

# Mathematical Statistics

## Tutorial 6

1. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be an independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random sample from the density:

$$f(x, \theta) = \theta x^{\theta-1} I_{(0,1)}(x) \quad \text{where } \theta \in \Theta = (0, +\infty)$$

We are interested in estimating the parameter  $\lambda = 1/\theta$ .

The maximum Likelihood Estimator (MLE) of  $\lambda$  is  $\hat{\lambda}_{MV} = -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i}{n} = -\overline{\ln X}$ . and the moment estimator based on the first population moment  $\hat{\lambda}_{MO} = \frac{1-\bar{X}}{\bar{X}} = \frac{1}{\bar{X}} - 1$ .

- (a) Compute the Cramér-Rao Lower Bound (CRLB) and compare it with the variance of the MLE estimators.
  - (b) Compare the asymptotic variances of  $\hat{\lambda}_{MV}$  and  $\hat{\lambda}_{MO}$  and determine which is asymptotically more efficient.
2. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be an independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random sample from a Normal distribution  $N(\mu, \rho^2)$ , where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\rho^2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Use the Neyman Factorization Theorem to find a sufficient statistic,  $T(\mathbf{X})$ , for the parameter(s) specified in each of the following cases:
    - (a) Case 1: Both Parameters Unknown. Find a sufficient statistic for the parameter vector  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\mu, \rho^2)$ , where both the mean  $\mu$  and the variance  $\rho^2$  are unknown.
    - (b) Case 2: Mean Unknown, Variance Known. Find a sufficient statistic for the parameter  $\theta = \mu$ , where the variance  $\rho^2$  is known (a fixed constant).
    - (c) Case 3: Mean Known, Variance Unknown. Find a sufficient statistic for the parameter  $\theta = \rho^2$ , where the mean  $\mu$  is known (a fixed constant).
  3. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be an i.i.d. random sample from a Shifted Exponential distribution with the PDF:

$$f(x; \theta) = e^{-(x-\theta)} \mathbb{I}_{(\theta, +\infty)}(x), \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}$$

Use the Neyman Factorization Theorem to find a sufficient statistic for the parameter  $\theta$ .

## Problem 1

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be an independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random sample from the density:

$$f(x, \theta) = \theta x^{\theta-1} I_{(0,1)}(x) \quad \text{where } \theta \in \Theta = (0, +\infty)$$

We are interested in estimating the parameter  $\lambda = 1/\theta$ .

The maximum Likelihood Estimator (MLE) of  $\lambda$  is  $\hat{\lambda}_{MV} = -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i}{n} = -\overline{\ln X}$ . and the moment estimator based on the first population moment  $\hat{\lambda}_{MO} = \frac{1-\bar{X}}{\bar{X}} = \frac{1}{\bar{X}} - 1$ .

1. Compute the Cramér-Rao Lower Bound (CRLB) and compare it with the variance of the MLE estimators.
2. Compare the asymptotic variances of  $\hat{\lambda}_{MV}$  and  $\hat{\lambda}_{MO}$  and determine which is asymptotically more efficient.

## Solution

We compute the Fisher Information for the parameter  $\lambda$ .

We compute the Fisher Information for  $\theta$  ( $I_1(\theta)$ ): The second derivative of the log-likelihood for one observation  $X$  is  $\frac{d^2 \ln f(X|\theta)}{d\theta^2} = -\frac{1}{\theta^2}$ .

$$I_1(\theta) = -\mathbb{E} \left[ -\frac{1}{\theta^2} \right] = \frac{1}{\theta^2}$$

then  $\lambda = q(\theta) = 1/\theta$ . The Cramer-Rao Bound is

$$\frac{(q'(\theta))^2}{nI_1(\theta)} = \frac{(-\frac{1}{\theta^2})^2}{n\frac{1}{\theta^2}} = \frac{1}{n\theta^2}.$$

Also we can rewrite the bound in terms of  $\lambda$ . Using the transformation  $\theta = 1/\lambda$ ,

$$\frac{(q'(\theta))^2}{nI_1(\theta)} = \frac{1}{n\theta^2} = \frac{\lambda^2}{n}.$$

Another possibility could be rewrite the density as a function of  $\lambda$  and compute the information number,  $I_1(\lambda)$ . We can see that the

$$I_1(\lambda) = I_1(\theta) \left( \frac{d\theta}{d\lambda} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{(1/\lambda)^2} \left( -\frac{1}{\lambda^2} \right)^2 = \lambda^2 \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda^4} = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}$$

then

$$\text{CRLB}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{nI_1(\lambda)} = \frac{1}{n/\lambda^2} = \frac{\lambda^2}{n}$$

### Comparison with Estimator Variances of MLE ( $\hat{\lambda}_{MV}$ )

It is easy to see that  $Y_i = -\ln X_i \sim \text{Exponential}(\theta)$ , so  $\hat{\lambda}_{MV} = \bar{Y}$ . The variance of  $\hat{\lambda}_{MV}$  is  $\text{Var}(\hat{\lambda}_{MV}) = \frac{\text{Var}(Y_i)}{n}$ . Since  $\text{Var}(Y_i) = 1/\theta^2 = \lambda^2$ :

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\lambda}_{MV}) = \frac{\lambda^2}{n}$$

The MLE is efficient for finite samples because  $\text{Var}(\hat{\lambda}_{MV}) = \text{CRLB}(\lambda)$ .

### Asymptotic Distribution of $\hat{\lambda}_{MV}$ (MLE)

The asymptotic distribution is:

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\lambda}_{MV} - q(\theta)) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, (q'(\theta))^2 / (I_1(\theta)))$$

then the asymptotic variance is

$$AVar(\hat{\lambda}_{MV}) = \frac{1}{\theta^2} = \lambda^2$$

### Asymptotic Distribution of $\hat{\lambda}_{MO}$ (MME)

We can compute the mean and the variance of  $X$

- Mean:  $\mu_1 = \mathbb{E}[X] = \frac{\theta}{\theta+1}$ .
- Variance:  $\text{Var}(X) = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - (\mathbb{E}[X])^2$ .

$$\text{Var}(X) = \frac{\theta}{\theta+2} - \left(\frac{\theta}{\theta+1}\right)^2 = \frac{\theta(\theta+1)^2 - \theta^2(\theta+2)}{(\theta+2)(\theta+1)^2} = \frac{\theta}{(\theta+2)(\theta+1)^2}$$

Since the MME is  $\hat{\lambda}_{MO} = \frac{1}{\bar{X}} - 1$ , we define the function  $g(m)$

$$g(m) = \frac{1}{m} - 1$$

Then  $\hat{\lambda}_{MO} = \frac{1}{\bar{X}} - 1 = g(\bar{X})$  and  $g(\frac{\theta}{\theta+1}) = \frac{1}{\theta}$  The using the Central Limit Theorem and applying the Delta Method, we have that

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\lambda}_{MO} - q(\theta)) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, AVar(\hat{\lambda}_{MO}))$$

where the asymptotic variance of  $\hat{\lambda}_{MO}$  is given by:

$$AVar(\hat{\lambda}_{MO}) = \text{Var}(X) \cdot [g'(\mathbb{E}[X])]^2$$

First, we compute the derivative of  $g(m)$  with respect to  $m$ :

$$g'(m) = \frac{d}{dm} \left( \frac{1}{m} - 1 \right) = -\frac{1}{m^2}$$

Now we evaluate this derivative at the population mean  $\mathbb{E}[X] = \frac{\theta}{\theta+1}$ :

$$g'(\mathbb{E}[X]) = -\frac{1}{\left(\frac{\theta}{\theta+1}\right)^2} = -\frac{(\theta+1)^2}{\theta^2}$$

Substituting  $\text{Var}(X)$  and  $g'(\mathbb{E}[X])$  into the Delta Method formula:

$$\begin{aligned} AVar(\hat{\lambda}_{MO}) &= \left( \frac{\theta}{(\theta+2)(\theta+1)^2} \right) \cdot \left( -\frac{(\theta+1)^2}{\theta^2} \right)^2 \\ &= \left( \frac{\theta}{(\theta+2)(\theta+1)^2} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{(\theta+1)^4}{\theta^4} \right) \\ &= \frac{(\theta+1)^2}{\theta^3(\theta+2)} \end{aligned}$$

The Asymptotic Variance of the MME in terms of  $\theta$  is:

$$AVar(\hat{\lambda}_{MO}) = \frac{(\theta+1)^2}{\theta^3(\theta+2)}$$

The asymptotic distribution is:

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\lambda}_{MO} - q(\theta)) \xrightarrow{D} N\left(0, \frac{(\theta+1)^2}{\theta^3(\theta+2)}\right)$$

### Comparison of Asymptotic Variances

We compare  $\text{AVar}(\hat{\lambda}_{MV}) = 1/\theta^2$  with  $\text{AVar}(\hat{\lambda}_{MO}) = \frac{(\theta+1)^2}{\theta^3(\theta+2)}$ .

$$\frac{\frac{(\theta+1)^2}{\theta^3(\theta+2)}}{1/\theta^2} = \frac{(\theta+1)^2}{\theta(\theta+2)}$$

Since  $\theta > 0$ , the ratio is greater than 1. Therefore,  $\text{AVar}(\hat{\lambda}_{MV}) < \text{AVar}(\hat{\lambda}_{MO})$ .

The Maximum Likelihood Estimator ( $\hat{\lambda}_{MV}$ ) is **\*\*asymptotically more efficient\*\*** than the Method of Moments Estimator ( $\hat{\lambda}_{MO}$ ), as it achieves the minimum possible asymptotic variance ( $\lambda^2$ ).

## Problem 2

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be an independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random sample from a Normal distribution  $N(\mu, \rho^2)$ , where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\rho^2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Use the Neyman Factorization Theorem to find a sufficient statistic,  $T(\mathbf{X})$ , for the parameter(s) specified in each of the following cases:

1. Case 1: Both Parameters Unknown. Find a sufficient statistic for the parameter vector  $\theta = (\mu, \rho^2)$ , where both the mean  $\mu$  and the variance  $\rho^2$  are unknown.
2. Case 2: Mean Unknown, Variance Known. Find a sufficient statistic for the parameter  $\theta = \mu$ , where the variance  $\rho^2$  is known (a fixed constant).
3. Case 3: Mean Known, Variance Unknown. Find a sufficient statistic for the parameter  $\theta = \rho^2$ , where the mean  $\mu$  is known (a fixed constant).

## Solution

The probability density function (PDF) for a single observation  $X_i$  is:

$$f(x_i; \mu, \rho^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\rho^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\rho^2}\right)$$

The likelihood function for the i.i.d. sample  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is:

$$L(\mathbf{x}; \mu, \rho^2) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \mu, \rho^2) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\rho^2}\right)^{n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\rho^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2\right)$$

We expand the sum of squares in the exponent:  $\sum (x_i - \mu)^2 = \sum x_i^2 - 2\mu \sum x_i + n\mu^2$ .

$$L(\mathbf{x}; \mu, \rho^2) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\rho^2}\right)^{n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\rho^2} \left(\sum x_i^2 - 2\mu \sum x_i + n\mu^2\right)\right)$$

According to the \*\*Neyman Factorization Theorem\*\*,  $T(\mathbf{X})$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$  if  $L(\mathbf{x}; \theta)$  can be factored as  $g(T(\mathbf{x}); \theta)h(\mathbf{x})$ , where  $h(\mathbf{x})$  does not depend on  $\theta$ .

### 1. Case 1: Both Parameters Unknown ( $\theta = (\mu, \rho^2)$ )

Since  $h(\mathbf{x})$  must not depend on  $\mu$  or  $\rho^2$ , we can set  $h(\mathbf{x}) = 1$ . The entire likelihood function must then be the function  $g$ .

$$L(\mathbf{x}; \mu, \rho^2) = \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\rho^2}\right)^{n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\rho^2} \left(n\mu^2 - 2\mu \sum x_i + \sum x_i^2\right)\right)}_{g(T_1(\mathbf{x}); \mu, \rho^2)} \cdot \underbrace{1}_{h(\mathbf{x})}$$

The function  $g$  depends on the sample  $\mathbf{x}$  only through the values of  $\sum x_i$  and  $\sum x_i^2$ .

Sufficient Statistic:

$$\mathbf{T}_1(\mathbf{X}) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2\right)$$

### 2. Case 2: $\mu$ Unknown ( $\theta = \mu$ ), $\rho^2$ Known

Since  $\rho^2$  is known, terms involving only  $\rho^2$  can be placed in  $h(\mathbf{x})$ . We rearrange the exponent to separate terms containing  $\mu$  from terms that do not:

$$L(\mathbf{x}; \mu) = \underbrace{\exp\left(\frac{\mu}{\rho^2} \sum x_i - \frac{n\mu^2}{2\rho^2}\right)}_{g(T_2(\mathbf{x}); \mu)} \cdot \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\rho^2}\right)^{n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\rho^2} \sum x_i^2\right)}_{h(\mathbf{x})}$$

The function  $g$  depends on  $\mathbf{x}$  only through  $\sum x_i$  and depends on the unknown parameter  $\mu$ . The function  $h$  depends on  $\mathbf{x}$  but is independent of  $\mu$ .

Sufficient Statistic:

$$T_2(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \quad \text{or equivalently } \bar{X}$$

### 3. Case 3: $\rho^2$ Unknown ( $\theta = \rho^2$ ), $\mu$ Known

Since  $\mu$  is known, we use the initial unexpanded form of the exponent  $\sum (x_i - \mu)^2$ .

$$L(\mathbf{x}; \rho^2) = \underbrace{\left( \frac{1}{2\pi\rho^2} \right)^{n/2} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2\rho^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 \right)}_{g(T_3(\mathbf{x}); \rho^2)} \cdot \underbrace{1}_{h(\mathbf{x})}$$

The function  $g$  depends on  $\mathbf{x}$  only through the quantity  $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2$  and depends on the unknown parameter  $\rho^2$ .

Sufficient Statistic:

$$T_3(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2$$

### Problem 3

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be an i.i.d. random sample from a Shifted Exponential distribution with the PDF:

$$f(x; \theta) = e^{-(x-\theta)} \mathbb{I}_{(\theta, +\infty)}(x), \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}$$

Use the Neyman Factorization Theorem to find a sufficient statistic for the parameter  $\theta$ .

### Solution

The joint PDF for the sample  $\mathbf{X}$  is:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}; \theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-(x_i-\theta)} \mathbb{I}_{(\theta, +\infty)}(x_i) \\ &= e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i-\theta)} \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}_{(\theta, +\infty)}(x_i) \\ &= e^{n\theta} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}_{(\theta, +\infty)}(x_i) \end{aligned}$$

The product of indicator functions is non-zero (equal to 1) if and only if  $x_i > \theta$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , which is equivalent to requiring that the smallest observation is greater than  $\theta$ :  $\min\{x_i\} > \theta$ .

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}_{(\theta, +\infty)}(x_i) = \mathbb{I}_{(\theta, +\infty)}(\min\{x_i\})$$

Substituting this back, we factor the joint PDF:

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}; \theta) = \underbrace{e^{n\theta} \mathbb{I}_{(\theta, +\infty)}(\min\{x_i\})}_{k_1(t(\mathbf{x}), \theta)} \underbrace{e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}}_{k_2(\mathbf{x})}$$

The function  $k_1$  depends on  $\mathbf{x}$  only through  $t(\mathbf{x}) = \min\{x_i\}$  and the parameter  $\theta$ . The function  $k_2$  depends on  $\mathbf{x}$  but not on  $\theta$ .

By the Neyman Factorization Theorem, the statistic  $T = \min\{X_i\}$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ .