

Audit Report

SSZ-TS

v1.0

September 28, 2023

Table of Contents

lable of Contents	2
License	3
Disclaimer	3
Introduction	5
Purpose of This Report	5
Codebase Submitted for the Audit	5
Methodology	6
Functionality Overview	6
How to Read This Report	7
Code Quality Criteria	8
Summary of Findings	9
Detailed Findings	10
1. Excess bytes in the encoding of None do not trigger errors	10
is_zero method from the SSZ specification is not implemented	10
3. debug_assert_eq! macro checks are not performed in production code	11
4. Overflow checks not enabled for release profile	11
5. Vectors and arrays of different lengths have the same root hash	11
6. Redundant mutable reference in Merkleized interface	12
7. Duplicate length validation of a fixed serialized composite	13
8. Misleading error name	13
9. Duplicated code decreases maintainability	14
10. Duplicated ValidationState validation	14
11. Use of magic numbers decreases maintainability	14
Appendix: Test Cases	16
1. Test case for "Vectors and arrays of different lengths have the same root hash"	16

License







THIS WORK IS LICENSED UNDER A CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION-NODERIVATIVES 4.0 INTERNATIONAL LICENSE.

Disclaimer

THE CONTENT OF THIS AUDIT REPORT IS PROVIDED "AS IS", WITHOUT REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES OF ANY KIND.

THE AUTHOR AND HIS EMPLOYER DISCLAIM ANY LIABILITY FOR DAMAGE ARISING OUT OF, OR IN CONNECTION WITH, THIS AUDIT REPORT.

THIS AUDIT REPORT IS ADDRESSED EXCLUSIVELY TO THE CLIENT. THE AUTHOR AND HIS EMPLOYER UNDERTAKE NO LIABILITY OR RESPONSIBILITY TOWARDS THE CLIENT OR THIRD PARTIES.

COPYRIGHT OF THIS REPORT REMAINS WITH THE AUTHOR.

This audit has been performed by

Oak Security

https://oaksecurity.io/ info@oaksecurity.io

Introduction

Purpose of This Report

Oak Security has been engaged by Snowfork to perform a security audit of ssz-rs.

The objectives of the audit are as follows:

- 1. Determine the correct functioning of the protocol, in accordance with the project specification.
- 2. Determine possible vulnerabilities, which could be exploited by an attacker.
- 3. Determine smart contract bugs, which might lead to unexpected behavior.
- 4. Analyze whether best practices have been applied during development.
- 5. Make recommendations to improve code safety and readability.

This report represents a summary of the findings.

As with any code audit, there is a limit to which vulnerabilities can be found, and unexpected execution paths may still be possible. The author of this report does not guarantee complete coverage (see disclaimer).

Codebase Submitted for the Audit

The audit has been performed on the following target:

Repository	https://github.com/ralexstokes/ssz-rs
Commit	b8729699f07f0d348053251dd6ddf838656849d1
Scope	All code was in scope.

Methodology

The audit has been performed in the following steps:

- 1. Gaining an understanding of the code base's intended purpose by reading the available documentation.
- 2. Automated source code and dependency analysis.
- 3. Manual line-by-line analysis of the source code for security vulnerabilities and use of best practice guidelines, including but not limited to:
 - a. Race condition analysis
 - b. Under-/overflow issues
 - c. Key management vulnerabilities
- 4. Report preparation

Functionality Overview

ssz-rs is a Rust implementation of Simple Serialize (SSZ), the serialization method used on the Ethereum Beacon Chain.

SSZ is designed to be deterministic and also to Merkleize efficiently. SSZ can be thought of as having two components: a serialization scheme and a Merkleization scheme that is designed to work efficiently with the serialized data structure.

How to Read This Report

This report classifies the issues found into the following severity categories:

Severity	Description
Critical	A serious and exploitable vulnerability that can lead to loss of funds, unrecoverable locked funds, or catastrophic denial of service.
Major	A vulnerability or bug that can affect the correct functioning of the system, lead to incorrect states or denial of service.
Minor	A violation of common best practices or incorrect usage of primitives, which may not currently have a major impact on security, but may do so in the future or introduce inefficiencies.
Informational	Comments and recommendations of design decisions or potential optimizations, that are not relevant to security. Their application may improve aspects, such as user experience or readability, but is not strictly necessary. This category may also include opinionated recommendations that the project team might not share.

The status of an issue can be one of the following: Pending, Acknowledged, or Resolved.

Note that audits are an important step to improving the security of smart contracts and can find many issues. However, auditing complex codebases has its limits and a remaining risk is present (see disclaimer).

Users of the system should exercise caution. In order to help with the evaluation of the remaining risk, we provide a measure of the following key indicators: **code complexity**, **code readability**, **level of documentation**, and **test coverage**. We include a table with these criteria below.

Note that high complexity or low test coverage does not necessarily equate to a higher risk, although certain bugs are more easily detected in unit testing than in a security audit and vice versa.

Code Quality Criteria

The auditor team assesses the codebase's code quality criteria as follows:

Criteria	Status	Comment
Code complexity	Medium	-
Code readability and clarity	Medium-High	-
Level of documentation	High	-
Test coverage	Low-Medium	cargo tarpaulin reports a test coverage of 47.30%.

Summary of Findings

No	Description	Severity	Status
1	Excess bytes in the encoding of None do not trigger errors	Minor	Resolved
2	$\verb is_zero method from the SSZ specification is not implemented \\$	Minor	Acknowledged
3	debug_assert_eq! macro checks are not performed in production code	Minor	Resolved
4	Overflow checks not enabled for release profile	Minor	Acknowledged
5	Vectors and arrays of different lengths have the same root hash	Informational	Acknowledged
6	Redundant mutable reference in Merkleized interface	Informational	Acknowledged
7	Duplicate length validation of a fixed serialized composite	Informational	Resolved
8	Misleading error name	Informational	Resolved
9	Duplicated code decreases maintainability	Informational	Resolved
10	Duplicated ValidationState validation	Informational	Resolved
11	Use of magic numbers decreases maintainability	Informational	Resolved

Detailed Findings

1. Excess bytes in the encoding of None do not trigger errors

Severity: Minor

The deserialize function, defined in ssz-rs/src/union.rs:45, invokes the deserialization of the inner value after determining the input as Some.

This process can potentially lead to the occurrence of a DeserializeError::AdditionalInput error if the encoding contains more bytes than required for deserializing the inner value.

However, the code does not inspect any bytes of the encoding beyond the initial byte when handling the None case. As a consequence, if an encoding of None includes redundant bytes, the code will not throw an error.

Recommendation

We recommend ensuring that only the canonical single-byte encoding of None is accepted and an error is thrown otherwise as performed in the deserialize function in ssz-rs/src/boolean.rs.

Status: Resolved

2. is zero method from the SSZ specification is not implemented

Severity: Minor

The SSZ specification defines an is_zero method that returns true if the argument is a default value.

The relevant specification can be found at $\frac{\text{https://github.com/ethereum/consensus-specs/blob/fa09d896484bbe240334fa21ffaa454bafe}{5842e/ssz/simple-serialize.md\#is_zero}.$

Recommendation

We recommend implementing the is_zero method to be fully compliant with the SSZ specification.

Status: Acknowledged

3. debug_assert_eq! macro checks are not performed in production code

Severity: Minor

In the serialize_composite_from_components function, defined in ssz-rs/src/ser.rs, parameters are validated using the debug assert eq! macro.

This macro will be ignored by the compiler in release mode, i.e. after switching to production.

This is because the compiler optimizes the code in release mode, which results for example in the omission of most of the debugging and error-logging functions.

Recommendation

We recommend implementing these assertions together with the error-handling logic, or using the assert eq! macro, which is not ignored by the compiler in release mode.

Status: Resolved

4. Overflow checks not enabled for release profile

Severity: Minor

The ssz_rs and ssz_rs_derive crates do not enable overflow-checks in the Cargo.toml release profile.

Consequently, the entire codebase does not have implemented protection against overflow or underflow.

Recommendation

We recommend enabling overflow checks in all packages, including those that do not currently perform calculations, to prevent unintended consequences if changes are added in future releases or during refactoring. Note that enabling overflow checks in packages other than the workspace manifest will lead to compiler warnings.

Status: Acknowledged

5. Vectors and arrays of different lengths have the same root hash

Severity: Informational

The hash_tree_root method of the Merkleized trait, defined for vectors in vector.rs:264-264 and for arrays in array.rs:77-91, returns the same Merkle root for values of different sizes.

It is important to note that, according to SSZ specification, those types are statically sized, meaning that vectors and arrays of different lengths represent different types. Therefore, it is possible for different types to have the same Merkle roots and encodings, as SSZ encodings are not self-contained and require a specific scheme for proper deserialization. However, it is crucial to emphasize this in the user documentation, as incorrect assumptions about Merkle roots could potentially be exploited by library consumers.

A further observation regarding Merkle roots is that vectors clusterize by their Merkle roots. We can denote the expression $Vector::<u8, n>::try_from(vec![0;n]).hash tree root() as <math>M(n)$. In this context, the following equalities hold true:

```
M(1) = M(2) = ... = M(32),

M(33) = M(34) = ... = M(64),
```

The same observation applies to arrays where:

```
M(1) = M(2) = ... = M(32)
```

A test case is provided in Appendix.

Recommendation

We recommend emphasizing the usage scenarios in which the library can be securely employed. This includes highlighting corner cases that may be confusing for users and might appear to be a hash collision.

Status: Acknowledged

6. Redundant mutable reference in Merkleized interface

Severity: Informational

In the hash_tree_root function, defined in ssz-rs/src/merkleized/mod.rs, the only parameter, self, is declared as mutable reference.

However, mutability is not utilized anywhere. Therefore, it is safe to remove the mutability from the parameter declaration.

Recommendation

We recommend declaring parameters as immutable references whenever possible. In this specific case, declaring the parameter as &self and refactor the code using that parameter accordingly, e.g., using the iter() function instead of iter_mut() on self.data in assisting functions.

Status: Acknowledged

7. Duplicate length validation of a fixed serialized composite

Severity: Informational

When deserializing Array, List, and Vector objects, depending on whether they have a fixed or variable length, one of two functions is called within deserialize_homogeneous_composite - either deserialize_variable_homogeneous_composite or deserialize fixed homogeneous composite.

deserialize_fixed_homogeneous_composite is called when the type is fixed, and the corresponding deserialize functions have already validated the length of the object to be a multiple of the default value of that type obtained using $T::size_hint$. An example for the Array type is the validation performed in ssz-rs/src/array.rs:51-65.

Nevertheless, the deserialize_fixed_homogeneous_composite function in lines 71-78 validates whether the modulo of the length of the deserialized object and the default size for its type is different from zero. Since in the previous step, this size was multiplied by N, there is no possibility that the modulo will be different from zero. This validation is therefore therefore redundant, and can be removed for efficiency of the codebase.

Recommendation

We recommend removing the redundant validation performed in lines 71–78.

Status: Resolved

8. Misleading error name

Severity: Informational

The serialize_composite_from_components function defined in ssz-rs/src/ser.rs:58 verifies that the sum of the lengths of variable and constant elements does not exceed the MAXIMUM_LENGTH value, which is defined as the maximum range of u32. A MaximumEncodedLengthExceeded error is returned when the sum is greater than or equal to MAXIMUM_LENGTH. This is misleading, because, in the case of equality, the value is not exceeded.

Recommendation

We recommend renaming the error to include equality, for example, MaximumEncodedLengthReached.

Status: Resolved

9. Duplicated code decreases maintainability

Severity: Informational

Code duplicates make it more difficult to maintain, review, and reason about the code.

The code in list.rs:210-214 and vector.rs:230-234 is duplicated.

Recommendation

We recommend extracting common code into functions in order to make the codebase easier to maintain and review.

Status: Resolved

10. Duplicated ValidationState validation

Severity: Informational

The SimpleSerialize trait implements the derive function, which performs actions on the passed input. One of these actions is the validation of input.data, carried out in ssz-rs-derive/src/lib.rs:548.

This function returns ValidationState::Validated if the validation is successful and panics otherwise.

Consequently, after this action, there is no technical possibility that the state of input.data will still be Unvalidated. This implies that the validation performed in ssz-rs-derive/src/lib.rs:550-553 is redundant.

Recommendation

We recommend removing duplicate validation in order to increase the efficiency and readability of the code.

Status: Resolved

11. Use of magic numbers decreases maintainability

Severity: Informational

In the codebase, hard-coded number literals without context or a description are used. Using such "magic numbers" goes against best practices as they reduce code readability and maintenance as developers are unable to easily understand their use and may make inconsistent changes across the codebase. Instances of magic numbers have been found in:

• ssz-rs/src/uint.rs:18

• ssz-rs/src/uint.rs:25

- ssz-rs/src/uint.rs:31
- ssz-rs/src/list.rs:219

Recommendation

We recommend defining magic numbers as constants with descriptive variable names and comments, where necessary.

Status: Resolved

Appendix: Test Cases

1. Test case for <u>"Vectors and arrays of different lengths have the</u> same root hash"

This test case provides evidence of two different arrays with the same hash tree root:

Similarly, this test case provides evidence of two different Vectors with the same hash tree root:

```
#[test]
fn hash_vector() {
    let data_1 = vec![10; 1];
    let mut vector_1 = Vector::<u8, 1>::try_from(data_1).unwrap();

let mut data_2 = vec![0; 32];
    data_2[0] = 10;
    let mut vector_2 = Vector::<u8, 32>::try_from(data_2).unwrap();

let encoding_1 = vector_1.hash_tree_root().unwrap();
```

```
dbg!(encoding_1);

let encoding_2 = vector_2.hash_tree_root().unwrap();
  dbg!(encoding_2);

assert_ne!(encoding_1, encoding_2)
}
```