

ARMEE Test Report 2020-04-12 v1


by Alex Izvorski <aizvorski@gmail.com>

CAD files

https://github.com/MillionVentilators/ARMEE_Ventilator_1.0/raw/master/models/Harry_Diamond_PEEP_Ryan%20v5.f3d

https://github.com/MillionVentilators/ARMEE_Ventilator_1.0/raw/master/models/Harry_Diamond_PEEP_Ryan%20v5.step

Photos



(as-tested device made by Tyler Smutz)

Construction

The device is constructed from 1/4" polycarbonate sheet stock, McMaster-Carr [8574K172](#) or equivalent.

Mill the channels into the top piece to the depth and width shown in the CAD file $\pm 0.05\text{mm}$. Channel cross section area is a critical dimension. Do NOT mill the face which will become a joint between the pieces: it should have the original smooth surface from the plastic sheet. Make sure the channel sides and bottom are smooth (preferably ISO N6).

Tap the adjustment screw holes using a M3x0.5 tap. Make sure that there are no burrs left and the face which will be joined to the other piece is flat.

Spread a thin, uniform layer of water-thin acrylic solvent cement, IPS Weld-On 3, SciGrip 3, McMaster-Carr [7528A13](#) or equivalent, on the bottom piece, then press the top piece onto it. Clamp and let sit for 30 minutes. Do this step in a fume hood as the solvent cement is toxic if inhaled.

Tap the input screw hole using a M6x1 tap.

Adjustment screws: Stainless Steel Flat-Tip Set Screw, M3 x 0.5 mm Thread, 6 mm Long
McMaster-Carr [92605A100](#)

Input fitting: Stainless Steel Barbed Tube Fitting, 4mm Tube ID, M6 x 1 mm Male
McMaster-Carr [4406T772](#)

Equipment

Ventilator analyzer: Fluke [VT Plus HF](#) gas flow analyzer; can substitute Fluke VT650/VT900A, TSI Certifier FA Plus 4080, IMT Analytics Citrex H3/H4/H5 or equivalent

Compressor: Porter Cable C2002; or any other oil-free compressor capable of at least 2 scfm and 50psi

Flow adjustment valve: McMaster-Carr [46425K12](#) or equivalent

Test lungs: rigid volume, two 5.5 gallon glass carboys, detailed construction tbd

Calibration

Connect the input of the device to a source of compressed air regulated to 50psi and with a precise flow adjustment valve at the output.

Connect the output of the device to the analyzer, and the output of the analyzer to the test lung with compliance 30 ± 2 ml/cmH₂O and resistance < 3 cmH₂O/(liter/second).

Turn both adjustments screws so they are fully in. Do NOT overtighten as they can crack the joint between plastic pieces; just turn until they bottom out.

Close off the connection to the test lung, then open the input valve and adjust the flow to 38 ± 2 lpm.

Open the connection to the test lung.

Slowly open (turn counterclockwise) the output adjusting screw until tidal volume is $\sim 450 \pm 50$ ml. As this point the device should be cycling steadily, with PIP approx 25 cmH₂O and PEEP at 0.



Slowly open (turn counterclockwise) the PEEP adjusting screw until PEEP is approx 10 cmH₂O.

On this particular device, the output screw was open by 0.56 mm and PEEP by 0.14 mm.

Results

The operation of the device is stable and cycles between PIP 25-26 cmH₂O and PEEP 9-10 cmH₂O. Tidal volume is 450 ± 20 ml.

The flow rate and airway pressure appear well behaved; see screenshots from Fluke VT Plus analyzer below.



However, it was not possible to get a respiratory rate significantly less than 30 bpm with this device, unless the tidal volume was also much larger.

It appears that, for a given geometry, input flow rate, and lung compliance, the rate at which airway pressure rises and falls is relatively fixed, and is not affected by the PIP/PEEP adjustments. Thus, low (or zero) PEEP results in much lower respiratory rates.

If PEEP was non-zero (adjustment screw not fully in), there is a bit of switching noise (seen as pressure fluctuations) during both transitions. This is visible in the traces above; the magnitude appears to be 3-5 cmH₂O p-p. This corresponds to audible noise, probably as the flow oscillates rapidly between the two channels. Low input flow rates, and possibly some positions of the PEEP screw, can make this a lot more prominent, and it can last for much longer. This was the main reason the calibration was done at 38 lpm rather than the more typical for this design 30-34 lpm.

The operation does not appear to be affected by changes in resistance probably between $R < 2$ and $R > 10$.

Further work

- Test effect of different lung compliance values
- Modify design to eliminate pressure fluctuations during switching
- For a fixed calibration, draw curves of PIP/PEEP/RR/TV versus input flow

FAQ

Can I 3D print this?

Maybe! You're welcome to experiment (and let us know what you find).

There have been successful prints made, but we don't yet have a design we can recommend. Figuring out how to make this require less precise tolerances and a less smooth surface finish is a work in progress.

Can I mill this from materials other than polycarbonate?

Again, maybe! In general, it is the shape that matters, not the material.

A lot of our development work was done using milled aluminum pieces which were bolted together. This typically works fine. However, it is a lot harder to guarantee that the joint between the two pieces is sufficiently air-tight with metal. Also, aluminum is not recommended as a material to use in a pure oxygen atmosphere. That's why we don't recommend that style of construction outside of prototyping.

Acrylic should work equivalently to polycarbonate, but is less compatible with alcohol-based disinfectants.

Other plastics may also work, as long as the shape and tolerances can be guaranteed and the two pieces can be joined in an air-tight way. It may be possible to use another glue (not a solvent cement) or a gasket. However both may interfere with the flow channels, so we don't have a specific recommendation for a glue or gasket based build yet.

Disclaimer

This device described here is an engineering prototype. It is **not a medical device** and it is not intended for use in humans. We can't guarantee absolutely anything about it, including whether it is safe, whether it is suitable for any particular use, or whether it would work the same when you build it. The specific device tested (N=1) did exactly what is described in this report. That's all.

Please see also the additional disclaimers in the CERN license.

Copyright and license

This report is Copyright 2020 Alex Izvorski aizvorski@gmail.com

This Source (CAD files and other design materials) describes Open Hardware and is licensed under the CERN-OHL-S v2.

You may redistribute and modify this Source and make products using it under the terms of the CERN-OHL-S v2 (<https://cern.ch/cern-ohl>).

This documentation is distributed WITHOUT ANY EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTY, INCLUDING OF MERCHANTABILITY, SATISFACTORY QUALITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. Please see the CERN-OHL-S v2 for applicable conditions.

Source Location: https://github.com/MillionVentilators/ARMEE_Ventilator_1.0

As per CERN-OHL-S v2 section 4, should You produce hardware based on this Source, You must maintain the Source Location visible on the external case of the hardware or other product you make using this Source.