few Catalan numbers are

$$1, 1, 2, 5, 14, 42, 132, \dots$$

(OEIS A000108).

Definition 1.4 (Induced homomorphism). free-magma-def Given a function $f : X \rightarrow G$ from an alphabet X to a magma G , the *induced homomorphism* $\varphi_f : M_X \rightarrow G$ is the unique extension of f to a magma homomorphism. Similarly, if $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a function, we write $\pi_* : M_X \rightarrow M_Y$ for the unique extension of π to a magma homomorphism.

For instance, if $f : \{0, 1\} \rightarrow G$ maps 0, 1 to x, y respectively, then

$$\varphi_f(0 \circ 1) = x \circ y$$

$$\varphi_f(1 \circ (0 \circ 1)) = y \circ (x \circ y)$$

and so forth. If $\pi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is the map $\pi(n) := n + 1$, then

$$\pi_*(0 \circ 1) = 1 \circ 2$$

$$\pi_*(1 \circ (0 \circ 1)) = 2 \circ (1 \circ 2)$$

and so forth.

Definition 1.5 (Law). MagmaLawinduced-def Let X be a set. A *law* with alphabet X is a formal expression of the form $w \simeq w'$, where $w, w' \in M_X$ are words with alphabet X (thus one can identify laws with alphabet X with elements of $M_X \times M_X$). A magma G *satisfies* the law $w \simeq w'$ if we have $\varphi_f(w) = \varphi_f(w')$ for all $f : X \rightarrow G$, in which case we write $G \models w \simeq w'$.

Thus, for instance, the commutative law

$$0 \circ 1 \simeq 1 \circ 0 \tag{1.1}$$

is satisfied by a magma G if and only if

$$x \circ y = y \circ x \quad (1.2)$$

for all $x, y \in G$. We refer to (??) as the *equation* associated to the law (??). One can think of equations as the “semantic” interpretation of a “syntactic” law. However, we shall often abuse notation and a law with its associated equation thus we shall (somewhat carelessly) also refer to (??) as “the commutative law” (rather than “the commutative equation”).

Definition 1.6 (Models). *modelslaw-def* Given an arbitrary set Γ of laws, a magma G is a *model* of Γ with the (overloaded) notation $G \models \Gamma$ if $G \models w \simeq w'$ for every $w \simeq w'$ in Γ .

Definition 1.7 (Derivation). *derivelaw-def* Given a set Γ of laws and a law $w \simeq w'$ over a fixed alphabet X , we say that Γ *derives* $w \simeq w'$, and write $\Gamma \vdash w \simeq w'$, if the law can be obtained using a finite number of applications of the following rules:

1. if $w \simeq w' \in \Gamma$, then $\Gamma \vdash w \simeq w'$
2. $\Gamma \vdash w \simeq w$ for any word w
3. if $\Gamma \vdash w \simeq w'$ then $\Gamma \vdash w' \simeq w$
4. if $\Gamma \vdash w \simeq w'$ and $\Gamma \vdash w' \simeq w''$ then $\Gamma \vdash w \simeq w''$
5. if $\Gamma \vdash w \simeq w'$ then $\Gamma \vdash w\sigma \simeq w'\sigma$, where σ is an arbitrary map from X to words in M_X and $w\sigma$ replaces each occurrence of an element of X with its image by σ in w .
6. if $\Gamma \vdash w_1 \simeq w_2$ and $\Gamma \vdash w_3 \simeq w_4$ then $\Gamma \vdash w_1 \circ w_3 \simeq w_2 \circ w_4$

This definition is useful because of the following theorem:

Theorem 1.8 (Completeness). (*Birkhoff’s completeness theorem*) *Completeness* For any set of laws Γ and words w, w' over a fixed alphabet

$$\Gamma \vdash w \simeq w' \text{ iff } \Gamma \models w \simeq w'$$

Proof. TODO. □

Lemma 1.9 (Pushforward). *law-def* Let $w \simeq w'$ be a law with some alphabet X , G be a magma, and $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. If $G \models w \simeq w'$, then $G \models \pi_*(w) \simeq \pi_*(w')$. In particular, if π is a bijection, the statements If $G \models w \simeq w'$, then $G \models \pi_*(w) \simeq \pi_*(w')$ are equivalent.

If π is a bijection, we will call $\pi_*(w) \simeq \pi_*(w')$ a *relabeling* of the law $w \simeq w'$. Thus for instance

$$5 \circ 7 \simeq 7 \circ 5$$

is a relabeling of the commutative law (??). By the above lemma, relabeling does not affect whether a given magma satisfies a given law.

Proof. Trivial. \square

Lemma 1.10 (Equivalence). *law-def* Let G be a magma and X be an alphabet. Then the relation $G \models w \simeq w'$ is an equivalence relation on M_X .

Proof. Trivial. \square

Define the total order of a law $w \simeq w'$ to be the sum of the orders of w and w' .

Lemma 1.11 (Counting laws up to relabeling). *push* Up to relabeling, the number of laws $w \simeq w'$ of total order n is $C_{n+1}B_{n+2}$.

Proof. Follows from the properties of Catalan and Bell numbers. \square

The first few Bell numbers are

$$1, 1, 2, 5, 15, 52, 203, \dots$$

(OEIS A000110).

The sequence in Lemma ?? is

$$2, 10, 75, 728, 8526, 115764, \dots$$

(OEIS A289679).

Now we would also like to count laws up to relabeling and symmetry.

Lemma 1.12 (Counting laws up to relabeling and symmetry). *push* Up to relabeling and symmetry, the number of laws $w \simeq w'$ of total order n is

$$C_{n+1}B_{n+2}/2$$

when n is odd, and

$$(C_{n+1}B_{n+2} + C_{n/2}(2D_{n+2} - B_{n+2}))/2$$

when n is even, where D_n is the number of partitions of $[n]$ up to reflection.

Proof. Elementary counting. \square

The sequence D_n is

$$1, 1, 2, 4, 11, 32, 117, \dots$$

(OEIS A103293), and the sequence in Lemma ?? is

$$2, 5, 41, 364, 4294, 57882, 888440, \dots$$

(OEIS A376620).

We can also identify all laws of the form $w \simeq w$ with the trivial law $0 \simeq 0$. The number of such laws of total order n is zero if n is odd, and $C_{n/2}B_{n/2+1}$ if n is even. We conclude:

Lemma 1.13 (Counting laws up to relabeling, symmetry, and triviality). *Up to relabeling, symmetry, and triviality, the number of laws of total order n is*

$$C_{n+1}B_{n+2}/2$$

if n is odd, 2 if $n = 0$, and

$$(C_{n+1}B_{n+2} + C_{n/2}(2D_{n+2} - B_{n+2}))/2 - C_{n/2}B_{n/2+1}$$

if $n \geq 2$ is even.

Proof. Routine counting. □

This sequence is

$$2, 5, 39, 364, 4284, 57882, 888365, \dots$$

(OEIS A376640).

In particular, up to relabeling, symmetry, and triviality, there are exactly 4694 laws of total order at most 4. A list can be found [here](#). A script for generating them may be found [here](#). The list is sorted by the total number of operations, then by the number of operations on the LHS. Within each such class we define an order on expressions by variable < operation, and lexical order on variables.

Chapter 2

Subgraph laws

In this project we study the 4694 laws (up to symmetry and relabeling) of total order at most 4.

Selected laws of interest are listed below, as well as in this file. Laws in this list will be referred to as “subgraph equations”, as we shall inspect the subgraph of the implication subgraph induced by these equations.

Definition 2.1 (Equation 1). Equation1magma-def Equation 1 is the law $0 \simeq 0$ (or the equation $x = x$).

This is the trivial law, satisfied by all magmas. It is self-dual.

Definition 2.2 (Equation 2). Equation2magma-def Equation 2 is the law $0 \simeq 1$ (or the equation $x = y$).

This is the singleton law, satisfied only by the empty and singleton magmas. It is self-dual.

Definition 2.3 (Equation 3). Equation3magma-def Equation 3 is the law $0 \simeq 0 \circ 0$ (or the equation $x = x \circ x$).

This is the idempotence law. It is self-dual.

Definition 2.4 (Equation 4). Equation4magma-def Equation 4 is the law $0 \simeq 0 \circ 1$ (or the equation $x = x \circ y$).

This is the left absorption law.

Definition 2.5 (Equation 5). Equation5magma-def Equation 5 is the law $0 \simeq 1 \circ 0$ (or the equation $x = y \circ x$).

This is the right absorption law (the dual of Definition ??).

Definition 2.6 (Equation 6). Equation6magma-def Equation 6 is the law $0 \simeq 1 \circ 1$ (or the equation $x = y \circ y$).

This law is equivalent to the singleton law.

Definition 2.7 (Equation 7). Equation7magma-def Equation 7 is the law $0 \simeq 1 \circ 2$ (or the equation $x = y \circ z$).

This law is equivalent to the singleton law.

Definition 2.8 (Equation 8). Equation8magma-def Equation 8 is the law $0 \simeq 0 \circ (0 \circ 0)$ (or the equation $x = x \circ (x \circ x)$).

Definition 2.9 (Equation 14). Equation14magma-def Equation 14 is the law $0 \simeq 1 \circ (0 \circ 1)$ (or the equation $x = y(xy)$).

Appears in Problem A1 from Putnam 2001.

Definition 2.10 (Equation 23). Equation23magma-def Equation 23 is the law $0 \simeq (0 \circ 0) \circ 0$ (or the equation $x = (x \circ x) \circ x$).

This is the dual of Definition ??.

Definition 2.11 (Equation 29). Equation29magma-def Equation 29 is the law $0 \simeq (1 \circ 0) \circ 1$ (or the equation $x = (yx)y$).

Appears in Problem A1 from Putnam 2001. Dual to Definition ??.

Definition 2.12 (Equation 38). Equation38magma-def Equation 38 is the law $0 \circ 0 \simeq 0 \circ 1$ (or the equation $x \circ x = x \circ y$).

This law asserts that the magma operation is independent of the second argument.

Definition 2.13 (Equation 39). Equation39magma-def Equation 39 is the law $0 \circ 0 \simeq 1 \circ 0$ (or the equation $x \circ x = y \circ x$).

This law asserts that the magma operation is independent of the first argument (the dual of Definition ??).

Definition 2.14 (Equation 40). Equation40magma-def Equation 40 is the law $0 \circ 0 \simeq 1 \circ 1$ (or the equation $x \circ x = y \circ y$).

This law asserts that all squares are constant. It is self-dual.

Definition 2.15 (Equation 41). Equation41magma-def Equation 41 is the law $0 \circ 0 \simeq 1 \circ 2$ (or the equation $x \circ x = y \circ z$).

This law is equivalent to the constant law, Definition ??.

Definition 2.16 (Equation 42). Equation42magma-def Equation 42 is the law $0 \circ 1 \simeq 0 \circ 2$ (or the equation $x \circ y = x \circ z$).

Equivalent to Definition ??.

Definition 2.17 (Equation 43). Equation43magma-def Equation 43 is the law $0 \circ 1 \simeq 1 \circ 0$ (or the equation $x \circ y = y \circ x$).

The commutative law. It is self-dual.

Definition 2.18 (Equation 45). Equation45magma-def Equation 45 is the law $0 \circ 1 \simeq 2 \circ 1$ (or the equation $x \circ y = z \circ y$).

This is the dual of Definition ??.

Definition 2.19 (Equation 46). Equation46magma-def Equation 46 is the law $0 \circ 1 \simeq 2 \circ 3$ (or the equation $x \circ y = z \circ w$).

The constant law: all products are constant. It is self-dual.

Definition 2.20 (Equation 168). Equation168magma-def Equation 168 is the law $0 \simeq (1 \circ 0) \circ (0 \circ 2)$ (or the equation $x = (y \circ x) \circ (x \circ z)$).

The law of a central groupoid. It is self-dual.

Definition 2.21 (Equation 381). Equation381magma-def Equation 381 is the law $0 \circ 1 \simeq (0 \circ 2) \circ 1$ (or the equation $x \circ y = (x \circ z) \circ y$).

Appears in Putnam 1978, Problem A4, part (b).

Definition 2.22 (Equation 387). Equation387magma-def Equation 387 is the law $0 \circ 1 \simeq (1 \circ 1) \circ 0$ (or the equation $x \circ y = (y \circ y) \circ x$).

Introduced in MathOverflow.

Definition 2.23 (Equation 1689). Equation1689magma-def Equation 1689 is the law $0 \simeq (1 \circ 0) \circ ((0 \circ 2) \circ 2)$ (or the equation $x = (y \circ x) \circ ((x \circ z) \circ z)$).

Mentioned in [?].

Definition 2.24 (Equation 3722). Equation3722magma-def Equation 3722 is the law $0 \circ 1 \simeq (0 \circ 1) \circ (0 \circ 1)$ (or the equation $x \circ y = (x \circ y) \circ (x \circ y)$).

Appears in Putnam 1978, Problem A4, part (a). It is self-dual.

Definition 2.25 (Equation 3744). Equation3744magma-def Equation 3744 is the law $0 \circ 1 \simeq (0 \circ 2) \circ (3 \circ 1)$ (or the equation $x \circ y = (x \circ z) \circ (w \circ y)$).

This law is called a “bypass operation” in Putnam 1978, Problem A4. It is self-dual.

Definition 2.26 (Equation 4512). Equation4512magma-def Equation 4512 is the law $0 \circ (1 \circ 2) \simeq (0 \circ 1) \circ 2$ (or the equation $x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ y) \circ z$).

The associative law. It is self-dual.

Definition 2.27 (Equation 4513). Equation4513magma-def Equation 4513 is the law $0 \circ (1 \circ 2) \simeq (0 \circ 1) \circ 3$ (or the equation $x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ y) \circ w$).

Definition 2.28 (Equation 4522). Equation4522magma-def Equation 4522 is the law $0 \circ (1 \circ 2) \simeq (0 \circ 3) \circ 4$ (or the equation $x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ w) \circ u$).

Dual to Definition ??.

Definition 2.29 (Equation 4564). Equation4564magma-def Equation 4564 is the law $0 \circ (1 \circ 2) \simeq (3 \circ 1) \circ 2$ (or the equation $x \circ (y \circ z) = (w \circ y) \circ z$).

Dual to Definition ??.

Definition 2.30 (Equation 4579). Equation4579magma-def Equation 4579 is the law $0 \circ (1 \circ 2) \simeq (3 \circ 4) \circ 2$ (or the equation $x \circ (y \circ z) = (w \circ u) \circ z$).

Dual to Definition ??.

Definition 2.31 (Equation 4582). Equation4582magma-def Equation 4582 is the law $0 \circ (1 \circ 2) \simeq (3 \circ 4) \circ 5$ (or the equation $x \circ (y \circ z) = (w \circ u) \circ v$).

This law asserts that all triple constants (regardless of bracketing) are constant.

We also note some selected laws of order more than 5.

Definition 2.32 (Equation 5105). magma-def Equation 5105 is the law $0 \simeq 1 \circ (1 \circ (1 \circ (0 \circ (2 \circ 1))))$ (or the equation $x = y \circ (y \circ (x \circ (z \circ y)))$).

This law of order 5 was mentioned in [?].

Definition 2.33 (Equation 28393). magma-def Equation 28393 is the law $0 \simeq (((0 \circ 0) \circ 0) \circ 1) \circ (0 \circ 2)$ (or the equation $x = (((x \circ x) \circ x) \circ y) \circ (x \circ z)$).

Definition 2.34 (Equation 374794). Equation374794magma-def Equation 374794 is the law $0 \simeq (((1 \circ 1) \circ 1) \circ 0) \circ ((1 \circ 1) \circ 2)$ (or the equation $x = (((y \circ y) \circ y) \circ x) \circ ((y \circ y) \circ z)$).

This order 6 law was introduced by Kisielewicz [?].

The singleton or empty magma obeys all equational laws. One can ask whether an equational law admits nontrivial finite or infinite models. An *Austin law* is a law which admits infinite models, but no nontrivial finite models. Austin [?] established the first such law, namely the order 9 law

$$(((1 \circ 1) \circ 1) \circ 0) \circ (((1 \circ 1) \circ ((1 \circ 1) \circ 1)) \circ 2) \simeq 0.$$

A shorter Austin law of order 6 was established in [?]:

Theorem 2.35 (Kisielewicz's first Austin law). *InfModel.Finite.Equation374794implies_Equation2, InfModel.*

Proof. Suppose for contradiction that we have a non-trivial model of Definition ???. Write $y^2 := y \circ y$ and $y^3 := y^2 \circ y$. For any y, z , introduce the functions $f_y : x \mapsto y^3 \circ x$ and $g_{yz} : x \mapsto x \circ (y^2 \circ z)$. Definition ??? says that g_{yz} is a left-inverse of f_y , hence by finiteness these are inverses and g_{yz} is independent of z . In particular

$$f(y^3) = g_{yy}(y^3) = g_{yz}(y^3) = f(y^2 \circ z)$$

and hence $y^2 \circ z$ is independent of z . Thus

$$f_y(x) = (y^2 \circ y) \circ x = (y^2 \circ y^2) \circ x$$

is independent of x . As f_y is invertible, this forces the magma to be trivial, a contradiction.

To construct an infinite magma, take the positive integers \mathbb{Z}^+ with the operation $x \circ y$ defined as

- 2^x if $y = x$;
- 3^y if $x = 1 \neq y$;
- $\min(j, 1)$ if $x = 3^j$ and $y \neq x$; and
- 1 otherwise.

Then $y^2 = 2^y$, $y^3 = 1$, and $y^2 \circ z$ a power of two for all y, z , and $(1 \circ x) \circ w = x$ for all x whenever w is a power of two, so Definition ?? is satisfied. \square

An even shorter law (order 5) was obtained by the same author in a followup paper [?]:

Theorem 2.36 (Kisielewicz theoremII). *eq2 Definition ?? is an Austin law.*

Proof. Using the y^2 and y^3 notation as before, the law reads

$$x = (y^3 \circ x) \circ (y \circ z). \quad (2.1)$$

In particular, for any y , the map $T_y: x \mapsto y^3 \circ x$ is injective, hence bijective in a finite model G . In particular we can find a function $f: G \rightarrow G$ such that $T_y f(y) = y^3$ for all y . Applying (??) with $x = f(y)$, we conclude

$$T_y(y \circ z) = y^3 \circ (y \circ z) = f(y)$$

and thus $y \circ z$ is independent of z by injectivity of T_y . Thus, the left-hand side of (??) does not depend on x , and so the model is trivial. This shows there are no non-trivial finite models.

To establish an infinite model, use \mathbb{N} with $x \circ y$ defined by requiring

$$y \circ y = 2^y; \quad 2^y \circ y = 3^y$$

and

$$3^y \circ x = 3^y 5^x$$

for $x \neq 3^y$, and

$$(3^y 5^x) \circ z = x$$

for $z \neq 3^y 5^x$. Finally set

$$2^{3^y} \circ z = 3^y$$

for $z \neq 3^y, 2^{3^y}$. All other assignments of \circ may be made arbitrarily. It is then a routine matter to establish (??). \square

In that paper a computer search was also used to show that no law of order four or less is an Austin law.

An open question is whether Definition ?? is an Austin law. In [?] it was shown that this law admits nontrivial finite models, but it is not yet known if it admits infinite models.

Chapter 3

General implications

We will be interested in seeing which laws imply which other laws, in the sense that magmas obeying the former law automatically obey the latter. We will also be interested in *anti-implications* showing that one law does *not* imply another, by producing examples of magmas that obey the former law but not the latter. Here is a formal definition.

Definition 3.1 (Implication). *law-def* A law $w \simeq w'$ is said to *imply* another law $w'' \simeq w'''$, if every magma G that satisfies the former, satisfies the latter:

$$G \models w \simeq w' \implies G \models w'' \simeq w'''.$$

Two laws are said to be *equivalent* if they imply each other.

Lemma 3.2 (Pre-order). *impl* Implication is a pre-order on the set of laws, and equivalence is an equivalence relation.

Proof. Trivial. □

Implications between the laws from Chapter ?? are depicted in Figure ??.

Lemma 3.3 (Minimal element). *pre-order* The law $0 \simeq 0$ is the minimal element in this pre-order.

Proof. Trivial. □

Lemma 3.4 (Maximal element). *pre-order* The law $0 \simeq 0$ is the minimal element in this pre-order.

Proof. Trivial. □

Every magma G has a *reversal* G^{op} , formed by replacing the magma operation \circ with its opposite $\circ^{\text{op}} : (x, y) \mapsto y \circ x$. There is a natural isomorphism between these magmas, which induces an involution $w \mapsto w^{\text{op}}$ on words $w \in M_X$. Every law $w \simeq w'$ then has a *dual* $w^{\text{op}} \simeq (w')^{\text{op}}$.




Figure 3.1: Implications between the above equations, displayed as a Hasse diagram.

For instance, the dual of the law $0 \circ 1 = 0 \circ 2$ is $1 \circ 0 = 2 \circ 0$, which after relabeling is $0 \circ 1 = 2 \circ 1$. A list of equations and their duals can be found here. Of the 4694 equations under consideration, 84 are self-dual, leaving 2305 pairs of dual equations.

The pre-ordering on laws has a duality symmetry:

Lemma 3.5 (Duality of laws). *pre-order If $w \simeq w'$ implies $w'' \simeq w'''$, then $w^{\text{op}} \simeq (w')^{\text{op}}$ implies $w''^{\text{op}} \simeq (w''')^{\text{op}}$.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that a magma G satisfies a law $w \simeq w'$ if and only if G^{op} satisfies $w^{\text{op}} \simeq (w')^{\text{op}}$. \square

Some equational laws can be “diagonalized”:

Theorem 3.6 (Diagonalization). *An equational law of the form*

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = G(y_1, \dots, y_m), \quad (3.1)$$

where x_1, \dots, x_n and y_1, \dots, y_m are distinct elements of the alphabet, implies the diagonalized law

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = F(x'_1, \dots, x'_n).$$

where x'_1, \dots, x'_n are distinct from x_1, \dots, x_n . In particular, if $G(y_1, \dots, y_m)$ can be viewed as a specialization of $F(x'_1, \dots, x'_n)$, then these two laws are equivalent.

Proof. From two applications of (??) one has

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = G(y_1, \dots, y_m)$$

and

$$F(x'_1, \dots, x'_n) = G(y_1, \dots, y_m)$$

whence the claim. \square

Thus for instance, Definition ?? is equivalent to Definition ??.

Theorem 3.7 (Laws implied by the constant law). *impl,eq46 If w, w' each have order at least one, then the law $w \simeq w'$ is implied by the constant law (Definition ??). If exactly one of w, w' has order zero, and the law $w \simeq w'$ is not implied by the constant law.*

Proof. Routine. □

Theorem 3.8 (Criterion for implication). *impl If $w \simeq w'$ is such that every variable appears the same number of times in both w and w' , and $w \simeq w'$ implies another law $w'' \simeq w'''$, then every variable appears the same number of times in both w'' and w''' .*

Proof. Consider the magma \mathbb{R} with the addition law $+$. By hypothesis, this magma obeys $w \simeq w'$, and hence $w'' \simeq w'''$, giving the claim by comparing coefficients of the linear forms associated to w'' and w''' in this magma. □

Chapter 4

Completeness and compactness theorems

We now generalize the implication concept from Definition ??:

Definition 4.1 (Semantic consequence). *law-def* Let Γ be a collection of laws, and let E be a law. We say that E is a *semantic consequence* of Γ , and write $\Gamma \models E$, if every magma that obeys every law in Γ , also obeys E .

Definition ?? is basically the case where Γ is a singleton.

Definition 4.2 (Syntactic consequence). *law-def, push* Let Γ be a collection of laws, and let E be a law. We say that E is a *syntactic consequence* of Γ , and write $\Gamma \vdash E$, if E can be deduced from Γ using the following rules of inference:

- If E is an element of Γ , then $\Gamma \vdash E$.
- For any word w , we have $\Gamma \vdash w \simeq w$.
- If w, w' are words with $\Gamma \vdash w \simeq w'$, then $\Gamma \vdash w' \simeq w$.
- If w, w', w'' are words with $\Gamma \vdash w \simeq w'$ and $\Gamma \vdash w' \simeq w''$, then $\Gamma \vdash w \simeq w''$.
- If w, w' are words with $\Gamma \vdash w \simeq w'$, and $\pi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is a function, then $\Gamma \vdash \pi_* w \simeq \pi_* w'$.
- If w, w', v are words with $\Gamma \vdash w \simeq w'$, then $\Gamma \vdash v \circ w \simeq v \circ w'$ and $\Gamma \vdash w \circ v \simeq w' \circ v$.

Theorem 4.3 (Completeness theorem). *semantic-def, syntactic-def* Let Γ be a collection of laws, and let E be a law. Then $\Gamma \models E$ if and only if $\Gamma \vdash E$.

Proof. (Sketch) The ‘only if’ component is soundness, and follows from verifying that the rules of inference in Definition ?? holds for \models . The ‘if’ part is completeness, and is proven by constructing the magma of words, quotiented out by the relation $\Gamma \vdash w \simeq w'$, which is easily seen to be an equivalence relation respecting the magma operation \square

Corollary 4.4 (Compactness theorem). *semantic-def, syntactic-def* Let Γ be a collection of laws, and let E be a law. Then $\Gamma \models E$ if and only if there exists a finite subset Γ' of Γ such that $\Gamma' \models E$.

Proof. completeness-thm The claim is obvious for \vdash , and the claim then follows from Theorem ?? □

Chapter 5

Subgraph implications

Interesting implications between the subgraph equations in Chapter ???. To reduce clutter, trivial or very easy implications will not be displayed here.

Theorem 5.1 (387 implies 43). *eq387,eq43Subgraph.Equation387impliesEquation43Definition??impliesDef*

Proof. (From MathOverflow). By Definition ??, one has the law

$$(x \circ x) \circ y = y \circ x. \quad (5.1)$$

Specializing to $y = x \circ x$, we conclude

$$(x \circ x) \circ (x \circ x) = (x \circ x) \circ x$$

and hence by another application of (??) we see that $x \circ x$ is idempotent:

$$(x \circ x) \circ (x \circ x) = x \circ x. \quad (5.2)$$

Now, replacing x by $x \circ x$ in (??) and then using (??) we see that

$$(x \circ x) \circ y = y \circ (x \circ x)$$

so in particular $x \circ x$ commutes with $y \circ y$:

$$(x \circ x) \circ (y \circ y) = (y \circ y) \circ (x \circ x). \quad (5.3)$$

Also, from two applications of (??) one has

$$(x \circ x) \circ (y \circ y) = (y \circ y) \circ x = x \circ y.$$

Thus (??) simplifies to $x \circ y = y \circ x$, which is Definition ??. □

Theorem 5.2 (29 equivalent to 14). *eq29,eq14Subgraph.Equation29impliesEquation14Definition??isequival*

This result was posed as Problem A1 from Putnam 2001.

Proof. duality By Lemma ?? it suffices to show that Definition ?? implies Definition ?. From Definition ? one has

$$x = ((x \circ y) \circ x) \circ (x \circ y)$$

and also

$$y = (x \circ y) \circ x$$

giving $x = y \circ (x \circ y)$, which is Definition ?.

□

Theorem 5.3 (14 implies 29). *eq29,eq14Subgraph.Equation14impliesEquation29Definition??impliesDefinition??*
This result was posed as Problem A1 from Putnam 2001.

Proof.

□

The following result was problem A4 on Putnam 1978.

Theorem 5.4 (3744 implies 3722, 381). *eq3744, eq3722, eq381 Definition ?? implies Definition ?? and Definition ??.*

Proof. By hypothesis, one has

$$x \circ y = (x \circ z) \circ (w \circ y)$$

for all x, y, z, w . Various specializations of this give

$$x \circ y = (x \circ z) \circ (y \circ y) \tag{5.4}$$

$$x \circ z = (x \circ z) \circ (x \circ z) \tag{5.5}$$

$$(x \circ z) \circ y = ((x \circ z) \circ (x \circ z)) \circ (y \circ y). \tag{5.6}$$

The equation (??) gives Definition ??, while (??), (??), (??) gives

$$x \circ y = (x \circ z) \circ y$$

which is Definition ?.

□

Theorem 5.5 (1689 is equivalent to 2). *eq1689, eq2 Definition ?? is equivalent to Definition ??.*

Proof. The implication of Definition ?? from Definition ?? is trivial. The converse is a surprisingly long chain of implications; see pages 326–327 of [?].

□

Chapter 6

Subgraph counterexamples

Some counterexamples for the anti-implications between the subgraph equations in Chapter ??.

Theorem 6.1 (46 does not imply 4). *Subgraph.Equation46_not_iimplies_EEquation4eq46, eq4Definition??doesnot*

Proof. Use the natural numbers \mathbb{N} with operation $x \circ y := 0$. □

Theorem 6.2 (4 does not imply 4582). *Subgraph.Equation4_not_iimplies_EEquation4582eq4, eq4582Definition??d*

Proof. Use the natural numbers \mathbb{N} with operation $x \circ y := x$. □

Theorem 6.3 (4 does not imply 43). *Subgraph.Equation4_not_iimplies_EEquation43eq4, eq43Definition??doesnot*

Proof. Use the natural numbers \mathbb{N} with operation $x \circ y := x$. □

Theorem 6.4 (4582 does not imply 42). *Subgraph.Equation4582_not_iimplies_EEquation42eq4582, eq42Definition*

Proof. Use the natural numbers \mathbb{N} with operation $x \circ y$ equal to 1 if $x = y = 0$ and 2 otherwise. □

Theorem 6.5 (4582 does not imply 43). *Subgraph.Equation4582_not_iimplies_EEquation43eq4582, eq43Definition*

Proof. Use the natural numbers \mathbb{N} with operation $x \circ y$ equal to 3 if $x = 1$ and $y = 2$ and 4 otherwise. □

Theorem 6.6 (42 does not imply 43). *Subgraph.Equation42_not_iimplies_EEquation43eq42, eq43Definition??does*

Proof. Use the natural numbers \mathbb{N} with operation $x \circ y := x$. □

Theorem 6.7 (42 does not imply 4512). *Subgraph.Equation42_not_iimplies_EEquation4512eq42, eq4512Definition*

Proof. Use the natural numbers \mathbb{N} with operation $x \circ y := x + 1$. □

Theorem 6.8 (43 does not imply 42). *Subgraph.Equation43_not_iimplies_EEquation42eq43, eq42Definition??does*

Proof. Use the natural numbers \mathbb{N} with operation $x \circ y := x + y$. □

Theorem 6.9 (43 does not imply 4512). *Subgraph.Equation43_not_implies_EEquation4512eq43, eq4512Definition??*

Proof. Use the natural numbers \mathbb{N} with operation $x \circ y := x \cdot y + 1$. \square

Theorem 6.10 (4513 does not imply 4522). *Subgraph.Equation4513_not_implies_EEquation4522eq4513, eq4522Definition??*

Proof. Use the natural numbers \mathbb{N} with operation $x \circ y$ equal to 1 if $x = 0$ and $y \leq 2$, 2 if $x = 0$ and $y > 2$, and x otherwise. \square

Theorem 6.11 (4512 does not imply 4513). *Subgraph.Equation4512_not_implies_EEquation4513eq4512, eq4513Definition??*

Proof. Use the natural numbers \mathbb{N} with operation $x \circ y := x + y$. \square

Theorem 6.12 (387 does not imply 42). *Subgraph.Equation387_not_implies_EEquation42eq387, eq42Definition??*

Proof. Use the boolean type Bool with $x \circ y := x || y$. \square

Theorem 6.13 (43 does not imply 387). *Subgraph.Equation43_not_implies_EEquation387eq43, eq387Definition??*

Proof. Use the natural numbers \mathbb{N} with $x \circ y := x + y$. \square

Theorem 6.14 (387 does not imply 4512). *Subgraph.Equation387_not_implies_EEquation4512eq387, eq4512Definition??*

Proof. Use the reals \mathbb{R} with $x \circ y := (x + y)/2$. \square

Theorem 6.15 (3 does not imply 42). *Subgraph.Equation3_not_implies_EEquation42eq3, eq42Definition??doesno*

Proof. Use the natural numbers \mathbb{N} with $x \circ y := y$. \square

Theorem 6.16 (3 does not imply 4512). *Subgraph.Equation3_not_implies_EEquation4512eq3, eq4512Definition??*

Proof. Use the natural numbers \mathbb{N} with $x \circ y$ equal to x when $x = y$ and $x + 1$ otherwise. \square

Theorem 6.17 (46 does not imply 3). *Subgraph.Equation46_not_implies_EEquation3eq46, eq3Definition??doesno*

Proof. Use the natural numbers \mathbb{N} with $x \circ y := 0$. \square

Theorem 6.18 (43 does not imply 3). *Subgraph.Equation43_not_implies_EEquation3eq43, eq3Definition??doesno*

Proof. Use the natural numbers \mathbb{N} with $x \circ y := x + y$. \square

Theorem 6.19 (4582 does not imply 46). *Subgraph.Equation4582_not_implies_EEquation46eq4582, eq46Definition??*

Proof. Use $\{0, 1, 2\}$ with $x \circ y$ defined to equal 1 when $x = y = 2$ and 0 otherwise. \square

Chapter 7

Equivalence with the constant and singleton laws

85 laws have been shown to be equivalent to the constant law (Definition ??), and 815 laws have been shown to be equivalent to the singleton law (Definition ??).

These are the laws up to 4 operations that follow from diagonalization of ?? and of ??.

In order to formalize these in Lean, a search was run on the list of equations to discover diagonalizations of these two specific laws: equations of the form $x = R$ where R doesn't include x , and equations of the form $x \circ y = R$ where R doesn't include x or y .

The proofs themselves all look alike, and correspond exactly to the two steps described in the proof of ??. The Lean proofs were generated semi-manually, using search-and-replace starting from the output of `grep` that found the diagonalized laws.

In the case of the constant law, equation ?? ($x \circ x = y \circ z$) wasn't detected using this method. It was added manually to the file with the existing proof from the sub-graph project.

Chapter 8

Simple rewrites

53,905 implications were automatically generated by simple rewrites.

describe the process of automatically generating these implications here.

Chapter 9

Trivial auto-generated theorems

4.2m implications proven by a transitive reduction of 15k theorems were proven using simple rewrite proof scripts.

include more details of the methodology, and any comparisons with other generated implication data sets.

Chapter 10

Enumerating Small Finite Magmas

describe the process of automatically generating these implications here.

Bibliography

- [1] A. K. Austin. A note on models of identities. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 16:522–523, 1965.
- [2] A. Kisiielewicz. Austin identities. *Algebra Universalis*, 38(3):324–328, 1997.
- [3] Andrzej Kisiielewicz. Varieties of algebras with no nontrivial finite members. In *Lattices, semigroups, and universal algebra (Lisbon, 1988)*, pages 129–136. Plenum, New York, 1990.