

# Introduction to Learning and Intelligent Systems - Spring 2015

Martin Ivanov (ivanovma@student.ethz.ch)  
Can Trk (can.tuerk@juniors.ethz.ch)  
Jens Hauser(jhauser@student.ethz.ch)

March 29, 2015

## Project 2 : Two-Label Classification

### Problem description

In this machine learning setting we received datafiles of biomedical images of human tissue which has to be classified into two categories - one with seven types and one with three. The data is beside the two classes, structured into nine variables representing parameters about geometrical and texture-related features and two variables which consist of four and forty binary columns in a one-of-k format.

Unfortunately the training data does not represent all possible combinations of the different subtypes of the two classes. Also the weights of the data differ enormously. We observed the following classes and weights within the training data:

{class(1,0)}: 3634 - {class(1,1)}: 1644 - {class(2,0)}: 308 - {class(2,1)}: 6827 - {class(3,1)}: 861 - {class(4,1)}: 42 - {class(4,2)}: 28 - {class(5,2)}: 239 - {class(6,1)}: 385 - {class(7,2)}: 546

### Solution

Our solution, which has the best performance of about 0.14 on the validation data due to the given loss function, is mainly based on a two stage decision tree approach.

To achieve this best result we used the randomized decision tree classifier 'ExtraTreesClassifier' of the scikit-learn package. First we trained our classifier on the first category of our training data and made predictions based on the best estimator, which is found by cross validation and grid search on the hyper parameters, and hereafter we run the same classification procedure for the second category. The selected features and also the hyper parameters for this approach are provided in the attached code file.

## **Different Approaches**

Before getting the above result, we carried out the following methods for the improvement of our earlier results. We spent a lot of time on all of the approaches in order to identify the best subset of our features. Unfortunately, we ran out of time to reach the result that is given in the harder baseline.

- Classification based on random forest
- Classification on the principal components of the data
- K-nearest neighbour classification
- Naive Bayes classification
- Logistic Regression
- Stochastic Gradient Descendent classification
- Support Vector classification
- Unsupervised Learning tasks on the validation data to identify features