



# Smart Contract Security Audit Report



# Table Of Contents

<b>1 Executive Summary</b>	_____
<b>2 Audit Methodology</b>	_____
<b>3 Project Overview</b>	_____
3.1 Project Introduction	_____
3.2 Vulnerability Information	_____
<b>4 Code Overview</b>	_____
4.1 Contracts Description	_____
4.2 Visibility Description	_____
4.3 Vulnerability Summary	_____
<b>5 Audit Result</b>	_____
<b>6 Statement</b>	_____

# 1 Executive Summary

On 2022.10.19, the SlowMist security team received the Earning.Farm team's security audit application for EarningFarm v3 Iterative Audit, developed the audit plan according to the agreement of both parties and the characteristics of the project, and finally issued the security audit report.

The SlowMist security team adopts the strategy of "white box lead, black, grey box assists" to conduct a complete security test on the project in the way closest to the real attack.

The test method information:

Test method	Description
Black box testing	Conduct security tests from an attacker's perspective externally.
Grey box testing	Conduct security testing on code modules through the scripting tool, observing the internal running status, mining weaknesses.
White box testing	Based on the open source code, non-open source code, to detect whether there are vulnerabilities in programs such as nodes, SDK, etc.

The vulnerability severity level information:

Level	Description
Critical	Critical severity vulnerabilities will have a significant impact on the security of the DeFi project, and it is strongly recommended to fix the critical vulnerabilities.
High	High severity vulnerabilities will affect the normal operation of the DeFi project. It is strongly recommended to fix high-risk vulnerabilities.
Medium	Medium severity vulnerability will affect the operation of the DeFi project. It is recommended to fix medium-risk vulnerabilities.
Low	Low severity vulnerabilities may affect the operation of the DeFi project in certain scenarios. It is suggested that the project team should evaluate and consider whether these vulnerabilities need to be fixed.
Weakness	There are safety risks theoretically, but it is extremely difficult to reproduce in engineering.

Level	Description
Suggestion	There are better practices for coding or architecture.

## 2 Audit Methodology

The security audit process of SlowMist security team for smart contract includes two steps:

Smart contract codes are scanned/tested for commonly known and more specific vulnerabilities using automated analysis tools.

Manual audit of the codes for security issues. The contracts are manually analyzed to look for any potential problems.

Following is the list of commonly known vulnerabilities that was considered during the audit of the smart contract:

Serial Number	Audit Class	Audit Subclass
1	Overflow Audit	-
2	Reentrancy Attack Audit	-
3	Replay Attack Audit	-
4	Flashloan Attack Audit	-
5	Race Conditions Audit	Reordering Attack Audit
6	Permission Vulnerability Audit	Access Control Audit
		Excessive Authority Audit

Serial Number	Audit Class	Audit Subclass
7	Security Design Audit	External Module Safe Use Audit
		Compiler Version Security Audit
		Hard-coded Address Security Audit
		Fallback Function Safe Use Audit
		Show Coding Security Audit
		Function Return Value Security Audit
		External Call Function Security Audit
		Block data Dependence Security Audit
		tx.origin Authentication Security Audit
8	Denial of Service Audit	-
9	Gas Optimization Audit	-
10	Design Logic Audit	-
11	Variable Coverage Vulnerability Audit	-
12	"False Top-up" Vulnerability Audit	-
13	Scoping and Declarations Audit	-
14	Malicious Event Log Audit	-
15	Arithmetic Accuracy Deviation Audit	-
16	Uninitialized Storage Pointer Audit	-

### 3 Project Overview

## 3.1 Project Introduction

### Audit Version:

[https://github.com/Shata-Capital/ENF\\_V3](https://github.com/Shata-Capital/ENF_V3)

commit: ba8e800b5482725e9b5daf3e6e74a4953d6e4451

### Fixed Version:

[https://github.com/Shata-Capital/ENF\\_V3](https://github.com/Shata-Capital/ENF_V3)

commit: 5b2b1b5fe33789168a8ab06cc3e1b07ce89a682b

### Audit Scope:

contracts/subStrategies/notional/CDai.sol

contracts/exchange/Curve3Pool.sol

contracts/core/Exchange.sol

## 3.2 Vulnerability Information

The following is the status of the vulnerabilities found in this audit:

NO	Title	Category	Level	Status
N1	Risk of excessive authority	Authority Control Vulnerability	Medium	Confirmed
N2	Variable storage issue	Gas Optimization Audit	Suggestion	Fixed
N3	Slippage issue	Design Logic Audit	Medium	Confirmed
N4	get_dy index issue	Others	Suggestion	Fixed

## 4 Code Overview

## 4.1 Contracts Description

The main network address of the contract is as follows:

**The code was not deployed to the mainnet.**

## 4.2 Visibility Description

The SlowMist Security team analyzed the visibility of major contracts during the audit, the result as follows:

CDai			
Function Name	Visibility	Mutability	Modifiers
initialize	Public	Can Modify State	initializer
totalAssets	External	-	-
_totalAssets	Internal	-	-
deposit	External	Can Modify State	onlyController
_deposit	Internal	Can Modify State	-
withdraw	External	Can Modify State	onlyController
_withdraw	Internal	Can Modify State	-
harvest	External	Can Modify State	onlyController
emergencyWithdraw	Public	Can Modify State	onlyOwner
withdrawable	External	-	-
ownerDeposit	Public	Can Modify State	onlyOwner
setController	Public	Can Modify State	onlyOwner
setDepositSlippage	Public	Can Modify State	onlyOwner

CDai			
setWithdrawSlippage	Public	Can Modify State	onlyOwner
setHarvestGap	Public	Can Modify State	onlyOwner
setMaxDeposit	Public	Can Modify State	onlyOwner
setSwapPath	Public	Can Modify State	onlyOwner

Curve3Pool			
Function Name	Visibility	Mutability	Modifiers
<Constructor>	Public	Can Modify State	-
<Receive Ether>	External	Payable	-
setExchange	Public	Can Modify State	onlyOwner
addCurvePool	Public	Can Modify State	onlyOwner
removeCurvePool	Public	Can Modify State	onlyOwner
getPathIndex	Public	-	-
pathFrom	Public	-	-
pathTo	Public	-	-
swap	External	Can Modify State	onlyExchange
getBalance	Internal	-	-

## 4.3 Vulnerability Summary

[N1] [Medium] Risk of excessive authority



## Category: Authority Control Vulnerability

### Content

In the Exchange contract, the owner role can set swapCaller and router through the setSwapCaller and listRouter functions. If it is set to a malicious address, funds will be lost. In the CDai contract, the owner role can set sensitive parameters through the setSwapPath function. This will lead to the risk of excessive owner permissions.

Code location: contracts/core/Exchange.sol

```
function setSwapCaller(address _caller, bool _status) public onlyOwner {
    require(_caller != address(0), "INVALID_ADDRESS");

    swapCaller[_caller] = _status;

    emit SetSwapCaller(_caller, _status);
}
function listRouter(address router) public onlyOwner {
    routerListed[router] = true;

    emit RouterListed(router);
}
```

### Solution

In the short term, it is recommended to transfer owner ownership to multi-signature wallets, and in the long term, it is recommended to transfer owner ownership to community governance.

### Status

Confirmed

## [N2] [Suggestion] Variable storage issue

### Category: Gas Optimization Audit

### Content

In the Curve3Pool contract, the swap function is used for token exchange. When fetching pools[\_index], it uses

storage to store the curve variable, but in this function there is no need to modify pools[\_index], so this will consume more gas.

Code location: contracts/exchange/Curve3Pool.sol

```
function swap(
    address _from,
    address _to,
    bytes32 _index,
    uint256 _amount
) external override onlyExchange {
    ...

    // Get Curve Pool address
    CurvePool storage curve = pools[_index];

    ...
}
```

### Solution

It is recommended to use memory to store curve variables.

### Status

Fixed

### [N3] [Medium] Slippage issue

#### Category: Design Logic Audit

#### Content

In the Curve3Pool contract, the swap function is used to exchange tokens in 3pool, but the `_min_received` passed in during the exchange is 0, which will cause the exchange process to be subject to a sandwich attack.

Code location: contracts/exchange/Curve3Pool.sol

```
function swap(
    address _from,
```

```

        address _to,
        bytes32 _index,
        uint256 _amount
    ) external override onlyExchange {
        ...

        if (_from != weth) {
            // Approve token
            IERC20(_from).approve(curve.pool, 0);
            IERC20(_from).approve(curve.pool, _amount);

            // Call Exchange
            ICurve3Pool(curve.pool).exchange(_amount, _route, _indices, 0,
address(this));
        } else {
            // Call Exchange
            ICurve3Pool(curve.pool).exchange{value: _amount}(_amount, _route,
_indices, 0, address(this));
        }

        ...
    }

```

## Solution

If the amount of funds exchanged is large, it is recommended to perform a slippage check.

## Status

Confirmed

## [N4] [Suggestion] get\_dy index issue

### Category: Others

### Content

In the CDai contract, the `_totalAssets` function converts DAI to USDC as total assets via CurvePool's `get_dy`. But

`Calculate withdraw amount of usdc - from Dai (j) to USDC(i)` is stated in the comments, while

according to the `get_dy` function description ([https://curve.readthedocs.io/factory-pools.html#StableSwap.get\\_dy](https://curve.readthedocs.io/factory-pools.html#StableSwap.get_dy)),

the `i` index should be DAI, and the `j` index should be USDC.

Code location: contracts/subStrategies/notional/CDai.sol

```
function _totalAssets() internal view returns (uint256) {
    ...
    // Calculate withdraw amout of usdc - from Dai (j) to USDC(i)
    uint256 usdcBal = ICurvePool(poolAddr).get_dy(int128(uint128(i)),
int128(uint128(j)), daiBal);

    return usdcBal;
}
}
```

### Solution

Please double check that the design is as expected.

### Status

Fixed

## 5 Audit Result

Audit Number	Audit Team	Audit Date	Audit Result
0X002210190002	SlowMist Security Team	2022.10.19 - 2022.10.19	Medium Risk

Summary conclusion: The SlowMist security team uses a manual and SlowMist team's analysis tool to audit the project, during the audit work we found 2 medium risks and 2 suggestions. And 2 medium-risk vulnerabilities were confirmed; All other findings were fixed. The code was not deployed to the mainnet.

## 6 Statement

SlowMist issues this report with reference to the facts that have occurred or existed before the issuance of this report, and only assumes corresponding responsibility based on these.

For the facts that occurred or existed after the issuance, SlowMist is not able to judge the security status of this project, and is not responsible for them. The security audit analysis and other contents of this report are based on the documents and materials provided to SlowMist by the information provider till the date of the insurance report (referred to as "provided information"). SlowMist assumes: The information provided is not missing, tampered with, deleted or concealed. If the information provided is missing, tampered with, deleted, concealed, or inconsistent with the actual situation, the SlowMist shall not be liable for any loss or adverse effect resulting therefrom. SlowMist only conducts the agreed security audit on the security situation of the project and issues this report. SlowMist is not responsible for the background and other conditions of the project.



**Official Website**  
[www.slowmist.com](http://www.slowmist.com)



**E-mail**  
[team@slowmist.com](mailto:team@slowmist.com)



**Twitter**  
[@SlowMist\\_Team](https://twitter.com/SlowMist_Team)



**Github**  
<https://github.com/slowmist>