AMOS Proj1 - Planning Documents

Project Data

Project Name	EMBArk
Production system (if any)	
Test system (if any)	
GitHub repository	https://github.com/amosproj/amos-ss2021-emba-service
GitHub kanban board (project)	https://github.com/amosproj/amos-ss2021-emba-service/projects/1
Team T-shirt (white)	https://www.shirtinator.de/loadBasket/CLbKrkAi_Fe
Team T-shirt (black) (men)	https://www.shirtinator.de/loadBasket/C8wrNC2gQhA
Team T-shirt (black) (women)	https://www.shirtinator.de/en/loadBasket/OCuYnsZJW1-
Additional materials	

AMOS Proj1 - Planning Documents Project Team

Last Name	First Name	GitHub User Name	Email Address
Vogt	Jaromir	diegiesskanne	jaromir.vogt@fau.de
Saha	Bitan	bitans94	bitan.saha@fau.de
Wagner	Maximilian	MaximilianWagner	maximilian.b.wagner@fau.de
Singh	Ashutosh	ashutoshsingh0223	ashutosh.singh@fau.de
Achanta	Ravi Chandra	ravichandraachanta	ravi.c.achanta@fau.de
Vanteru	Mani Kumar	Mani1210	mani.vanteru@fau.de
Annavarapu	Vamsi Krishna	Vkrishna09	krishna.annavarapu@fau.de
Umesh	Vaishnavi	Vaish1795	vaishnavi.umesh@fau.de

AMOS Proj1 - Planning Documents

Team Contract

	Learning objectives: Ensure all team members understand the objective. Continuouse Improvement of the needed skill set e.g. coding skill, social skills Interpersonal relationship objectives: Meeting all team members with respect and create a relaxed but object focused atmosphere Functional objectives: Time and skill efficient split of tasks and working. Provide a user-friendly and functional web service of e-m-b-a as a final product.
Goals	
Meeting norms	Team is meeting on Wednesdays (mandatory) for Sprint session. We meet on Fridays (optional) to have a follow-up of the assigned tasks. We also meet on Mondays for final review before the next sprint. Additional meeting timings is 4:30 PM on Fri and Mon.
Working norms	Decisions should be made unanimously. If a teammember has a problem with a decision, everybody should try to find a compromise. Criticism should always be formulated constructive and should always be meet with understanding. Of course when team members face trouble or bigger tasks, the team is expected to collaborate and support the individuals.
Coordination norms	The current Scrum Master is responsible for a smooth process of the general meeting. He is expected to fill the role of the Moderator, to keep meetings on track and lead through the agenda and also the role of the Mediator, to resolve disagreements among the team. The Release Manager leads through the developed/technical part of sprint.
Communication norms	Apart from lecture/meetings, the team communicates via dedicated Slack channel. Slack should be checked regulary, response time should not exceed a day. In case of urgent matters theres also the option to call the concerning members. On general task like reviewing a PR there is a more relaxed deadline, unless its end of sprint. Personal Communication, especially topics regarding urgent matters, is always be possible.
	Seperate communications are allowed if there are no information withhold from the team. Disagreements between teams to be handled in
Consideration norms	presence of the Scrum master. Priority would be given to practices that have greater impact on the final outcome and the team will strive to achieve a compromise that fits all.
Cont. improvement norms	 Team's progress is tracked by how efficiently the team is able to break down problems into tasks and how good is the team with story-points estimation, through velocity charts etc Rate others' work: Good code practices. Proper test cases of the code engineers put in. Yes in case of a disagreement during a review or implementation discussion.
	5 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Rewards	Enjoy with a beer and pat-on-the back! Nominate the next RM.
Sanctions	For acceptable excuses, it it encouraged that team members notify beforehand any problems they are facing and other team members would pitch in to assist. Recurring laxity in commitment is not warranted and the reasons have to be communicated accross the board in this instance. There is a sanctions catalogue: each 30sec to late to meetings = 1 Push Up (recorded on video)

AMOS Proj1 - Planning Documents

Team Contract

General Norms	Always be kind, Respect each other, Don't be too shy to talk openly about problems. Racism and Sexism is intolerable. Render assistance to others if necessary.
Signature	Bitan Saha, Jaromir Vogt, Maximilian Wagner, Ashutosh Singh, Vaishnavi Umesh Ravi Chandra Achanta, Mani Kumar Vanteru, Vamsi Krishna Annavarapu

AMOS Proj1 - Planning Documents

Role Assignments

#	Meeting Day	Comment C	Coach	Product Owner	Software Developer	Scrum Master	Release Manager
1	2021-04-14		Yes	Bitan/ Krishna	Everyone else	N/A	N/A
2	2021-04-21		Yes	Bitan/ Krishna	Everyone else	Maximilian	Mani Kumar
3	2021-04-28		Yes	Bitan/ Krishna	Everyone else	Jaromir	Ashutosh
4	2021-05-05			Bitan/ Krishna	Everyone else	Vaishnavi	Ravi Chandra
5	2021-05-12		Yes	Bitan/ Krishna	Everyone else	Ravi Chandra	Maximilian
6	2021-05-19			Bitan/ Krishna	Everyone else	Vamsi Krishna	Ashutosh
7	2021-05-26	Mid-term due	Yes	Bitan/ Krishna	Everyone else	Bitan	Vaishnavi
8	2021-06-02			Bitan/ Krishna	Everyone else	Jaromir	
9	2021-06-09			Bitan/ Krishna	Everyone else	Mani Kumar	
10	2021-06-16		Yes	Bitan/ Krishna	Everyone else		
11	2021-06-23			Bitan/ Krishna	Everyone else		
12	2021-06-30			Bitan/ Krishna	Everyone else		
13	2021-07-07		Yes	Bitan/ Krishna	Everyone else		
14	2021-07-14	Demo day!		Bitan/ Krishna	Everyone else		
15	2021-07-21	Retrospective		Bitan/ Krishna	Everyone else		

AMOS Proj1 - Planning Documents Product Goal

Product Vision Project Mission

The long-term goal of EMBA Service is to provide containerized services employing a service backend. A user-friendly web application that uplinks the firmware images and generates a service report. The web UI allows the user to upload images and the generated reports remain embedded for long-term availability. Also, the web UI is capable of handling independent firmware scans while providing service managers with a dashboard overview of the services.

The use of EMBA service will enable the user with an interactive management console for maintaining reports generated for specific firmware images. Multi-user applicability is baked into the UI by containerizing the service backend thus allowing multiple users to simultaneously take advantage of the service thereby speeding up the overall process flow in the organization. Automatic management and retrieval of the generated reports from the service to have an in-depth overview of the project status.

AMOS Proj1 - Planning Documents

Product Glossary

Term	Definition
CVE (Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures)	reference-method for publicly known information-security vulnerabilities and exposures
firmware	Linux based firmware elegible to be analyzed by emba (e.g.: https://eu.dlink.com/de/de/products/dap-1330-n300-wi-fi-range-extender)

AMOS Proj1 - Planning Documents

Mid-Project Release Tracking

#	Theme	Goal	Feature Name	Est. Size (Feature)	Est. Size (Sprint)	Real Size (Feature)	Real Size (Sprint)	Burn- Down
1	Visitor Self-A			(* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	21	(1 0 0 0 0 0)	21	
		Deliver first inc	rement of running software					
		•	Register	8		8		
			Login	5		5		
			Logout	3		3		
			_ Reset Password	5		5		
2	User Self-Ad	min			21		23	
		Deliver increme	ent with basic user handling					
			Prompt Basic Profile	5		5		
			Change Basic Profile	5		5		
			Change Password	3		5		
			_ Upload Photo	8		8		
3	Photo Manag	jement			21			
		Deliver increme	ent with basic photo handling					
			Browse Photo Portfolio	8				
			Select Photo	5				
			Change Photo Data	3				
			Delete Photo	5				

4 ...

AMOS Proj1 - Planning Documents

Final Project Release Planning

# Theme Goal	Feature Name	Est. Size (Feature)	Est. Size (Sprint)	Real Size (Feature)	Real Size (Sprint)	Burn- Down
6	Local Storage					
7	Integration					
8	Data Storage & Hosting					
9	Form Finalization					
10	Security					
11	Backend Optimization					
12	Authentication + UI Enhancement 2					

AMOS Proj1 - Planning Documents Impediments Backlog

Sprint	Status	Source	Impediment	Resolution
				Improved the communication between SDs and POs on open questions. Emphasize the importance of standup
2	Resolved		POs got issues getting a grasp of the architecture. More Input from the SDs on Architecture/Granularity.	emails. Everybody is happy to discuss open issues, just ask for assistance.
2	Resolved		No SD felt responsible for reviewing PRs	SD setting atleast 2 reviewers for PRs
2	In-work		Team meetings with floating times, complicate/extend planning for team members	
3	Resolved	Bitan	see above, its tricky to find a time that works for everyone, excuses for single meetings are no problem but in general we should have a fix time	We found a time slot everybody can work with. (Mon and Fr 4:30 pm) If somebody can't make it, we record our zoom session.
3	Resolved	Vaishnavi	different time zone so meeting times can be challenging	see above
	In-work	Ashutosh	product road map for planing purposes	We had a meeting on that on that on friday, but this process is still ongoing
3	In-work	Jaromir	complex big project setup	With everybody working on the project, one is getting a better understanding of the project setup so no extra meetings were necessary
-	In-work	Max	More frequency on the git hub pull requests and pushes	We can still improve on this
			more requested and painted and passes	
4				

AMOS Proj1 - Planning Documents

Impediments Backlog

t Resolution
1

AMOS Proj1 - Planning Documents

Definition of Done

#	Feature Definition of Done	Sprint Release Definition of Done	Project Release Definition of Done
	Code review from peers has been completed and passed - Adheres to coding guidelines - Commits are not squashed - Code is checked into the repository	Code review passed - Code is successfully merged onto the master branch after reviewing merge request - Clean and Readable code is passed - Passes Linter & prettier checks before allowing pull request - Branch was checked out locally	Application is working on different devices and screen
	- Code is fully implemented and commented	- Continuous Integration build passing	sizes
	Unit tests for backend have been written and are passing	All tests are passing - Unit tests are passing - Performance tests passed	Project builds without errors
	Manual performance tests on the browser are passing	All known critical bugs are fixed	No open known bugs are existent
	No critical bugs are open		All unit & functional tests are green (for backend)
			Manual performance tests on the browser is successful
			The code in Github is well documented and enough information is provided
			•

AMOS Proj1 - Planning Documents

Documentation

Type Li	Link / reference

AMOS Proj1 - Planning Documents

Bill of Materials

\ Context	Name	Version	License	Comment
1 OS	Docker	20.1	Apache License 2.0	
2 OS	Docker-compose	1.27	Apache License 2.0	
3 OS	Kali Linux	2021.1	GPL-3.0 License	Version depending on emba service
4 Backend Framework	Django	3.2	BSD License	
5 Backend Framework	Channels	3.0.3	BSD License	
6 Backend Framework	Hypercorn	0.11.2	MIT License (MIT)	
7 Database	MongoDB	4.4	Apache License 2.0	Version depending on emba service
8 main	emba	-	GPL-3.0 License	Not versioned since still in development
9 Linting	pycodestyle	2.7.0	MIT License	
10 Testing	pytest	6.2.3	MIT License	
11 Frontend	HTML5		W3C License	
12 Frontend Styling	CSS			
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				

AMOS Proj1 - Planning Documents Planning Poker

Last Name First Name	Value			
Vogt Jaromir	5			
Wagner Maximilian	5	5.00	OK	
Singh Ashutosh	5	5.00	UN	
Umesh Vaishnavi	5			
Achanta Ravi Chandra	5	0	No effort	
Vanteru Mani Kumar	5	1	Minimal effort	
		2	Small effort	
Saha Bitan		3	Medium effort	
Annavarapu Vamsi Krishna		5	Large effort	
		8	Very large effort	
		13	Too large effort	