# Interpretação e Compilação de Linguagens (de Programação)

# 22/23 Luís Caires (http://ctp.di.fct.unl.pt/~lcaires/)

Mestrado Integrado em Engenharia Informática

Departamento de Informática

Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia

Universidade Nova de Lisboa

#### Type Systems

A type system is a kind of "program logic" that ensures "good behaviour" of programs.

A type systems is a form of so-called "static analysis" or "abstract interpretation" of programs. For any syntactically correct program a static analysis always terminates, even for programs that do not terminate at runtime.

A very common static analysys technique is type checking.

A type system statically ensures (e.g. at compile time) the absence of certain kinds of runtime errors and also provides useful information for compilation.

- Runtime Frrors
- Abstract Interpretation
- Type Systems and Type Checking
- Soundness of a Type Systems
- Trapping of Runtime Errors

#### Runtime errors ...

• What may go wrong during a program execution ?

```
def
  a = new 0
  b = new 2
  c = new (!a > !b)
in
  if !c then
    a := a + 1
  else c := !b < !c
end</pre>
```

#### Runtime errors ...

• What may go wrong during a program execution ?

```
def
   a = new 0
   b = new 2
   c = new (!a > !b)
in
   if c then
      a := !a + 1;
      c := !b < !a
end</pre>
```

#### Runtime errors ...

• What may go wrong during a program execution ?

```
eval( add(E1, E2), env, m0) \triangleq [ (v1, m1) = eval( E1, env, m0);
                                        (v2, m2) = eval(E2, env, m1);
                                       (v1 + v2)m2)]
     eval( deref(E), env, m0) \triangleq [ (ref, m1) = eval( E, env, m0);
                                    (m1.get(ref) m1) ]
     eval(assign(E1, E2), env, m0) \triangleq [(v1, m1) = eval(E1, env, m0);
                                           (v2, m2) = eval(E2, env, m1);
It is not feasible during compilation to
compute concrete values, and check if
                                           m3 = m2.set(v1, v2);
all operations will not go wrong...
The set of possible values is infinite
                                           (v1, m3)]
```

However, we may approximate such sets of values by classifying them into "types".

#### Semantic maps (we have seen before)

Map eval to compute a value + effect for any CALCS programs:

eval : CALCS × ENV × MEM → VAL × MEM

 Map comp that translates CALCS programs in JVM bytecode comp : CALCS × ENV → CodeSeq

#### Typechecker (as an abstract interpreter)

Map eval to compute a value + effect for any CALCS programs:

```
eval: CALCS × ENV × MEM → VAL × MEM
```

- Map comp that translates CALCS programs in JVM bytecode comp : CALCS × ENV → CodeSeq
- Map typchk that computes a type for a CALCS program: typechk: CALCS × ENV → TYPE U { TYPEERROR }

 $ENV:ID \rightarrow TYPE$ 

TYPE = { int, bool, ref{TYPE} }

#### Typechecker (as an abstract interpreter)

Map eval to compute a value + effect for any CALCS programs:

```
eval : CALCS × ENV<IValue> × MEM → VAL × MEM
```

- Map comp that translates CALCS programs in JVM bytecode comp : CALCS × ENV<Coord> → CodeSeq
- Map typchk that computes a type for any CALCS program: typechk: CALCS × ENV<TYPE> → TYPE U { TYPEERROR }

```
ENV: ID → TYPE
TYPE = { int, bool, ref{TYPE}, none }
```

## Types for CALCS

 Map typchk that computes a type for any CALCS program: typechk: CALCS × ENV<TYPE> → TYPE U { TYPEERROR }

 $ENV:ID \rightarrow TYPE$ 

TYPE = { int, bool, ref{TYPE} }

- Map typchk may be understood as an "abstract" interpreter that evaluates the program according to a special semantics, more abstract, in which values are approximated by types.
- In the abstract sematics, the values are the types and operations compute types from types.

### Types for CALCS

 Map typchk that computes a type for any CALCS program: typechk: CALCS × ENV<TYPE> → TYPE U { TYPEERROR }

ENV: ID → TYPE

TYPE = { int, bool, ref{TYPE} }

int: type of integer values.

bool: type of boolean values.

 $ref\{T\}$ : type of references that may only hold values of type T.

Example: ref{ref{int}} is the type of references that may only hold references that may only hold integers.

### Types for CALCS (defined by a grammar)

 Map typchk that computes a type for any CALCS program: typechk: CALCS × ENV<TYPE> → TYPE U { TYPEERROR }

TYPE = { int, bool, ref{TYPE} }

 $ENV: ID \rightarrow TYPE$ 

int: type of integer values.

bool: type of boolean values.

 $ref\{T\}$ : type of references that may only hold values of type T.

Type → int | bool | ref [Type]

```
typchk( add(E1, E2) , env ) \triangleq [ t1 = typchk ( E1, env)
t2 = typchk ( E2, env)
if (t1 == int ) and (t2 == int )
then int
else TYPEERROR ]
```

 Map typchk that computes a type for any CALCS program: typechk: CALCS × ENV<TYPE> → TYPE U { TYPEERROR }

ENV: ID → TYPE

```
typchk( num(n), env) ≜ int;

typchk( id(s), env) ≜ env.Find(s);

typchk( true, env) ≜ bool;

typchk( false, env) ≜ bool;
```

- All integers num(n) are "evaluated" into int.
- All booleans are "evaluated" into bool.

- Associate each names s to a type ts of initialiser E2
- return the type of the body **E2**.

```
typchk( and(E1, E2) , env ) \triangleq [ t1 = typchk ( E1, env)
t2 = typchk ( E2, env)
if (t1 == bool ) and (t2 == bool )
then bool
else TYPEERROR ]
```

```
typchk( if(E0, E1, E2) , env ) \triangleq [ t0 = typchk ( E0, env)

if (t0!= bool ) then TYPEERROR

else [ t1 = typchk ( E1, env)

t2 = typchk ( E2, env)

if (t1 == t2 ) then t2 else TYPEERROR

]]
```

```
typchk( new (E) , env ) ≜

[ t = typchk( E, env );

if (t == TYPEERROR)

then t

else ref (t)]
```

 Map typchk that computes a type for any CALCS program: typechk: CALCS × ENV<TYPE> → TYPE U { TYPEERROR }

 $ENV: ID \rightarrow TYPE$ 

```
\label{eq:typchk}  \mbox{typchk}(\mbox{ deref}(\mbox{E})\ ,\ \mbox{\it env}\ ) \triangleq \\ \mbox{ } \
```

```
typchk( assign(E1, E2) , env ) ≜

[ t1 = typchk( E1, env );

t2 = typchk( E2, env );

if (t1 == ref ( t2 ))

then t2

else TYPEERROR ; ]
```

```
typchk( seq(E1, E2) , env ) ≜

[ t1 = typchk( E1, env );

if ( t1 == TYPEERROR ) then TYPEERROR

else [ t2 = typchk( E2, env );

return t2 ] ]
```

```
typchk( if(E1, E2, E3), env ) ≜
                [t1 = typchk(E1, env);
                  if ( t1 != bool ) then TYPEERROR
                  else [ t2 = typchk( E2, env );
                        t3 = typchk(E3, env);
                        if (t2 != t3)
                          or (t2 == TYPEERROR) or (t3 == TYPEERROR)
                        then TYPEERROR
                        else t2 ] ]
```

#### Sematics of CALCS (recall)

## Algorithm eval() that computes the denotation (value) of any open CALCS expression:

#### eval: AST × ENV × MEM → VAL x MEM

```
eval( seq(E1, E2), env, m0) ≜ [(v1, m1) = eval( E1, env, m0);
                                eval( E2, env, m1)
eval( if(E1, E2, E3), env, m0) =
                 [ (v1, m1) = eval(E1, env, m0);
                   if (v1 = true) then eval(E2, env, m1);
                               else eval(E3, env, m1);
```

Let's compare this with the case for if in Map eval.

Here, **both** branches E2 e E3 are analysed; and we impose (as a conditions) t2 == t3. [Why?]

```
typchk( if(E1, E2, E3), env ) ≜
                [t1 = typchk(E1, env);
                  if ( t1 != bool ) then TYPEERROR
                  else [ t2 = typchk( E2, env );
                        t3 = typchk(E3, env);
                        if (t2 != t3)
                          or (t2 == TYPEERROR) or (t3 == TYPEERROR)
                        then TYPEERROR
                        else t2 ] ]
```

```
typchk( while(E1, E2) , env ) ≜

[t1 = typchk(E1, env );

if (t1!= bool) then TYPEERROR

else [t2 = typchk(E2, env );

if (t2 == TYPEERROR) TYPEERROR

else bool

]

]
```

#### Sematics of CALCS (recall)

## Algorithm eval() that computes the denotation (value) of any open CALCS expression:

eval: AST × ENV × MEM → VAL x MEM

Let's compare this with the case for while in Map eval.

Here, **body** E2 is analysed exactly once (no iteration); and the returned type is bool. [Why?]

```
typchk( while(E1, E2) , env ) ≜

[ t1 = typchk( E1, env );

if ( t1 != bool) then TYPEERROR

else [ t2 = typchk( E2, env );

if ( t2 == TYPEERROR) TYPEERROR

else bool

]

]
```

```
typchk( def(s, E1, E2), env) ≜

[ t1 = typchk( E1, env);

if (t1 == TYPEERROR) then TYPEERROR

else envlocal = env.BeginScope();

envlocal.assoc(s, t1);

t2 = typchk(E2, envlocal);

env = envlocal.EndScope();

t2 end ]
```

#### Decidability and Soundness of Typing

 Notice that for any program P and environment env which covers all free identifiers of P the typechecking operation

typchk(P, env)

is well defined and always terninates [Why?]

 We may also prove the following result, which related well typing with program evaluation

**Theorem**: For any CALCS program P and type  $\mathcal{T}$ , If typchk(P, $\emptyset$ ) =  $\mathcal{T}$  and eval(P, $\emptyset$ , $\emptyset$ ) = v then v :  $\mathcal{T}$ .

#### Decidability and Soundness of Typing

```
Theorem: For any CALCS program P and type \mathcal{T}, If typchk(P,\emptyset) = \mathcal{T} and eval(P,\emptyset,\emptyset) = v then v : \mathcal{T}.
```

```
That is, if typchk(P,\emptyset) = Tthen:
either P does not terminate (loops for ever),
or P terminates and does not get into any runtime errors due to
illegal operations.
```

"Well-typed programs don't go wrong" (Robin Milner)

#### Decidability and Soundness of Typing

```
Theorem: For any CALCS program P and type \mathcal{T}, If typchk(P,\emptyset) = \mathcal{T} and eval(P,\emptyset,\emptyset) = v then v : \mathcal{T}.
```

The property stated in the Theorem above is known as "Type Safety". It implies that

"Well-typed programs don't go wrong" (Robin Milner)

NOTE (incompleteness of type checking)

There are many programs P such that eval(P,  $\emptyset$ ,  $\emptyset$ ) = v but typchk(P,  $\emptyset$ ) = TYPEERROR! [Can you give an example?]

#### Robin Milner

#### **ACM Turing Award (1991)**

For three distinct and complete achievements:

- I) LCF, the mechanization of Scott's Logic of Computable Functions, probably the first theoretically based yet practical tool for machine assisted proof construction;
- 2) ML, the first language to include polymorphic type inference together with a type-safe exception-handling mechanism;
- 3) CCS, a general theory of concurrency. In addition, he formulated and strongly advanced full abstraction, the study of the relationship between operational and denotational semantics.



#### Quiz

- I. Is the program below well-typed? if not, what is a corrected version.
- 2. what is the typing environment at w := ...?
- 3. what is the output of the (possibly corrected) program?

```
def
       x = 10
       y = new(0)
in
  def
       z = new(y)
       w = new(false)
  in
       while !w do
         w := ((!z := !!z + !y + 1) < x)
       end;
      println !y
  end
end
```

#### Quiz

- I. Is the program below well-typed? if not, what is a corrected version.
- 2. what is the typing environment at w := ...?
- 3. what is the output of the (possibly corrected) program?

```
def
       x = 10 x \rightarrow INT
       y = new(0) y \rightarrow REF[INT]
in
  def
       z = new(y)
       w = new(false)
  in
       while !w do
         w := ((!z := !!z + !y + 1) < x)
       end;
      println !y
  end
end
```

#### Quiz

- I. Is the program below well-typed? if not, what is a corrected version.
- 2. what is the typing environment at w := ...?
- 3. what is the output of the (possibly corrected) program?

```
def
       x = 10 x \rightarrow INT
       y = new(0) y \rightarrow REF[INT]
in
  def
       z = new(y) z \rightarrow REF[REF[INT]]
       w = new(false) w -> REF[BOOL]
  in
       while !w do % !w : BOOL
         w := ((!z := !!z + !y + 1) < x)
       end;
      println !y
  end
end
```