

Toeplitz Operators and Division Theorems in Anisotropic Spaces of Holomorphic Functions in the Polydisc

A. V. Harutyunyan¹
Yerevan State University

Abstract. This work is an introduction to anisotropic spaces, which have an ω -weight of analytic functions and are generalizations of Lipshitz classes in the polydisc. We prove that these classes form an algebra and are invariant with respect to monomial multiplication. These classes are described in terms of derivatives. It is established that Toeplitz operators are bounded in these (Lipshitz and Djrbashian) spaces. As an application, we show a theorem about the division by good-inner functions in the mentioned classes is proved.

Key words and phrases: Toeplitz operators, anisotropic spaces, polydisc, good-inner function

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35J40, 47G30, 58J32.

¹SUPPORTED BY THE DEUTSCHER AKADEMISCHER AUSTAUSCHDIENST (DAAD)

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	The classes $\tilde{\Lambda}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$ and basic constructions	4
3	Main results	9
4	Toeplitz Operators in classes $\tilde{\Lambda}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$	14
5	Linear Continuous Functionals on $\mathbb{H}^p(\omega)$ $0 < p \leq 1$ and Applications	17

1 Introduction

The significance of factorization into outer and inner functions in the theory of Hardy classes and their applications is well known. As was shown in 1971 by Korenblum [1], V. P. Khavin [2] and F. A. Shamoian [3], such a factorization can also be successfully used to investigate several classes of functions which are holomorphic in the disk and smooth up to its boundary. Their results were based on the invariance of most such classes under the action of Toeplitz operators of the form $T_h(f) = P_+(f \cdot h)$, where h is an arbitrary bounded, holomorphic function in the disk, and P_+ is the Riesz' projector.

These operators play important role not only for investigated questions of factorization but also in investigations of closed ideals in algebras of analytic functions, questions of the best approximation with rational functions etc.(see [4],[5]).

This paper focuses an anisotropic classes of Lipshitz type. It is verified that these classes are invariant with respect to multiplication by monomials, they make an algebra in differ to early known polymetric Lipshitz classes. The investigation of Toeplitz operators in the polydisc is of special interest. The polydisc is a product of n discs in the one dimensional complex plane, and one would expect that natural generalizations of results from the one-dimensional setting would be valid here. But research has shown, that this is not so. The case of a polydisc is different from the $n = 1$ case and the case of an n - dimensional sphere. For example, let's consider the classical theorem of Privalov: If $f \in \text{Lip } \alpha$, then $Kf \in \text{Lip } \alpha$, where Kf is a Cauchy type integral. It is known that the analogue of this theorem for known multidimensional Lipshitz classes is not true ([6]), even though the analogue of this theorem for a sphere is valid ([7]). Since the polydisc is the natural generalization of a circle, the analogue of this theorem should also hold for a polydisc, but in a new generalization of Lipshitz classes. That had yet to be identified. Related to this generalization was also the description of the multidimensional analogues of M. Djrbashian classes. In a 1993 work [8], new Lipshitz classes were introduced for both the noninteger and integer indices; these newly introduced classes allowed one to answer the above questions.

In Shamoians work [9] Djrbashian classes were a generalized for an ω -weight, as well as the forms of the linearly continuous functions in those classes were given. The description of the conjugate of weighted classes $\mathbb{H}^p(\omega)$ in terms of smooth functions (as it was done by introducing new Lipshitz classes in [8]) raised a new problem. The current work is related to the problem of introducing ω - weighted Lipshitz classes and their properties.

In Section 1 these classes are defined and two lemmas are proved, which are then used for proving the main theorems. In Section 2 theorems are proved that describe the properties of the introduced Lipshitz classes: in

particular the description of these classes in terms of derivatives, their forming an algebra, and their invariance with respect to monomial multiplication. We would like to mention that the previously known classes do not have the last two properties. In Section 3 we consider Toeplitz operators in these classes and discuss their boundedness. Here a theorem is also proved about the division by suitable (good-inner) functions in these new and Djbaschian classes.

Acknowledgement: The author would like to thank DAAD (Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst) for financial support and the opportunity of conducting research in Germany.

Special thanks go to Prof. B.- W. Schulze (Universität Potsdam, Institut für Mathematik) for helpful comments, suggestions and the opportunity of working in his group and participating in research seminars.

2 The classes $\tilde{\Lambda}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$ and basic constructions

Denote by $\mathbb{U}^n = \{z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n, |z_j| < 1, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ the unit polydisk in the n -dimensional complex plane \mathbb{C}^n , by $\mathbb{T}^n = \{z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n, |z_i| = 1, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ its torus, and by $\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{U}^n)$ the set of holomorphic functions in \mathbb{U}^n , by $\mathbb{H}^\infty(\mathbb{U}^n)$ the set of bounded holomorphic functions in \mathbb{U}^n . In what follows we write \mathbb{Z}_+^k for the set of vectors, whose components are natural numbers and \mathbb{R}_+^k for the set of vectors with positive components.

Let $\omega_j \geq 0$, $\omega_j(t) \rightarrow 0 (t \rightarrow 0)$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ be a nonnegative measurable function on $(0, 1)$, such that $\omega_j(t) \cdot t^\alpha$ increases on $(0, a]$ for some $\alpha < 1$ and $\omega_j(t)/t^\beta$ decreases on $[a, 1)$ for some $\beta < 1$ and for $a \in (0, 1)$.

Denote by Ω the class of functions ω with this property.

Let $f(\zeta)$, $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \in \mathbb{T}^n$ be a measurable bounded function, and $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$, where $\omega_j \in \Omega$. Then $\omega_j(t) = O(t^{\gamma_j})$, $0 < \gamma_j < 1$, for $t \rightarrow +0$, $0 \leq j \leq n$.

Definition 2.1 A function f is said to be in $\tilde{\Lambda}(\omega)$, if for all

$$(k) = (i_1, \dots, i_k), \quad 1 \leq k \leq n, \quad 1 \leq i_j \leq n, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k,$$

$h = (h_1, \dots, h_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies

$$\left| \Delta_{h_{i_1}, \dots, h_{i_k}} f(\zeta) \right| \leq C_{(k)}(f) \omega_{i_1}(|h_{i_1}|) \dots \omega_{i_k}(|h_{i_k}|),$$

where

$$\Delta_{h_{i_1}, \dots, h_{i_k}} = \Delta_{h_{i_1}} (\dots (\Delta_{h_{i_k}}))$$

The smallest constant $C_{(k)}(f)$ satisfying the last inequality is

$$\sup_{\substack{(\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_n) \in \mathbb{Q}^n \\ (|h_{i_1}|, \dots, |h_{i_k}|) \in \mathbb{R}_+^k}} \frac{|\Delta_{h_{i_k} \dots h_{i_1}} f(e^{i\vartheta_1}, \dots, e^{i\vartheta_n})|}{\omega(|h_{i_1}|) \dots \omega(|h_{i_k}|)} = C_{(k)}(f) < +\infty,$$

We set

$$\|f\|_{\tilde{\Lambda}^a(\omega)} = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \max_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq k} C_{(k)}(f) + \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^\infty}.$$

Note that the classes $\tilde{\Lambda}^a(\omega)$, for $\omega_j(t) = t_j^{\alpha_j}, j = 1, \dots, n; (i_1, \dots, i_k) = (1, \dots, n)$ were first introduced by S. M. Nikol'skii (see [10]).

Corollary 2.2 *Let $\gamma_j > 1$, $(1 \leq j \leq n)$ be noninteger. We set*

$$m_j = [\gamma_j], \quad 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

and

$$F(e^{i\theta}, \dots, e^{i\theta}) = \frac{\partial^m f(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_n})}{\partial \theta^{m_1} \dots \partial \theta^{m_n}}.$$

Then $\tilde{\Lambda}^a(\omega)$ consists of all f , for which $F \in \tilde{\Lambda}^a(\omega/t^m)$.

For a description of these classes in terms of derivatives, we must first prove the following lemma which in the case of $\omega(t) = t^\alpha$ is a consequence of the Hardy-Littlewood theorem (see [11]).

Lemma 2.3 *Let $|f'(z)| \leq A \cdot \omega(1 - |z|) \cdot (1 - |z|)^{-1}$, $z \in \mathbb{U}$, then*

$$|f(z+h) - f(z)| \leq 5 \cdot A(\alpha) \cdot \omega(|h|), \quad z, z+h \in \overline{\mathbb{U}}.$$

And conversely, if $f \in \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{U})$ and $|f(z+h) - f(z)| \leq B \cdot \omega(|h|)$, then

$$|f'(z)| \leq B\omega(1 - |z|)(1 - |z|)^{-1}.$$

Proof. It suffices to prove the lemma for the case $h > 0$. We have

$$f(e^{i\theta+h}) - f(e^{i\theta}) = \int_{\theta}^{\theta+h} f'(e^{it}) de^{it} = \int_{I_1} + \int_{I_2} + \int_{I_3},$$

where I_1 is the segment $1 \geq r \geq 1-h$ of the line $\arg z = \theta$; I_2 is arc $z = (1-h)e^{it}$, $\theta \leq t \leq \theta+h$, and I_3 is the segment $1-h \leq r \leq 1$ of the line $\arg z = \theta+h$. We now estimate every integral separately.

The estimate of \int_{I_1} :

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{I_1} f'(e^{it}) de^{it} \right| &\leq \int_{1-h}^1 |f'(\rho e^{i\theta})| d\rho \leq A \cdot \int_{1-h}^1 \frac{\omega(1-\rho)}{1-\rho} d\rho \\
&= A \cdot \int_0^h \frac{\omega(u)}{u} du = A \left\{ \int_0^\delta \frac{\omega(u)}{u} du + \int_\delta^h \frac{\omega(u)}{u} du \right\} \\
&\leq A \left\{ \int_0^\delta \frac{1}{u^{1-\alpha}} dt + \int_\delta^h \frac{\omega(u) \cdot u^\alpha}{u^{\alpha+1}} dt \right\} \\
&\leq \delta/\alpha + \omega(h) < 2A \cdot \omega(h).
\end{aligned}$$

We use the fact that $\omega(h)/h^\alpha$ increases for some $\alpha > 0$.

The estimate of \int_{I_2} :

$$|f'(e^{it}) de^{it}| = \left| \int_\theta^{\theta+h} f'((1-h)e^{i\phi}) de^{i\phi} \right| \leq \int_\theta^{\theta+h} \frac{\omega(h)}{h} = \omega(h).$$

\int_{I_3} can be estimated similarly to \int_{I_1} . Finally,

$$|f(e^{i\theta+ih}) - f(e^{i\theta})| \leq 5A(\alpha)\omega(h).$$

Conversely, as $f \in \mathbb{H}$, then

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta,$$

where $z = re^{i\phi}$.

Differentiating, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
f'(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^2} d\zeta = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{f(e^{i\theta})e^{i\theta}}{(e^{i\theta} - re^{i\phi})^2} d\theta \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{f(e^{i\theta})e^{i\theta}}{e^{2i\phi}(e^{i(\theta-\phi)} - r)^2} d\theta = \frac{e^{-i\phi}}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{f(e^{i\theta})e^{i\theta-i\phi}}{(e^{i\theta-i\phi} - r)^2} d\theta \\
&= \frac{e^{-i\phi}}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{f(e^{it+i\phi})}{(e^{it} - r)^2} de^{it}
\end{aligned}$$

for $t = \theta - \phi$.

As

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{1}{(\zeta - z)^2} d\zeta = 0$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |f'(z)| &= \left| \frac{e^{-i\phi}}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{f(e^{it+i\phi}) - f(e^{i\phi})}{(e^{it} - r)^2} de^{it} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{|\Delta_t f(e^{it})|}{|e^{it} - r|^2} d|t| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\omega(|t|)}{|e^{it} - r|^2} d|t|. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$|e^{it} - r|^2 = (1 - r)^2 + 4r \sin^2 t/2, \quad \sin t > \frac{2}{\pi}t; \quad -\pi/2 < t < \pi/2.$$

From this we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\omega(|t|)dt}{|e^{it} - r|^2} &\leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\omega(|t|)dt}{(1 - r)^2 + 4rt^2/\pi^2} = 2 \cdot \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\omega(|t|)dt}{(1 - r)^2 + 4rt^2/\pi^2} \\ &= 2 \cdot \left\{ \int_0^{1-r} \frac{\omega(t)dt}{(1 - r)^2 + 4rt^2/\pi^2} + \int_{1-r}^{\pi} \frac{\omega(t)dt}{(1 - r)^2 + 4rt^2/\pi^2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\omega(t) \cdot t^{\alpha}$ increases, then for the first integral we obtain

$$\int_0^{1-r} \frac{\omega(t)t^{\alpha}dt}{(1 - r)^2 + 4rt^2/\pi^2} \leq \omega(1 - r) \cdot (1 - r)^{\alpha} \int_0^{1-r} \frac{1}{t^{\alpha}} dt = \frac{\omega(1 - r)}{1 - r}$$

$$(\alpha < 1).$$

Let us now estimate the second integral. Setting $t = (1 - r)u$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{(1 - r)^2} \int_1^{\pi/(1-r)} \frac{\omega((1 - r)u)(1 - r)du}{1 + 4ru^2/\pi^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - r)} \int_1^{\pi/(1-r)} \frac{\omega((1 - r)u)du}{1 + 4ru^2/\pi^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - r} \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\omega((1 - r)u)du}{1 + 4ru^2/\pi^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - r} \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\omega((1 - r)u)((1 - r)u)^{\beta}}{(1 + 4ru^2/\pi^2)((1 - r)u)^{\beta}} du \\ &< \frac{1}{1 - r} \frac{\omega(1 - r)(1 - r)^{\beta}}{(1 - r)^{\beta}} \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{du}{u^{\beta}(1 + 4ru^2/\pi^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the property that $\omega(t)/t^\beta$ decreases on $[a, 1]$. Outside the Interval $(0, 1)$ we consider the periode extension of ω_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$.

The integral

$$\int_1^{+\infty} \frac{du}{u^\beta(1+4ru^2/\pi^2)}$$

converges for $\beta < 1$, hence we get the desired estimate. □

The following lemma will be used in the proof of our theorem.

Lemma 2.4 *If $h \in \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{U})$, then*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{h(\bar{\zeta})}{(\zeta - z)^2} d\zeta = 0, \quad |z| < 1$$

Proof. As $h_z \in \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{U})(h_z(\zeta) = h\zeta(1 - \bar{z}\zeta)^{-2})$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{h(\bar{\zeta})}{(\zeta - z)^2} d\zeta &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \overline{\frac{h(\zeta)}{(\bar{\zeta} - \bar{z})^2}} d\bar{\zeta} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \overline{\frac{h(\zeta)i\bar{\zeta}}{(\bar{\zeta}^2(1 - \bar{z}\zeta)^2)}} d\zeta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{h(\zeta)\zeta}{(1 - \bar{z}\zeta)^2} d\zeta = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

In case some γ_j are integral we obtain analogs of Zigmund classes. This case is breated in much the same way as the case of nonintegral γ_j . So, restrict ourselves to the definition of these classes and formulation of the corresponding theorem (see [8]).

Let γ_j be equal to $= 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Definition 2.5 *A function f is said to be in $\tilde{\Lambda}_*(\omega)$, if for all*

$$(k) = (i_1, \dots, i_k), \quad 1 \leq k \leq n, \quad 1 \leq i_j \leq n,$$

$$1 \leq j \leq n, \quad h = (h_1, \dots, h_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

it fulfils

$$\left| \Delta_{h_{i_1}, \dots, h_{i_k}}^* f(\zeta) \right| \leq C_{(k)}(f) \omega_{i_1}(|h_{i_1}|) \dots \omega_{i_k}(|h_{i_k}|), \quad (1)$$

where

$$\Delta_{h_{i_1}, \dots, h_{i_k}}^* = \Delta_{h_{i_1}}^* (\dots (\Delta_{h_{i_k}}^*))$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{h_j}^* f(e^\vartheta) &= f(e^{i\vartheta_1}, \dots, e^{i\vartheta_{j-1}}, e^{i(\vartheta_j + h_j)}, e^{i\vartheta_{j+1}}, \dots, e^{i\vartheta_n}) \\ &- 2 \cdot f(e^{i\vartheta_1}, \dots, e^{i\vartheta_n}) + f(e^{i\vartheta_1}, \dots, e^{i\vartheta_{j-1}}, e^{i(\vartheta_j - h_j)}, e^{i\vartheta_{j+1}}, \dots, e^{i\vartheta_n}). \end{aligned}$$

We set

$$\|f\|_{\tilde{\Lambda}_*^a(\omega)} = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \max_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq k} C_{(k)}(f) + \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^\infty},$$

where $C_{(k)}(f)$ is the smallest constant from (1).

3 Main results

Theorem 3.1 *If $f \in \mathbb{H}^\infty(\mathbb{U}^n)$, then $f \in \tilde{\Lambda}^a(\omega)$ if and only if*

$$\left| \frac{\partial^k f(z)}{\partial z_{i_1} \dots \partial z_{i_k}} \right| \leq C \cdot C_{(k)}(f) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{\omega_{i_j}(1 - |z_{i_j}|)}{1 - |z_{i_j}|}, \quad (2)$$

where $1 \leq i_k \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq n$.

Proof. Let (2) be fulfilled. Denoting

$$F(z_{i_1}) = \frac{\partial^{k-1} f(z)}{\partial z_{i_2} \dots \partial z_{i_k}},$$

we get

$$|F'(z_{i_1})| \leq C \cdot C_{(k)}(f) \cdot \frac{\omega_{i_1}(1 - |z_{i_1}|)}{1 - |z_{i_1}|}.$$

In view of Lemma (2.3) we obtain $F \in \text{Lip}(\omega_{i_1})$, i.e.,

$$|\Delta_{h_{i_1}} F(\rho \zeta_1)| \leq 3C(i_2, \dots, i_k) \omega_{i_1}(|h_{i_1}|).$$

Repeating these arguments we obtain

$$|\Delta_{h_{(k)}} f(\rho \zeta)| \leq 3C_{(k)}(f) \omega_{i_1}(|h_{i_1}|) \dots \omega_{i_k}(|h_{i_k}|).$$

Letting $\rho \rightarrow 1$, we get

$$\left| \Delta_{h_{(k)}} f(\zeta) \right| \leq 3C_{(k)}(f) \omega_{i_1}(|h_{i_1}|) \dots \omega_{i_k}(|h_{i_k}|) \quad (3)$$

Conversely, for the simplicity let us consider the case $n = 2$. Our goal is to establish estimates

$$\left| \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_1} \right| \leq C_f \frac{\omega_1(1 - |z_1|)}{1 - |z_1|} \quad (4)$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_2} \right| \leq C_f \frac{\omega_2(1 - |z_2|)}{1 - |z_2|} \quad (5)$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial^2 f(z)}{\partial z_1 \partial z_2^2} \right| \leq C_f \frac{\omega_1(1 - |z_1|) \omega_2(1 - |z_2|)}{(1 - |z_1|)(1 - |z_2|)}. \quad (6)$$

Estimate (4): Using transformations of Lemma 2.3, we get:

$$\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_1} = \frac{e^{-i\phi_1}}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{Q}^2} \frac{\Delta_{t_1} f(e^{i\phi_1}, e^{i\phi_2+it_2}) e^{it_1} e^{it_2}}{(e^{it_1} - r_1)^2 (e^{it_2} - r_2)} dt_1 dt_2.$$

Hence it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_1} &= \frac{e^{-i\phi_1}}{(2\pi)^2} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{Q}^2} \frac{(\Delta_{t_1} f(e^{i\phi_1}, e^{i\phi_2+it_2}) - \Delta_{t_1} f(e^{i\phi_1}, e^{i\phi_2})) e^{it_1} e^{it_2}}{(e^{it_1} - r_1)^2 (e^{it_2} - r_2)} dt_1 dt_2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{Q}^2} \frac{\Delta_{t_1} f(e^{i\phi_1}, e^{i\phi_2}) e^{it_1} e^{it_2}}{(e^{it_1} - r_1)^2 (e^{it_2} - r_2)} dt_1 dt_2 \right\} \\ &= \frac{e^{-i\phi_1}}{(2\pi)^2} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{Q}^2} \frac{\Delta_{t_1 t_2} f(e^{i\phi_1}, e^{i\phi_2}) e^{it_1} e^{it_2}}{(e^{it_1} - r_1)^2 (e^{it_2} - r_2)} dt_1 dt_2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{Q}^2} \frac{\Delta_{t_1} f(e^{i\phi_1}, e^{i\phi_2}) e^{it_1} e^{it_2}}{(e^{it_1} - r_1)^2 (e^{it_2} - r_2)} dt_1 dt_2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then using also Lemma 2.3 we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_1} &\leq C \left\{ \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi \frac{\omega_1(t_1) \omega_2(t_2) dt_1 dt_2}{|e^{it_1} - r_1|^2 |e^{it_2} - r_2|} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^\pi \frac{\omega_1(t_1) dt_1}{|e^{it_1} - r_1|^2} \right\} \leq C \cdot \frac{\omega_1(1 - |z_1|)}{1 - |z_1|}, \end{aligned}$$

where $r_1 = |z_1|$, $r_2 = |z_2|$.

Here we made use of

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{dz}{\zeta - z} = 1; \quad \int_0^\pi \frac{\omega_2(t_2) dt_2}{|e^{it_2} - r_2|} \leq \text{const.} \quad (7)$$

Repeating the arguments of Lemma 2.3 yields the desired assertion. Analogously we can obtain estimates (5) and (6). \square

From (2) and (3)-(5) we have

Corollary 3.2 *There exist positive constants C_1 and C_2 depending only on ω , such that*

$$C_1 \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left\{ \max_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n} \{|g(z)| + \|f\|_\infty\} \right\} \leq$$

$$\|f\|_{\tilde{\Lambda}(\omega)} \leq C_2 \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left\{ \max_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n} \{|g(z)| + \|f\|_\infty\} \right\},$$

where

$$g(z) = \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{\omega_{i_j}(1 - |z_{i_j}|)}{1 - |z_{i_j}|} \cdot \frac{\partial^k f(z)}{\partial z_{i_1} \dots \partial z_{i_k}}.$$

Theorem 3.3 Suppose $f \in \mathbb{H}^\infty(\mathbb{U}^n)$. Then $f \in \tilde{\Lambda}_*^a(\omega)$ if and only if

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{2k} f(z)}{\partial z_{i_1}^2 \dots \partial z_{i_k}^2} \right| \leq C \cdot C_{(k)}(f) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{\omega_{i_j}(1 - |z_{i_j}|)}{(1 - |z_{i_j}|)^2} \quad (8)$$

where $1 \leq i_k \leq n$, $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Theorem 3.4 $f \in \tilde{\Lambda}^a(\omega)$ if and only if $z_j \cdot f \in \tilde{\Lambda}^a(\omega)$, for some $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Proof. Let $j = 1$ and $z_j \cdot f \in \tilde{\Lambda}^a(\omega)$. We need an estimate

$$\left| \frac{\partial^k f(z)}{\partial z_{i_1} \dots \partial z_{i_k}} \right| \leq C \cdot C_{(k)}(f) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{\omega_{i_j}(1 - |z_{i_j}|)}{1 - |z_{i_j}|}. \quad (9)$$

In the case $i_1 \neq 1$ it is evident.

Otherwise we have

$$\frac{\partial^k f(z)}{\partial z_{i_1} \dots \partial z_{i_k}} = \frac{1}{z_1} \cdot \frac{\partial^k (f(z) \cdot z_1)}{\partial z_{i_1} \dots \partial z_{i_k}} - \frac{1}{z_1^2} \frac{\partial^k (f(z) \cdot z_1)}{\partial z_{i_2} \dots \partial z_{i_k}}. \quad (10)$$

Since $z_1 \cdot f \in \tilde{\Lambda}^a(\omega)$, we obtain

$$\left| \frac{\partial^k (f(z) t_1)}{\partial t_1 \dots \partial z_{i_k}} \right| \leq C \cdot C_{(k)}(f) \cdot \frac{\omega_{i_j}(1 - |t_1|)}{1 - |t_1|} \prod_{j=2}^k \frac{\omega_{i_j}(1 - |z_{i_j}|)}{1 - |z_{i_j}|}.$$

Integrating in $t_1 \in [0, z_1]$ along the radius, we obtain

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{k-1} f(z)}{\partial z_{i_2} \dots \partial z_{i_k}} \right| \leq C_{i_2 \dots i_k}(f) \cdot \prod_{j=2}^k \frac{\omega_{i_j}(1 - |z_{i_j}|)}{|z_1|(1 - |z_{i_j}|)}.$$

For $|z_1| \geq 1/2$ we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{k-1} f(z)}{\partial z_{i_2} \dots \partial z_{i_k}} \right| \leq C_{i_2 \dots i_k}(f) \cdot \prod_{j=2}^k \frac{\omega_{i_j}(1 - |z_{i_j}|)}{1 - |z_{i_j}|}.$$

On the other hand, the latter estimate for $|z_1| \leq 1/2$ is a consequence of the modules maximum principle. Talking into account (10) we get (9).

Conversely, let $f \in \tilde{\Lambda}^a(\omega)$. We have for $i_j \neq 1$, $j = 1, \dots, n$

$$\left| \frac{\partial^k(f(z)z_1)}{\partial z_{i_1} \dots \partial z_{i_k}} \right| \leq C \cdot C_{(k)}(f) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{\omega_{i_j}(1 - |z_{i_j}|)}{1 - |z_{i_j}|}.$$

Suppose $i_1 = 1$. We have

$$\frac{\partial^k(f(z)z_1)}{\partial z_{i_1} \dots \partial z_{i_k}} = z_1 \frac{\partial^k f(z)}{\partial z_{i_1} \dots \partial z_{i_k}} + \frac{\partial^{k-1} f(z)}{\partial z_{i_2} \dots \partial z_{i_k}}$$

and

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{k-1} f(z)}{\partial z_{i_2} \dots \partial z_{i_k}} \right| \leq C_{i_2 \dots i_k}(f) \cdot \prod_{j=2}^k \frac{\omega_{i_j}(1 - |z_{i_j}|)}{1 - |z_{i_j}|}.$$

This implies

$$\left| \frac{\partial^k(f(z)z_1)}{\partial z_{i_1} \dots \partial z_{i_k}} \right| \leq C_{(k)}(f) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{\omega_{i_j}(1 - |z_{i_j}|)}{|z_1|(1 - |z_{i_j}|)}.$$

□

Note that the classes of S. M. Nikol'skii $\Lambda(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ do not possess property of $\tilde{\Lambda}^a(\omega)$, proved in Theorem 3.4. This can be checked by taking $f(z) = \phi(z_1) + \psi(z_2)$, where ϕ and ψ belong to \mathbb{H}^∞ . For ϕ and ψ and for $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$ we have $f \in \Lambda^a(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$. But it is clear that the inclusion $z_1 z_2 f \in \Lambda^a(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ is not true for all possible ϕ and ψ .

Theorem 3.5 *A function f belongs to $\tilde{\Lambda}_*^a(\omega)$ if and only if $z_j^2 \cdot f \in \tilde{\Lambda}_*^a(\omega)$, for some $1 \leq j \leq n$.*

Theorem 3.6 *$f \in \tilde{\Lambda}^a(\omega)$ if and only if $D^m f \in \tilde{\Lambda}^a(\tilde{\omega})$, $\tilde{\omega}_j(t) = \omega_j(t)/t^m$, $m = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$, m_j being nonnegative integer, $1 \leq j \leq n$.*

Before giving the proof, we show several lemmas.

Lemma 3.7 *Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, where α_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$ are some non-negative integers. Then*

$$D^\alpha f(z) = \frac{1}{\alpha!} \frac{\partial^\alpha(f(z)z^\alpha)}{\partial z^\alpha}, \quad z = (z_1, \dots, z_n), \quad \alpha! = \alpha_1! \dots \alpha_n!$$

See [12] for a proof.

Lemma 3.8 Let $h \in \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{U}^n)$ and $|h(z)| \leq \omega_1(1 - |z_1|) \dots \omega_j(1 - |z_j|)$
 $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{k_1+\dots+k_j} h(z)}{\partial z_1^{k_1} \dots \partial z_j^{k_j}} \right| \leq \frac{\omega_1(1 - |z_1|) \dots \omega_j(1 - |z_j|)}{(1 - |z_1|)^{k_1} \dots (1 - |z_j|)^{k_j}}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Proof. Let

$$\tilde{T}_j = \{\zeta_j, \zeta_j = z_j + \eta(1 - |z_j|)e^{i\theta_j}\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

Using the Cauchy formula for $\tilde{T}^j = \tilde{T}_1 \times \dots \times \tilde{T}_j$, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial^{k_1+\dots+k_j} h(z)}{\partial z_1^{k_1} \dots \partial z_j^{k_j}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^j} \int_{T^j} \frac{h(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_j), z'}{(\zeta_1 - z_1)^{k_1+1} \dots (\zeta_j - z_j)^{k_j+1}},$$

for $z' = (z_{j+1}, \dots, z_n)$.

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial^{k_1+\dots+k_j} h(z)}{\partial z_1^{k_1} \dots \partial z_j^{k_j}} \right| &\leq \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^j} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \dots \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\omega_1(1 - |z_1|) \dots \omega_j(1 - |z_j|)}{(1 - |z_1|)^{k_1+1} \dots (1 - |z_j|)^{k_j+1}} d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_j \\ &= \frac{\omega_1(1 - |z_1|) \dots \omega_j(1 - |z_j|)}{(1 - |z_1|)^{k_1} \dots (1 - |z_j|)^{k_j}}, \end{aligned}$$

and the lemma follows. \square

Proof of Theorem. Let $f \in \tilde{\Lambda}^a(\omega)$. Then $z^m f \in \tilde{\Lambda}^a(\omega)$ and

$$\left| \frac{\partial^k (f(z)z^m)}{\partial z_{i_1} \dots \partial z_{i_k}} \right| \leq \frac{\omega_{i_1}(1 - |z_{i_1}|) \dots \omega_{i_k}(1 - |z_{i_k}|)}{(1 - |z_{i_1}|) \dots (1 - |z_{i_k}|)} \quad (11)$$

From Lemma 3.6 it follows that

$$\left| \frac{\partial^m (f(z)z^m)}{\partial z_{i_1}^{m_1} \dots \partial z_{i_k}^{m_k}} \right| \leq \frac{\omega_{i_1}(1 - |z_{i_1}|) \dots \omega_{i_k}(1 - |z_{i_k}|)}{(1 - |z_{i_1}|)^{m_1+1} \dots (1 - |z_{i_k}|)^{m_k+1}}. \quad (12)$$

Therefore, from Lemma 3.5 yields $D^m f \in \tilde{\Lambda}^a(\tilde{\omega})$.

Conversely, let $D^m f \in \tilde{\Lambda}^a(\tilde{\omega})$.

Then the estimation (12) holds. Integrating in t_1, \dots, t_k as in Theorem 3.4, we arrive at (11). \square

Theorem 3.9 $f \in \tilde{\Lambda}_*^a(\omega)$ if and only if $D^{m+2} f \in \tilde{\Lambda}_*^a(\tilde{\omega})$, $\tilde{\omega}_j(t) = \omega_j(t)/t^{m+2}$, $m = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$, where m_j ($1 \leq j \leq n$) is nonnegative integer.

Theorem 3.10 The classe $\tilde{\Lambda}^a(\omega)$ forms an algebra.

Proof. Let $f, g \in \tilde{\Lambda}^a(\omega)$.

We prove that $f \cdot g \in \tilde{\Lambda}^a(\omega)$. We have

$$\frac{\partial^k(f \cdot g)}{\partial z_{i_1} \dots \partial z_{i_k}} = \sum_{i_l=0}^1 \frac{\partial^l f(z)}{\partial z_{i_1} \dots \partial z_{i_l}} \cdot \frac{\partial^{k-l} g(z)}{\partial z_{i_{l+1}} \dots \partial z_{i_k}}.$$

Hence, we get by Theorem 2.1,

$$\left| \frac{\partial^l f(z)}{\partial z_{i_1} \dots \partial z_{i_l}} \right| \leq C_{(k,l)}(f) \prod_{j=1}^l \frac{\omega_{i_j}(1 - |z_{i_j}|)}{1 - |z_{i_j}|}$$

and

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{k-l} g(z)}{\partial z_{i_{l+1}} \dots \partial z_{i_k}} \right| \leq C_{(k,l)}(g) \prod_{j=l+1}^k \frac{\omega_{i_j}(1 - |z_{i_j}|)}{1 - |z_{i_j}|}$$

It follows easily that

$$\left| \frac{\partial^k(f(z)g(z))}{\partial z_{i_1} \dots \partial z_{i_k}} \right| \leq C_{(k)}(fg) \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{\omega_{i_j}(1 - |z_{i_j}|)}{1 - |z_{i_j}|}$$

Using Theorem 2.1 once again, we get $f \cdot g \in \tilde{\Lambda}^a(\omega)$.

The remaining algebra properties are . □

4 Toeplitz Operators in classes $\tilde{\Lambda}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$

Definition 4.1 *The Toeplitz operator with a symbol $h \in \mathbb{L}^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is the integral operator*

$$\begin{aligned} T_h(f)(z) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \frac{f(\zeta)h(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \frac{f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)h(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) d\zeta_1 \dots d\zeta_n}{(\zeta_1 - z_1) \dots (\zeta_n - z_n)}, \\ z &= (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{U}^n. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that a function f is called a multiplier of the space X , if $f \cdot g \in X$ for any $g \in X$.

Theorem 4.2 *Let $f \in \tilde{\Lambda}^a(\omega)$ and let $h = h_1 + \overline{h_2}$, where h_1 is a holomorphic multiplier of $\tilde{\Lambda}^a(\omega)$ ($\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$, $\omega_j \in \Omega$, $1 \leq j \leq n$), and $h_2 \in \mathbb{H}^\infty(\mathbb{U}^n)$.*

Then $T_h(f) \in \tilde{\Lambda}^a(\omega)$.

Proof. Obviously,

$$\begin{aligned} T_h(f)(z) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \frac{f(\zeta)h(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta \\ &= f(z)h_1(z) + \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \frac{f(\zeta)\overline{h_2(\zeta)}}{\zeta - z} d\zeta \\ &= f(z)h_1(z) + T_{\overline{h_2}}(f)(z). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, $f \cdot h_1 \in \tilde{\Lambda}^a(\omega)$ since h_1 is a multiplier of $\tilde{\Lambda}^a(\omega)$. Therefore it suffices to prove an estimate of the form

$$\left| \frac{\partial^k T_{\overline{h_2}}(f)(z)}{\partial z_{i_1} \cdots \partial z_{i_k}} \right| \leq C(f, h_2) \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{\omega(1 - |z_{i_j}|)}{1 - |z_{i_j}|}.$$

Without loss of generality we can assume that $(i_1, \dots, i_k) \equiv (1, \dots, k)$. Then a simple transformation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^k T_{\overline{h_2}}(f)(z)}{\partial z_1 \cdots \partial z_k} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{\mathbb{Q}^n} \frac{f(e^{i\vartheta})\overline{h_2(e^{i\vartheta})} de^{i\vartheta}}{\prod_{j=1}^k (e^{i\vartheta_j} - r_j e^{i\varphi_j})^2 \prod_{j=k+1}^n (e^{i\vartheta_j} - r_j e^{i\varphi_j})} \\ &= \frac{e^{-i\varphi'_k}}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{Q}^n} \frac{f(e^{i(\varphi+t)})\overline{h_2(e^{i(\varphi+t)})} e^{it} dt}{\prod_{j=1}^k (e^{it_j} - r_j)^2 \prod_{j=k+1}^n (e^{it_j} - r_j)} \end{aligned}$$

where $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$, $t_j = \vartheta_j - \varphi_j$, $\varphi'_k = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k)$, $e^{it} = e^{it_1} \cdots e^{it_n}$. Applying Lemma 2.4 we obtain the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^k T_{\overline{h_2}}(f)(z)}{\partial z_1 \cdots \partial z_k} &= \frac{e^{-i\varphi'_k}}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{Q}^n} \frac{f(e^{i(\varphi+t)})\overline{h_2(e^{i(\varphi+t)})} e^{it} dt}{\prod_{j=1}^k (e^{it_j} - r_j)^2 \prod_{j=k+1}^n (e^{it_j} - r_j)} \\ &\quad - \frac{e^{-i\varphi'_k}}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{Q}^n} \frac{f(e^{i\varphi_1}, e^{i(\varphi'_2+t'_2)})\overline{h_2(e^{i(\varphi+t)})} e^{it} dt}{\prod_{j=1}^k (e^{it_j} - r_j)^2 \prod_{j=k+1}^n (e^{it_j} - r_j)} \\ &= \frac{e^{-i\varphi'_k}}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{Q}^n} \frac{\Delta_{t_1} f(e^{i\varphi_1}, e^{i(\varphi'_2+t'_2)})\overline{h_2(e^{i(\varphi+t)})} e^{it} dt}{\prod_{j=1}^k (e^{it_j} - r_j)^2 \prod_{j=k+1}^n (e^{it_j} - r_j)}. \end{aligned}$$

For the first k variables we have

$$\frac{\partial^k T_{\overline{h_2}}(f)(z)}{\partial z_1 \cdots \partial z_k} = \frac{e^{-i\varphi'_k}}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{Q}^n} \frac{\Delta_{t_k \dots t_1} f(e^{i\varphi'_k}, e^{i(\varphi''_{k+1} + t''_{k+1})})}{\prod_{j=1}^k (e^{it_j} - r_j)^2 \prod_{j=k+1}^n (e^{it_j} - r_j)} \overline{h_2(e^{i(\varphi+t)})} e^{it} dt,$$

where $\varphi''_{k+1} = (\varphi_{k+1}, \dots, \varphi_n)$, $t''_{k+1} = (t_{k+1}, \dots, t_n)$.

Repeating the same argument gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^k T_{h_2}(f)(z)}{\partial z_1 \cdots \partial z_k} &= \frac{e^{-i\varphi'_k}}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{Q}^n} \frac{\Delta_{t_n \dots t_1} f(e^{i\varphi}) \overline{h_2(e^{i(\varphi+t)})} e^{it} dt}{\prod_{j=1}^k (e^{it_j} - r_j)^2 \prod_{j=k+1}^n (e^{it_j} - r_j)} \\ &+ \frac{e^{-i\varphi'_k}}{(2\pi)^n} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{Q}^n} \frac{\Delta_{t_k \dots t_1} f(e^{i\varphi'_{k+1}}, e^{i(\varphi''_{k+2} + t''_{k+2})}) \overline{h_2(e^{i(\varphi+t)})} e^{it} dt}{\prod_{j=1}^k (e^{it_j} - r_j)^2 \prod_{j=k+1}^n (e^{it_j} - r_j)} \right. \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{Q}^n} \frac{\Delta_{t_{k+1} \dots t_1} f(e^{i\varphi'_{k+2}}, e^{i(\varphi''_{k+3} + t''_{k+3})}) \overline{h_2(e^{i(\varphi+t)})} e^{it} dt}{\prod_{j=1}^k (e^{it_j} - r_j)^2 \prod_{j=k+1}^n (e^{it_j} - r_j)} \\ &\left. + \cdots + \int_{\mathbb{Q}^n} \frac{\Delta_{t_{n-1} \dots t_1} f(e^{i\varphi}) \overline{h_2(e^{i(\varphi+t)})} e^{it} dt}{\prod_{j=1}^k (e^{it_j} - r_j)^2 \prod_{j=k+1}^n (e^{it_j} - r_j)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

To transform the terms in figure brackets we denote first of them by $\mathcal{J}_{1, \dots, k}^{1, \dots, k+1} \equiv \mathcal{J}_k^{k+1}$, where the lower index means the lower index of Δ and the upper one is the number of free variables in $f(e^{i\varphi'_{k+1}}, e^{i(\varphi''_{k+2} + t''_{k+2})})$. Then it is clear that

$$\mathcal{J}_k^{k+1} = \mathcal{J}_k^{k+1} - \mathcal{J}_k^{k+2} + \mathcal{J}_k^{k+2} = \mathcal{J}_{k, k+2}^{k+2} + \mathcal{J}_k^{k+2}.$$

Repeating the same argument we get

$$\mathcal{J}_k^{k+1} = \mathcal{J}_{k, k+2, \dots, n} + \mathcal{J}_{k, k+3, \dots, n} + \cdots + \mathcal{J}_{k, n}.$$

Similar representations are true for the remaining terms in brackets. On the other hand, it is clear that

$$|\Delta_{t_{i_1} \dots t_{i_l}} f(e^{i\varphi})| \leq C_l(f) \omega(|t_{i_1}|) \cdots \omega(|t_{i_l}|) \text{ and } |h_2(e^{i(\varphi+t)})| \leq \text{const.}$$

Thus, for obtaining the desired estimate one has to evaluate the integral

$$I \equiv C_f \underbrace{\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cdots \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}}_n \frac{\omega(|t_1|) \cdots \omega(|t_k|) \prod^* \omega_{i_j}(|t_{i_j}|)}{\prod_{j=1}^k |e^{it_j} - r_j|^2 \prod_{j=k+1}^n |e^{it_j} - r_j|} |dt_1| \cdots |dt_n|,$$

where $\prod^* = \prod_{k < i_j \leq m}$, $m \leq n$. Using Lemma 2.3 and (7) we get

$$I \leq C \cdot C_k(fh) \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{\omega_j(1 - |z_j|)}{(1 - |z_j|)},$$

where $|z_j| = r_j$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. Hence we come to the following estimate which proves our theorem

$$\left| \frac{\partial^k T_{h_2}(f)(z)}{\partial z_1 \cdots \partial z_k} \right| \leq C \cdot C_k(fh) \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{\omega_j(1 - |z_j|)}{1 - |z_j|}.$$

□

5 Linear Continuous Functionals on $\mathbb{H}^p(\omega)$ $0 < p \leq 1$ and Applications

We denote by S the class of nonpositive measurable functions ω on $(0, 1)$, for which there are positive numbers M_ω , m_ω , q_ω ($m_\omega, q_\omega \in (0, 1)$) exist, such that for all $r \in (0, 1)$, $\lambda \in [q_\omega, 1]$

$$m_\omega \leq \frac{\omega(\lambda r)}{\omega(r)} \leq M_\omega.$$

We set

$$\alpha_\omega = \log m_\omega / \log q_\omega, \quad \beta_\omega = \log M_\omega / \log(1/q_\omega).$$

The properties of function from S have been studied in [12]. From [12] and [9] we have $\Omega = S$ provided that $M_{\omega_j} < 1$.

Let $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$, where $\omega_j \in S$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. We denote by $\mathbb{H}^p(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$ the class of functions f holomorphic in \mathbb{U}^n , satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{U}^n} |f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)|^p \prod_{j=1}^n \omega_j(1 - |\zeta_j|) dm_{2n}(\zeta) < +\infty,$$

where $0 < p \leq 1$ and $m_{2n}(\zeta)$ is the $2n$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{U}^n (see [10]). These spaces are multidimensional generalisations of the well-known classes of M. M. Djrbashian for $n = 1$, $\omega(t) = t^\alpha$, $\alpha > -1$ (see [13]). Let $\omega_j \in S$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, and $0 < p \leq 1$. A function $g \in \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{U}^n)$ is said to be in $\Lambda_\omega^p = \Lambda_{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n}^p$, if

$$\|g\|_{\Lambda_\omega^p} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n} \{ |D^{\alpha+1} g(z)| \cdot \frac{\omega(1 - |z|)^{1/p}}{(1 - |z|)^{\alpha+2-2/p}} \} < +\infty$$

for all $\alpha_j > (\alpha_{\omega_j} + 2)/p$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ ($|z_j| \rightarrow 1 - 0$, $1 \leq j \leq n$).

The class Λ_ω^p arises in describing continuous linear functionals in the spaces of holomorphic functions with \mathbb{L}^p metric (see [9], [14], [15]).

To characterize the dual space $(\mathbb{H}^p(\cdot))^*$ in terms of $\tilde{\Lambda}^a(\cdot)$, we first find a relation between the spaces $\tilde{\Lambda}^a(\cdot)$ and $\Lambda^p(\cdot)$.

For $f \in \Lambda^p(\alpha)$ and $m_j - 1 > (\alpha_{\omega_j} + 2)/p$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$) we have

$$|D^m g(z)| \leq c \cdot \frac{(\omega(1 - |z|))^{1/p}}{(1 - |z|)^{m+1-2/p}}.$$

Then, $z^m \cdot g \in \tilde{\Lambda}^a(\tilde{\omega})$, $\tilde{\omega}(t) = \omega^{1/p}(t)t^{2/p}$.

By Theorem 3.4 we get $g \in \tilde{\Lambda}^a(\tilde{\omega})$. It follows from Lemma 1.4 that

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{m_{i_1} + \dots + m_{i_k}} g(z)}{\partial z_{i_1}^{m_{i_1}} \partial z_{i_k}^{m_{i_k}}} \right| \leq C \|f\|_{\Lambda_\omega^p} \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{(1 - |z_j|)^{m_j+1-2/p}}{(\omega_j(1 - |z_j|))^{1/p}}$$

Hence

$$\prod_{j=1}^k \frac{(\omega_j(1 - |z_j|))^{1/p}}{(1 - |z_j|)^{m_j+1-2/p}} \left| \frac{\partial^{m_{i_1} + \dots + m_{i_k}} g(z)}{\partial z_{i_1}^{m_{i_1}} \partial z_{i_k}^{m_{i_k}}} \right| \leq C_1 \|f\|_{\Lambda_\omega^p}$$

and

$$C \|g\|_{\Lambda_\omega^p} \geq \|g\|_{\tilde{\Lambda}^a(\tilde{\omega})}.$$

Now we establish the converse inequality.

Let $g \in \tilde{\Lambda}^a(\tilde{\omega})$. Then $z^m \cdot g \in \tilde{\Lambda}^a(\tilde{\omega})$. We have

$$|D^m(f(z)z^m)| \leq C_2 \|g\|_{\tilde{\Lambda}^a(\tilde{\omega})} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{(1 - |z_j|)^{m_j+1-2/p}}{(\omega_j(1 - |z_j|))^{1/p}}.$$

Therefore

$$|D^m(f(z)z^m)| \frac{(\omega_j(1 - |z_j|))^{1/p}}{(1 - |z_j|)^{m_j+1-2/p}} \leq C_2 \|g\|_{\tilde{\Lambda}^a(\tilde{\omega})}.$$

We have proved the following

Theorem 5.1 *A function $g \in \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{U}^n)$ belongs to $\Lambda^p(\omega)$ if and only if $g \in \Lambda^a(\tilde{\omega})$ where $\tilde{\omega}(t) = \omega^{1/p}(t)t^{2/p}$.*

Now, using Theorem 6 from [11], we can describe the dual space $(\mathbb{HP}(\alpha))^*$ in terms of $\tilde{\Lambda}^a(\tilde{\omega})$.

Theorem 5.2 *Let Φ be a continuous linear functional on $\mathbb{HP}(\omega)$, $(\omega_j \in \Omega, 1 \leq j \leq n)$, and let $g(z) = \Phi((1 - zw)^{-1})$ $(z, w \in \mathbb{U}^n)$. Then:*

(i) (a) $g \in \tilde{\Lambda}^a(\tilde{\omega})$, $\tilde{\omega}(t) = \omega^{1/p}(t)t^{2/p}$.

(b) *The functional Φ is representable in the form*

$$\Phi(f) = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1-0} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} f(\rho\zeta) g(\rho\bar{\zeta}) dm_n(\zeta), \quad (13)$$

moreover, for some positive constants $C_1(\omega)$ and $C_2(\omega)$ we have

$$C_1(\rho)\|\Phi\| \leq \|g\|_{\tilde{\Lambda}^a(\tilde{\omega})} \leq C_2(\rho)\|\Phi\|. \quad (14)$$

(ii) Conversely, any function $g \in \tilde{\Lambda}^a(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ induces by (13) a continuous linear functional on $\mathbb{HP}(\omega)$, which satisfies (14).

Now we turn to some applications of our results to division theorems in spaces $\mathbb{HP}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}^a(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$. To this end, we need the following well-known definitions.

Definition 5.3 A function $g \in \mathbb{HP}^\infty(\mathbb{U}^n)$ is called an inner function, if its radial boundary values satisfy $|g^*(w)| = 1$ almost everywhere on \mathbb{T}^n .

Definition 5.4 An inner function $g \in \mathbb{HP}^\infty(\mathbb{U}^n)$ is said to be good, if $u[g] = 0$, where $u[g]$ is the least n -harmonic majorant of $\log |g|$ in \mathbb{U}^n ([10]).

Definition 5.5 A function h summable in \mathbb{T}^n is said to be of the class RL , if its Fourier coefficients vanish outside the set $\mathbb{Z}_+^n \cup (-\mathbb{Z}_+^n)$.

In [16] the following theorem is proved.

Theorem 5.6 Let $h \in RL$, $0 < p \leq 1$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $T_h(\mathbb{HP}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)) \subseteq \mathbb{HP}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$,
- (ii) $h = h_1 + \overline{h_2}$, where $h_1 \in H^\infty(U^n)$ and $h_2 \in (\mathbb{HP}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n))^*$.

Theorem 5.7 Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$ ($\alpha_j > -1$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, $\omega_j \in \Omega$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, and let \mathbb{X} means one of the classes $\mathbb{HP}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}^a(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$. Further, let $f \in \mathbb{X}$, \mathcal{J} be a good inner function, let $F \in \mathbb{HP}^\infty(\mathbb{U}^n)$, and let $f = F \cdot \mathcal{J}$. Then $F \in \mathbb{X}$.

Proof. It is evident that

$$T_{\overline{\mathcal{J}}}(f)(z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{T^n} \frac{f(\zeta) \overline{\mathcal{J}(\zeta)}}{\zeta - z} d\zeta = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{T^n} \frac{f(\zeta) d\zeta}{\mathcal{J}(\zeta)(\zeta - z)} = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{T^n} \frac{F(\zeta) d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)}.$$

On the other hand, since F is holomorphic it is representable by the Cauchy formula. Hence $F \in \mathbb{X}$ by Theorems 3.2 and 4.2. Thus, the quotient of $f \in \mathbb{X}$ and a good inner function belongs to \mathbb{X} . \square

REFERENCES

1. **Korenblum, B. I.**, On an extremal property of outer functions [in Russian], *Mat. Zametki*, vol. **10**, no 1, pp. 53 – 68 (1971).
2. **Havin, V. P.**, On factorization of functions analytic in the disk, smooth up to its boundary [in Russian], *Zap. Nauch. Sem. LOMI*, vol. **22**, pp. 202 – 205 (1971).

3. **Shamoian, F. A.**, Division by inner functions in some spaces of functions analytic in the disk [in Russian], *Zap. Nauch. Sem. LOMI*, vol. **22**, pp. 206 – 208 (1971).
4. **Kahane, J. P.**, Best approximation in $L^1(T)$. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. **80**, no 5, pp. 788–804, (1974).
5. **Shamoian, F. A.**, Toeplitz operators and dividing on inner function in some spaces of analitic functions[in Russian]. *Dokladi Akad.Nauk Arm.SSR*, *Mathematika*, vol. **76**, no 3, pp 109-113, (1983).
6. **Yorikke, B.**, A multidimensional analogy of Privalov's theorem. *Math.Nachrichten*, vol. **107**, pp. 221-233, (1982).
7. **Rudin, W.**, Theory of functions in the unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n , *Mir*, Moscow (1984).
8. **Shamoian, F. A., Harutyunyan A. V.**, On anisotropic spaces of functions holomorphic in the polydisk, bounded up to its boundary, *J. of Contemp. Math. An., National Ac. of Sci. of Armenia*, vol. **28**, no. 6, pp. 50 – 68 (1993).
9. **Nikol'ski, S. M.**, Approximation of functions of several variables and embedding theorems [in Russian], *Nauka*, Moscow (1969).
10. **Shamoian, F. A.**, Diagonal mappings and representability questions in some classes of functions holomorphic in the polydisk [in Russian], *Sib. Math. J.* vol. **31**, no 2, pp. 197 – 215 (1990).
11. **Zygmund A.**, Trigonometric Series, *Cambrige University Press*, (1959)
12. **Shamoian, F. A., Harutyunyan A. V.**, Toeplitz operators in multidimensional spa- ces $H^p(\alpha)$ of M.M.Djrbashian, *J. of Contemp. Math. An., National Ac. of Sci. of Armenia*, vol. **30**, no. 2, pp. 70 – 78 (1995)
13. **Seneta, E.** Functions of regular variation[in Russian], *Nauka*, Moscow (1985)
14. **Djrbashian, M. M.**, On representability of some classes of functions meromorphic in the unit disk [in Russian], *DAN of Armenia*, vol. **3**, no 1, pp. 3 – 9 (1945).
15. **Duren, P., Romberg, A., Shields A.**, Linear funktionalis of H^p spaces with $0 < p < 1$, *J.Reine Angew.Math.*, vol. **238**, pp.32–60,(1969)
16. **Frazer A. P.**, The dual space of H^p of the polydisk for $0 < p < 1$, *Duke Math. J.*, vol. **39**, no 2, pp. 369–379, (1972)
17. **Rudin, W.**, Theory of functions in the polydisk, *Mir, Moscow* (1974).

(Anahit Harutyunyan) Yerevan State University, Department of Informatics and Applied Mathematics, Alek Manukian 1, 375025 Yerevan, Armenia

E-mail address:anahit@ysu.am