yAcademy Temple DAO Origami Review

Review Resources:

• Some internal docs and visuals were provided

Residents:

- pandadefi
- spalen
- engn33r

Table of Contents

- 1 Review Summary
- 2 Scope
- 3 Code Evaluation Matrix
- 4 Findings Explanation
- 5 Critical Findings
- 6 High Findings
 - a 1. High Harvesting vault can be front-run for profit
 - a Technical Details
 - b Impact
 - c Recommendation
 - d Developer Response

7 Medium Findings

- a 1. Medium handleGmxRewards() returned values can lead to wrong accounting
 - a Technical Details
 - b Impact
 - c Recommendation
 - d Developer Response

8 Low Findings

- a 1. Low Use glpRewardRouter for fetching glp trackers
 - a Technical Details
 - b Impact
 - c Recommendation
 - d Developer Response
- b 2. Low No Chainlink staleness check in oraclePrice()
 - a Technical Details
 - b Impact
 - c Recommendation
 - d Developer Response
- 9 Gas Savings Findings
 - a 1. Gas Variables could be immutables
 - a Technical Details
 - b Impact
 - c Recommendation
 - d Developer Response
 - b 2. Gas Initialize variable only if needed
 - a Technical Details
 - b Impact
 - c Recommendation
 - d Developer Response
 - c 3. Gas Reuse local variable
 - a Technical Details
 - b Impact
 - c Recommendation
 - d Developer Response
 - d 4. Gas Use msg.sender not owner()
 - a Technical Details
 - b Impact
 - c Recommendation

d Developer Response

10 Informational Findings

- a 1. Informational Incorrect comment
 - a Technical Details
 - b Impact
 - c Recommendation
 - d Developer Response
- b 2. Informational Oracles price can be exploited
 - a Technical Details
 - b Impact
 - c Recommendation
 - d Developer Response
- c 3. Informational Update comment to NatSpec format
 - a Technical Details
 - b Impact
 - c Recommendation
 - d Developer Response
- d 4. Informational Verify fees and rewards addresses
 - a Technical Details
 - b Impact
 - c Recommendation
 - d Developer Response
- e 5. Informational Remove removeReserves(uint256 amount)
 - a Technical Details
 - b Impact
 - c Recommendation
 - d Developer Response
- 6. Informational Trader Joe AMM is moving liquidity to a new AMM design
 - a Technical Details
 - b Impact

- c Recommendation d Developer Response 7. Informational - Incorrect NatSpec a Technical Details b Impact c Recommendation d Developer Response 8. Informational - addToReserveAmount could be a percentage value a Technical Details b Impact c Recommendation d Developer Response 9. Informational - Replace deprecated dependency a Technical Details b Impact c Recommendation d Developer Response 10. Informational - Unusual Operator.sol implementation a Technical Details b Impact c Recommendation d Developer Response 11. Informational - Reconsider using DEFAULT_ADMIN_ROLE a Technical Details b Impact c Recommendation d Developer Response 12. Informational - Consider zero for minAmount
 - a Technical Detailsb Impact
 - c Recommendation

- d Developer Response
- m 13. Informational Broken link
 - a Technical Details
 - b Impact
 - c Recommendation
 - d Developer Response
- n 14. Informational Typo
 - a Technical Details
 - b Impact
 - c Recommendation
 - d Developer Response
- 11 Final remarks
 - a spalen
 - b pandadefi
 - c engn33r
- 12 About yAcademy

Review Summary

Temple DAO Origami

The goal of Temple DAO's Origami product is to offer auto-compounded yield offerings on underlying strategies, maximising returns without sacrificing liquidity. The first strategy being offered is on GLP and GMX. Origami will be deployed on Arbitrum and Avalanche, the two chains where GMX is deployed, even though the current Temple core contracts are deployed on Ethereum.

The contracts of the Temple DAO Origami Repo were reviewed over 21 days. The code review was performed by 3 residents between January 23, 2023 and February 12, 2023. The repository was under active development during the review, but the review was limited to the latest commit at the start of the review. This was commit a31d192ab54ca7d21f2dee30c630a6ec1843b646 for the Temple DAO repo.

Scope

The scope of the review consisted of the files in the following directories at the specific commit:

- contracts/investments/*
- contracts/common/*

After the findings were presented to the Temple DAO team, fixes were made and included in several PRs.

This review is a code review to identify potential vulnerabilities in the code. The reviewers did not investigate security practices or operational security and assumed that privileged accounts could be trusted. The reviewers did not evaluate the security of the code relative to a standard or specification. The review may not have identified all potential attack vectors or areas of vulnerability.

yAcademy and the residents make no warranties regarding the security of the code and do not warrant that the code is free from defects. yAcademy and the residents do not represent nor imply to third parties that the code has been audited nor that the code is free from defects. By deploying or using the code, Temple DAO and users of the contracts agree to use the code at their own risk.

Code Evaluation Matrix

Category	Mark	Description
Access Control	Average	Some privileged roles exist in the contracts, such as the Operator role and the CAN_MINT role. Many functions are protected by the onlyOwner modifier.
Mathematics	Good	No complex math is performed in the contracts. Only basic accounting and value management is done.
Complexity	Average	The complexity of the Temple Origami contracts were on par with a typical DeFi project. Some of the key functionalities included integration with a yield source (GMX),

Category	Mark	Description
		compounding of rewards, and custom tokens to track value.
Libraries	Good	The only external dependencies are OpenZeppelin libraries.
Decentralization	Average	The owner role has significant privileges in the Temple Origami contracts. The Operator and CAN_MINT roles are also privileged roles that may reduce the decentralization of the system. The recoverToken() function in many contracts indicates a high level of trust in the contract owner.
Code stability	Average	The code was nearly production ready but may not have been completely frozen prior to production deployment.
Documentation	Good	Visuals were created to show the main user flows. Clear NatSpec comments existed throughout the contracts.
Monitoring	Good	Events were emitted by all key functions that perform state variable changes.
Testing and verification	Low	The tests did not fully execute without errors so the extent of the test coverage could not be checked.

Findings Explanation

Findings are broken down into sections by their respective impact:

- Critical, High, Medium, Low impact
 - These are findings that range from attacks that may cause loss of funds, impact control/ownership of the contracts, or cause any unintended consequences/actions that are outside the scope of the requirements
- Gas savings

- Findings that can improve the gas efficiency of the contracts
- Informational
 - Findings including recommendations and best practices

Critical Findings

None.

High Findings

1. High - Harvesting vault can be front-run for profit

sharesToReserves increases immediately on profit distribution. A bad actor can sandwich the harvestRewards() call for immediate profit.

Technical Details

Calling harvestRewards() will collect and distribute rewards. A bad actor can deposit tokens into the ovToken before the harvest and withdraw from ovToken right after. The sharesToReserves value will instantaneously increase and the attacker will be able to withdraw more tokens than deposited with reduced incentives for users to invest in the protocol in the future.

Impact

High. The protocol harvest call can be front-run, reducing the benefits for people invested in the protocol.

Recommendation

Distribute rewards over hours instead of immediate sharesToReserves increase. One option is to keep a queue of rewards to distribute overtime. You can find an example in the <u>yearn vault</u>.

Developer Response

@frontier159: Fixed in commit 8cbf5fb

The current vaults have a higher cost to enter/exit than what one would get by front-running. However point taken, and a good thing to address.

The plan to remediate is to add 'per second' distribution to users within the base RepricingToken contract. Summary (some detail left out for brevity):

- 1 When addReserves() is called, pull the reserve tokens from the caller
- 2 Those reserve tokens aren't immediately all available to users it's dripped in per second.
- 3 So totalReserves then becomes a function instead of just a counter, effectively return actualisedReserves + accruedReserves
- 4 Whenever new reserves are added, we actualise:
 - a Any accrued up to now is added to actualisedReserves
 - b Any left over balance from the amount previously added is added to the new reserves being added
 - c The distribution timer restarts (set to block.timestamp)

We are planning on dripping the rewards in over a period of a week, and harvest daily. So each day the timer on the distribution will restart but the left over is carried over and the timer restarted.

Medium Findings

1. Medium - _handleGmxRewards() returned values can lead to wrong accounting

When claiming GMX rewards, rewards come from GMX and GLP pools. The accounting can be wrong if the tokens claimed are staked.

Technical Details

The function <u>handleGmxRewards()</u> calculates GMX rewards based on balance changes and claimable rewards from GLP without considering if the claimed tokens are staked.

When staking rewards, the code doesn't set to zero <code>esGmxFromGlp</code>. This is inconsistent with <code>esGmxFromGmx</code> computed using a balance change <code>esGmxFromGlp</code> and will be zero if rewards get staked.

OrigamiGmxEarnAccount.sol#L396-L424

Impact

Medium. Inaccurate accounting may happen under certain circumstances.

Recommendation

Make sure to set esGmxFromGlp to zero when shouldStakeEsGmx is true.

@frontier159: Fixed in commit fe96c8a

Low Findings

1. Low - Use glpRewardRouter for fetching glp trackers

Initializer should use glpRewardRouter for fetching GLP trackers but uses gmxRewardRouter.

Technical Details

Currently, both routers point to the same trackers, but this could change.

Deployed <code>glpRewardRouter</code>, for GMX trackers aren't set, points to address 0. The same could happen for <code>gmxRewardRouter</code>, GLP trackers could point to address 0.

Impact

Low. Trackers could be set to address 0 and break some contract functionalities.

Recommendation

Use values from <code>glpRewardRouter</code> for setting variables <code>stakedGlpTracker</code> and <code>feeGlpTracker</code>.

```
stakedGlpTracker = IGmxRewardTracker(glpRewardRouter.stakedGlpTracker());
feeGlpTracker = IGmxRewardTracker(glpRewardRouter.feeGlpTracker());
```

Developer Response

@frontier159: Fixed in commit 5925173

2. Low - No Chainlink staleness check in oraclePrice()

oraclePrice() retrieves price data from Chainlink, but there are no checks to discard data if the oracle returns stale data.

Technical Details

The Chainlink [latestRoundData()] function returns price data along with the roundld and timestamp of the data. If the data is stale, it should be discarded. Otherwise the protocol will trust outdated data that could lead to a loss of value from using an inaccurate exchange rate. It is recommended to check the roundld and timestamp values that the oracle returns, as shown in other security report findings here and here.

Impact

Low. The Chainlink oracle data should be checked for staleness.

Recommendation

Consider modifying oraclePrice() to the following:

```
function oraclePrice(address _oracle) public view returns (uint256
price) {
    IAggregatorV3Interface oracle = IAggregatorV3Interface(_oracle);
    (, int256 feedValue, , , ) = oracle.latestRoundData();
    (uint80 roundId, int256 feedValue, , uint256 updatedAt, uint80
answeredInRound) = oracle.latestRoundData();
    if (answeredInRound <= roundId && block.timestamp - updatedAt
> ORACLE_STALENESS_THRESHOLD) revert InvalidPrice(feedValue);
    if (feedValue < 0) revert InvalidPrice(feedValue);
    price = scaleToPrecision(uint256(feedValue), oracle.decimals());
}</pre>
```

Developer Response

@frontier159: Fixed in commit 011c36d

Gas Savings Findings

1. Gas - Variables could be immutables

Some variables set on OrigamiGmxEarnAccount aren't likely to change. These variables can be set during contract creation and the variables can be declared immutable for gas savings.

Technical Details

These variables can be declared immutable:

```
/// @notice $GMX
IERC20Upgradeable public gmxToken;

/// @notice $esGMX - escrowed GMX
IERC20Upgradeable public esGmxToken;

/// @notice $wrappedNative - wrapped ETH/AVAX
```

```
IERC20Upgradeable public wrappedNativeToken;
```

These three variables won't change. The contract constructor can set them appropriately.

Impact

Gas savings.

Recommendation

Declare these three variables as immutable. The contract constructor can take <code>gmxRewardRouter</code> to fetch the values.

Developer Response

@frontier159: Fixed in commit 802f3c4

2. Gas - Initialize variable only if needed

In some cases where variables are initialized, the variables won't be used. This in inefficient and variables should only be initialized when they are used.

Technical Details

Variable esGmxReinvested is initialized before the if statement but it's only used inside the if block.

Impact

Gas savings.

Recommendation

Initialize variable [esGmxReinvested] inside the if block.

```
- uint256 esGmxReinvested;
if (totalEsGmxClaimed != 0) {
+ uint256 esGmxReinvested;
```

Developer Response

@frontier159: Fixed in commit 2e575b3

3. Gas - Reuse local variable

Local variables can be reused instead of initializing new ones without losing code readability.

Technical Details

Variable fromToken can be reused instead of initializing the new variable tokenIn. The same applies to variable tokenOut.

Local variable reserveAmount can be dropped from here and here if inline is used like this: underlyingQuoteData.underlyingExitQuoteData.investmentTokenAmount = redeemReservesFromShares

Impact

Gas savings.

Recommendation

Reuse existing variables:

```
- address tokenOut = (toToken == address(0)) ? wrappedNativeToken : toToken;
+ toToken = (toToken == address(0)) ? wrappedNativeToken : toToken;
```

Developer Response

@frontier159: Fixed in commit 8e2bd54

```
4. Gas - Use msg.sender not owner()
```

When two variables or function calls return equivalent values, it makes sense to use the option that uses less gas.

Technical Details

It is cheaper to call <code>msg.sender</code> instead of <code>ownable()</code> when they both return the same value. If this change is made in the constructor of MintableToken, the range of gas used on the deployment of MintableToken is reduced from the original range of 2296764-2296884 to 2296354-2296474, saving roughly 400 gas.

Impact

Gas savings.

Recommendation

Replace owner() with msg.sender in the MintableToken constructor.

Developer Response

@frontier159: Fixed in commit b538b51

Informational Findings

1. Informational - Incorrect comment

The comment has an incorrect file name.

Technical Details

File OrigamiGmxInvestment has a comment with an incorrect file name.

Impact

Informational.

Recommendation

Update comment to correspond to the file name.

Developer Response

@frontier159: Fixed in commit 7b78684

2. Informational - Oracles price can be exploited

Two price oracles that are used for off-chain calculations can be manipulated. These oracles should never be used for on-chain calculations.

Technical Details

- TokenPrices.sol#L74: this price oracle can be exploited with a single block sandwich attack.
- TokenPrices.sol#L85: this price oracle can be exploited via a multi-block attack by block producers. More info.

Impact

Informational.

Recommendation

Make sure not to use these two oracles from a smart contract.

Developer Response

@frontier159: Fixed in commit fb002bf

3. Informational - Update comment to NatSpec format

Some comments are written as NatSpec but are missing characters, including / and @notice, to be in the correct format.

Technical Details

In file OrigamiGmxManager variables primaryEarnAccount and secondaryEarnAccount could be in NatSpec format.

At least two comments (1, 2) are missing the @notice NatSpec tag.

Finally, the comment on reservesToShares() is identical to the comment on sharesToReserves(), which is incorrect. The comment for reservesToShares() should be reversed to read "How many shares given a number of reserve tokens".

Impact

Informational.

Recommendation

Update comments to be in NatSpec format by adding missing characters.

Developer Response

@frontier159: Fixed in commit 8be8c94

4. Informational - Verify fees and rewards addresses

The addresses for receiving fees and rewards can be set to address 0, so all fees and rewards could be lost.

Technical Details

Setter functions in OrigamiGmxManager for feeCollector and rewards aggregators doesn't verify input for the default 0 value. There are no checks to prevent an address of 0 when the fees and rewards are distributed.

Impact

Informational. Only the owner can set the addresses, so it is under the owner's control, but could lead to lost funds for protocol users.

Recommendation

Verify the addresses are not 0 before setting state variables and setting default values in the constructor, could use address(this). Another option is to keep fees and rewards in the OrigamiGmxManager if the address is not set and recover the token later.

Developer Response

@frontier159: Fixed in commit 70dd257

Won't fix checking in the constructor - we have robust checks on mainnet deploys, risk here is accepted.

5. Informational - Remove removeReserves(uint256 amount)

The function removeReserves(uint256 amount) exposes a possibility to drain the protocol, but the function doesn't have a use case.

Technical Details

The function enables operators to take all reserveToken which can after be redeemed for other tokens depending on the OrigamiInvestment implementation. Even recoverToken(address _token, address _to, uint256 _amount) function, which is limited to only the owner, verifies the owner cannot drain the protocol.

Impact

Informational.

Recommendation

Remove the function.

Developer Response

@frontier159: Fixed in commit 6ea7a6c

6. Informational - Trader Joe AMM is moving liquidity to a new AMM design

The Trader Joe AMM is moving liquidity to a new AMM design so it would benefit TokenPrices to use the newer AMM.

Technical Details

Trader Joe is used as a price oracle on <u>TokenPrices.sol#L74</u>. Trader Joe announced a new AMM design with breaking ABI changes. The <u>design</u> will allow anyone, not just Trader Joe, to create new trading pools, so liquidity is expected to move to the new AMM.

- announcement
- doc

Impact

Informational.

Recommendation

Use the newer Trader Joe AMM. Replace [joePair.getReserves()] with joePair.getReservesAndId().

Developer Response

@frontier159: Fixed in commit d7499c8

Now using the v2 helper to get the 'best' quote from all v1 and v2 pools.

7. Informational - Incorrect NatSpec

The Operators.sol contract has a NatSpec error.

Technical Details

On Operators.sol#L19 @dev NatSpec specifies this __Operators_init() initializes the owner, but it's not initializing the owner.

Impact

Informational.

Recommendation

Update NatSpec in Operators.sol.

Developer Response

@frontier159: Fixed in commit 65b27c6

8. Informational - addToReserveAmount could be a percentage value

The addToReserveAmount uint256 value in HarvestGmxParams and HarvestGlpParams structures can be expressed as a percentage value for more precision.

Technical Details

In _compoundOvGmxRewards() and _compoundOvGlpRewards(), the number of tokens to add to the reserve could be calculated using the returned value from investWithToken() and a percentage. This change would improve the precision of tokens added to the reserve, making it easier to send 100% of the rewards after slippage to the reserve.

Impact

Informational.

Recommendation

Use a percent-based approach using the return value from investWithToken()

Developer Response

9. Informational - Replace deprecated dependency

MintableToken has a dependency of draft-ERC20Permit.sol, but this dependency is described by OpenZeppelin as deprecated.

Technical Details

<u>draft-ERC20Permit.sol</u> is the old file in @openzeppelin/contracts which has been replaced with ERC20Permit.sol. Remove the import of draft-ERC20Permit.sol and instead import ERC20Permit.sol.

A related simplification is the ERC20.sol dependency can be removed from MintableToken because it is already imported through ERC20Permit.sol.

Impact

Informational.

Recommendation

Import ERC20Permit.sol instead of draft-ERC20Permit.sol in MintableToken. Remove the ERC20.sol import from MintableToken.

Developer Response

@frontier159: Nothing to fix

This hasn't yet been released – it will be in 4.9.*, where as the released version is 4.8.1

NB: master branch is their yet to be released version. See release-v4.8 branch for the v4.8.* versions ie: (https://github.com/OpenZeppelin/openzeppelin-contracts/tree/release-v4.8/contracts/token/ERC20/extensions)

10. Informational - Unusual Operator.sol implementation

The Operator.sol is implemented similar to an upgradeable contract from openzeppelin-contracts-upgradeable, but it is used as a dependency in contracts that are not upgradeable. Only OrigamiGmxEarnAccount is an upgradeable contract behind a proxy, the other contracts that inherit Operator are not upgradeable.

Technical Details

The Operator.sol contract is implemented in the same pattern as contracts

from openzeppelin-contracts-upgradeable. This includes inheriting Initializeable and having an init function. But unlike other OZ upgradeable contracts, the init functions in Operator.sol don't do anything. There is no difference in the contract if it is initialize or not.

A side effect of how this contract is used by other contracts is that every contract that inherits Operator.sol will have its own list of operators. If the intent is to manage only a single list of operators that have access to several different contracts, then consider deploying Operator.sol on its own, rather than as a dependency, and integrate it with the other contracts accordingly.

Impact

Informational.

Recommendation

Consider modifying Operator.sol to remove unnecessary artifacts borrowed from the openzeppelin-contracts-upgradeable pattern.

Developer Response

@frontier159: Fixed in commit 65b27c6

11. Informational - Reconsider using DEFAULT_ADMIN_ROLE

The DEFAULT_ADMIN_ROLE role in AccessControl is effectively a superuser role. It may make sense to avoid using this role if the goal is to make the contract more decentralized and less reliant on trusting a specific address.

Technical Details

OpenZeppelin's documentation for DEFAULT_ADMIN_ROLE warns that the role is effectively a superuser. If the only changing of roles is through <code>addMinter()</code> and <code>revokeRole()</code>, using DEFAULT_ADMIN_ROLE and importing AccessControl may be overkill. It could be simpler to maintain a mapping of addresses that have this access instead of inheriting the library.

Related to this, addMinter() and removeMinter() have duplicate modifiers. In the existing code, the caller must be the owner because of the modifier in MintableToken and the caller must be the adminRole because of the modifier in AccessControl. Consider removing the onlyOwner modifier to save gas.

Impact

Informational.

Recommendation

Remove the onlyOwner modifier to save gas. Consider whether inheriting AccessControl is necessary at all or whether using a local mapping of addresses that can mint is a viable replacement.

Developer Response

@frontier159: Fixed in commit b538b51

12. Informational - Consider zero for minAmount

mintAndStakeGlp() in OrigamiGmxEarnAccount has two minAmount arguments.

One of these can be removed and a zero value passed to

glpRewardRouter.mintAndStakeGlp().

Technical Details

glpRewardRouter.mintAndStakeGlp() has two minAmount arguments. Only one of these is really necessary. Consider removing the other and replacing it with a zero minAmount depending on the standard use case for the mintAndStakeGlp() function.

Impact

Informational.

Recommendation

Remove the minUsdg or minGlp argument from mintAndStakeGlp() and pass a zero minAmount instead of this value.

Developer Response

@frontier159: Fixed in commit a2037d8

13. Informational - Broken link

There is a broken link in a comment.

Technical Details

TokenPrices.sol links to https://docs.uniswap.org/sdk/guides/fetching-prices which returns Page Not Found. Consider linking to the archived page https://web.archive.org/web/20210918154903/https://docs.uniswap.org/sdk/guides/fetching-prices.

Impact

Informational.

Recommendation

Fix the broken link.

Developer Response

@frontier159: Fixed in commit 8be8c94

14. Informational - Typo

At least one comment has a typo.

Technical Details

adggregator -> aggregator

Impact

Informational.

Recommendation

Fix typos.

Developer Response

@frontier159: Fixed in commit 4a8c036

Final remarks

spalen

In summary, complexity should be reduced to enhance security, and some aspects of Origami may benefit from refactoring to simplify the overall design. For example, the flow of depositing and withdrawing is split into multiple steps between different contracts. The process starts with the vault to invest funds, then value flows to the manager, and it ends up in the earn account. The flow is complex and guarded by operators, but there are potential problems in the withdrawal process if there are malicious operators. There are several withdrawal functions that could remove significant value from the protocol, found in the earn account contract function, unstake GMX, and in manager contract. Finally, the earn account contract is upgradeable and holds all assets, which puts a lot of faith in the protocol owners. This can be mitigated by providing a clear upgrade procedure with a timelock and limiting the contract operators.

pandadefi

No critical risks were found. The code is well structured allowing it to be future-proof to follow GMX possible changes. Some of the profit distribution mechanism needs to be rethought to prevent exploits. There is a large number of functions with protected access, and operating those will require caution.

engn33r

Integrations with GMX are usually a bit more complex that older DeFi protocols because of the different tokens and contracts involved, and this case is no different. Because GMX is dynamic and has frequent changes, like the removal of some cooldown parameters a few months ago, integrations with GMX must be designed for adaptation. The choice to use a custom vault that is not ERC4626 compliant is a bit unusual these days, but the vault appears to do its job properly so it doesn't matter much. Providing a token to compound GMX rewards while abstracting away the complexity of the protocol has the potential for use cases even outside of the TEMPLE token.

About yAcademy

yAcademy is an ecosystem initiative started by Yearn Finance and its ecosystem partners to bootstrap sustainable and collaborative blockchain security reviews and to nurture aspiring security talent. yAcademy includes a fellowship program, a residents program, and a guest auditor program. In the fellowship program, fellows perform a series of periodic security reviews and presentations during the program. Residents are past fellows who continue to gain experience by performing security reviews of contracts submitted to yAcademy for review (such as this contract). Guest auditors are experts with a track record in the security space who temporarily assist with the review efforts.