

OXSCANS

DeCloud

Al Generated at 05:03 PM, UTC

February 15, 2024

OVERVIEW

This audit has been perpared for 'DeCloud' to review the main aspects of the project to help investors make an informative decision during their research process

You will find a summarized review of the following key points:





Table of Content

| 1 General Info |
|---------------------------|
| |
| 2 General Analysis |
| |
| 3 Vulnerability check |
| |
| 4 Threat Analysis |
| |
| 5 Risks & Recommendations |
| |
| 6 Conclusions |
| |
| 7 Disclaimer |
| |

General Information



General Information



General Analysis

Audit Review Process

- Testing the smart contracts against both common and uncommon vulnerabilities
- Assessing the codebase to ensure compliance with current best practices and industry standards
- Ensuring contract logic meets the specifications and intentions of the client
- Cross referencing contract structure and implementation against similar smart contracts produced by industry leaders
- 5 Thorough line-byline Al review of the entire codebase by industry

Token Transfer Stats

Transactions (Latest Mine Block)

Token holders

Compiler



4



771



v0.8.10

Smart Contract Stats

Functions

Events

Constructor



53





8



1

High Issues on this level are critical to the smart contract's performace/functionality and should be fixed before moving to a live enviroment Medium Issues on this level are critical to the smart contract's performace/functionality and should be fixed before moving to a live enviroment Low Issues on this level are minor details and warning that can remain unfixed Informational Informational level is to offer suggestions for improvement of efficacy or secruity for fratures with risk free factor







| Detail Analysis 19 Passed 2 Fail | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| CATEGORY | STATUS | NOTES | | |
| Arbitrary Jump/Storage Write | | The contract does not exhibit arbitrary jumps or storage writes, as it adheres to standard Solidity development patterns. | | |
| Centralization of Control | • | No risk of centralization | | |
| Compiler Issues | • | Compiled with a recent Solidity version (0.8.10) with no apparent compiler-related issues. | | |
| Delegate Call to Untrusted Contract | • | There is no use of delegatecall to an untrusted contract, mitigating risks associated with delegate calls. | | |
| Dependence on Predictable Variables | • | The contract does not rely on variables like block.timestamp or block.number in a way that affects core functionalities or security. | | |

| Detail Analysis 19 Passed 2 Fail | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| CATEGORY | STATUS | NOTES | | |
| Ether/Token Theft | | No functions are present that directly transfer Ether or tokens to arbitrary addresses in an unauthorized manner. | | |
| Flash Loans | | The contract does not interact with flash loan functions, making it unaffected by flash loan attacks. | | |
| Front Running | | The contract may be susceptible to front-running attacks, as there is no mechanism like a commit-reveal scheme to prevent them. | | |
| Improper Events | • | All critical functions emit events correctly, providing transparency and traceability. | | |
| Improper Authorization Scheme | | The contract's authorization scheme is potentially risky due to centralization, relying heavily on the owner. | | |
| Integer Over/Underflow | • | SafeMath library is used consistently for arithmetic operations, mitigating risks of overflows and underflows. | | |

| Detail Analysis 19 Passed 2 Fail | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| CATEGORY | STATUS | NOTES | | |
| Logical Issues | • | No apparent logical issues or inconsistencies in the contract logic. | | |
| Oracle Issues | • | The contract does not interact with oracles, thus not exposing it to oracle-related risks. | | |
| Outdated Compiler Version | • | The contract uses a recent Solidity compiler version (0.8.10), which is not outdated. | | |
| Race Conditions | • | No functions or patterns were found that could lead to race conditions. | | |
| Reentrancy | • | The contract's functions are structured in a way that avoids reentrancy vulnerabilities. | | |
| Signature Issues | • | The contract does not rely on external signatures, hence is not exposed to signature-related risks. | | |



Market Analysis







Oxscans operates as an automated system for smart contract due diligence, acknowledging the possibility of bugs or vulnerabilities impacting token values. We do not hold specific obligations regarding your trading outcomes or the utilization of audit content. Users release Oxscans from any liability associated with content obtained through the tool.



Al generated by Oxscans Al technology

Chat with us

Telegram

For more information. Visit below:

Twitter

Github