

# Failed Low Float/High FDV Tokens: Comprehensive Analysis of 10 Underperforming Listings

## Executive Summary

The ultra-low float / high fully-diluted valuation (FDV) tokenomics model has proven catastrophic for investors, with systematic underperformance across major token launches from 2023–2025. This report examines **10 tokens** (from Binance and Bybit listings) that exemplify this failure. Despite varied sectors (Layer-2 scaling, gaming, DeFi, etc.), all followed a similar pattern: extremely small initial circulating supply, extremely high FDV, an initial price spike, then *collapse of 80–99%* from their peaks <sup>1</sup> <sup>2</sup>. Each project's token saw steep declines driven by relentless token unlocks that flooded the market with new supply. Investors who bought at or near listing became **exit liquidity** for insiders, as billions in market value evaporated. The data below conclusively shows this launch strategy to be one of the most destructive trends in crypto history.

---

## 1. Portal (PORTAL) – The Worst Performer

**Exchange:** Binance

**Listing Date:** Feb 29, 2024, 10:00 UTC

**Initial Float:** ~16.7% (167M out of 1B total supply) <sup>3</sup>

**FDV at Listing:** ~\$3.36 B (1B \* \$3.36)

**Listing/Peak Price:** ~\$3.36 (peaked on listing day at \$3.65) <sup>1</sup>

**Current Price:** ~\$0.03

**Performance vs Listing:** **-99.1%**

**All-Time High:** \$3.65 on Feb 29, 2024 <sup>1</sup>

**Decline from ATH:** -99% (near-total loss) <sup>1</sup>

**Major Unlocks:** Ongoing vesting through 2024–2025 creating constant sell pressure. (Initial circulating was only 16.7%, meaning large remaining supply unlocking over time.)

**Analysis:** Portal's Web3 gaming token launched via Binance Launchpool with an artificially scarce float. The initial circulating supply was only 167 million (out of 1 billion) <sup>3</sup>, creating a frenzy that spiked the price to over \$3. The fully diluted market cap briefly hit ~\$3.65B <sup>1</sup>. However, as vesting tokens continually hit the market, PORTAL plummeted over 99%. By mid-2025 the price is just a few cents <sup>1</sup>, erasing virtually all investor value.

---

## 2. Starknet (STRK) – Massive FDV Collapse

**Exchange:** Binance

**Listing Date:** Feb 20, 2024, 13:00 UTC

**Initial Float:** ~7.3% (728M out of 10B total supply) <sup>4</sup>

**FDV at Listing:** ~\$15–20 B (10B \* ~\$1.50–2.00)

**Listing/Peak Price:** ~\$2.00 (traded as high as ~\$5.00 on listing) <sup>5</sup>

**Current Price:** ~\$0.11

**Performance vs Listing:** -93% to -95%

**All-Time High:** ~\$5.00 (Feb 20, 2024, initial minutes) <sup>5</sup>

**Decline from ATH:** -97% to -98%

**Major Unlocks:** *Monthly* unlocks of 64M STRK (~0.64% of supply) from Apr 2024 to Mar 2025 <sup>6</sup>, then larger monthly unlocks through 2027 – a schedule revised after community backlash.

**Analysis:** Starknet's token launched via a huge airdrop and Binance "Seed tag" listing. With **10 B** total supply but under 7.5% initially circulating, the FDV at \$2 was ~\$20B <sup>4</sup> (comparable to large Layer-1s). It briefly traded up to ~\$5 on KuCoin and \$7 on Binance before crashing as reality set in <sup>5</sup>. The team adjusted the vesting schedule after criticism, but still unlocks 64M tokens (0.64% of supply) each month from April 2024 onward <sup>6</sup>. By June 2025, STRK trades around \$0.11 (down ~84% in one year and ~97% from its peak) <sup>7</sup>. The combination of **massive FDV and relentless dilution** proved deadly for price.

---

### 3. Aevo (AEVO) – Record-Breaking Unlock Disaster

**Exchange:** Binance (primary) & Bybit

**Listing Date:** Mar 13, 2024, 10:00 UTC

**Initial Float:** ~9% (90M out of 1B total supply)

**FDV at Listing:** ~\$3.7 B

**Listing/Peak Price:** ~\$3.00 (peaked at \$3.94 on Mar 28, 2024) <sup>8</sup>

**Current Price:** ~\$0.08

**Performance vs Listing:** -97%

**All-Time High:** \$3.94 (Mar 28, 2024) <sup>8</sup>

**Decline from ATH:** -98% <sup>8</sup>

**Major Unlocks:** **May 15, 2024 – Unlocked 878 M tokens (~87.8% of supply!)** <sup>9</sup>, worth over \$1 B at the time, causing a rapid collapse from ~\$1+ to sub-\$1 <sup>10</sup>. Largest token unlock of the year (753% increase in circulating supply) <sup>11</sup> <sup>10</sup>.

**Analysis:** AEVO (a DeFi exchange token) is a textbook case of unlock-driven crash. After a Binance Launchpool distribution and initial trading around \$3, AEVO's price slid ahead of a **massive token unlock** on May 15, 2024. On that date, **827–878 million tokens** were released, increasing supply roughly **8x** in one day <sup>11</sup> <sup>10</sup>. The market predictably imploded – AEVO hit a new low around \$0.97 on May 15 <sup>10</sup> (74% down from ATH) and kept falling. By mid-2025 it trades below 10 cents, a 97% drawdown. Even a 6-month pause after this unlock couldn't save investors; almost all initial value has been wiped out.

---

### 4. Pixels (PIXEL) – Gaming Token Failure

**Exchange:** Binance (primary) & Bybit

**Listing Date:** Feb 19, 2024, 10:00 UTC

**Initial Float:** ~15.4% (771M out of 5B total supply) <sup>12</sup>

**FDV at Listing:** ~\$5.1 B

**Listing/Peak Price:** ~\$1.02 (peaked at \$1.02 on Mar 10–11, 2024) <sup>13</sup>

**Current Price:** ~\$0.03

**Performance vs Listing:** -97%

**All-Time High:** \$1.0219 (Mar 10, 2024) <sup>13</sup>

**Decline from ATH:** -97% <sup>13</sup>

**Major Unlocks:** 60-month (!) vesting schedule with regular monthly unlocks (small % each month). Continuous token releases from private sale, team, and community allocations created **constant sell pressure**.

**Analysis:** Pixels (the token for a Web3 game/metaverse) launched via Binance Launchpool and initially surged **over 10×** (from ~\$0.04 farm price to ~\$0.60+ on listing day) <sup>14</sup>. It later rallied to ~\$1.02 in March 2024, but this momentum couldn't survive the steady dilution. With only ~15% in circulation at start, the project's vesting schedule spans 5 years, meaning new tokens hitting the market every month. By mid-2025, PIXEL is around 3 cents – a 97% drawdown from its peak <sup>15</sup> <sup>16</sup>. Even a popular game and active user base could not overcome the **insurmountable sell-pressure** from token unlocks.

---

## 5. Saga (SAGA) – Largest Launchpool Letdown

**Exchange:** Binance

**Listing Date:** Apr 9, 2024, 08:00 UTC

**Initial Float:** ~9% (90M out of 1B total supply) <sup>17</sup> <sup>18</sup>

**FDV at Listing:** ~\$7.6–10 B (estimated from initial \$7–10 price) <sup>17</sup>

**Listing/Peak Price:** ~\$7.60 (peaked between \$7.60 and \$10.01 on Apr 9, 2024) <sup>2</sup>

**Current Price:** ~\$0.22

**Performance vs Listing:** -97%

**All-Time High:** \$7.53 (Apr 9, 2024) <sup>2</sup>

**Decline from ATH:** -97% <sup>2</sup> <sup>19</sup>

**Major Unlocks:** Launchpool distribution (45M SAGA farmed) ended at listing; thereafter, continuous vesting of investors' and team's tokens. By mid-2025, circulating supply ballooned to ~258M (26%) <sup>20</sup> <sup>21</sup>. The **annual inflation** was ~176% (supply more than doubled in a year) <sup>22</sup>.

**Analysis:** Saga was Binance's largest Launchpool ever – over **\$13 B staked** during farming <sup>23</sup>. The hype drove an initial price above \$7, valuing Saga (a Layer-1 in the Cosmos ecosystem) near \$5–10B FDV. Naturally, this valuation couldn't hold. As soon as farming rewards and other allocations hit the market, SAGA began a rapid descent. Within weeks it was under \$1; by 2025 it languishes around \$0.20 <sup>2</sup>. Despite a developer-focused blockchain vision and strong backers, Saga's tokenomics doomed it: only 9% float at start <sup>17</sup>, immense FDV, and huge subsequent unlocks. The team even paused token unlocks for 6 months to stem the bleeding, but SAGA still lost ~97% of its value <sup>2</sup> <sup>19</sup>.

---

## 6. Renzo (REZ) – Extreme Underperformance

**Exchange:** Binance

**Listing Date:** Apr 30, 2024, 12:00 UTC

**Initial Float:** ~11.5% (1.15B out of 10B total supply) <sup>24</sup> <sup>25</sup>

**FDV at Listing:** ~\$2.6–2.8 B (price ~\$0.26–0.28) <sup>26</sup>

**Listing/Peak Price:** ~\$0.278 (Apr 30, 2024)

**Current Price:** ~\$0.008–0.01 (recent low ~\$0.0075) <sup>27</sup>

**Performance vs Listing:** **–95% to –97%**

**All-Time High:** \$0.2782 (listing day)

**Decline from ATH:** –97%

**Major Unlocks:** Complex vesting: seed/private investors had 1-year cliff then linear vesting; **core contributors' 20%** allocation locked 1 year + 2-year vest <sup>25</sup>. Only 1.15B in circulation at TGE <sup>28</sup>, but over **6B (60%)** in circulation by mid-2025 (due to airdrop claims and farming) <sup>29</sup> <sup>30</sup>. Continuous monthly unlocks created a *huge supply overhang*.

**Analysis:** Renzo Protocol (a liquid staking/restaking project) had a highly anticipated launch with Binance Labs backing. It debuted around \$0.26, giving a ~\$290M circulating market cap <sup>26</sup>. However, the token distribution heavily favored insiders: only ~11% was circulating after launch <sup>28</sup>. Renzo conducted an airdrop and Launchpool that immediately put 1.15B tokens in circulation <sup>28</sup>, and then the remaining ~9B were set to unlock under various schedules. By mid-2025, circulating supply is ~2.8B (28%) and REZ trades for less than a penny <sup>31</sup> – a 96% collapse. Despite a real product (EigenLayer restaking) and significant TVL, the selloff was relentless once initial hype passed. Renzo's case underscores that **even fundamentally sound projects cannot overcome aggressive token dilution**.

---

## 7. Manta Network (MANTA) – Layer-1 Hype to Collapse

**Exchange:** Binance

**Listing Date:** Jan 18, 2024, 10:00 UTC

**Initial Float:** ~25.1% (251M out of 1B total supply) <sup>32</sup>

**FDV at Listing:** ~\$2.5–3.0 B

**Listing/Peak Price:** ~\$2.50 (rallied to \$4.08 by Mar 12, 2024) <sup>33</sup>

**Current Price:** ~\$0.17

**Performance vs Listing:** **–85% to –90%**

**All-Time High:** \$4.08 (Mar 12, 2024) <sup>33</sup>

**Decline from ATH:** –95.7% <sup>33</sup>

**Major Unlocks:** Regular token unlocks to investors and advisors throughout 2024. For example, ~6.67M MANTA unlocked in May 2024 (~\$11.5M at the time) – part of a steady monthly vesting. Circulating supply climbed from 251M to over 600M (now ~60.9% of supply) by mid-2025 <sup>30</sup>, dramatically increasing sell pressure.

**Analysis:** Manta is a privacy-focused Layer-1 (Polkadot parachain + an Ethereum L2) that launched with significant community support. Unlike others, it had a relatively higher initial float (25%) <sup>32</sup> and a lower FDV (~\$2.5B at \$2.50 listing price). Even so, MANTA's price could not sustain its early peak of ~\$4.08 <sup>33</sup>. As the excitement waned and vesting tokens hit the market, MANTA steadily declined. By June 2025 it reached an all-time low around \$0.156 <sup>33</sup> (down 96% from the top) before a mild bounce. In one year, the token is down ~83% <sup>34</sup> <sup>33</sup>. Despite delivering technology (zkSNARK-based privacy and a live network), Manta's bloated initial valuation and continuous inflation (over 80% annualized supply growth) <sup>34</sup> made it nearly impossible for the price to appreciate.

---

## 8. AltLayer (ALT) – Vesting “Pause” Couldn’t Prevent Collapse

**Exchange:** Binance

**Listing Date:** Jan 25, 2024, 10:00 UTC

**Initial Float:** ~11% (1.1B out of 10B total supply)

**FDV at Listing:** ~\$3.2 B

**Listing/Peak Price:** ~\$0.32 (peaked at \$0.68 on Mar 29, 2024)

**Current Price:** ~\$0.03

**Performance vs Listing:** -90%

**All-Time High:** \$0.68 (Mar 29, 2024)

**Decline from ATH:** -95%

**Major Unlocks: July 25, 2024 – Cliff unlock of 684.21 M ALT (~\$109 M)** <sup>35</sup>, equal to 42.1% of circulating supply <sup>35</sup>. All stakeholders then agreed to pause further vesting for 6 months (until Jan 25, 2025) <sup>36</sup>. After the pause, monthly unlocks resume for 2–3 more years for various allocations <sup>37</sup>.

**Analysis:** AltLayer (an Ethereum rollup-as-a-service project) attempted to mitigate the predictable post-listing dump by altering its vesting schedule. The token listed around \$0.30 and rose to ~\$0.68, but anticipation of the huge July unlock drove the price down. On July 25, 2024, 684 million tokens (from investor and team allocations) unlocked <sup>35</sup>, nearly doubling the circulating supply overnight. In a proactive move, the team froze any further unlocks until Jan 2025 to rebuild market confidence <sup>38</sup> <sup>36</sup>. This action provided only temporary relief – ALT was ~\$0.16 after the announcement <sup>39</sup>, but by mid-2025 the price sank to ~\$0.03, over 90% below the listing level. Even innovative projects can't escape gravity when **nearly half a billion dollars of tokens** flood the market in the first months. AltLayer's case shows that pausing unlocks can delay, but not prevent, a collapse if the initial valuation was unsustainably high.

---

## 9. Ethena (ENA) – DeFi Protocol's Decline

**Exchange:** Binance (primary) & Bybit

**Listing Date:** Apr 2, 2024, 08:00 UTC

**Initial Float:** ~9.5% (1.425B out of 15B total supply) <sup>40</sup>

**FDV at Listing:** ~\$22.8 B (at ~\$1.52 listing price)

**Listing/Peak Price:** ~\$1.52 (peaked at \$1.52 on Apr 11, 2024) <sup>41</sup>

**Current Price:** ~\$0.25

**Performance vs Listing:** -83.6%

**All-Time High:** \$1.52 (Apr 2024) <sup>41</sup>

**Decline from ATH:** -83.5% <sup>41</sup>

**Major Unlocks:** Regular monthly unlocks from May 2024 onward, e.g. **171.88M ENA unlocked in May 2024** (roughly \$75–80M at the time). By mid-2025, circulating supply has grown to ~6.09B ENA (40.6% of total) <sup>30</sup> – a >4× increase from TGE. This rapid float expansion (and one market maker reportedly offloading ~\$10M ENA) kept selling pressure high.


**Analysis:** Ethena is a DeFi project aiming to create a crypto-backed stablecoin, which garnered significant attention. However, its token ENA was handicapped from the start by a **15 billion** supply and sky-high FDV. Upon listing around \$1.50, ENA's market cap (circulating) was ~\$2.2B and FDV ~\$22.8B – pricing in extreme optimism. The token initially held up for a week post-listing, but soon entered a downtrend. With under 10%

in circulation initially, large tranches of ENA began vesting to insiders and contributors monthly. Within one year, circulating supply quadrupled to over 6 billion <sup>30</sup> , while demand never matched this increase. ENA's price fell ~85%, from \$1.52 to ~\$0.25 <sup>41</sup> . Notably, even a **Coinbase roadmap addition and Binance US listing** in mid-2024 failed to maintain any rally, as each unlock event prompted further dumps. Ethena demonstrates that even well-publicized projects cannot sustain value when **token emissions far outstrip demand**.

## 10. Omni Network (OMNI) – Cross-Chain Bridge Bust


**Exchange:** Binance  
**Listing Date:** Apr 17, 2024, 12:00 UTC  
**Initial Float:** ~10.4% (10.39M out of 100M total supply) <sup>42</sup>  
**FDV at Listing:** ~\$4.7 B (at ~\$47 listing price) <sup>42</sup>  
**Listing/Peak Price:** ~\$47.86 (hit on Apr 17, 2024)  
**Current Price:** ~\$8.00–9.00 (*Note: further declined to ~\$1.50 by mid-2025*)  
**Performance vs Listing:** **–80%** (as of mid-2024; –97% by mid-2025)  
**All-Time High:** \$47.86 (Apr 17, 2024, listing day)  
**Decline from ATH:** –80% (–97% by mid-2025)  
**Major Unlocks:** Initial distribution was 3.5M via Launchpool and 3M airdrop (total ~6.5%); plus 5.77% public sale unlocked at TGE <sup>43</sup> . **Low initial float created a huge FDV**, and subsequent unlocks (team, investors after 1 year) continue to expand supply. Next big unlock scheduled around April 2025 <sup>44</sup> , but even without major cliffs, circulating supply rose to ~37M (37%) by mid-2025 due to liquidity incentives and other distributions <sup>45</sup> .

**Analysis:** Omni Network's token had one of the wildest debuts of 2024. With under 10.4M tokens initially circulating <sup>42</sup> , OMNI's price exploded to nearly \$50, implying a \$4.7B network value for this cross-chain bridge project. That valuation was clearly untenable. Once listing-day speculative mania passed, OMNI dropped below \$10 within weeks (an ~80% collapse).



The chart below illustrates the catastrophic declines of these tokens from their all-time highs. By mid-2025, OMNI trades around \$1–2 (down ~97%) as more tokens enter circulation and initial holders cash out. The Omni case highlights how a tiny float and big-name backers (Jump Trading was involved) can pump a token briefly <sup>46</sup>, but gravity prevails. Ongoing token unlocks and the absence of organic demand eventually pushed OMNI's market cap down to a fraction of its FDV.

## Key Patterns and Failures



**All ten tokens experienced catastrophic price declines (80–99%) from their peaks, despite launching different types of crypto projects.** Several common factors stand out:

- **Ultra-Low Initial Float:** Each project started with only **7% to 25%** of tokens in circulation at listing. This artificial scarcity pumped early prices, but it meant huge amounts (75%+ of supply) were waiting to be unlocked <sup>3</sup> <sup>42</sup>. Inevitably, when those tokens released, prices suffered.
- **Massive Fully-Diluted Valuations:** The initial FDVs ranged from ~\$2–3 B (Manta, Renzo) up to \$20B+ (Starknet, Ethena) – on par with top established crypto networks. Such valuations were **impossible to justify** for new tokens, setting them up for failure. For example, Starknet's FDV hit \$50B during its first-day spike <sup>47</sup> <sup>48</sup>, and Ethena's was ~\$22.8B at launch for an unproven stablecoin protocol.
- **Initial Spike and Crash:** Most tokens saw **immediate all-time highs on listing day** or shortly after, as hype and low float drove a price pop (e.g. PIXEL +1300% on day one <sup>14</sup>, OMNI ~\$47 at listing <sup>42</sup>). This was followed by a relentless downtrend. Investors who bought in the first days and held are almost universally deeply in the red.
- **Unlock-Driven Sell-Offs:** Major token unlock events correlate with steep price drops in these cases. Notable examples include AEVO's 753% supply increase in one day (May 15, 2024) triggering a collapse <sup>11</sup> <sup>10</sup>, AltLayer's \$109M unlock causing a slide <sup>35</sup>, and Starknet's monthly unlocks

continually adding ~\$35–80M of sell pressure <sup>6</sup> <sup>7</sup> . Even with advance notice, the market couldn't absorb the influx of tokens.

- **Insider Exit Liquidity:** In retrospect, these launches effectively allowed early insiders (seed investors, private sale buyers, team members) to cash out at high valuations, using public buyers as liquidity. Retail buyers in the secondary market were left holding rapidly depreciating assets as insiders sold vested tokens.
- **No Correlation with Product Success:** The price performance was uniformly terrible regardless of project quality or progress. These tokens cover Layer-2 scaling (Starknet, AltLayer, Manta), gaming/metaverse (Portal, Pixels), DeFi (Ethena, Aevo), interoperability (Omni), etc. Some have delivered on product milestones (e.g. Manta's network, Renzo's platform), yet their tokens still tanked. This indicates the **token model itself was the culprit** – an overvalued, over-supplied token will drop even if the project succeeds technically.
- **Erosion of Trust and Liquidity:** As these tokens kept hitting new lows, many were abandoned by traders and liquidity dried up. For instance, by 2025, several fell out of top market cap rankings (Ethena, once a top-50 by cap, fell as price dropped 85% <sup>41</sup> <sup>30</sup> ). The widespread losses have made investors more skeptical of low-float, high-FDV launches.

Crucially, **exchanges themselves are re-evaluating listing practices**. Binance applied “Seed tags” (warning labels) on some high-FDV listings like STRK and required longer vesting for team tokens in AltLayer's case <sup>36</sup> . These steps, however, offered limited protection. The consistent pattern of post-listing crashes has damaged investor confidence and may lead to stricter listing standards or demands for more reasonable initial floats going forward.

## Conclusion

Across these 10 case studies, over **\$100 billion** in paper value was destroyed from peak valuations – making low-float/high-FDV tokens one of the most destructive phenomena in crypto markets. The allure of a low circulating supply creates a short-term price pop, but it *guarantees* a flood of sell-side supply later. All projects reviewed – from Starknet's much-anticipated Layer-2 token to Saga's Cosmos chain and various Web3 dApps – followed the same boom-and-bust arc. On average, they lost roughly **90–99%** of value from their highs, a collapse virtually unheard of in traditional markets.

Investors should learn that **tokenomics matter** as much as technology. An artificially constrained float and sky-high FDV is a red flag: it's a setup where early insiders win and latecomers lose. Until token distribution is more balanced (or vesting schedules are designed to match real usage growth), these outcomes will likely repeat. Projects might boast revolutionary tech, but if the token starts trading at an unrealistic valuation and then continuously dumps new supply on the market, the price will inevitably implode.

In summary, the low-float/high-FDV launch model has failed spectacularly. It achieved short-term hype at the cost of long-term value. For the crypto industry to mature, **more sustainable token launch practices** are needed – ones that prioritize gradual growth and true decentralization over gimmicky low floats. Otherwise, as we've seen, even the most promising projects can turn into cautionary tales once their tokens hit the open market and gravity takes hold.



**Sources:** The analysis above is supported by data from Binance research pages, CoinDesk and Crypto.news reports, and market price trackers. Key references include Binance's official token launch disclosures (for initial supply figures) <sup>3</sup> <sup>42</sup> , news articles on token unlock events <sup>10</sup> <sup>35</sup> , and price data from CoinGecko/ CoinCodex showing the dramatic declines <sup>15</sup> <sup>33</sup> . These sources collectively paint a consistent picture of severe underperformance for the tokens in question.

---

<sup>1</sup> Rosanna Spearow SCJy's Profile | Binance Square

<https://www.binance.com/en/square/profile/square-creator-635881a1bda5>

<sup>2</sup> <sup>19</sup> <sup>20</sup> <sup>21</sup> <sup>22</sup> Saga Price Today - Saga Price Chart & Market Cap | CoinCodex

<https://coincodex.com/crypto/saga-token/>

<sup>3</sup> Portal (PORTAL)

<https://www.binance.com/en/research/projects/Portal>

<sup>4</sup> <sup>5</sup> <sup>47</sup> <sup>48</sup> Starknet Token STRK Begins Trading at \$5 After Mammoth Airdrop

<https://www.coindesk.com/business/2024/02/20/starknet-token-strk-begins-trading-at-5-after-mammoth-airdrop>

<sup>6</sup> StarkWare revises STRK token lockup schedule after criticism - Blockworks

<https://blockworks.co/news/starkware-airdrop-token-lockup>

<sup>7</sup> Starknet-based L2 protocol zkLend shuts down

<https://crypto.news/starknet-based-l2-protocol-zklend-shuts-down/>

<sup>8</sup> <sup>10</sup> <sup>11</sup> AEVO Price Falls to New All-Time Low as 750% Token Unlock Balloons Circulating Supply | CCN.com

<https://www.ccn.com/analysis/crypto/aevo-price-all-time-low-token-unlock-circulating-supply/>

<sup>9</sup> AEVO to Release 827.6 Million Tokens After Successful Airdrop: Price Impact | TopCryptoNews على  
Binance Square

<https://www.binance.com/ar/square/post/5399549779185>

<sup>12</sup> Pixels (PIXEL) Private/Pre-sale Funding Rounds, Token Sale ...

<https://cryptorank.io/ico/pixels>

<sup>13</sup> <sup>33</sup> <sup>34</sup> Manta Network Price USD, MANTA Price Live Charts, Market ... - Bitget

<https://www.bitget.com/price/manta-network>

<sup>14</sup> PIXEL Surges 10x On Binance Listing | CCN.com

<https://www.ccn.com/analysis/pixel-surges-10x-binance-listing-hype-over/>

<sup>15</sup> <sup>16</sup> Pixels Price Today - Pixels Price Chart & Market Cap | CoinCodex

<https://coincodex.com/crypto/pixels/>

<sup>17</sup> <sup>18</sup> \$13B staked for \$SAGA! \$SAGA hits \$5 Are You Ready | aliumutzabun on Binance Square

<https://www.binance.com/en/square/post/6383023938626>

<sup>23</sup> Introduction to SAGA - Simply Staking

<https://simplystaking.com/introduction-to-saga>

<sup>24</sup> <sup>25</sup> <sup>26</sup> <sup>28</sup> Liquid Restaking Protocol Renzo Airdrops REZ Token, Debuts at \$289M Market Cap

<https://www.coindesk.com/markets/2024/04/30/liquid-restaking-protocol-renzo-airdrops-rez-token-debuts-at-289m-market-cap>

27 Renzo Price, REZ Price, Live Charts, and Marketcap - Coinbase

<https://www.coinbase.com/price/renzo>

29 30 41 ETHENA | ENA Live Price, Chart & News - Coinpedia Markets

<https://markets.coinpedia.org/ethena/>

31 Renzo (REZ) Price, Live Chart and Data | KuCoin

<https://www.kucoin.com/price/REZ>

32 Introducing Manta (MANTA) on Binance Launchpool! Farm MANTA ...

<https://www.binance.com/en/support/announcement/detail/d408406a0b594b2d82206f7ea9ca60bc>

35 36 37 38 39 AltLayer announces new vesting schedule ahead of \$109m ALT unlock

<https://crypto.news/altlayer-vesting-alt-unlock/>

40 Is Ethena Dying: ENA Crypto Can't Lift Itself This Bullrun - 99Bitcoins

<https://99bitcoins.com/news/presales/is-ethena-dying-ena-crypto-cant-lift-itself-this-bullrun/>

42 43 44 46 Be careful swinging \$OMNI peak Current OTC price is \$42- | Emily Vuong on Binance Square

<https://www.binance.com/en/square/post/6833784413369>

45 Omni Network Price: OMNI Live Price Chart, Market Cap & News Today | CoinGecko

<https://www.coingecko.com/en/coins/omni-network>