

A Specification for the Unchained Index

version: trueblocks-core@v0.40.0

Table of Contents

A SPECIFICATION FOR THE UNCHAINED INDEX	1
INTRODUCTION	2
THE FORMAT OF THE PAPER.....	2
THE UNCHAINED INDEX.....	2
A SHORT DIGRESSION ON BLOOM FILTERS.....	5
PINNING BY DEFAULT.....	7
CONCLUSION	7
SMART CONTRACT.....	8
THE UNCHAINED INDEX SMART CONTRACT.....	8
FILE FORMATS	10
THE MANIFEST FILE	10
THE INDEX CHUNK FILE	12
THE BLOOM FILTER FILE	15
THE NAMES DATABASE FILE.....	16
THE TIMESTAMP DATABASE FILE.....	17
BUILDING THE INDEX AND BLOOM FILTERS.....	19
DEFINITION: ADDRESS APPEARANCES	19
APPEARANCES PER BLOCK.....	19
EXTRACTING ADDRESSES AT HIGH SPEED.....	19
PURPOSEFULL SLOPPINESS	19
BADDRESSES	19
SNAP-TO-GRID AND CORRECTING ERRORS.....	19
CONSOLIDATION PHASE	19
CONCLUSION	19
QUERYING THE INDEX	20
CHIFRA LIST	20
CHIFRA EXPORT	20
CONCLUSION	20
SUPPLIMENTARY INFORMATION	21

Introduction

Immutable data—such as that produced by blockchains—and content-addressable storage—such as IPFS—have gotten married, and they’ve had a baby called the “Unchained Index.”

Immutable data and content-addressable storage are deeply connected.

After all, without a suitable storage medium for immutable data, how can it possibly be immutable? And, if one modifies immutable data—first of all, it’s not immutable, and secondly its location on IPFS changes. The two concepts are as connected as the front and back sides of a piece of paper. One cannot pull them apart—and even if one were able to pull them apart—rending the paper front from back, one would end up with two, slightly thinner, pieces of paper. There’s no way around it.

This document describes the Unchained Index, a computer system that purposefully takes advantage of this tight coupling between immutable data and content-addressable storage.

The mechanisms described in this paper apply to any immutable data (for example, any time-ordered log), but the examples herein focus on the Ethereum blockchain’s mainnet.

The Format of the Paper

This document begins by reviewing the Unchained Index. Following that are detailed descriptions of the binary file formats of four different file types used by the system. The paper concludes by describing the algorithms for creating the Unchained Index and querying it.

The Unchained Index

The Unchained Index is a naturally-sharded, easily-shared, reproducible, and minimally-sized immutable index for EVM-based blockchains. See this website (<https://unchainedindex.io>) for more information.

By querying an Ethereum smart contract to obtain an IPFS hash of a Manifest of all index portions (chunks), end users can get a list of “everything that every happened” on a given address. The end-user’s software reads the smart contract, downloads the manifest and uses it to find the IPFS hashes of each portion of the index. This allows a user to reconstruct the index without the aid of a third party (assuming they have their own Ethereum and IPFS nodes).

The manifest also includes enough information to reconstitute the index. In the following sections of the paper, five formats are described:

1. Manifest – a JSON object that carries information about the index;

2. Index Chunk – a single portion of the index consisting of approximately 2,000,000 appearance records and covering a certain block range;
3. Bloom Filter – a Bloom filter encoding set membership of each address in the associated Index chunk covering the same block range;
4. Names Database – a collection of named address for a small subset of known accounts (about 13,000); and
5. Timestamp Database – a flat-file binary database used to optimize timestamp lookups.

You may skip ahead to the File Formats section below if you wish.

As mentioned, the Unchained Index is a naturally-sharded, easily-shared, reproducible, and minimally-sized immutable index for EVM-based blockchains. What does that mean?

Naturally Sharded, Easily Shared

Unlike a traditional database, the index produced by the Unchained Index is not stored in a single monolithic file. Instead, it is a collection of much smaller binary files (“chunks”) and their associated “Bloom filters”. Breaking the index into smaller chunks purposeful and takes advantage of content-addressable storage systems such as IPFS. This design allows for broad distribution of the index while requiring no “extra effort” from the end-user. We call this “naturally sharded and easily shared.” Also, this design imposes a near-zero cost of publication on its “publishers”.

Because the index is chunked, end-users may acquire and share (i.e. through pinning by default) only those portions of the index they need. “Need” being expressed naturally as a result of an end-user’s behavior. As the end-user queries for an address—that is, he exhibits a natural interest in some addresses and not others—the Unchained Index delivers only that portion of the index required to fulfill the specific query. This has the happy outcome that “small” users (i.e. users interested in only a few addresses with a small number of appearances—most of us) carry a small burden, while “heavy” users (those interested in heavily used smart contracts, for example) will require more chunks to satisfy their queries, and as a result will share more chunks, thereby carrying a heavier burden. This is by design, and we think, is as it should be.

As a side-effect of using IPFS’s pinning by default, the system enlists the end-user in sharing the results of their queries with other users without “extra effort”. Over time, the system becomes distributed as each chunk becomes increasingly more available due to more and more users querying it. As the system matures, the index becomes shared fully among community members making it (a) more resilient and resistant to censoring, (b) higher-performant as more copies are available throughout the system, (c) more difficult to capture, and (d) requiring a lessening burden from the publisher.

Reproducible

Content addressability also aids in making the Unchained Index reproducible. One of the primary data structures in the system is called the “manifest” (the format of which is described below). As each chunk of the index is produced, the block range that chunk covers, the IPFS hash of the chunk, and the IPFS hash of the chunk’s Bloom filter are appended to the manifest. After extending the manifest, it is written to IPFS and the IPFS hash of the manifest is enshrined in a smart contract (details of which are also presented below).

The manifest contains enough information to make the Unchained Index “reproducible” in the following sense:

1. The manifest records the version string of this specification (currently “trueblocks-core@v0.40.0-beta”).
2. The manifest also records the IPFS hash of this exact document. In this way, end-users who have access to the manifest have all the information they need to rebuild it. It is expected this specification will change infrequently.
3. The keccak_256 of the version string is inserted into each binary chunk of the index prior to publishing it to IPFS. In this way, the user knows exactly which specification under which the chunk was written.
4. The IPFS hash of the manifest is periodically posted to the Unchained Index smart contract, thereby enshrining it forever on the blockchain. Once published, the publisher (either TrueBlocks or anyone else) may no longer take the information back. It is available to anyone for as long as the blockchain continues to run.
5. At a later point, if a user wishes to verify the contents of the index (or any portion of it), they may read the smart contract, download the manifest, download this document and a tagged version of the source code, and re-run the code themselves. This, presumably, produces the exact same result.
6. We consider it the responsibility of the end-user to satisfy themselves as to the veracity of the data produced. We make it as easy as we can for the user to do exactly that.

Because the manifest contains enough information to reproduce the index, there is no need for end users to trust our data, and we do not expect them to. Nor do we feel the need to prove our data. If the end user wishes to proven data, she has all the tools she needs to do so.

TrueBlocks creates this data only for our own purposes. We want our software to work. In that sense, we are motivated to produce accurate data, and we are quite certain that the data we produce is accurate. While we purposefully allow others to use the data, we reject any sense of responsibility to vouch for the data. It’s correct because our software demands that it be correct. Others may use it if they wish—but it doesn’t matter to us if no-one does.

A Short Digression on Bloom Filters

Please see [this excellent explainer on Bloom filters](#). A Bloom filter is “a space-efficient probabilistic data structure...used to test whether an element is a member of a set.” This fits perfectly in with our design for the Unchained Index. For each chunk, the system produces an associated Bloom filter. Upon first use of the system, end users may download only the Bloom filters (about 3 GB). Alternatively, they may, if they wish, download not only the Bloom filters but also the index chunks. This places a burden of about 75 GB on the end user’s machine. As a further alternative, the user may create the index themselves.

These three methods are explained briefly here and more fully here:
<https://trueblocks.io/docs/install/get-the-index>.

Method 1 – Downloading only the Bloom filters from IPFS

Disc footprint:	Small, 2-3 GB
Download time:	15-20 minutes
Query speed:	Slower for 1 st time queries on a given address, then as fast as other methods
Hard drive space:	In direct proportion to the user’s query patterns
Sharing:	Shares Bloom filters and downloaded index portions through pinning by default
Security:	Data is created by TrueBlocks, less secure than producing it yourself
RPC endpoints:	Works with remote RPC endpoint, but much prefers local RPC endpoints
Ongoing burden:	The end user must run ‘chifra scrape’ to maintain ‘front of chain’ index

When initialized with `chifra init`, the TrueBlocks system downloads only the Bloom filters. Generally this takes less than 15 minutes. When a user later queries an address (using `chifra list` or `chifra export`), the Bloom filters are consulted and only those portions of the full index that hit the Bloom filter are downloaded. In this way, the end user only ever acquires index chunks that “matter to him.” In other words, the system is “fair.” Users who interact infrequently with the chain, get only a small amount of data (in proportion to their usage). Queries for addresses that interact very frequently—such as popular smart contracts—will hit on nearly every Bloom filter. In this case, the user would download a much larger portion of the full index.

In this mode, an query for a never-before-queried address will take a few moments as the full index chunks are downloaded, but subsequent queries for the same address will be as fast as other methods. Unless one is querying a huge collection of different and changing addresses, this slower initial query may be worth it, as this method imposes the smallest disc footprint.

Method 2 – Downloading Bloom filters and full index from IPFS

Disc footprint:	Large, ~75 GB at time of writing
Query speed:	Very fast queries as there is no downloading at time of query
Download time:	~1-3 hours depending on internet connection speed
Burden size:	The full index is stored on the end user's machine
Sharing:	Full sharing of the entire index (good citizen award!)
Security:	The data is produced by TrueBlocks – not as secure as building oneself
RPC endpoints:	Works with remote RPC endpoint, but much prefers a local endpoint
Ongoing burden:	The end user must run 'chifra scrape' to maintain 'front of chain' index

If the user chooses to initialize with `chifra init` –all the entire Unchained Index (including all of the chunks and all of the Bloom filters) is download. This process may take hours to complete depending on the end user's connection. This is the recommended way to run if you have available disc space.

While the Bloom filters are still consulted during the query (because it's much faster to avoid reading the full chunk if possible), there are no further downloads during the query. The chunks are already present. If you're studying an address that appears frequently or you're studying many different addresses with varying usage patterns, this method is probably the best.

Method 3 – Building the index from scratch

Disc footprint:	Large, ~120 GB at time of writing – same size as method 2
Query speed:	Fast queries – same as method 2
Download time:	2-3 days depending on speed of node software and machine
Burden size:	Full burden – same as method 2
Sharing:	Full sharing – same as method 2
Security:	Most secure, but not as secure as reviewing the open source code as well
RPC endpoints:	Generally won't work with remote endpoints – you will get rate limited
Ongoing burden:	The end user must run 'chifra scrape' to maintain 'front of chain' index

The final method to acquire the index is to build it yourself. One does this with `chifra scrape run` (which is the same command one must use to stay up to the head of the chain). If you've reviewed the source code and concluded that it does what it says it does, and you're running the scraper in a secure environment against your own locally running node, this is the most secure version. Running against a remote RPC endpoint is not advised. You will be rate limited because TrueBlocks hits the node as hard as it can. This method has the same disc usage and query characteristics as method 2. In that sense, it's only benefit is that you build the index yourself.

Pinning by Default

In the currently available version of the Unchained Index, the system does not pin the downloaded or produced index by default, although, you may enable this feature if you wish.

In future versions, pinning will be enabled by default. This will be an important day for TrueBlocks as it will, for the first time, become a truly decentralized method of producing, publishing and sharing the index. Pinning by default has the happy consequence that, as users acquire and retain the index (or portions thereof) for their own reasons, they are sharing the index with other users. This happens without “extra effort” from the end user—in other words, sharing happens as a by-product of the use of the system. This avoidance of an “extra effort” from the user better ensures the long term viability of the system.

Obviously, the user will retain the portions of the index they need for their own purposes. But, they probably wouldn’t share if asked. Pinning by default allows users to share the index with no extra effort. Each chunk contains records the user doesn’t technically need—the chunk contains records that other users need. It’s a perfect example of “You scratch my back, I’ll scratch yours.”

All of this is by design. TrueBlocks purposefully built a system that naturally distributes the index (which, remember is available to anyone through the smart contract). We wanted to purposefully create a system with positive externalities—that is, each new user makes the system better.

Conclusion

We’ve spent time explaining the Unchained Index system, however this document is also intended to specify the binary file formats of the files that are produced by the system.

In the next sections of the document, we detail, first, the Unchained Index Smart Contract, then the file format of the Manifest, then each of four file formats for the Index Chunk, the Bloom Filters, the Names Database, and the Timestamps Database. Each format is presented in its own section. We present this information in the form of stylized Solidity or GoLang source code.

Smart Contract

The Unchained Index Smart Contract

```
pragma solidity ^0.8.13;

// The Unchained Index Smart Contract
contract UnchainedIndex_V2 {
    // The address of account that deployed the contract. Used only
    // as the recipient for donations. May be modified.
    address public owner;

    // A map pointing from the address that wrote a record to the record.
    // A record is an entry in a map pointing from a chain to the current
    // IPFS hash of the manifest representing the latest index for that chain.
    // End users are encouraged to query this map for any publisher that they
    // trust. We make no representation as to the quality of the data produced
    // by any particular publisher including ourselves. Notwithstanding this,
    // by querying the 'owner' the user may find those records published by us.
    mapping(address => mapping(string => string)) public manifestHashMap;

    // The contract's constructor preserves the deploying address for the contract
    // as the owner (see below). It also initializes a single record pointing the
    // Ethereum mainnet's manifest hash to an empty file. Two events are emitted.
    constructor() {
        // Store the deployer address for later use (see below)
        owner = msg.sender;
        emit OwnerChanged(address(0), owner);

        // Store a record, published by the deployer, indicating that the
        // manifest for mainnet is the empty file.
        manifestHashMap[msg.sender][
            "mainnet"
        ] = "QmP4i6ihnVrj8Tx7cTFw4aY6ungpaPYxDJEZ7Vg1RSNSdm"; // empty file
        emit HashPublished(
            msg.sender,
            "mainnet",
            manifestHashMap[msg.sender]["mainnet"]
        );
    }

    // The primary function of the contract, this routine allows anyone to
    // publish a record to the smart contract. End users may chose to use
    // any record they desire. TrueBlocks makes no representation as to the
    // quality of any data published through this smart contract, however,
    // because this data is used by our own applications, it satisfies us.
    //
    // Note: this function is purposefully permissionless. Anyone who is
    // willing to spend the gas may publish a hash pointing to any IPFS
    // file. Also anyone may query that hash by any given publisher. This
    // is by design. End users themselves must determine who to believe.
    // We suggest it's TrueBlocks, but who's to say?
    //
    // This function writes a record to the map and emits an event.
    function publishHash(string memory chain, string memory hash) public {
        manifestHashMap[msg.sender][chain] = hash;
        emit HashPublished(msg.sender, chain, hash);
    }

    // We are happy to accept your donations in support of our work.
    function donate() public payable {
        // Only accept donations if there's an address to accept them
        require(owner != address(0), "owner is not set");
        payable(owner).transfer(address(this).balance);
        // Let someone know...
        emit DonationSent(owner, msg.value, block.timestamp);
    }
}
```



```

// The 'owner' address serves only the purpose to accept donations.
// If, at a certain point, we decide to disable or redirect donations
// we can set this to the zero address.
function changeOwner(address newOwner) public returns (address oldOwner) {
    // Only the owner may change the owner
    require(msg.sender == owner, "msg.sender must be owner");

    oldOwner = owner;
    owner = newOwner;

    // Let someone know...
    emit OwnerChanged(oldOwner, newOwner);
    return oldOwner;
}

// Emitted each time a manifest hash is published
event HashPublished(address publisher, string chain, string hash);

// Emitted when the contract's owner changes
event OwnerChanged(address oldOwner, address newOwner);

// Emitted when a donation is sent
event DonationSent(address from, uint256 amount, uint256 ts);
}

```

File Formats

The Manifest File

The manifest file is a simple JSON object that stores five things: (1) the version string of this document which describes everything one would need in order to build the index contained within itself; (2) the name of the blockchain to which this manifest applies; (3) the IPFS of these current document; (4) the IPFS hash of a zipped tar ball made from a directory containing various off-chain databases; and (5) a list of chunk descriptors detailing the entire IPFS manifest of the index chunks and bloom filters defined below.

The JSON object has this format:

```
{
  "version": trueblocks-core@v0.40.0-beta,
  "chain": "[mainnet|sepolia|gnosis|...]",
  "schemas": "<IPFS hash to this file>",
  "databases": "<IPFS hash of gzipped tar ball of off-chain data>",
  "chunks": [
    {
      "range": <block range inclusive for this chunk>,
      "bloomHash": "<IPFS hash of bloom filter covering range>",
      "indexHash": "<IPFS hash of index chunk covering range>",
    },
    {
      "range": ...and so on...
    }
  ]
}
```

This file is produced each time a new chunk (and its associated Bloom filter) is produced or as we call it “consolidated.” The algorithm to produce the chunks and their Bloom filters is described above. After producing the above Manifest, the file is formatted with **jq** and stored in a regular text file. That text file is added to IPFS. The IPFS of the manifest is then (periodically due to cost considerations) published to the above smart contract. (In our case, this publication is completed by the `trueblocks.eth` wallet which is also the contract’s owner.)

Once published, a few things become true: (1) that publication cannot be undone—the record of this version of the Manifest will be on-chain forever readable by anyone with access to the chain; (2) anyone who reads the manifest may download this document and all of the chunks and Bloom filters, and (3) the publisher (us) has no further on-going costs other than pinning the files on IPFS (which carries a near-zero cost—less costly than hosting a regular website).

Over time, as more and more users use various portions of the index as described above, the number of copies of those portions increase, and because our users pin those portions by

default the resiliency and speed of the system increases in direct proportion to the number of users. A classic case of positive externalities and “If we all build it, we can all come.”

The Index Chunk File

We describe the format of the index chunk file as a GoLang structure. Following that is the source code (in GoLang) that one might use to read these file. There are currently about 2,750 individual chunks in the Ethereum mainnet index.

The binary file consists of a single fixed-width header record containing versioning information and two counters detailing the number of records found in each of two fixed-width tables that follow the header.

The GoLang structure for the file as a whole looks like this:

```
// The binary chunk file contains a single header record and two
// arbitrarily related fixed-width tables of addresses relating
// to appearance records
type IndexChunk struct {
    Header          HeaderRecord
    AddressTable    []AddressRecord
    AppearanceTable []AppearanceRecord
}
```

The header record has the following fields:

```
// The first 44 bytes of the file containing versioning information
// and two counters detailing how many records are in the two
// fixed width tables.
type HeaderRecord struct {

    // 0xdeadbeef indicates a known file format
    MagicNumber [4]byte

    // The version string of this specification. This value
    // ensures that anyone receiving this file knows its
    // format and may therefor read the file
    Version [32]byte

    // A count of the number of records in the address table
    nAddresses uint32

    // A count of the number of records in the appearance table
    nAppearances uint32
}
```

The addresses table contains the number of addresses detailed in the header each with the following structure. The address table relates into the position of the appearances records in that table.

```
// For each address found in the block range represented by
// this chunk, this table stores the address and two integers.
// The first points to the offset in the appearance table
// where this address's appearance records begin. The second
// integer records the number of records.
type AddressRecord struct {

    // a 20 byte Ethereum address
    Address [20]byte

    // The offset into the appearance table for the address
    Offset  uint32

    // Number of records in the appearance table to read
    Count   uint32

}
```

The appearance table records <blockNumber><tx_id> pairs for every address in two 32-bit integers.

```
// An appearance is a <blockNumber><tx_id> pair. One for each
// time an address appears anywhere in the chain data.
type Appearance struct {

    // The block number for the appearance
    BlockNumber      uint32

    // The transaction id for the appearance
    TransactionIndex uint32

}
```

Generally, the search algorithms try to avoid reading this file. In fact, this is exactly the reason for the Bloom filters which allow us to much more quickly determine if the address appears in the chunk. But, if the Bloom hits, then we must search the chunk file for the address. Here, we also avoid reading the entire file into memory, choosing instead to memory map the file and conduct a binary search for the address. This algorithm is presented next. Error processing is squelched.

```
func getAppearances(addr, fn string) []AppearanceRecord {  
  
    // Open the file for reading  
    fp:= Open(fn)  
  
    // Read the header - fp remains at start of address table  
    header := ReadHeader(fp)  
  
    // Where do the tables start?  
    addrTable := sizeof(header)  
    appsTable := addrTable + (header.nAddrs * sizeof(AddressRecord))  
  
    // Conduct a binary search on the address table  
    found := binary_search(addrTable, appsTable, address, test)  
    if !found {  
        return []AppearanceRecord{}  
    }  
  
    // Seek to location in appearance table of offset  
    fp.Seek(appsTable + found.Offset)  
  
    // Read and return that many records  
    apps := make([]AppearanceRecord, found.Count)  
    fp.Read(appsTable + found.Offset, &apps)  
    return apps  
}
```

The Bloom Filter File

[This section is not complete.]

Some text

--

Some text

--

The Names Database File

The names database is not part of the Unchained Index, per se, but it is useful. We publish the IPFS hash to the current names database as part of the manifest. This allows our end-user software to download the names database from IPFS and share it via pinning. This lowers our cost of publication for our software. On disc, the file is sorted by the Address field.

The names database is a fixed-width binary data file. The number of records in the file can be calculated by dividing the file's size in bytes by the width (in bytes) of each record.

```
// The binary format for the names database
namesDb := []NameRecord

// The number of records in the database may be
// calculated using the file's size
nRecords := fileSize(<path>) / sizeof(NameRecord)
```

A NameRecord is a single row in the names database table:

```
// A single row in the names database file
type NameRecord struct {
    // A user defined tag for this record
    Tags          [31]byte

    // The address to which this name resolves
    Address       [43]byte

    // A name given to this address
    Name          [121]byte

    // For ERC-20 tokens, the symbol for the token
    Symbol        [31]byte

    // An attempt to record where the name was acquired
    Source        [181]byte

    // An arbitrary description for the record
    Description   [256]byte

    // For ERC-20 tokens, the decimals for the token
    Decimals      uint16

    // An arbitrary bit array used for flags
    Flags         uint16
}
```

We leave it as an excersize for the reader to open and process this simple array.

The Timestamp Database File

The need for timestamp database becomes apparent as soon as one tries to query the node for timestamps. The RPC does not include such a query resulting in the need to scan the chain for the result. An external database of timestamps speeds up that query many times over. This database is created during the scraping process and its location is published to the smart contract as part of the manifest.

The GoLang structure for the binary timestamps database file is:

```
// The binary format for the timestamps database
timestampDb := []TimestampRecord

// The number of records in the database may be
// calculated using the file's size
nRecords := fileSize(<path>) / sizeof(TimestampRecord)
```

A single timestamp record has this format:

```
// A single timestamp record in the timestamps database
type TimestampRecord struct {

    // The block number for this timestamp
    BlockNumber uint32

    // The timestamp at that block
    Timestamp    uint32
}
```

The following invariant is always true:

```
bn == timestampDb[bn].BlockNumber
```

One may find the timestamp for a given block with:

```
ts := timestampDb[bn].Timestamp
```

One may find a block number given a timestamp using a binary search:

```
bn := binary_search(&ts,
                    timestampDB,
                    nRecords,
                    sizeof(TimestampRecord),
                    searchFunc)
```

We note that the timestamps database could have been half as big if we removed the block number (which is sequential and starts at with zero). We could have used the block number directly as an index into a 32-bit-width-record timestamp array. We choose, however, to include block number in the data as an aide in debugging and checking of the databases's integrity.

Building the Index and Bloom Filters

[This section is not complete.]

Definition: Address Appearances

In this section, we define an address appearance.

Appearances per Block

In this section we discuss how to extract all addresses found in a given block. This is the heart of the algorithm. Because the list of appearances contained in the canonical blocks is independent of any other block, this can be made highly concurrent (and is in our code).

Extracting Addresses at High Speed

This section describes the GoLang implementation of the block scraper called Blaze.

Purposefull Sloppiness

This section discusses our special algorithm to identify address appearances and why we call the “appearances”.

Badaddresses

This section discusses the idea of a “badaddress,” which are ignored by the index and why they are important.

Snap-to-Grid and Correcting Errors

This section discusses the ‘snap-to-grid’ feature of the indexer and why it is important.

Consolidation Phase

This section discusses the consolidation phase of the algorithm, how we determine the number of records at which to consolidate, how to best choose that value for differing chains, and the algorithm used to create our enhanced, adaptive Bloom filters once a chunk is created.

Conclusion

This section completes the discussion.

Querying the Index

[This section is not complete.]

chifra list

This section describes the algorithm used to allow querying for an address: **chifra list <address>**.

chifra export

This section discusses the various options available to **chifra export <address>**.

Conclusion

A concluding paragraph.

Supplimentary Information

[This section is not complete.]

Website

Github repo

GitCoin grant

Tokenomics website

Docker version

Account Explorer