

Morpheus DistributionV2 Audit Report

Version 1.0

Audited by:

HollaDieWaldfee

alexxander

July 25, 2024

Contents

1	Intr	oduction	2
	1.1	About Renascence	2
	1.2	Disclaimer	2
	1.3	Risk Classification	2
2	Exe	cutive Summary	3
	2.1	About Morpheus DistributionV2	3
	2.2	Overview	3
	2.3	Issues Found	3
3	Find	dings Summary	4
4	Find	dings	5

1 Introduction

1.1 About Renascence

Renascence Labs was established by a team of experts including HollaDieWaldfee, MiloTruck, alexxander and bytes032.

Our founders have a distinguished history of achieving top honors in competitive audit contests, enhancing the security of leading protocols such as Reserve Protocol, Arbitrum, MaiaDAO, Chainlink, Dodo, Lens Protocol, Wenwin, PartyDAO, Lukso, Perennial Finance, Mute and Taurus.

We strive to deliver tailored solutions by thoroughly understanding each client's unique challenges and requirements. Our approach goes beyond addressing immediate security concerns; we are dedicated to fostering the enduring success and growth of our partners.

More of our work can be found here.

1.2 Disclaimer

This report reflects an analysis conducted within a defined scope and time frame, based on provided materials and documentation. It does not encompass all possible vulnerabilities and should not be considered exhaustive.

The review and accompanying report are presented on an 'as-is' and 'as-available' basis, without any express or implied warranties.

Furthermore, this report neither endorses any specific project or team nor assures the complete security of the project.

1.3 Risk Classification

	Impact: High	Impact: Medium	Impact: Low
Likelihood: High	High	High	Medium
Likelihood: Medium	High	Medium	Low
Likelihood: Low	Medium	Low	Low

1.3.1 Impact

- · High Funds are directly at risk, or a severe disruption of the protocol's core functionality
- Medium Funds are indirectly at risk, or some disruption of the protocol's functionality
- · Low Funds are **not** at risk

1.3.2 Likelihood

- · High almost certain to happen, easy to perform, or not easy but highly incentivized
- · Medium only conditionally possible or incentivized, but still relatively likely
- · Low requires stars to align, or little-to-no incentive

2 Executive Summary

2.1 About Morpheus Distribution V2

DistributionV2 is an upgrade for the Distribution contract which introduces claim locks. By locking their rewards, users can multiply them. The multiplier mirrors the dilution rate the user experiences and decreases over time. A detailed specification of the change can be found in MRC42.

2.2 Overview

Project	Morpheus DistributionV2
Repository	SmartContracts
Commit Hash	59a65f8adbf9
Mitigation Hash	e4c41547e50d
Date	19 July 2024 - 22 July 2024

2.3 Issues Found

Severity	Count
High Risk	2
Medium Risk	0
Low Risk	1
Informational	1
Total Issues	4

3 Findings Summary

ID	Description	Status
H-1	${\tt lockClaim()}\ function\ allows\ to\ decrease\ {\tt claimLockEnd}\ and\ earn\ inflated\ multiplier$	Resolved
H-2	totalVirtualDeposited accounting breaks after the upgrade and pool reward rate gets diluted	Resolved
L-1	lockClaim() resets lastStake to block.timestamp even though no stake has occurred	Resolved
I-1	Improvements	Resolved

4 Findings

High Risk

[H-1] lockClaim() function allows to decrease claimLockEnd and earn inflated multiplier

Context: Distribution V2.sol

Description: The lockClaim() function fails to check that claimLockEnd_ > userData.claimLockEnd. It only checks that claimLockEnd_ > block.timestamp. This allows an attacker to first set claimLockEnd_ to a value such that they earn the maximum multiplier. By leveraging lockClaim(), they can decrease claimLockEnd_ at any time, being able to earn the inflated multiplier without actually locking the earned rewards.

Recommendation: In lockClaim(), add a claimLockEnd_ > userData.claimLockEnd check.

Morpheus: Fixed.

Renascence: The recommendation has been implemented.

[H-2] totalVirtualDeposited accounting breaks after the upgrade and pool reward rate gets diluted

Context: Distribution V2.sol

 $\textbf{Description:} \ \ \textbf{The upgrade to Distribution V2 introduces three new fields to the UserData struct:}$

```
## IDistributionV2.sol

struct UserData {
    uint128 lastStake;
    uint256 deposited;
    uint256 rate;
    uint256 pendingRewards;
    // Storage changes for DistributionV2
    uint128 claimLockStart;
    uint128 claimLockEnd;
    uint256 virtualDeposited;
}
```

Before the upgrade to V2, the deposited variable has been used instead of virtualDeposited. It is not possible to simply replace deposited with virtualDeposited due to the specific case when deposited > 0 but virtualDeposited = 0.

There are five instances of this issue, but it can be illustrated based on one instance. Here is the instance in the claim() function:

```
## DistributionV2.sol

poolData.totalVirtualDeposited =
   poolData.totalVirtualDeposited +
   userData.deposited -
   userData.virtualDeposited;
```

In the case where virtualDeposited = 0, the totalVirtualDeposited variable is updated incorrectly. The calculation subtracts zero because virtualDeposited has not been set yet. This case must be checked for and deposited must be used instead of virtualDeposited.

Recommendation: If virtualDeposited = 0, the deposited variable should be used instead of virtualDeposited.

```
## DistributionV2.sol
         // Update pool data
         poolData.lastUpdate = uint128(block.timestamp);
         poolData.rate = currentPoolRate_;
         poolData.totalVirtualDeposited =
             poolData.totalVirtualDeposited +
             userData.deposited -
         // Update user data
         userData.rate = currentPoolRate_;
@@ -220,7 +221,8 @@ contract DistributionV2 is IDistributionV2, OwnableUpgradeable,
UUPSUpgradeable
         // Update pool data
         poolData.lastUpdate = uint128(block.timestamp);
         poolData.rate = currentPoolRate_;
         // Update user data
         userData.lastStake = uint128(block.timestamp);
@@ -283,7 +285,8 @@ contract DistributionV2 is IDistributionV2, OwnableUpgradeable,
UUPSUpgradeable
         // Update pool data
         poolData.lastUpdate = uint128(block.timestamp);
         poolData.rate = currentPoolRate_;
poolData.totalVirtualDeposited = poolData.totalVirtualDeposited +
virtualDeposited_ - userData.virtualDeposited;
virtualDeposited_ - deposited;
         // Update user data
         userData.lastStake = uint128(block.timestamp);
@@ -339,7 +342,8 @@ contract DistributionV2 is IDistributionV2, OwnableUpgradeable,
UUPSUpgradeable
         // Update pool data
         poolData.lastUpdate = uint128(block.timestamp);
         poolData.rate = currentPoolRate_;
```

```
+ uint256 deposited = userData.virtualDeposited == 0 ? userData.deposited :
userData.virtualDeposited;
+ poolData.totalVirtualDeposited = poolData.totalVirtualDeposited +
virtualDeposited_ - deposited;

// Update user data
    userData.rate = currentPoolRate_;
@@ -358,7 +362,8 @@ contract DistributionV2 is IDistributionV2, OwnableUpgradeable,
UUPSUpgradeable
}

function _getCurrentUserReward(uint256 currentPoolRate_, UserData memory
    userData_) private pure returns (uint256) {
        uint256 newRewards_ = ((currentPoolRate_ - userData_.rate) *
userData_.virtualDeposited) / PRECISION;
+ uint256 deposited = userData_.virtualDeposited == 0 ? userData_.deposited :
userData_.virtualDeposited;
+ uint256 newRewards_ = ((currentPoolRate_ - userData_.rate) * deposited) /
PRECISION;

    return userData_.pendingRewards + newRewards_;
}
```

Morpheus: Fixed here and here.

Renascence: The recommendation has been implemented. The cases where userData.virtualDeposited == 0 are accounted for and userData.deposited is used instead.

Low Risk

[L-1] lockClaim() resets lastStake to block.timestamp even though no stake has occurred

Context: Distribution V2.sol

Description: The lockClaim() function sets userData.lastStake = block.timestamp, even though lockClaim() does not update the amount of staked funds, it just extends to claim lock end. As a result, after calling lockClaim(), a user needs to wait withdrawLockPeriodAfterStake seconds to be able to withdraw funds.

 $\textbf{Recommendation:} \ \ \textbf{Consider not setting userData.lastStake in lockClaim()}.$

Morpheus: Fixed.

Renascence: The recommendation has been implemented.

Informational

[I-1] Improvements

Context: DistributionV2.sol

Description: Rename depoisted_to deposited_.

```
## DistributionV2.sol

    userData.pendingRewards = _getCurrentUserReward(currentPoolRate_, userData);

- uint256 depoisted_ = userData.deposited + amount_;

+ uint256 deposited_ = userData.deposited + amount_;

uint256 multiplier_ = _getClaimLockPeriodMultiplier(uint128(block.timestamp),
    claimLockEnd_);

- uint256 virtualDeposited_ = (depoisted_ * multiplier_) / PRECISION;

+ uint256 virtualDeposited_ = (deposited_ * multiplier_) / PRECISION;

// Update pool data
    poolData.lastUpdate = uint128(block.timestamp);

@@ -288,7 +288,7 @@ contract DistributionV2 is IDistributionV2, OwnableUpgradeable,

UUPSUpgradeable

// Update user data
    userData.lastStake = uint128(block.timestamp);
    userData.deposited = depoisted_;

- userData.deposited = depoisted_;

userData.deposited = depoisted_;
    userData.claimLockStart = uint128(block.timestamp);
    userData.claimLockStart = uint128(block.timestamp);
    userData.claimLockEnd = claimLockEnd_;
```

Rename multiplier to multiplier.

Remove redundant assignment of userData.claimLockEnd.

```
## DistributionV2.sol

    userData.deposited = newDeposited_;
    userData.virtualDeposited = virtualDeposited_;
    userData.claimLockStart = uint128(block.timestamp);
- userData.claimLockEnd = userData.claimLockEnd;

if (pool.isPublic) {
    totalDepositedInPublicPools -= amount_;
}
```

Morpheus: Fixed here and here.

Renascence: The recommendation has been implemented.