Lecture 3 — The k-medoid clustering problem

3.1 Problem formulation

Let's go back to working in a metric space (\mathcal{X}, ρ) . Here's the problem.

k-medoid clustering

Input: Finite set $S \subset \mathcal{X}$; integer k.

Output: $T \subset S$ with |T| = k.

Goal: Minimize $cost(T) = \sum_{x \in S} \rho(x, T)$.

This is quite similar to k-means, except that T is forced to be a subset of S (rather than \mathcal{X}) and the cost function involves distance rather than squared distance. It is known that this problem is hard to approximate within a factor better than 1+1/e.

3.2 A linear programming relaxation

For convenience index the points in S by 1, 2, ..., n, with interpoint distances $\rho(i, j), 1 \le i, j \le n$. Then the k-medoid problem is solved exactly by the following integer program.

$$\min \sum_{i,j} x_{ij} \rho(i,j)$$

$$\sum_{j} y_{j} \leq k$$

$$\sum_{j} x_{ij} = 1$$

$$x_{ij} \leq y_{j}$$

$$x_{ij}, y_{j} \in \{0, 1\}$$

where the variables $\{x_{ij}, y_j\}$ have the following interpretation.

 $y_j = \mathbf{1}(\text{point } j \text{ is used as a medoid})$ $x_{ij} = \mathbf{1}(j \text{ is the medoid serving point } i)$

An integer program cannot in general be solved efficiently, so we turn it into a linear program by relaxing the last two constraints:

$$0 \le x_{ij}, y_i \le 1$$

The resulting LP can be solved in polynomial time.

3.2.1 Rounding the LP solution

Suppose the optimal solution to the k-medoid instance has cost OPT. Since this solution is feasible for the linear program, the optimal LP solution has some cost OPT_{LP} \leq OPT. Say this solution consists of variables $\{x_{ij}, y_j\}$. The difficulty, of course, is that these values might be fractional (such as $y_1 = 0.2$, $y_2 = 0.5$, and so on). We'll show that it is possible to round this fractional solution into one that has 2k medoids and has cost at most 40PT_{LP}.

In the LP solution, point i incurs a cost

$$C_i = \sum_j x_{ij} \rho(i,j).$$

This might be spread out over several centers j: those with $x_{ij} > 0$. For instance, it might be the case that $x_{i1}, x_{i2}, x_{i3}, x_{i4} > 0$ (see figure below), and since $\sum_j x_{ij} = 1$, we can think of the x_{ij} 's as a probability distribution over centers for i. Under this distribution, C_i is the *expected* distance of a center from i.



The total cost is $OPT_{LP} = \sum_{i} C_i$. We will find a set of 2k medoids in S such that each point i is within distance at most $4C_i$ of these medoids. The total cost will then be at most $4OPT_{LP}$.

The hardest points to cover are those with the smallest values of C_i , so let's start by focusing on those. Pick the smallest C_i . If we include i as a medoid, we can use it cover any $i' \in S$ whose distance from i is at most $4C_i$; denote the set of such points by $B(i, 4C_i)$. This is because i has the smallest C_i value, and thus $\rho(i, i') \leq 4C_i \leq 4C_{i'}$.

But this is overly conservative. We can in fact use i to cover any point i' such that $B(i, 2C_i) \cap B(i', 2C_{i'}) \neq \emptyset$. To see this, notice that since the two balls intersect, they have some point q in common, and thus $\rho(i, i') \leq \rho(i, q) + \rho(i', q) \leq 2C_i + 2C_{i'} \leq 4C_{i'}$. Therefore, define the extended neighborhood of i as follows.

$$\overline{V}_i = \{i' \in S : B(i, 2C_i) \cap B(i', 2C_{i'}) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Now we can state the algorithm simply.

```
solve the LP and compute the values C_i T \leftarrow \{\,\} while S \neq \{\,\}: pick the i \in S with smallest C_i T \leftarrow T \cup \{i\} S \leftarrow S \setminus \overline{V}_i
```

We will show the following.

Theorem 1. $cost(T) \leq 4opt_{LP} \ and \ |T| \leq 2k$.

3.2.2 Analysis

First we'll show that $cost(T) \leq 4OPT_{LP}$. This is an immediate consequence of the following lemma.

Lemma 2. Pick any $q \in S$, and suppose i is the first point selected (to be in T) for which $q \in \overline{V}_i$. Then: (a) $C_i \leq C_q$ and (b) $\rho(q,i) \leq 4C_q$.

Proof. At the moment when i is selected, both i and q are available in S. Therefore $C_i \leq C_q$. For (b), the condition $q \in \overline{V}_i$ implies that there is some point s in both $B(i, 2C_i)$ and $B(q, 2C_q)$. Thus

$$\rho(q, i) \le \rho(i, s) + \rho(q, s) \le 2C_i + 2C_q \le 4C_q.$$

Next we need to bound the size of T. The argument will go like this: we'll show that for each point i selected to be in T, the neighborhood $B(i, 2C_i)$ contains at least "half a medoid": more precisely, the sum of y_j for $j \in B(i, 2C_i)$ is at least 1/2. However, these neighborhoods are all disjoint (for different $i \in T$) and the total sum of y values is at most k. Therefore there can be at most 2k such points $i \in T$.

Lemma 3. Pick any $i \in T$. Then

$$\sum_{j \in B(i, 2C_i)} y_j \ge \sum_{j \in B(i, 2C_i)} x_{ij} \ge \frac{1}{2}.$$

Proof. The first inequality follows from the constraint $x_{ij} \leq y_j$ in the LP. To see the second inequality, define a random variable $Z \in \mathbb{R}$ that takes value $\rho(i,j)$ with probability x_{ij} . As we saw above, $\mathbb{E}Z = \sum_j x_{ij} \rho(i,j) = C_i$. By Markov's inequality,

$$\sum_{j \in B(i, 2C_i)} x_{ij} = \mathbb{P}[Z \le 2C_i] = 1 - \mathbb{P}[Z > 2\mathbb{E}Z] \ge \frac{1}{2}.$$

The rest is immediate, since for any $i, i' \in T$, we know $B(i, 2C_i) \cap B(i', 2C_{i'}) = \emptyset$.

Problem 1. Is there a linear or convex programming relaxation for the k-means problem in which the centers are not constrained to be data points?