APPENDIX B. ERRATA

Errata

Hi guys, I am really thankful to all of you that have bought the book and have contributed to finding mistakes in it and in the code. Because of you, I have taken another careful look at the book and decided this errata was needed. A special thank you to Koray Tugay (http://www.tugay.biz/), as this errata was started because of his observations.

B.1 Book corrections

Chapter 3: Introducing IOC and DI in Spring

Small typos and mishaps.

| Page | Original | Correction |
|------|---|---|
| 41 | @Autowire (middle of the page, observation | @Autowired |
| | section) | |
| 97 | <bean <="" id="john" th=""><th><pre><bean <="" id="john" pre=""></bean></pre></th></bean> | <pre><bean <="" id="john" pre=""></bean></pre> |
| | name="john johnny,jonathan;jim"> | name="jon johnny,jonathan;jim"> |
| 114 | the only property that is set is foo because | the only property that is set is fooOne because |
| | | |
| 114 | public class FooImplOne | public class FooImpl1 |
| | implements Foo | implements Foo |
| 114 | public class FooImplOne | public class FooImpl2 |
| | implements Foo | implements Foo |

Table B.1: Corrections Table (part 1)

In page 61, ConfigurableMessageProvider class constructor annotated with @Autowired retrieves a String parameter, and Spring IoC injects the *message* bean into to the constructor during its construction. In the book it is stated that Since we declare that the message bean and its ID are the same as the name of the argument specified in the constructor, Spring will detect the annotation and inject the value into the constructor method. This affirmation is true for situations when more than one bean of the same type has been declared. In which case Spring IoC will use the name the parameter to select the bean to inject. So this affirmation is valid for the configuration depicted below:

Notice the second bean with id message 2. This bean, even if it has the same type as ban message, won't confuse the Spring IoC in regards to what the bean must be injected into the ConfigurableMessageProvider constructor as argument.

As the initial intention was to underline the importance of the name of the parameter, thus the second configuration introduced here is more suitable for the book.

Without the declaration of the bean with id message2, Spring IoC injects the *message* bean into to the constructor during its construction because the *message* bean is String which is sole candidate in that case, not because its id or name is *message*. (*Observation submitted by Süleyman Onur*)

In page 105, the depiction of class Singleton is missing the private default constructor. As Java provides a no-arg default constructor if a class does not extend other class than java.lang.Object class and dos not declare other constructors itself, with the current implementation anybody could just instantiate the class by calling:

```
Singleton s = new Singleton();
```

And thus, undermine the basic idea of singleton behavior. To correct the Singleton class, a private no-arg constructor should be added to the existing declaration.

```
package com.apress.prospring5.ch3;

public class Singleton {
    private static Singleton instance;

    static {
        instance = new Singleton();
    }

    public static Singleton getInstance() {
        return instance;
    }

    private Singleton() {
        // needed so developers cannot instantiate this class directly }
}
```

Chapter 4: Spring Configuration in Detail and Spring Boot

Image 4.1 in **page 128** has two small typos. In Figure B.1 those two typos are corrected and highlighted with yellow.

B.1. BOOK CORRECTIONS

APPENDIX B. ERRATA




Figure B.1: Spring beans life cycle

Small typos and mishaps.

| Page | Original | | | | | Correcti | on | | | |
|------|--|--------------|-----------|--|--|----------|------|----|-----|-----|
| 130 | The main() method of the SimpleBean | | | | The main () method of the Singer | | | | | |
| 135 | we | need | to | add | the | we | need | to | add | the |
| | <pre><context:annotation-driven> tag</context:annotation-driven></pre> | | | | <pre><context:annotation-config> tag</context:annotation-config></pre> | | | | | |
| | | | | | | ••• | | | | |
| 170 | Provides a wide array of convenient methods for | | | Statement is misplaced. Should be removed. | | | | | | |
| | working w | vith Messago | eSource i | nstances. | | | | | | |

Table B.2: Corrections Table (part 1)

In page 194 the Environment Sample.main() method is missing its last statement.

```
System.out.println("application.home: " + env.getProperty("application.home")); \\(3)
ctx.close();
}
```

For the last three lines, you can see that all the system properties (1), environment variables (2), and application properties (3) can be accessed via the Environment interface. So the code on line is not really focused on the order, but on what type of properties can be accessed from the Environment interface as well. That is why the property that is added in the appMap the property was named "application.home", to underline the fact that is an application-defined property.

Statement (3) will produce the last line in the output.

```
user.home: /home/jules
JAVA_HOME: /home/jules/bin/java
user.home: /home/chris
JAVA_HOME: /home/jules/bin/java
application.home: application_home
```

To make the order of the location where the properties are read from, what can we do is stop the code in mid execution and expand the propertySourceList field, like depicted in Figure B.2 The class has been renamed in the source

```
main:32, EnvironmentSampleLast (com.a

■ ctx = {standardEnvironment@1463} "StandardEnvironment {activeProfiles=[], defaultProfiles=[default], propertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPropertySources=[MapPro
```

Figure B.2: EnvironmentSampleLast class stopped in mid-execution

code to EnvironmentSampleLast to differentiate it from the sample using propertySources.addfirst(...), that has been renamed to EnvironmentSampleFirst. The code of the two classes was somehow mixed up during editing, probably because they had the same name. Below you can see the EnvironmentSampleFirst class, that should replace the EnvironmentSample from page 195.

```
package com.apress.prospring5.ch4;
...
public class EnvironmentSampleFirst {
    public static void main(String... args) {
        GenericXmlApplicationContext ctx = new GenericXmlApplicationContext();
        ctx.refresh();

        ConfigurableEnvironment env = ctx.getEnvironment();
        MutablePropertySources propertySources = env.getPropertySources();

        Map<String,Object> appMap = new HashMap<>();
        appMap.put("user.home", "application_home");

        propertySources.addFirst(new MapPropertySource("prospring5_MAP", appMap));

        System.out.println("user.home: " + System.getProperty("user.home"));
        System.out.println("JAVA_HOME: " + System.getenv("JAVA_HOME"));

        System.out.println("user.home: " + env.getProperty("user.home"));
```

```
System.out.println("JAVA_HOME: " + env.getProperty("JAVA_HOME"));
ctx.close();
}
```

The lines in red represent the changes required in the code so the rest of the text in the book in that section fits. Sorry for the mixup! (*Observation submitted by Süleyman Onur*)) In the same way, we can see the order of the property sources by stopping the process in mid-execution, and notice that this time the appMap is the primary location where the user. home variable is looked for. in Figure B.3

```
main:31, EnvironmentSampleFirst (com.s

env = (StandardEnvironment@1463) *StandardEnvironment {activeProfiles=[], defaultProfiles=[default], propertySources=[MapPropertySource {name='prospring5_MAP'}, MapPropertySource {name='systemProfiles=[], defaultProfiles=[default], propertySource {name='systemProfiles=[], defaultProfiles=[default], propertySources {name='systemProfiles=[], defaultProfiles=[default], propertySources {name='systemProfiles=[], defaultProfiles=[default], propertySources {name='systemProfiles=[], defaultProfiles=[default], propertySources {name='systemProfiles=[], defaultProfiles=[default], propertySource {name='systemProfiles=[], defaultProfiles=[], defaultProfil
```

Figure B.3: EnvironmentSampleFirst class stopped in mid-execution

Chapter 5: Introducing Spring AOP

In **page 216** there is a paragraph that can be considered incorrect.

Original: The only restriction, in Spring AOP at least, is that you can't advise final classes, because they cannot be overridden and therefore cannot be proxied.

Correct: The incorrect word there is **overridden**. And should be replaced with **extended**. Also, the context is incomplete. When a class does not implement an interface, the proxy is created by extending the class. Therefore, **a final class that does not implement an interface** cannot be proxied.

In page 248, section **Convenience Advisor Implementations** the sample code was wrongfully copied from the previous section. The correct section of code is:

```
package com.apress.prospring5.ch5;
import org.springframework.aop.support.NameMatchMethodPointcutAdvisor;
public class NamePointcutUsingAdvisor {
   public static void main(String... args) {
      GrammyGuitarist johnMayer = new GrammyGuitarist();
      NameMatchMethodPointcutAdvisor advisor =
          new NameMatchMethodPointcutAdvisor(new SimpleAdvice());
      advisor.setMappedNames("sing");
      advisor.setMappedNames("rest");
      ProxyFactory pf = new ProxyFactory();
      pf.setTarget(johnMayer);
      pf.addAdvisor(advisor);
      GrammyGuitarist proxy = (GrammyGuitarist) pf.getProxy();
      proxy.sing();
      proxy.sing(new Guitar());
      proxy.rest();
```

```
proxy.talk();
}
```

In page 217, section **Creating Advice in Spring** it is said that Spring supports six flavors of advice. Some people say its only four. The reason for that is that most developers exclude IntroductionInterceptor and tend to wrap all After advice into a family. If we want to keep things simple, we can focus only on method advice and then we could reduce them to three: before, after and around advice. Which is also correct. it depends on what you are interested in.

In this book, those six types of advice are considered important. In Figure B.4 you can see the relationships between these types of objects. All interfaces extend Advice. Except for IntroductionInterceptor, all are




Figure B.4: Partial Spring advice hierarchy

method specific advice. The IntroductionInterceptor interface extends not only the MethodInterceptor(use for around advice), but also extends DynamicIntroductionAdvice that is a special type of advice, that allows additional interfaces that are not known in advance to be implemented by an advice, making this a type specific advice.

We could mention here also ConstructorInterceptor, but as constructors are a special type of methods, covering it did not seem necessary.

In the table 5-1, the row corresponding to the After (finally) advice contains an incorrect explanation.

B.1. BOOK CORRECTIONS

Original:

| Advice Name | Interface | Description | | |
|----------------|-------------------------|---|--|--|
| After(finally) | org.springframework.aop | After-returning advice is executed only when the | | |
| | .AfterAdvice | advised method completes normally. However, | | |
| | | the after (finally) advice will be executed no mat- | | |
| | | ter the result of the advised method. The advice is | | |
| | | executed even when the advised method fails and | | |
| | | an exception is thrown. | | |

Table B.3: Advice Types in Spring (1)

The corrected version is depicted in the table snipped below.

| Advice Name | Interface | Description |
|----------------|---|---|
| After(finally) | org.springframework.aop .AfterAdvice | An after-returning advice is executed only when the advised method completes normally. However, the after (finally) advice will be executed no matter the result of the advised method. The advice is executed even when the advised method fails and an exception is thrown. This interface type is only a marker interface for both after advice types supported by Spring. The After(finally) advice is not supported by Spring natively, the implementation has to be provided by an external library like AspectJ. |

Table B.4: Advice Types in Spring (1)

In page 271, section **Configuring AOP Declaratively** the three options for using declarative configuration of Spring AOP are listed. It is mentioned that for <code>@AspectJ-style</code> annotations you need to include some AspectJ libraries in the classpath. The same applies for the second option in the list: using the Spring <code>aop namespace</code>, because the XML configuration is the precursor of the annotation style configuration. And some type of advice, like the after (finally) advice is needed to be used, an implementation needs to be provided via an external dependency such as AspectJ, as it is not supported natively by Spring.

B.1. BOOK CORRECTIONS

Small typos and mishaps.

| light is" hell away from me" to make it be in compliance with the output on page 245 253 pf.setInterfaces(new Class{SimpleBean.class}); pf.setInterfaces(new Class[]{SimpleBean.class}) ControlFlowExample ControlFlowDemo 558 sing() foo() | Page | Original | Correction |
|---|------|---|---|
| 239 EricClapton 239 DyanmicMethod 240 "is greater or less than 100" 240 public boolean matches (Method method, Class cls, Object args) 242 "want to match" is written twice in the paragraph just below "Using Simple Name Matching" Header 244 sing2 method prints "Just keep me where the light is" 253 pf.setInterfaces(new Class{SimpleBean.class}); 256 ControlFlowExample 257 ControlFlowExample 258 sing() ControlFlowDemo 258 sing() ControlFlowDemo | 222 | Secure-Bean | SecureBean |
| DynamicMethod DynamicMethod 240 "is greater or less than 100" "is not equal to 100" 240 public boolean matches public boolean matches (Method method, Class cls, Object args) Object[] args) 242 "want to match" is written twice in the paragraph just below "Using Simple Name Matching" Header Header | 222 | Security-Manager | SecurityManager |
| Tis greater or less than 100" Tis not equal to 100" Public boolean matches (Method method, Class cls, Object args) Object[] args) Object[] args) | 239 | EricClapton | GreatGuitarist |
| public boolean matches (Method method, Class cls, Object args) 242 "want to match" is written twice in the paragraph just below "Using Simple Name Matching" Header 244 sing2 method prints "Just keep me where the light is" 253 pf.setInterfaces(new Class{SimpleBean.class}); 256 controlFlowExample 257 ControlFlowExample 258 sing() public boolean matches (Method method, Class cls, Object[] args) "want to match" should be written once "want to match should print "Oh gravity, stay the hell away from me" to make it be in compliance with the output on page 245 pf.setInterfaces(new Class[]{SimpleBean.class}) ControlFlowDemo foo() | 239 | DyanmicMethod | DynamicMethod |
| <pre>(Method method, Class<?> cls, Object args) 242 "want to match" is written twice in the paragraph just below "Using Simple Name Matching" Header 244 sing2 method prints "Just keep me where the light is"</pre> | 240 | "is greater or less than 100" | "is not equal to 100" |
| Object args) Object[] args) Want to match" is written twice in the paragraph just below "Using Simple Name Matching" Header Sing2 method prints "Just keep me where the light is" Sing2 method should print "Oh gravity, stay the hell away from me" to make it be in compliance with the output on page 245 Pf.setInterfaces(new Class{SimpleBean.class}); ControlFlowExample ControlFlowDemo Sing2 method should print "Oh gravity, stay the hell away from me" to make it be in compliance with the output on page 245 ControlFlowExample ControlFlowDemo Foo() | 240 | public boolean matches | public boolean matches |
| 242 "want to match" is written twice in the paragraph just below "Using Simple Name Matching" Header 244 sing2 method prints "Just keep me where the light is" 253 pf.setInterfaces(new Class{SimpleBean.class}); 256 controlFlowExample 257 controlFlowExample 258 sing() 258 sing() 258 sing() 259 "want to match" should be written once "want to match" should be written once sing2 method should print "Oh gravity, stay the hell away from me" to make it be in compliance with the output on page 245 pf.setInterfaces(new Class[]{SimpleBean.class}) ControlFlowDemo foo() | | (Method method, Class cls, | (Method method, Class cls, |
| graph just below "Using Simple Name Matching" Header 244 sing2 method prints "Just keep me where the light is" 253 pf.setInterfaces(new Class{SimpleBean.class}); 256 controlFlowExample 257 controlFlowExample 258 sing() Simple Name Matching" sing2 method should print "Oh gravity, stay the hell away from me" to make it be in compliance with the output on page 245 pf.setInterfaces(new Class[]{SimpleBean.class}) ControlFlowDemo foo() | | Object args) | |
| Header 244 sing2 method prints "Just keep me where the light is" 253 pf.setInterfaces(new Class{SimpleBean.class}); 256 controlFlowExample 257 controlFlowExample 258 sing() Sing2 method should print "Oh gravity, stay the hell away from me" to make it be in compliance with the output on page 245 pf.setInterfaces(new Class[]{SimpleBean.class}) ControlFlowDemo foo() | 242 | "want to match" is written twice in the para- | "want to match" should be written once |
| 244 sing2 method prints "Just keep me where the light is" sing2 method should print "Oh gravity, stay the hell away from me" to make it be in compliance with the output on page 245 | | graph just below "Using Simple Name Matching" | |
| light is" hell away from me" to make it be in compliance with the output on page 245 253 pf.setInterfaces(new Class{SimpleBean.class}); pf.setInterfaces(new Class[]{SimpleBean.class}); 257 ControlFlowExample ControlFlowDemo 258 sing() foo() | | Header | |
| with the output on page 245 253 pf.setInterfaces(new Class{SimpleBean.class}); pf.setInterfaces(new Class[]{SimpleBean.class}) 257 ControlFlowExample ControlFlowDemo 258 sing() foo() | 244 | sing2 method prints "Just keep me where the | sing2 method should print "Oh gravity, stay the |
| pf.setInterfaces(new Class{SimpleBean.class}); pf.setInterfaces(new Class[]{SimpleBean.class}) ControlFlowExample Sing() pf.setInterfaces(new Class[]{SimpleBean.class}) foo() | | light is" | hell away from me" to make it be in compliance |
| new Class{SimpleBean.class}); new Class[]{SimpleBean.class}) 257 ControlFlowExample ControlFlowDemo 258 sing() foo() | | | with the output on page 245 |
| 257 ControlFlowExample ControlFlowDemo 258 sing() foo() | 253 | pf.setInterfaces(| pf.setInterfaces(|
| 258 sing() foo() | | <pre>new Class{SimpleBean.class});</pre> | <pre>new Class[]{SimpleBean.class});</pre> |
| | 257 | ControlFlowExample | ControlFlowDemo |
| | 258 | sing() | foo() |
| 267 invocation.getArguments()0 invocation.getArguments()[0] | 267 | invocation.getArguments()0 | invocation.getArguments()[0] |
| 273 2 instances of GrammySinger 2 instances of GrammyGuitarist | 273 | 2 instances of GrammySinger | 2 instances of GrammyGuitarist |
| 285 GrammyGuitarist NewDocumentarist | 285 | GrammyGuitarist | NewDocumentarist |

Table B.5: Corrections Table (part 1)

In page 273 the AuditAdvice class contents are wrong, the correct version is depicted in the code snippet below:

```
package com.apress.prospring5.ch5;
import org.springframework.aop.MethodBeforeAdvice;
import java.lang.reflect.Method;

public class AuditAdvice implements MethodBeforeAdvice {
    @Override
    public void before(Method method, Object[] args, Object target) {
        System.out.println("Executing: " + method);
    }
}
```

(Observations submitted by Süleyman Onur))

Chapter 16: Web Applications

Because the book was written when Spring 5 was still under construction, Spring Boot 2 as well, when libraries upgrades happen code samples might stop working as intended. When it comes to applications secured with Spring Security, Spring Security 5.0.0.RC1 came with fixes for 150+ issues, and quite a few of them were related to password security. And thus PasswordEncoder implementations are now required. When configuring in memory authentication, passwords were until this version stored in the compiled code in their original form, no encoding whatsoever. This behaviour can still be kept, especially for educational applications that focus on other details. Below you can see two samples of code on how to do this:

```
@Autowired
public void configureGlobal(AuthenticationManagerBuilder auth) throws Exception {
    auth
        .inMemoryAuthentication()
        .withUser("user").password("{noop}user").roles("USER");
}

// or
import org.springframework.security.crypto.password.NoOpPasswordEncoder;
...
@Autowired
public void configureGlobal(AuthenticationManagerBuilder auth) throws Exception {
    auth
        .inMemoryAuthentication()
        .passwordEncoder(NoOpPasswordEncoder.getInstance())
        .withUser("user").password("user").roles("USER");
}
```

But who knows, maybe you will need to build an application that makes use of an in memory authentication style (usually used only for test environments and educational applications) and stores the passwords in the code. Thus, you will need for your passwords to be encrypted, so they won't be visible in the decompiled jar. Spring Security supports quite a few password encoder implementation out of the box, and you can even implement your own. In the following example, a simple Spring implementation