

# Probability Theory

## Tutorial 3

1. We throw a coin an infinite number of times. In each throw, the probability of getting a head is equal to  $p \in (0, 1]$ . Prove that with probability 1, a pattern of 100 heads in a row will appear infinitely many times.
2. We throw a coin an infinite number of times. In each throw, the probability of getting a head is  $p \neq \frac{1}{2}$ . For  $n = 2, 4, 6, \dots$ , consider the events  $A_n$ : in the first  $n$  throws, the number of heads and tails is the same. Prove that with probability 1, only finitely many of the events  $A_2, A_4, \dots, A_{2k}, \dots$  occur.
3. Suppose  $P([0, \frac{8}{4+n}]) = \frac{2+e^{-n}}{6}$  for all  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . What must  $P(\{0\})$  be?
4. Suppose  $P([0, 1]) = 1$ , but  $P([\frac{1}{n}, 1]) = 0$  for all  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . What must  $P(\{0\})$  be?

## Problem 1

We throw a coin an infinite number of times. In each throw, the probability of getting a head is equal to  $p \in (0, 1]$ . Prove that with probability 1, a pattern of 100 heads in a row will appear infinitely many times.

### Proof

This proof relies fundamentally on the Second Borel-Cantelli Lemma for independent events.

**Second Borel-Cantelli Lemma (for Independent Events):** Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  be a sequence of independent events. Then, the probability that infinitely many of the events occur, denoted  $P(A_k \text{ i.o.})$ , is 1 if and only if the sum of their probabilities diverges:

$$P(A_k \text{ i.o.}) = 1 \iff \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(A_k) = \infty$$

The event of interest is the appearance of 100 consecutive heads. To apply the Borel-Cantelli Lemma, we must construct an infinite sequence of mutually independent events.

We partition the infinite sequence of coin throws into non-overlapping blocks, each of length  $m = 100$ . For  $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ , let  $A_k$  be the event that the  $k$ -th block consists entirely of heads. That is,  $A_k$  occurs if the throws from position  $100k + 1$  up to position  $100k + 100$  are all heads. Since all individual coin throws are mutually independent, and the blocks  $A_k$  and  $A_j$  for  $j \neq k$  occupy completely distinct sets of throws, the sequence of events  $A_0, A_1, A_2, \dots$  is mutually independent.

The probability of getting a head in a single throw is  $P(\text{Head}) = p$ . Since  $A_k$  is the event of 100 independent heads in a row:

$$P(A_k) = p \cdot p \cdot \dots \cdot p \quad (\text{100 times}) = p^{100}$$

We now compute the sum of the probabilities of these independent events:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(A_k) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p^{100}$$

Since  $p \in (0, 1]$ , the smallest possible value for  $p^{100}$  is  $\lim_{p \rightarrow 0^+} p^{100} = 0$ , but since  $p$  is strictly greater than 0,  $p^{100} > 0$ . The sum is an infinite series where every term is the same positive constant  $c = p^{100}$ :

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p^{100} = p^{100} + p^{100} + p^{100} + \dots = \infty$$

The sum diverges.

Since the events  $A_k$  are independent and  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(A_k) = \infty$ , the Second Borel-Cantelli Lemma guarantees that the probability of the sequence of events  $A_k$  occurring infinitely often is 1:

$$P(\{A_k \text{ i.o.}\}) = 1$$

Let  $A$  be the event that "a pattern of 100 heads in a row appears infinitely many times." The event  $\{A_k \text{ i.o.}\}$  means that the pattern appears infinitely many times only within the predetermined disjoint blocks. Since every occurrence of the pattern within a disjoint block is also an occurrence of the general pattern  $A$ , we have the set containment:

$$\{A_k \text{ i.o.}\} \subseteq A$$

Because  $\{A_k \text{ i.o.}\}$  is a subset of  $A$ , its probability provides a lower bound for  $P(A)$ :

$$P(A) \geq P(\{A_k \text{ i.o.}\}) = 1$$

Since probability cannot exceed 1, we must have  $P(A) = 1$ .

Therefore, with probability 1, a pattern of 100 heads in a row will appear infinitely many times.

## Problem 2

We throw a coin an infinite number of times. In each throw, the probability of getting a head is  $p \neq \frac{1}{2}$ . For  $n = 2, 4, 6, \dots$ , consider the events  $A_n$ : in the first  $n$  throws, the number of heads and tails is the same. Prove that with probability 1, only finitely many of the events  $A_2, A_4, \dots, A_{2k}, \dots$  occur.

## Solution

This problem is solved using the First Borel-Cantelli Lemma. This lemma provides a simple condition for an event to occur only a finite number of times (finitely often, or f.o.).

First Borel-Cantelli Lemma: Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  be any sequence of events. If the sum of their probabilities converges, then the probability that infinitely many of the events occur is zero:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(A_k) < \infty \implies P(\{A_k \text{ occurs infinitely often (i.o.)}\}) = 0$$

The conclusion  $P(\{A_k \text{ i.o.}\}) = 0$  is equivalent to  $P(\{A_k \text{ occurs finitely often (f.o.)}\}) = 1$ .

Let  $A_{2k}$  be the event that in the first  $2k$  throws, the number of heads equals the number of tails. This requires exactly  $k$  heads and  $k$  tails. Since each throw is an independent Bernoulli trial with success probability  $p$  (Head) and failure probability  $(1-p)$  (Tail), the number of ways to get  $k$  heads in  $2k$  trials is  $\binom{2k}{k}$ .

$$P(A_{2k}) = \binom{2k}{k} p^k (1-p)^k$$

We must check the convergence of the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(A_{2k})$ . We use the Ratio Test.

Let  $a_k = P(A_{2k})$ . We compute the limit of the ratio  $\frac{a_{k+1}}{a_k}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

$$\frac{P(A_{2(k+1)})}{P(A_{2k})} = \frac{\binom{2k+2}{k+1} p^{k+1} (1-p)^{k+1}}{\binom{2k}{k} p^k (1-p)^k}$$

We simplify the combinatorial term:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\binom{2k+2}{k+1}}{\binom{2k}{k}} &= \frac{\frac{(2k+2)!}{(k+1)!(k+1)!}}{\frac{(2k)!}{k!k!}} = \frac{(2k+2)!}{(2k)!} \cdot \frac{(k!)^2}{((k+1)!)^2} \\ &= (2k+2)(2k+1) \cdot \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} = \frac{2(k+1)(2k+1)}{(k+1)^2} = \frac{2(2k+1)}{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

Now, we compute the limit  $L$ :

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[ p(1-p) \cdot \frac{2(2k+1)}{k+1} \right] \\ L &= p(1-p) \cdot \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{4k+2}{k+1} \right] = p(1-p) \cdot 4 \\ L &= 4p(1-p) \end{aligned}$$

The Ratio Test states that the series converges if  $L < 1$ . We analyze the condition  $4p(1-p) < 1$ :

$$4p - 4p^2 < 1$$

$$0 < 4p^2 - 4p + 1$$

The right side is a perfect square:

$$0 < (2p-1)^2$$

This inequality holds true for all values of  $p$  except when  $2p - 1 = 0$ , which occurs when  $p = 1/2$ . Since the problem explicitly states that  $p \neq 1/2$ , the limit  $L$  satisfies the condition:

$$L = 4p(1 - p) < 1$$

Therefore, the series converges:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(A_{2k}) < \infty$$

By the First Borel-Cantelli Lemma, since the sum of the probabilities of the events  $A_{2k}$  converges, the probability that infinitely many of these events occur is zero:

$$P(\{A_{2k} \text{ i.o.}\}) = 0$$

Consequently, the probability that only a finite number of these events occur is 1:

$$P(\{A_{2k} \text{ f.o.}\}) = 1$$

This proves that with probability 1, the number of heads and tails will only be equal in the first  $2k$  throws for a finite number of indices  $k$ .

### Problem 3

Suppose  $P([0, \frac{8}{4+n}]) = \frac{2+e^{-n}}{6}$  for all  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . What must  $P(\{0\})$  be?

### Solution

We define the sequence of events  $A_n = [0, \frac{8}{4+n}]$ . This is a **decreasing** sequence of sets since  $A_1 \supseteq A_2 \supseteq A_3 \supseteq \dots$ .

The limit of the sets is the intersection:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[0, \frac{8}{4+n}\right] = \{0\}$$

By the **Continuity of Probability for Decreasing Events**:

$$P\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(A_n)$$

Substituting the given probability function:

$$P(\{0\}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left([0, \frac{8}{4+n}]\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{2+e^{-n}}{6}\right)$$

Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{-n} = 0$ :

$$P(\{0\}) = \frac{2+0}{6} = \frac{1}{3}$$

## Problem 4

Suppose  $P([0, 1]) = 1$ , but  $P([\frac{1}{n}, 1]) = 0$  for all  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . What must  $P(\{0\})$  be?

### Solution

Let  $B_n = [\frac{1}{n}, 1]$ . This is an **increasing** sequence of sets:  $B_1 \subseteq B_2 \subseteq B_3 \subseteq \dots$ .

1. **Calculate  $P((0, 1])$ :** The limit of the sets is the union:

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{n}, 1 \right] = (0, 1]$$

By the **Continuity of Probability for Increasing Events**:

$$P((0, 1]) = P\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(B_n)$$

Since  $P(B_n) = 0$  for all  $n$ :

$$P((0, 1]) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 = 0$$

2. **Use Additivity:** The sample space  $[0, 1]$  can be written as the union of two disjoint sets:

$$[0, 1] = \{0\} \cup (0, 1]$$

By the additivity axiom:

$$P([0, 1]) = P(\{0\}) + P((0, 1])$$

3. **Solve for  $P(\{0\})$ :**

$$1 = P(\{0\}) + 0$$

$$P(\{0\}) = 1$$