

Master Thesis: Open Specification of a  
user-controlled Web Service for Personal Data

G. Jahn

November 1, 2016

## **Abstract**

Data is the currency of tomorrow. Organizations, whether in the private or public sector, gathering enormous amounts of personal (big) data. This data is harvested and incorporated by these third parties, but were created by individuals and therefore should belong to them. People depending on all their data. Their identity as well as their personality are defined by their personal data. Meanwhile data silo operators are hammering onto these haystacks eager trying to find any kind of correlations worth interpreting, thereby almost inevitably discriminating the rightful owners. To reduce the possibility of discrimination only the least amount of data that is required should be handed over to the third party. Thus the individual has to be in charge of the whole process. A personal data service will empower its user to regain full control over her data and facilitates detailed information on every data flow. To be able to trust such a tool, the user should be able to look inside. Therefore a personal data service has to be open source and developed transparently, which then also allows to self-host it.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>4</b>
1.1	Motivation . . . . .	4
1.2	Purpose & Outcome . . . . .	5
1.3	Terminologies . . . . .	5
<b>2</b>	<b>Fundamentals</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1	Personal Data in the context of the Big Data Movement . .	6
2.2	Personal Data as a Product . . . . .	7
2.3	Personal Data as of the Law . . . . .	7
2.4	Digital Identity . . . . .	7
2.5	Use Cases (NOTE: maybe move to 100_introduction) . . .	8
2.6	Related Work . . . . .	8
2.6.1	Research . . . . .	9
2.6.2	Organisations . . . . .	9
2.6.3	Commercial Products . . . . .	9
2.7	Standards and Specifications . . . . .	10
<b>3</b>	<b>Core Principles</b>	<b>11</b>
3.1	Data Ownership . . . . .	11
3.2	Identity Verification . . . . .	12
3.3	Authorisation . . . . .	12

3.4	Authentic Data . . . . .	13
3.5	Supervised Data Access . . . . .	13
3.6	Encapsulation . . . . .	13
3.7	Open Development . . . . .	13
<b>4</b>	<b>Requirements</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Design</b>	<b>16</b>
5.1	Architecture . . . . .	16
5.1.1	Overview . . . . .	17
5.1.2	Components . . . . .	17
5.1.3	Plugins . . . . .	17
5.2	Data . . . . .	17
5.2.1	Modelling . . . . .	18
5.2.2	Categories (or Classes) . . . . .	18
5.2.3	Types . . . . .	18
5.2.4	Persistence . . . . .	18
5.2.5	Consumption (data inflow) . . . . .	18
5.2.6	Emission (data outflow) . . . . .	18
5.2.7	History . . . . .	19
5.3	Interfaces . . . . .	19
5.3.1	Internal . . . . .	19
5.3.2	External . . . . .	19
<b>6</b>	<b>Specification</b>	<b>21</b>
6.1	Processes (TODO: find another word) . . . . .	22
6.2	Application Programming Interfaces . . . . .	22
6.3	Graphical User Interfaces . . . . .	22

6.4	Security . . . . .	22
6.4.1	Environment . . . . .	22
6.4.2	Transport . . . . .	22
6.4.3	Storage . . . . .	23
6.4.4	Authentication . . . . .	23
6.5	Recommendations . . . . .	23
6.5.1	Software Dependencies . . . . .	23
6.5.2	Host Environment . . . . .	23
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>24</b>
7.1	Ethical & Social Impact (TODO: or “Relevance”) . . . . .	24
7.2	Business Models & Monetisation . . . . .	24
7.3	Challenges . . . . .	25
7.4	Solutions . . . . .	25
7.5	Attack Scenarios . . . . .	25
7.6	Future Work . . . . .	25
7.7	Summary . . . . .	25

# 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Motivation

- discriminate humans related to certain data, by interpreting results of deep learning and using neuronal networking and trying to extract information out of data haystacks
- correlation is no proof of causation

## 1.2 Purpose & Outcome

- Personal Data Store (aka. Service, Space, Vault, Cloud, Management/Manager), VRM (Vendor Relation Management) aka “CRM upside down”
- Open Source

## 1.3 Terminologies

- serverless: <https://auth0.com/blog/2016/06/09/what-is-serverless/>
- digital footprints: TODO
- owner: person who controls (and probably hosts) the data service containing her personal data

# 2

## Fundamentals

### 2.1 Personal Data in the context of the Big Data Movement

- difference between users *profile/account data* and their *meta data*?



## 2.2 Personal Data as a Product

- individuals then get in role of selling/offering it's own data to those who were previously collecting them

## 2.3 Personal Data as of the Law

- who is the owner in what situation or under what circumstance?
- difference between “Personal Data” and the owner of that data?

## 2.4 Digital Identity

- identity defining data (e.g. history of personal ID card)
- with such a system a human being is represented by a non-physical abstraction of herself.

Which essentially is a list of attributes, that are at least for legal and civil

administration purposes important. Their values in total are unique and representing the

corresponding human. Certain attributes hold unique values within it's own context, for

example the *social security number*.

- Thus it's not necessary to know the values of all attributes in order to identify it's

owner

- therefore its imported to not see it as a reduction of a living individual to some bits and bytes
- what will happen with her data service after a person died?

## 2.5 Use Cases (NOTE: maybe move to 100\_introduction)

- package shipment after buying sth online
- social network accessing arbitrary profile data
- making an online purchase
- credibility (requesting credit permission) validation by a certain financial institution:  
accessing arbitrary data
- patient/health record
- care (movement) data

## 2.6 Related Work

TODO

### 2.6.1 RESEARCH

- openPDS/safeAnswer [<http://openpds.media.mit.edu/>]
- TAS3 aka ZXID aka Synergetics (lead arch Sampo Kellomäki also Co-Authored openPDS papers)
- Higgins [<https://www.eclipse.org/higgins/>]
- Hub-of-All-Things [<http://hubofallthings.com/what-is-the-hat/>]
- ownyourinfo [<http://www.ownyourinfo.com>]
- PAGORA [<http://www.paoga.com>]
- PRIME/PrimeLife [<https://www.prime-project.eu>, <http://primelife.ercim.eu/>]
- databox.me (reference implementation w/ the “solid” framework)
- Microsoft HealthVault
- Industrial Data Space (german research project mainly driven by Fraunhofer Institute)
- Polis (greek research project from 2008) [<http://polis.ee.duth.gr/Polis/index.php>]

### 2.6.2 ORGANISATIONS

- Kantara Initiative (former “Liberty Alliance”) [<https://kantarainitiative.org/>]
- Open Identity Exchange [<http://openididentityexchange.org/resources/white-papers/>]
- Qiy Foundation [<https://www.qiyfoundation.org/>]

### 2.6.3 COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS

- MyData [<https://mydatafi.wordpress.com/>]
- Meeco (killing the ad provider middle man) [<https://meeco.me/how-it->

works.html]

- RESPECT network [<https://www.respectnetwork.com/>]
- aWise AEGIS [<http://www.ewise.com/aegis>]

## 2.7 Standards and Specifications

- http(s)
- all the *Semantic Web* stuff
- Container/App spec
- JWT
- oAuth (?)
- JSON
- REST
- GraphQL

# 3

## Core Principles

NOTE: here we discuss a variety of possibilities → conceptual work

### 3.1 Data Ownership

- user-centric, full control

## 3.2 Identity Verification

- maybe go with a Signing/verifying Authority (aka CA)
  - do I trust the gov or certain companies more? Which interests do these Role/Stakeholder have?
  - revoking the cert which provides the authenticity of the individual's digital identity should only be possible with a two-factor secret. One part of this secret is owned by the CA and the other half has the individual behind the personal API
- TODO: look into
  - PKI
  - ePerso
  - E-Post/de-mail
- Authentication

## 3.3 Authorisation

- NOTE: does not mean this tool authenticates it's owner against third party platforms like OpenID does. but it could play the role of the 2n factor in a multi-factor authentication process (if the mobile-device-architecture was chosen)
- refers primarily to the process of a data consumer (third party, which

needs the data for

whatever reason) verifies her admission to request

### 3.4 Authentic Data

- is this data (in this case identity) certified or not (results in higher value)

### 3.5 Supervised Data Access

- pure/plain data request/resonse
- remote computation/execution (assuming there is no client for the consumer)  
like <https://webtask.io/>

### 3.6 Encapsulation

- containerization (coreos, rkt, mirageos aka unikernal)

### 3.7 Open Development

- which and why open standards
- why open source
- collaborative transparent development

- Hosting & Administration
  - DYI
  - Usability



# 4

## Requirements

# 5

## Design

### 5.1 Architecture

- showing possible directions, e.g.:
  - cloud or local storage
  - which components can go where
  - remote execution, to prevent data from leaving the system

### 5.1.1 OVERVIEW

- distributed architecture (e.g. notification/queue server + mobile device for persistence and administration)

### 5.1.2 COMPONENTS

### 5.1.3 PLUGINS

- but for what? and not harm security at the same time

## 5.2 Data

- keep in mind to make it all somehow extendible, e.g. by using and storing corresponding schemas

### 5.2.1 MODELLING

### 5.2.2 CATEGORIES (OR CLASSES)

### 5.2.3 TYPES

### 5.2.4 PERSISTENCE

- database requirements

#### 5.2.4.1 Access & Permission

- data needs to have an expiration date

### 5.2.5 CONSUMPTION (DATA INFLOW)

- how data will get into the system
- how is the user able to do that, and how does it work

#### 5.2.5.1 Manually

#### 5.2.5.2 Automatically

### 5.2.6 EMISSION (DATA OUTFLOW)

- depending on what category of data, they might need to get anonymized somehow before they

leave the system

### 5.2.7 HISTORY

- data versioning
- access logs

## 5.3 Interfaces

### 5.3.1 INTERNAL

- UI for Management & Administration

### 5.3.2 EXTERNAL

- should there be a way to somehow request information about what data is available/queryable,  
or would this be result in spam/crawler and security issues (also a question for the topic of  
permissions/sensibility level of certain data)
- certain types of requests, depending on expire date:
  - “ask me any time”
  - “allowed until further notice”
  - one-time permission (but respecting certain http error codes and possible timeout - that

might not count)

# 6

## Specification

- what does *open* in Open Specification even mean?

## 6.1 Processes (TODO: find another word)

## 6.2 Application Programming Interfaces

## 6.3 Graphical User Interfaces

## 6.4 Security

- the downside of having not just parts of the personal data in different places (which is currently the common way to store), is in case of security breach, it would increase the possible damage by an exponential rate  
Thereby all data is exposed at once, instead of not just the parts which a single service has stored

### 6.4.1 ENVIRONMENT

### 6.4.2 TRANSPORT

- https only, but which ciphers?



### 6.4.3 STORAGE

### 6.4.4 AUTHENTICATION

- how should consumer authenticate?

## 6.5 Recommendations

### 6.5.1 SOFTWARE DEPENDENCIES

### 6.5.2 HOST ENVIRONMENT

# 7

## Conclusion

### 7.1 Ethical & Social Impact (TODO: or “Relevance”)

### 7.2 Business Models & Monetisation

- possible resulting direct or indirect business models
- owner might want to sell her data, only under her conditions. therefore some kind of infrastructure and process is required (such as payment transfer, data

anonymization, market  
place to offer data)

### 7.3 Challenges

### 7.4 Solutions

### 7.5 Attack Scenarios

### 7.6 Future Work

### 7.7 Summary

- main focus
- unique features
- technology stack & standards
- resources