rtprio(2) and POSIX(.1b) Priorities, and Their FreeBSD Implementation: A Deep Dive (and Sweep)

Olivier Certner

Kumacom SARL

AsiaBSDCon 2024

Who am I?

Olivier Certner

- 🔲
- CS professional for ~20 years
- Worked in the CAD and finance sectors
- CTO of small startups
- PhD in many-core parallel programming models
- Language expert and polyglot
 - Notably, C, Common Lisp, Ada and C++

Why am I here?

BSD use

- FreeBSD user since 2004
- Using it everywhere
- Maintaining private changes (ports, userland, kernel).

Community involvement

- Sporadic bug reports and mails on lists (since ~20 years)
- Gradual increase in involvement since ~4 years
 - Maintaining a few ports
 - Reporting bugs in base and submitting patches
 - Contractor for the FreeBSD Foundation since 2023/09
 - Committer since ~4 months (olce@)



Project Goals

Rationalize scheduling priorities

- Fix scheduling APIs bugs
 - Behavior
 - Security
- Decouple implementation and interfaces
- Better POSIX compliance
 - In effect or in spirit
 - Except when poor or non-sensical
- Extend usefulness
 - Make timesharing's priority levels useful
 - Improve priority reporting

Paper content

- Provide an API reference for rtprio(2) and POSIX(.1b)
 - History of POSIX standardization (of scheduling)
- Mention differing platform's behaviors
- Why did I get into that?
- Expose old and new design choices
- Report on progress

This talk

- Scheduling policies
 - Background
 - rtprio(2)
 - POSIX(.1b)

This talk

- Scheduling policies
 - Background
 - rtprio(2)
 - POSIX(.1b)
- 2 Impacting changes
 - Timesharing priority levels
 - Privilege checks
 - More queues for schedulers



Background

Scheduling

Decide

- Which runnable thread
- Runs on which CPU/core
- At which moment
- For which duration

Priority-based models

Common alternatives

- Multi-level queue
 - Fixed-level assignment
- Multi-level feedback queue
 - Dynamic level changes
 - Based on behavior

FreeBSD internal model

ULE scheduler's classes

- Realtime
 - Multi-level queue
 - Includes:
 - Interrupt (kernel) threads
 - ► Realtime user threads
 - Regular kernel threads
- Timeshare
 - Multi-level feedback queue
 - With a twist: "circular" queue
- Idletime
 - Multi-level queue



rtprio(2)

API overview

System calls

Modes

RTP_LOOKUP Retrieve settings

RTP_SET Set settings

Settings

type Scheduling type/class

prio Priority level within the class

Higher number means lower priority



From highest to lowest priority:

Interrupt threads type RTP_PRIO_ITHD prio ?

Realtime user threads
 type RTP_PRIO_FIFO or RTP_PRIO_REALTIME
 prio 0-31 with a caveat...

Regular kernel threads

```
type RTP_PRIO_NORMAL prio 0
```

From highest to lowest priority:

Interrupt threads
 type RTP_PRIO_ITHD
 prio Implementation dependent

- Realtime user threads type RTP_PRIO_FIFO or RTP_PRIO_REALTIME prio 0-31
- Regular kernel threads

```
type RTP_PRIO_KERNEL prio Implementation dependent
```

From highest to lowest priority:

- Timesharing threads type RTP_PRIO_NORMAL prio Implementation dependent... and varying!
- Idle threads

```
type RTP_PRIO_IDLE prio 0-31 with a caveat...
```

From highest to lowest priority:

- Timesharing threads type RTP_PRIO_NORMAL prio 0-40
- Idle threads

```
type RTP_PRIO_IDLE prio 0-31
```

POSIX(.1b)

The standard

Differences to rtprio(2)

- Priority levels "reversed"
- Non-negative priority numbers
- Absolute priority scale
- Process vs. thread scheduling settings
 - Effect depends on scheduling contention scope

Priority levels "reversed"

- Priority levels "reversed"
 - But not on:

 HP-UX



- Priority levels "reversed"
 - But not on:
 - HP-UX
- Non-negative priority numbers

- Priority levels "reversed"
 - But not on:
 - HP-UX
- Non-negative priority numbers
 - But not on:
 - illumos

Absolute priority scale

- Absolute priority scale
 Well, supposedly... but not on:
 - FreeBSD

- Absolute priority scale
 - Well, supposedly... but not on:
 - FreeBSD
 - OpenBSD

- Absolute priority scale
 - Well, supposedly... but not on:
 - FreeBSD
 - OpenBSD
 - NetBSD

- Absolute priority scale
 - Well, supposedly... but not on:
 - FreeBSD
 - OpenBSD
 - NetBSD
 - illumos

- Absolute priority scale
 - Well, supposedly... but not on:
 - FreeBSD
 - OpenBSD
 - NetBSD
 - illumos
 - Linux

- Absolute priority scale
 - Well, supposedly... but not on:
 - FreeBSD
 - OpenBSD
 - NetBSD
 - illumos
 - Linux
- Process vs. thread scheduling settings
 - Support for system contention scope only
 - Process settings should have no effect

- Absolute priority scale
 - Well, supposedly... but not on:
 - FreeBSD
 - OpenBSD
 - NetBSD
 - illumos
 - Linux
- Process vs. thread scheduling settings
 - Support for system contention scope only
 - Process settings should have no effect
 - But, in surveyed variants, they are mapped to:
 - Either the "main" thread, or the calling thread
 - Or all process' threads

Outline

- Scheduling policies
 - Background
 - rtprio(2)
 - POSIX(.1b)
- 2 Impacting changes
 - Timesharing priority levels
 - Privilege checks
 - More queues for schedulers

Timesharing priority levels

Dynamic levels

```
$ ./set_rtprio 0 NORMAL 10
Current priority: 0.
RT prio: Type: RTP_PRIO_NORMAL, prio: 10.
```

Dynamic levels

```
$ ./set_rtprio 0 NORMAL 10
Current priority: 0.
RT prio: Type: RTP_PRIO_NORMAL, prio: 10.
$ ./prio
Current priority: 0.
RT prio: Type: RTP_PRIO_NORMAL, prio: 0.
```

Dynamic levels

```
$ ./set_rtprio 0 NORMAL 10
Current priority: 0.
RT prio: Type: RTP_PRIO_NORMAL, prio: 10.
$ ./prio
Current priority: 0.
RT prio: Type: RTP_PRIO_NORMAL, prio: 0.
$ ./prio
Current priority: 0.
RT prio: Type: RTP_PRIO_NORMAL, prio: 1.
```

Other problems

- Tied to internal priority levels
 - Actual range: 0 PRI_MAX_TIMESHARE-PRI_MIN_TIMESHARE
 - Changed in FreeBSD 14, may change again.
- rtprio(2) and POSIX(.1b) inconsistency
 - rtprio(2) tries to set the internal priority
 - POSIX(.1b) just completely ignores the passed level

• But which ones?

- But which ones?
- Readily available: Nice values

- But which ones?
- Readily available: Nice values
- Need to be per-thread

- But which ones?
- Readily available: Nice values
- Need to be per-thread
- POSIX compliance?
 - Absolute priority levels
 - Mapping to a process' nice value

Privilege checks

MAC framework reminder

- Fine-grained privileges
 - Constants starting with PRIV_
 - Some examples: PRIV_VFS_READ, PRIV_VFS_WRITE
- Root has all privileges
 - Except in jails
- See full API description at priv(9)
 - priv_check_cred()
 - priv_check()

Scheduling privileges

Initial list

- PRIV_SCHED_SETPRIORITY
- PRIV_SCHED_RTPRIO
- PRIV_SCHED_IDPRIO
- PRIV_SCHED_SETPOLICY
- PRIV_SCHED_SET
- PRIV_SCHED_SETPARAM

Scheduling privileges

New list

- PRIV_SCHED_SETPRIORITY, PRIV_SCHED_RAISEPRIO
- PRIV_SCHED_RTPRIO
- PRIV_SCHED_IDPRIO
- PRIV_SCHED_SETPOLICY
- PRIV_SCHED_SET
- PRIV_SCHED_SETPARAM

mac_priority(4)

- Users in group realtime can use realtime classes
 - Group grants PRIV_SCHED_RTPRIO and PRIV_SCHED_SETPOLICY
 - Will soon also grant PRIV_SCHED_RAISEPRIO
- Users in group idletime can use the idletime class
 - Group grants PRIV_SCHED_IDPRIO

More queues for schedulers

POSIX XSH 2.8.4

Conforming implementations shall provide a priority range of at least 32 priorities for this policy.

(In both the SCHED_FIFO and SCHED_RR sections.)

• RTP_PRIO_MIN is 0

- RTP_PRIO_MIN is 0
- RTP_PRIO_MAX is 31

- RTP_PRIO_MIN is 0
- RTP_PRIO_MAX is 31
- New static assertion

- RTP_PRIO_MIN is 0
- RTP_PRIO_MAX is 31
- New static assertion
- What could possibly go wrong?

Priority levels conflation

Multi-level queue

- Has only 64 distinct levels
- Priority P mapped to queue number P mod 64
 - 4 priorities per queue
- All threads of a single level not treated differently
- 1-to-1 mapping to internal levels...

Priority levels conflation

Multi-level queue

- Has only 64 distinct levels
- Priority P mapped to queue number P mod 64
 - 4 priorities per queue
- All threads of a single level not treated differently
- 1-to-1 mapping to internal levels...

ULE

- Actually uses 1 queue per internal class
- Spreads out timesharing processes
 - Internal range currently is 136–223 (88 values)
 - Mapped to 64 queues
- Queries each queue in turn



Stop playing unnecessary tricks

- Stop playing unnecessary tricks
- Make ULE's policy really work as intended

- Stop playing unnecessary tricks
- Make ULE's policy really work as intended
- Allow giving even more levels to timesharing

- Stop playing unnecessary tricks
- Make ULE's policy really work as intended
- Allow giving even more levels to timesharing
- Ensure real 32 levels for realtime

Other achievements

- Factorize and fix priority translation
- Kernel drives almost everything
- Align rtprio(2) and POSIX(.1b) interfaces
 - SCHED_IDLE
 - Easy to add more
- Linuxulator included

Work in progress

- Switch RTP_PRIO_NORMAL levels as nice values
 - Implies a nice value per thread
 - Possibly the same for SCHED_OTHER
- Adoption of SCHED_BATCH
- Reporting based on rtprio(2)
 - ps(1)
 - top(1)

Possible future work

- Query rtprio(2) priority ranges
 - Smoother evolutions
- Absolute priority levels (POSIX)
- Per-process priority limit
 - Unprivileged users could raise to it
 - May obsolete mac_priority(4)
- Runaway processes mitigations
 - Downgrade SCHED_FIFO threads to SCHED_RR by default
 - Allocate time slots to threads in lower priority classes

Code status

- For now, only minor stuff committed
- Bulk needs external reviews
- 256 queues still a WIP
- Some other WIP not published yet

```
https://github.com/OlCe2/freebsd-src
Branch: oc-rtprio_sched
```

Thanks

To you



Thanks

To you

And the FreeBSD Foundation

The end!

Questions?

Thoughts?