Higher Order (Poly)Spectra (HOS) Homework

Advanced Signal Processing

1st assignment

April 2022

Exercise instructions

Consider X(k), given by

$$X(k) = W(k) - W(k-1), k = \pm 1, \pm 2, ...,$$

where $\{W(k)\}$ is a stationary stochastic process with independent, identically distributed (i.i.d) stochastic variables and $E\{W(k)\}=0$, $E\{W^2(k)\}=1$ and $E\{W^3(k)\}=1$. The covariance sequence of $\{X(k)\}$ is given by:

$$c_2^x(\tau) = m_2^x(\tau) = E\{X(k)X(k+\tau)\}\$$

$$= E\{(W(k) - W(k-1))(W(k+\tau) - W(k+\tau-1))\}\$$

$$= 2\delta(\tau) - \delta(\tau-1) - \delta(\tau+1)$$

where $\delta(\tau)$ is the delta Kronecker function; hence,

$$c_2^x(\tau) = \begin{cases} 2, & \tau = 0 \\ -1, & \tau = 1, \tau = -1. \\ 0, & elsewhere \end{cases}$$

The corresponding Power Spectrum is given by

$$C_2^x(\omega) = \sum_{\tau=-1}^1 c_2^x(\tau) e^{-j\omega\tau} = (2 - 2\cos\omega).$$

- 1. Find the 3rd-order cumulants of $\{X(k)\}$, i.e., $c_3^X(\tau_1, \tau_2)$.
- 2. Find the skewness $\gamma_3^x = c_3^x(0,0)$. What do you observe?
- 3. Find the Bispectrun $C_3^{\chi}(\omega_1, \omega_2)$. Is it complex, real or imaginary?
- 4. How the result of 2 affects the result of 3? Can you draw a general comment?

1. Third order cumulants

We know (and it can be easily calculated) that the 3rd order cumulants of X(k) is the following:

$$c_3^x(\tau_1,\tau_2) = m_3^x(\tau_1,\tau_2) - m_1^x[m_2^x(\tau_1) + m_2^x(\tau_2) + m_2^x(\tau_2 - \tau_1)] + 2(m_1^x)^3$$

But in our case we have that:

$$m_1^x = E[X(k)] = E[W(k) - W(k-1)]E[W(k)] - E[W(k-1)] = 0$$

Therefore,

$$c_3^{\mathsf{x}}(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \mathfrak{m}_3^{\mathsf{x}}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \tag{1}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{split} c_3^x(\tau_1,\tau_2) &= \mathsf{E}[X(k)X(k+\tau_1)X(k+\tau_2)) = \\ \mathsf{E}[(W(k)-W(k-1)(W(k+\tau_1))-W(k+\tau_1-1))(W(k+\tau_2)-W(k+\tau_2-1))] &= \\ \mathsf{E}(W(k)W(k+\tau_1)W(k+\tau_2)-W(k)W(k+\tau_1)W(k+\tau_2-1)-W(k)W(k+\tau_1-1)W(k+\tau_2) \\ &+ W(k)W(k+\tau_1-1)W(k+\tau_2-1)-W(k-1)W(k+\tau_1)W(k+\tau_2)+W(k-1)W(k+\tau_1-1)W(k+\tau_2) \\ &+ W(k-1)W(k+\tau_1)W(k+\tau_2-1)-W(k-1)W(k+\tau_1-1)W(k+\tau_2-1)] &= \\ \mathsf{E}[W(k)W(k+\tau_1)W(k+\tau_2)] &- \mathsf{E}[W(k)W(k+\tau_1)W(k+\tau_2-1)] - \mathsf{E}[W(k)W(k+\tau_1-1)W(k+\tau_2)] \\ &+ \mathsf{E}[W(k)W(k+\tau_1-1)W(k+\tau_2-1)] - \mathsf{E}[W(k-1)W(k+\tau_1)W(k+\tau_2)] \\ &+ \mathsf{E}[W(k-1)W(k+\tau_1-1)W(k+\tau_2)] + \mathsf{E}[W(k-1)W(k+\tau_1)W(k+\tau_2-1)] \\ &- \mathsf{E}[W(k-1)W(k+\tau_1-1)W(k+\tau_2-1)] \end{split}$$

Finally,

$$c_3^x(\tau_1,\tau_2) = \delta(\tau_1)\delta(\tau_2) - \delta(\tau_1)\delta(\tau_2 - 1) - \delta(\tau_1 -)\delta(\tau_2) + \delta(\tau_1 - 1)\delta(\tau_2 - 1) - \delta(\tau_1 + 1)\delta(\tau_2 + 1) + \delta(\tau_1)\delta(\tau_2 + 1) \\ + \delta(\tau_1 + 1)\delta(\tau_2) - \delta(\tau_1)\delta(\tau_2)$$

$$c_3^x(\tau_1,\tau_2) = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{when} \quad (\tau_1,\tau_2) = (0,1) \\ -1, & \text{when} \quad (\tau_1,\tau_2) = (1,0) \\ +1, & \text{when} \quad (\tau_1,\tau_2) = (1,1) \\ -1, & \text{when} \quad (\tau_1,\tau_2) = (-1,-1) \\ +1, & \text{when} \quad (\tau_1,\tau_2) = (-1,0) \\ +1, & \text{when} \quad (\tau_1,\tau_2) = (0,-1) \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

2. Skewness

The skewness is:

$$\gamma_3^{x} = c_3^{x}(0,0) = 0$$

There is no skewness, the distribution is perfectly symmetrical around the mean.

3. Bispectrum

The Bispectrum is:

$$\begin{split} C_3^x(\omega_1,\omega_2) &= \sum_{\tau_1 = -1}^{\tau_1 = +1} \sum_{\tau_2 = -1}^{\tau_2 = +1} c_3^x(\tau_1,\tau_2) e^{-j(\omega_1\tau_1 + \omega_2\tau_2)}, \text{ when } |\omega_1| \leq \pi, |\omega_2| \leq \pi, |\omega_1 + \omega_2| \leq \pi \\ &= e^{-j(\omega_1 + \omega_2)} - e^{-j(\omega_1 + \omega_2)} \\ &+ e^{j\omega_1} + e^{-j\omega_2} - e^{-j\omega_1} - e^{-j\omega_2} \\ &= -2j(-\sin\omega_1) - 2j(-\sin\omega_2) - 2j(\sin(\omega_1 + \omega_2)) \\ &= 2j(\sin\omega_1 + \sin\omega_2 - \sin(\omega_1 + \omega_2)) \end{split}$$

the above indicates that the Bispectrum is imaginary.

4. General Comments

If $c_3^x(\tau_1, \tau_2) \neq 0$ there would also be a real part in the Bispectrum. As a result, we can deduce that the skewness of X(k) determines the real part of the Bispectrum.