

# Higher Order Quotients in Higher Order Logic

Peter V. Homeier

U. S. Department of Defense, [homeier@saul.cis.upenn.edu](mailto:homeier@saul.cis.upenn.edu)  
<http://www.cis.upenn.edu/~homeier>

**Abstract.** The quotient operation is a standard feature of set theory,





### 3 Equivalence Relations and Equivalence Theorems

**Definition 1.**  $\text{LIST\_REL } R \ [] \ [] = \text{true}$   
 $\text{LIST\_REL } R (a : as) \ [] = \text{false}$   
 $\text{LIST\_REL } R \ [] \ (b : bs) = \text{false}$   
 $\text{LIST\_REL } R (a : as) (b : bs) = R \ a \ b \ \ \ \text{LIST\_REL } R \ as \ bs$

**Definition 2.**  $(R_1 \ ### \ R_2) (a_1, a_2) (b_1, b_2) = R_1 \ a_1 \ b_1 \ \ \ R_2 \ a_2 \ b_2$

**Definition 3.**  $(R_1 \ +++ \ R_2) (\text{INL } a_1) (\text{INL } b_1) = R_1 \ a_1 \ b_1$   
 $(R_1 \ +++ \ R_2) (\text{INL } a_1) (\text{INR } b_2) = \text{false}$   
 $(R_1 \ +++ \ R_2) (\text{INR } a_2) (\text{INL } b_1) = \text{false}$

**Definition 4.**  $(R_1 \ +++ \ R_2) (\text{INR } a_2) (\text{INR } b_2) = R_2 \ a_2 \ b_2$

$\text{OPTION\_REL } R \ \text{NONE} \ \text{NONE} = \text{true}$   
 $\text{OPTION\_REL } R \ (\text{SOME } a) \ \text{NONE} = \text{false}$   
 $\text{OPTION\_REL } R \ \text{NONE} \ ($

Given the type operator  $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)_{op}$ , OP\_REL should be an operator which takes  $n$  arguments, which are the equivalence relations  $E_1$  through  $E_n$  on the types  $\tau_1$  through  $\tau_n$ , yielding an equivalence relation for the type  $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)_{op}$ .

Using the above relation extension operators, the aggregate type operators `list`, `prod`, `sum`, and `option` have the following equivalence extension theorems:

LIST\_EQUIV:  $\quad E_1 \text{ EQUIV } E_2 \Rightarrow \text{list } E_1 \text{ EQUIV } \text{list } E_2$







**Lemma 12.**

look like the original. Hence Definition 5 was intentionally designed to preserve the vital type operator structure.

At times one wishes to not only lift a number of types across a quotient operation, but also lift by extension a number of other types which are dependent

These functions prove and return quotient theorems, of the form  
QUOTIENT





*Goal 2.* ( ) Assume (2), (3), and (4). We must prove (1). Assume  $R_1 x y$ . Then we must prove  $R_2 (r x) (s y)$ . From  $R_1 x y$  and Property 3 of  $R_1, abs_1, rep_1$ , we also have  $R_1 x x$ ,  $R_1 y y$ , and  $abs_1 x = abs_1 y$ . By Property 3 of  $R_2, abs_2, rep_2$ , the goal is  $R_2 (r x) (r x) \quad R_2 (s y) (s y) \quad abs_2 (r x) = abs_2 (s y)$ . This breaks into three subgoals.

*Subgoal 2.1.* Prove  $R_2 (r x) (r x)$

Thus it is of interest to determine if a design for higher order quotients may

**Proof:**



## 8 Lifting Types, Constants, and Theorems

The definition of new types corresponding to the quotients of existing types by equivalence relations is called “lifting” the types from a lower, more representa-

*def\_name* is the name under which the new constant definition is to be stored in the current theory. The process of defining lifted constants is described in §10.

*tyop\_equivs* is a list of equivalence extension theorems for type operators (see §3.1). These are used for bringing into regular form theorems on new type operators, so that they can be lifted (see sections 12 and 13).

*tyop\_quotients* is a list of quotient extension theorems for type operators (see §6.2). These are used for lifting both constants and theorems.

*tyop\_*



Furthermore, two more related functions, `define_quotient_types_std` and `define_quotient_types_std_rule`, are provided. These are the same as the

the quotient type as a new type in the HOL logic. It also defines the mapping functions between the types, forming a quotient as described in theorem 16.

All definitions are accomplished as definitional extensions of HOL, and thus preserve HOL's consistency.

Before invoking `define_quotient_types`, the user should define a relation on the original type









Please note how the antecedents of each theorem relate to the arguments of the function. The arguments which have types not being lifted are compared

between the value of the function applied to arguments of lifted types, and the lifted version of the value of the same function applied to arguments of the lower types. The equalities are conditioned on the component types being quotients.

These preservation theorems have the following general form.

$$\begin{array}{l} R1 \text{ (abs1: } \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_1) \text{ rep1. QUOTIENT } R1 \text{ abs1 rep1} \\ \dots \\ Rn \text{ (absn: } \tau_n \rightarrow \tau_n) \text{ repn. QUOTIENT } Rn \text{ absn repn} \\ (x1: \tau_1) \dots (xk: \tau_k). \\ C' \ x1 \dots xk = \text{abs}_C \ (C \ (\text{rep}_1 \ x1) \dots (\text{rep}_k \ xk)) \end{array}$$

where

1. the constant  $C$  has type  $\prod_{i=1}^n \tau_i \rightarrow \tau$  and  $C' : \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_i \rightarrow \tau$ .

The operator LENGTH has polymorphic type  $(\text{'a})\text{list} \rightarrow \text{num}$ . It has one type variable,  $\text{'a}$ , so  $n = 1$ . It has one argument, so  $k = 1$ . The argument type is  $(\text{'a})\text{list}$ , for which the representation function is MAP rep. The result type is num, for which the abstraction function is I, which disappears.

The preservation theorem for CONS is

$$\begin{array}{l} R \text{ (abs: 'a} \rightarrow \text{'b) rep. QUOTIENT R abs rep} \\ t \text{ h. h::t = MAP abs (rep h::MAP rep t)} \end{array}$$

The operator CONS has polymorphic type  $\text{'a} \rightarrow (\text{'a})\text{list} \rightarrow (\text{'a})\text{list}$ . It has one type variable,  $\text{'a}$ , so  $n = 1$ . It has two arguments, so  $k = 2$ . The first argument type is



TABLE 1.  
Preservation and Respectfulness Theorems for Polymorphic Operators

Lifted Operators	Preservation Theorems	Respectfulness Theorems
$\lambda x. \lambda y. \dots$	FORALL_PRS	RES_FORALL_RSP
$\lambda x. \lambda y. \dots$	EXISTS_PRS	RES_EXISTS_RSP
$\lambda x. \lambda y. \dots$	EXISTS_UNIQUE_PRS	RES_EXISTS_EQUIV_RSP
$\lambda x. \lambda y. \dots$	ABSTRACT_PRS	

### 11.3 Respectfulness of polymorphic functions: `poly_respects`

`poly_respects`

The operator LENGTH has polymorphic type  $(\text{'a})\text{list} \rightarrow \text{num}$ . It has one type variable, 'a, so  $n = 1$ . It has one argument, so  $k = 1$ . The argument type is  $(\text{'a})\text{list}$ , for which the partial equivalence relation is LIST\_REL[Rt] (type is num, for which the partial equivalence relation is =).

The respectfulness theorem for CONS is

$R \text{ (abs: 'a} \rightarrow \text{'b) rep. QUOTIENT R abs)}$

```

... f1 f2 g1 g2 x1 x2.
      (R2 ==> R3) f1 f2 (R1 ==> R2) g1 g2 R1 x1 x2
      R3 ((f1 o g1) x1) ((f2 o g2) x2)
... f1 f2 g1 g2. (R2 ==> R3) f1 f2 (R1 ==> R2) g1 g2
      (R1 ==> R3) (f1 o g1) (f2 o g2)
... f1 f2. (R2 ==> R3) f1 f2
      ((R1 ==> R2) ==> (R1 ==> R3)) ($o f1) ($o f2)
... ((R2 ==> R3) ==> (R1 ==> R2) ==> (R1 ==> R3)) $o $o

```

The last version has higher order and lesser arity than  $t(an9R3)$ -57Hier versions.3)



type. This means that theorems that mention the equality of elements of the





with such restricted quantifications from a user-supplied theorem with normal quantification in those places.

In addition, theorems which are universal quantifications at the outer level of the theorem, may imply the restricted universal quantifications (their proper form) over respectful values. The proper form is automatically proven and then lifted. For example, the tool can lift the lambda calculus induction theorem given

mentioned in the types argument, or an extension of these, using the relation operators mentioned in sections 3 and 4. If the type of any constant in any theorem involves type the





We specify the respectfulness theorems to assist the lifting:

```
val respects =
  [OVAR1_RSP, OBJ1_RSP, INVOKE1_RSP, UPDATE1_RSP, SIGMA1_RSP,
   HEIGHT_obj1_RSP, HEIGHT_dict1_RSP, HEIGHT_entry1_RSP,
   HEIGHT_method1_RSP, FV_obj1_RSP, FV_dict1_RSP, FV_entry1_RSP,
   FV_method1_RSP, SUB1_RSP, FV_subst_RSP, vsubst1_RSP,
   SUBo_RSP, SUBd_RSP, SUBe_RSP, SUBm_RSP,
   ... ]
```

We specify the polymorphic preservation theorems to assist the lifting:

```
val polyprs = [BV_subst_PRS, COND_PRS, CONS_PRS, NIL_PRS,
               COMMA_PRS, FST_PRS, SND_PRS,
               LET_PRS, o_PRS, UNCURRY_PRS,
               FORALL_PRS, EXISTS_PRS,
               EXISTS_UNIQUE_PRS, ABSTRACT_PRS];
```

We specify the polymorphic respectfulness theorems to assist the lifting:

```
val polyrsp = [BV_subst_RSP, COND_RSP, CONS_RSP, NIL_RSP,
               COMMA_RSP, FST_RSP, SND_RSP,
               LET_RSP, o_RSP, UNCURRY_RSP,
               RES_FORALL_RSP, RES_EXISTS_RSP,
               RES_EXISTS_EQUIV_RSP, RES_ABSTRACT_RSP];
```

The old theorems to be lifted are too many to list, but some will be shown later.

```
- val old_thms = [...];
```

We now define the pure sigma calculus types `obj` and `method` and lift all constants and theorems:

```
- val new_thms =
define_quotient_types
  {types = [{name = "obj",    equiv = ALPHA_obj_EQUIV},
            {name = "method", equiv = ALPHA_method_EQUIV}],
   defs = defs,
   tyop_equivs = [LIST_EQUIV, PAIR_EQUIV],
   tyop_quotients = [LIST_QUOTIENT, PAIR_QUOTIENT,
                     FUN_QUOTIENT],
   tyop_simps = [LIST_REL_EQ, LIST_MAP_I,
                 PAIR_REL_EQ, PAIR_MAP_I,
                 FUN_REL_EQ,  FUN_MAP_I],
   respects = respects,
   poly_preserves = polyprs,
   poly_respects = polyrsp,
   old_thms = old_thms};
```





One of the most difficult theorems to lift is the induction theorem, because







9. Harrison, J.: *Theorem Proving with the Real Numbers*, §2.11, pp. 33-37. Springer-Verlag 1998.