



Security Audit Report

Play AI

Version: Final

Date: 20th May 2025



Table of Contents

Table of Contents	2
• License	3
• Disclaimer	4
• Introduction	5
• Codebases Submitted for the Audit	6
• How to Read This Report	7
• Overview	8
• Summary of Findings	9
	9
Detailed Findings	10
Critical Vulnerabilities	10
● CRIT-001: Hardcoded API Keys and Secrets	10
● MED-001: Missing Rate Limiting	12
● MED-002: Insufficient Logging and Monitoring	13
Low Severity Issues	14
● LOW-001: Information Disclosure in Headers	14
● LOW-002: Missing Security Headers	14
● LOW-003: Dependency Vulnerabilities	14

License

THIS WORK IS LICENSED UNDER A [CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION-NODERIVATIVES 4.0 INTERNATIONAL LICENSE](#).

Disclaimer

THE CONTENT OF THIS AUDIT REPORT IS PROVIDED "AS IS", WITHOUT REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES OF ANY KIND.

THE AUTHOR AND HIS EMPLOYER DISCLAIM ANY LIABILITY FOR DAMAGE ARISING OUT OF, OR IN CONNECTION WITH, THIS AUDIT REPORT.

COPYRIGHT OF THIS REPORT REMAINS WITH THE AUTHOR.

Introduction

Purpose of this report

0xCommit has been engaged by **Play AI - Web 2 Service** to perform a security audit of its back end code.

The objectives of the audit are as follows:

1. Determine the correct functioning of the protocol, in accordance with the project specification.
2. Determine possible vulnerabilities, which could be exploited by an attacker.
3. Determine solana program bugs, which might lead to unexpected behaviour.
4. Analyze whether best practices have been applied during development.
5. Make recommendations to improve code safety and readability.

This report represents a summary of the findings.

As with any code audit, there is a limit to which vulnerabilities can be found, and unexpected execution paths may still be possible. The author of this report does not guarantee complete coverage (see disclaimer).

Codebases Submitted for the Audit

The audit has been performed on the following GitHub repositories:

Version	Contract Address	Source
Final	Web 2 codebase	https://github.com/PlayAINetwork/hub/blob/27c3006b2d7c2697a8c559eab10ff36de19d5136/src/service/wallet.ts#L61 https://github.com/PlayAINetwork/hub/blob/27c3006b2d7c2697a8c559eab10ff36de19d5136/src/service/chat.ts#L222C49-L222C64

How to Read This Report

This report classifies the issues found into the following severity categories:

Severity	Description
Critical	A serious and exploitable vulnerability that can lead to loss of funds, unrecoverable locked funds, or catastrophic denial of service.
Major	A vulnerability or bug that can affect the correct functioning of the system, lead to incorrect states or denial of service.
Minor	A violation of common best practices or incorrect usage of primitives, which may not currently have a major impact on security, but may do so in the future or introduce inefficiencies.
Informational	Comments and recommendations of design decisions or potential optimizations, that are not relevant to security. Their application may improve aspects, such as user experience or readability, but is not strictly necessary. This category may also include opinionated recommendations that the project team might not share.

The status of an issue can be one of the following: **Pending**, **Acknowledged**, or **Resolved**.

Note that audits are an important step to improving the security of smart contracts and can find many issues. However, auditing complex codebases has its limits and a remaining risk is present (see disclaimer).

Users of the system should exercise caution. In order to help with the evaluation of the remaining risk, we provide a measure of the following key indicators: **code complexity**, **code readability**, **level of documentation**, and **test coverage**. We include a table with these criteria below.

Note that high complexity or low test coverage does not necessarily equate to a higher risk, although certain bugs are more easily detected in unit testing than in a security audit and vice versa.

Overview

Methodology

The audit has been performed in the following steps:

1. Gaining an understanding of the code base's intended purpose by reading the available documentation.
2. Automated source code and dependency analysis.
3. Manual line by line analysis of the source code for security vulnerabilities and use of best practice guidelines, including but not limited to:
 - a. Race condition analysis
 - b. Under-/overflow issues
 - c. Key management vulnerabilities
4. Report preparation

Summary of Findings

Sr. No.	Description	Severity	Status
1	Hardcoded API Keys and Secrets	Critical ▾	Resolved ▾
2	Missing Rate Limiting	Medium ▾	Acknowledged ▾
3	Insufficient Logging and Monitoring	Medium ▾	Acknowledged ▾
4	Weak Cryptographic Practices	Medium ▾	Acknowledged ▾
5	Information Disclosure in Headers	Low ▾	Resolved ▾
6	Missing Security Headers	Low ▾	Resolved ▾
7	Dependency Vulnerabilities	Low ▾	Resolved ▾

Detailed Findings

Critical Vulnerabilities

● CRIT-001: Hardcoded API Keys and Secrets

Description: Multiple API keys and sensitive credentials are hardcoded in the source code.

Location:

- `hub/src/mcp/evm.ts` (lines 27-29): Hardcoded Moralis API key
- Various MCP files contain embedded credentials

Code Example:

```
await Moralis.start({  
    // TODO: Remove test API key  
    apiKey:  
        "eyJhbGciOiJIUzI1NiIsInR5cCI6IkpXVCJ9.eyJub25jZSI6ImkzYzdhdNDQyLTQyMTQtNDEzNy1iYWVlZWZ0ODAyLiwiidHlwZUI6ImFkbWwqNTg4NDg0LiwiidHlwZSI6ImBST0pFQ1QiLCJpYXQiOiEzMzk5NjEwNTksImV4cCI6NDg5NTcyMTA1OX0.xKlcGQeeLuy46JsZ9I__JsvIHcvbOZb8go5l0g_bHUQ"  
});
```

Risk: Exposed API keys can lead to unauthorized access to third-party services, potential data breaches, and service abuse.

Remediation:

1. Remove all hardcoded API keys immediately
2. Move all secrets to environment variables
3. Implement proper secret management (AWS Secrets Manager, HashiCorp Vault)
4. Rotate all exposed API keys
5. Add pre-commit hooks to prevent secret commits

MED-001: Missing Rate Limiting

Description: Critical endpoints lack rate limiting, making them vulnerable to abuse.

Location: Authentication endpoints, file upload endpoints, and API calls

Risk: Denial of service attacks and resource exhaustion.

Remediation:

1. Implement rate limiting on all public endpoints
2. Use exponential backoff for failed attempts
3. Add CAPTCHA for suspicious activity

MED-002: Insufficient Logging and Monitoring

Description: Security events are not adequately logged for monitoring and incident response.

Location: Authentication failures, authorization violations, and suspicious activities

Risk: Inability to detect and respond to security incidents.

Remediation:

1. Implement comprehensive security logging
2. Add real-time monitoring and alerting
3. Create incident response procedures

Low Severity Issues

LOW-001: Information Disclosure in Headers

Description: Verbose error responses and debug information in production.

Remediation: Remove debug information and implement proper error handling for production.

LOW-002: Missing Security Headers

Description: Important security headers are not implemented.

Remediation: Add Content Security Policy, HSTS, and other security headers.

LOW-003: Dependency Vulnerabilities

Description: Some dependencies may have known vulnerabilities.

Remediation: Regular dependency updates and vulnerability scanning.