



Smart contracts security assessment

Final report

Tariff: Standard

TangoSwap

November 2021



0xguard.com



hello@0xguard.com

Contents

1. Introduction	3
2. Contracts checked	3
3. Procedure	4
4. Known vulnerabilities checked	4
5. Classification of issue severity	5
6. Issues	6
7. Disclaimer	8

Introduction

The report has been prepared for TangoSwap team. TangoSwap project is a fork of SushiSwap. Code was audited after commit [465ca952605d4760a2c4a68e9c0954db3542d963](#). One of the most significant changes in the TangoSwap repo: MiniChefV2 contract was removed. The changes to SushiSwap code do not introduce any new issues. SushiSwap contracts were audited before by [PeckShield](#) and [Quanstamp](#). Two known issues that are not pointed out in the audits were added to the report.

Verification of deployed code was done after the audit. Addresses of the verified contracts were added to the report.

Name	TangoSwap
Audit date	2021-11-28 - 2021-11-28
Language	Solidity
Platform	SmartBCH

Contracts checked

Name	Address
Ownable	
SushiToken	0x73BE9c8Edf5e951c9a0762EA2b1DE8c8F38B5e91
Timelock	0xe34DAf6687b912281739b1c78065619f647ebbb3
SushiMaker	0x83fffd9E418e5c6932F51FA197F8Bd2A58CAfe66
UniswapV2Factory	0x2F3f70d13223EDDCA9593fAC9fc010e912DF917a
SushiRoll	0xD1b0Beaec4C43c02A2281333E1A169f7fCF0DEA4
MasterChef	0x38cC060DF3a0498e978eB756e44BD43CC4958aD9
Multicall2	0x3718e9C405D0bC779870355C34fb5624196A1cAA
SushiBar	0x98Ff640323C059d8C4CB846976973FEEB0E068aA
UniswapV2Router02	0xb93184fB3eEDb4d32150763578cA305488240c8e

Procedure

We perform our audit according to the following procedure:

Automated analysis

- Scanning the project's smart contracts with several publicly available automated Solidity analysis tools
- Manual verification (reject or confirm) all the issues found by the tools

Manual audit

- Manually analyse smart contracts for security vulnerabilities
- Smart contracts' logic check

Known vulnerabilities checked

Title	Check result
Unencrypted Private Data On-Chain	passed
Code With No Effects	not passed
Message call with hardcoded gas amount	passed
Typographical Error	passed
DoS With Block Gas Limit	passed
Presence of unused variables	not passed
Incorrect Inheritance Order	passed
Requirement Violation	passed
Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	passed
Shadowing State Variables	passed

Incorrect Constructor Name	passed
Block values as a proxy for time	passed
Authorization through tx.origin	passed
DoS with Failed Call	passed
Delegatecall to Untrusted Callee	passed
Use of Deprecated Solidity Functions	passed
Assert Violation	passed
State Variable Default Visibility	not passed
Reentrancy	passed
Unprotected SELFDESTRUCT Instruction	passed
Unprotected Ether Withdrawal	passed
Unchecked Call Return Value	passed
Floating Pragma	passed
Outdated Compiler Version	passed
Integer Overflow and Underflow	passed
Function Default Visibility	passed

Classification of issue severity

High severity

High severity issues can cause a significant or full loss of funds, change of contract ownership, major interference with contract logic. Such issues require immediate attention.

Medium severity

Medium severity issues do not pose an immediate risk, but can be detrimental to the client's reputation if exploited. Medium severity issues may lead to a contract failure and can be fixed by modifying the contract state or redeployment. Such issues require attention.

Low severity

Low severity issues do not cause significant destruction to the contract's functionality. Such issues are recommended to be taken into consideration.

Issues

High severity issues

1. pendingOwner is not cancelled (Ownable)

When the function `transferOwnership` ([L30](#)) is called with parameter `direct` equal to `true`, the `pendingOwner` is not canceled. It makes it possible to the `pendingOwner` to reclaim ownership back by calling the `claimOwnership()` function. Checking the current owner is possible only by checking `owner` and `pendingOwner` variables.

Recommendation: Set `pendingOwner` to zero address after changing ownership via `direct` owner change.

Team response: We will ensure `pendingowner` is cleared if ownership is changed with `direct` flag

Medium severity issues

1. Delegates are not moved (SushiToken)

The governance part of the `SushiToken` doesn't work: the delegates aren't transferred with ordinary ERC20 transfers, i.e. `transfer()` and `transferFrom()`. There's a warning in the forked code in [L8](#), and the governance mechanisms should not be implemented in the `MistSwap` project.

Team response: We are not going to use the voting/governance functionality inside `sushitoken`

Low severity issues

No issues were found

Disclaimer

This report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimer and limitation of liability) set forth in the Services Agreement, or the scope of services, and terms and conditions provided to the Company in connection with the Agreement. This report provided in connection with the Services set forth in the Agreement shall be used by the Company only to the extent permitted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to or relied upon by any person for any purposes without 0xGuard prior written consent.

This report is not, nor should be considered, an “endorsement” or “disapproval” of any particular project or team. This report is not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any “product” or “asset” created by any team or project that contracts 0xGuard to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors, business, business model or legal compliance.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report in no way provides investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort. This report represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.



 Guard