Solution 1 (a)

Step 1

Given that we must predict y and have no knowledge of x, the best predictor for y is the mean of the y values.

Let
$$y_1 = 1$$
, $y_2 = 3$, $y_3 = 4$, $y_4 = 6$, $n = 4$.

Calculate mean of the y values.

$$\bar{y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i = \frac{y_1 + y_2 + y_3 + y_4}{n} = \frac{1+3+4+6}{4} = 3.5$$

Hence, the best predictor for y is $\bar{y} = 3.5$.

Step 2

Calculate the mean squared error (MSE).

$$MSE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \bar{y})^2 = \frac{(y_1 - \bar{y})^2 + (y_2 - \bar{y})^2 + (y_3 - \bar{y})^2 + (y_4 - \bar{y})^2}{n}$$

$$MSE = \frac{(1-3.5)^2 + (3-3.5)^2 + (4-3.5)^2 + (6-3.5)^2}{4} = 3.25$$

Hence, the MSE for the four points is MSE = 3.25.

 \therefore the best predictor for y is $\bar{y} = 3.5$ with MSE = 3.25.

Solution 1 (b)

Step 1

Calculate $y_{prediction}$ using y = x for the following points:

 $y_{prediction}(1,1) = 1$

 $y_{prediction}(1,3) = 1$

 $y_{prediction}(4,4) = 4$

 $y_{prediction}(4,6) = 4$

Step 2

Calculate the mean squared error (MSE).

$$MSE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - y_{predicted}(x_i, y_i))^2$$

$$MSE = \frac{(y_1 - y_{predicted}(1,1))^2 + (y_2 - y_{predicted}(1,3))^2 + (y_3 - y_{predicted}(4,4))^2 + (y_4 - y_{predicted}(1,1))^2}{n}$$

$$MSE = \frac{(1-1)^2 + (3-1)^2 + (4-4)^2 + (6-4)^2}{4} = 2$$

 \therefore the MSE of the linear function y = x on the points, (1,1), (1,3), (4,4), (4,6), is 2.

Solution 1 (c)

Step 1

The line that minimizes the MSE is the line of best fit is:

$$MSE(a,b) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - (ax_i + b))^2$$

$$MSE(a,b) = \frac{(y_1 - (ax_1 + b))^2 + (y_2 - (ax_2 + b))^2 + \dots + (y_n - (ax_n + b))^2}{n}$$

Let
$$x_1 = 1$$
, $y_1 = 1$, $x_2 = 1$, $y_2 = 3$, $x_3 = 4$, $y_3 = 4$, $x_4 = 6$, $y_4 = 6$, $n = 4$.

Substitute the values into the loss function (MSE(a, b)):

$$MSE(a,b) = \frac{(y_1 - (ax_1 + b))^2 + (y_2 - (ax_2 + b))^2 + (y_3 - (ax_3 + b))^2 + (y_4 - (ax_4 + b))^2}{4}$$

$$MSE(a,b) = \frac{(1 - (a(1) + b))^2 + (3 - (a(1) + b))^2 + (4 - (a(4) + b))^2 + (6 - (a(4) + b))^2}{4}$$

$$MSE(a,b) = \frac{(1 - (a+b))^2 + (3 - (a+b))^2 + (4 - (4a+b))^2 + (6 - (4a+b))^2}{4}$$

Step 2

The line that minimizes the MSE is the *line of best fit* can be obtained from the following two normal equations:

$$\begin{cases} nb + a \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \\ b \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i + a \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i \end{cases}$$

From the above equations, we can compute the slope a and intercept b of the line of best fit using the following equations:

$$a = \frac{n \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i - (\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i)(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i)}{n \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 - (\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i)^2} \qquad b = \bar{y} - a\bar{x}$$

Where $\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$ and $\bar{y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i$ are the means of x and y respectively.

Substituting the values of x and y into the equations:

$$a = \frac{4((1 \times 1) + (1 \times 3) + (4 \times 4) + (4 \times 6)) - (1 + 1 + 4 + 4)(1 + 3 + 4 + 6)}{4(1^2 + 1^2 + 4^2 + 4^2) - (1 + 1 + 4 + 4)^2}$$

$$a = \frac{4(1 + 3 + 16 + 24) - (10)(14)}{4(1 + 1 + 16 + 16) - (10)^2}$$

$$a = \frac{4(44) - 140}{4(34) - 100}$$

$$a = \frac{176 - 140}{136 - 100}$$

$$a = \frac{36}{36} = 1$$

Substituting the value of a into the equation for b:

$$b = \bar{y} - a\bar{x} = 3.5 - 1(2.5) = 1$$

The line of best fit is y = x + 1.

Step 3

Calculate MSE of the line of best fit using equation from **Step 1**:

$$MSE(a,b) = \frac{(1-(a+b))^2 + (3-(a+b))^2 + (4-(4a+b))^2 + (6-(4a+b))^2}{4}$$

$$MSE(a,b) = \frac{(1-(1(1)+1))^2 + (3-(1(1)+1))^2 + (4-(1(4)+1))^2 + (6-(1(4)+1))^2}{4}$$

$$MSE(a,b) = \frac{(1-(1+1))^2 + (3-(1+1))^2 + (4-(4+1))^2 + (6-(4+1))^2}{4}$$

$$MSE(a,b) = \frac{(1-2)^2 + (3-2)^2 + (4-5)^2 + (6-5)^2}{4}$$

$$MSE(a,b) = \frac{(1)^2 + (1)^2 + (1)^2 + (1)^2}{4}$$

$$MSE(a,b) = \frac{1+1+1+1}{4} = 1$$

 \therefore the line of best fit is y = x + 1 with MSE = 1.

Solution 2 (a)

Step 1

The loss function is defined as:

$$L(s) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - s)^2$$

Compute the derivative of L(s) with respect to $s\left(\frac{dL}{ds}\right)$.

$$\frac{dL}{ds} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - s)^2 \frac{d}{ds} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i^2 - 2x_i s + s^2) \frac{d}{ds} = -\frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - s)$$

... the derivative of L(s) with respect to s is:

$$\frac{dL}{ds} = -\frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - s)$$

Solution 2 (b)

Step 1

Set the derivative from part (a) to zero to find the value of s:

$$-\frac{2}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i - s) = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$-\frac{n}{2} \cdot -\frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - s) = 0 \cdot -\frac{n}{2}$$
 (2)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} s = 0 \tag{3}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i - ns = 0 \tag{4}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i - ns + ns = 0 + ns \tag{5}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = ns \tag{6}$$

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}=s\tag{7}$$

$$\bar{x} = s \tag{8}$$

 \therefore the value of s is \bar{x} .

Solution 3

Proof:

We are given a dataset (DS) such that for all data points $(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$, where $x^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $y^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}$.

If we predict $\hat{y}^{(i)}$ and the true value is $y^{(i)}$, the penalty is the absolute difference:

$$|y^{(i)} - \hat{y}^{(i)}|$$

Let $x^{(i)}$ be the vector of all $x^{(i)} \in DS$ and m be the vector of slope coefficients.

$$x^{(i)} = \begin{bmatrix} x^{(1)} \\ x^{(2)} \\ \vdots \\ x^{(n)} \end{bmatrix} \qquad m = \begin{bmatrix} m^{(1)} \\ m^{(2)} \\ \vdots \\ m^{(n)} \end{bmatrix}$$

Assume y as a linear function of x, we can express $\hat{y}^{(i)}$ as:

$$\hat{y}^{(i)} = m^{\top} \cdot x^{(i)} + b$$

where $m \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$ are the parameters of the linear model.

The total penalty on the training set with n points is the sum of the absolute errors over all n data points:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |y^{(i)} - \hat{y}^{(i)}|$$

Substituting $\hat{y}^{(i)} = m^{\top} \cdot x^{(i)} + b$ into the equation yields:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| y^{(i)} - (m^{\top} \cdot x^{(i)} + b) \right|$$

.: The loss function corresponding to the total penalty on the training set is:

$$L(m,b) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| y^{(i)} - (m^{\top} \cdot x^{(i)} + b) \right|$$

Solution 4 (a)

Given that x is a picture of an animal and y is the name of the animal. Typically each animal has a unique appearance, then the mapping from x to y is deterministic.

 \therefore there is generally little inherent uncertainty in this scenario

Solution 4 (b)

Given that x consists of the dating profiles of two people and y is whether they will be interested in each other. A person's interest in others depends on many observable and unobservable factors.

 \div there is a significant amount of inherent uncertainty in this scenario.

Solution 4 (c)

Given that x is a speech recording and y is the transcription of the speech into words. Assuming the recording is high quality then the mapping from x to y is deterministic.

 \therefore there is generally little inherent uncertainty in this scenario.

Solution 4 (d)

Given that x is the recording of a new song and y is whether it will be a big hit. Whether or not a sing is a hit depends on many factors.

 \div there is a significant amount of inherent uncertainty in this scenario.

Solution 5 (a)

Let, labels $y \in \{-1, 1\}$.

The decision boundary is defined as:

$$Pr(y = 1 \mid x) = Pr(y = -1 \mid x)$$

We know that sum of the probabilities over all labels is equal to 1:

$$\Pr(y=1\mid x) + \Pr(y=-1\mid x) = 1$$

From the above two equations, we can see that $Pr(y = 1 \mid x) = 0.5$ and $Pr(y = -1 \mid x) = 0.5$.

 $\therefore c = 0.5$ is the decision boundary for this classifier.

Solution 5 (b)

The case $\Pr(y=1\mid x)=\frac{3}{4}$ represents a region where the model predicts y=1 with higher confidence.

Solution 5 (c)

The case $\Pr(y=1\mid x)=\frac{1}{4}$ represents a region where the model predicts y=-1 with higher confidence and is symmetrically opposite the decision boundary (a) compared to (b).

Solution 6 (a)

Strategy

The mystery.dat dataset contains 101 features. However, only ten of these features are relevant, while the remaining 91 features are simply noise.

 \therefore I will use Lasso Regression because it forces coefficients to 0 for irrelevant features.

Solution 6 (b)

The ten features identified as relevant are:

[4,6,1,22,10,26,2,12,18,16]

Solution 6 Code

Python Code

```
## import libraries
   import numpy as np
2
   from sklearn.linear_model import LassoCV, Lasso
3
   ## read mystery.dat
5
   data = np.loadtxt('mystery.dat', delimiter=',')
6
   x = data[:, :-1]
   y = data[:, -1]
   ## normalize x based on maximum value in all x data
10
   x_norm = x / np.max(np.max(X, axis=0), axis=0)
11
12
   ## find best alpha using LassoCV
13
   ## test 100 alphas ranging from 1e-4 to 1e4
14
   lasso_cv = LassoCV(alphas=np.logspace(-4, 4, 100), cv=5)
15
   lasso_cv.fit(x_norm, y)
16
17
   alpha_best = lasso_cv.alpha_
   print(f"best alpha: {alpha_best}")
18
19
   ## fit Lasso model with best alpha
20
   lasso = Lasso(alpha=alpha_best)
21
   lasso.fit(x_norm, y)
22
23
   ## get absolute value of lasso coefficients
24
   coefs = np.abs(lasso.coef_)
25
26
   ## get indices of the 10 largest coefficients ## note: that the coefficients are sorted in descending order
27
28
   indices = np.argsort(coefs)[::-1][:10]
29
30
   ## print the indices (coordinate number) of the 10 largest coefficients
31
   print("indices of the 10 largest coefficients:")
32
33
   for i in indices:
        print(f"coordinate number: {i}, coefficient: {coefs[i]}")
```

Solution 7 (a)

I would choose the 3 features that have the largest |coefficients| for the logistic regression.

 \therefore I would select top 3 coefficients and the coresponding features: ca (coefficient ≈ 1.78), cp (coefficient ≈ 1.65) and thalach (coefficient ≈ 1.52)

Solution 7 (b)

 \therefore the test error for the logistic regression was 0.1845 or 18.45%.

Solution 7 (c)

Comparing error using 5-fold cross-validation, to the models error on the test set was the following:

 $\log {\rm _reg\ test\ error} = 0.1845$

mean 5-fold cross-validation error = 0.2000

Difference (log_reg - cv) = 0.0155

Solution 7 Code

Python Code

```
## import libraries
           from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split, cross_val_score
 2
           from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression
 3
           from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score
 5
           import numpy as np
 6
           import os
           ## read heart.csv
 8
           ## note: the first column is the index, so we skip it
           ## col names are:
10
           \textit{\#\# age, sex, cp, trestbps, chol, fbs, restecg, thalach, exang, oldpeak, slope, ca, thal, target is a substitution of the property of the p
11
          data = np.loadtxt('heart.csv', delimiter=',', dtype=None, skiprows=1)
column_names = os.popen('head -1 heart.csv').read().split(',')
12
           x = data[:, :-1]
14
           y = data[:, -1]
15
16
           \#\# normalize each x column based on maximum value in column
17
           ## note: this will scale each feature [0,1]
18
           x_norm = x / np.max(x, axis=0)
19
20
           ## split data into training and test sets
21
           ## note: train_test_split shuffles the data before splitting
22
           ## note: test points = 103 and train points = 200 for test_size=0.339
23
           x_train, x_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(x_norm, y, test_size=0.339,
24
                  random_state=33)
25
           ## fit logistic regression model
26
27
           log_reg = LogisticRegression(max_iter=1000, solver='liblinear')
           log_reg.fit(x_train, y_train)
28
29
           ## predict on test set and calculate accuracy
30
           y_pred = log_reg.predict(x_test)
31
32
           test_accuracy = accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred)
33
           ## get absolute value of logistic regression coefficients
34
           coefs = np.abs(log_reg.coef_[0])
35
36
           ## get indices of the 3 largest coefficients
37
           ## note: that the coefficients are sorted in descending order
38
           indices = np.argsort(coefs)[::-1][:3]
39
40
           ## top 3 coefficients and features
41
           print("top 3 coefficients and features:")
42
           for i in indices:
43
                  print(f"index: {i} coefficient: {coefs[i]}, feature: {column_names[i]}")
44
45
46
           ## log_reg test error
           test_error = 1 - test_accuracy
47
           print("log_reg test error:", test_error)
48
49
           ## 5-fold cross-validation error
50
           cv_scores = cross_val_score(log_reg, x_train, y_train, cv=5, scoring='accuracy')
51
           cv_error = 1 - cv_scores.mean()
52
           print("mean 5-fold cross-validation error:", cv_error)
53
54
           # compare log_reg test error and 5-fold cross-validation error
55
           print(f"Difference (log_reg - cv): {test_error - cv_error:.4f}")
```