

## **Audit Report**

# **Nolus Core**

v1.0

November 27, 2022

## **Table of Contents**

Table of Contents	2
License	3
Disclaimer	3
Introduction	5
Purpose of This Report	5
Codebase Submitted for the Audit	5
Methodology	6
Functionality Overview	6
How to Read This Report	7
Summary of Findings	8
Code Quality Criteria	9
Detailed Findings	10
<ol> <li>Unbounded iteration in the tax module's AnteHandler could be used by an attact to slow down or halt the chain</li> </ol>	cker 10
<ol><li>ValidateMinter does not guarantee that provided parameters are adherent to defined minting schedule</li></ol>	the 10
3. Silently handled broken invariant could lead to inconsistent chain state	11
4. The feeCaps parameter is not validated	11
5. Minting cap is not enforced during genesis	12
6. The FixedMintedAmount parameter value is incoherent with the documentation	12
7. Inefficient calculation of remainingFees	12
<ol><li>mint module's parameters prev_block_timestamp and max_mintable_nanosecc should be defined as unsigned integers</li></ol>	onds 13
9. Inconsistent package name in protobuf built golang types	13
<ol> <li>The tax module implements a CLI for transaction commands even if it has transactions defined</li> </ol>	s no 14
11. The mint module's BeginBlocker could circuit break earlier when the total among tokens has already been minted	ount 14
Appendix	15
1. Test case for "Unbounded iteration in the tax module's AnteHandler could be uby an attacker to slow down or halt the chain"	ısed 15

### License







THIS WORK IS LICENSED UNDER A CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION-NODERIVATIVES 4.0 INTERNATIONAL LICENSE.

### **Disclaimer**

THE CONTENT OF THIS AUDIT REPORT IS PROVIDED "AS IS", WITHOUT REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES OF ANY KIND.

THE AUTHOR AND HIS EMPLOYER DISCLAIM ANY LIABILITY FOR DAMAGE ARISING OUT OF, OR IN CONNECTION WITH, THIS AUDIT REPORT.

COPYRIGHT OF THIS REPORT REMAINS WITH THE AUTHOR.

This audit has been performed by

Oak Security

https://oaksecurity.io/ info@oaksecurity.io Introduction

**Purpose of This Report** 

Oak Security has been engaged by Nolus Platform AG to perform a security audit of the Nolus

Core Cosmos SDK modules.

The objectives of the audit are as follows:

1. Determine the correct functioning of the protocol, in accordance with the project

specification.

2. Determine possible vulnerabilities, which could be exploited by an attacker.

3. Determine smart contract bugs, which might lead to unexpected behavior.

4. Analyze whether best practices have been applied during development.

5. Make recommendations to improve code safety and readability.

This report represents a summary of the findings.

As with any code audit, there is a limit to which vulnerabilities can be found, and unexpected execution paths may still be possible. The author of this report does not guarantee complete

coverage (see disclaimer).

Codebase Submitted for the Audit

The audit has been performed on the following private GitLab repository:

https://github.com/Nolus-Protocol/nolus-core

Commit hash: 04b5064f1164c3a9a2cfb3f07398783f1fe1159a

### Methodology

The audit has been performed in the following steps:

- 1. Gaining an understanding of the code base's intended purpose by reading the available documentation.
- 2. Automated source code and dependency analysis.
- 3. Manual line by line analysis of the source code for security vulnerabilities and use of best practice guidelines, including but not limited to:
  - a. Race condition analysis
  - b. Under-/overflow issues
  - c. Key management vulnerabilities
- 4. Report preparation

### **Functionality Overview**

Nolus Protocol is a Web3 financial suite that offers an innovative approach to money markets with a novel lease solution to further develop the DeFi space.

The audit scope includes the mint and tax Cosmos SDK modules.

## **How to Read This Report**

This report classifies the issues found into the following severity categories:

Severity	Description
Critical	A serious and exploitable vulnerability that can lead to loss of funds, unrecoverable locked funds, or catastrophic denial of service.
Major	A vulnerability or bug that can affect the correct functioning of the system, lead to incorrect states or denial of service.
Minor	A violation of common best practices or incorrect usage of primitives, which may not currently have a major impact on security, but may do so in the future or introduce inefficiencies.
Informational	Comments and recommendations of design decisions or potential optimizations, that are not relevant to security. Their application may improve aspects, such as user experience or readability, but is not strictly necessary. This category may also include opinionated recommendations that the project team might not share.

The status of an issue can be one of the following: Pending, Acknowledged, or Resolved.

Note that audits are an important step to improving the security of smart contracts and can find many issues. However, auditing complex codebases has its limits and a remaining risk is present (see disclaimer).

Users of the system should exercise caution. In order to help with the evaluation of the remaining risk, we provide a measure of the following key indicators: **code complexity**, **code readability**, **level of documentation**, and **test coverage**. We include a table with these criteria below.

Note that high complexity or low test coverage does not necessarily equate to a higher risk, although certain bugs are more easily detected in unit testing than in a security audit and vice versa.

# **Summary of Findings**

No	Description	Severity	Status
1	Unbounded iteration in the ${\tt tax}$ module's ${\tt AnteHandler}$ could be used by an attacker to slow down or halt the chain	Major	Resolved
2	ValidateMinter does not guarantee that provided parameters are adherent to the defined minting schedule	Minor	Resolved
3	Silently handled broken invariant could lead to inconsistent chain state	Minor	Resolved
4	The feeCaps parameter is not validated	Minor	Resolved
5	Minting cap is not enforced during genesis	Minor	Resolved
6	The FixedMintedAmount parameter value is incoherent with the documentation	Minor	Resolved
7	Inefficient calculation of remainingFees	Informational	Resolved
8	mint module's parameters prev_block_timestamp and max_mintable_nanoseconds should be defined as unsigned integers	Informational	Resolved
9	Inconsistent package name in protobuf built golang types	Informational	Resolved
10	The tax module implements a CLI for transaction commands even if it has no transactions defined	Informational	Resolved
11	The mint module's BeginBlocker could circuit break earlier when the total amount of tokens has already been minted	Informational	Resolved

### **Code Quality Criteria**

Criteria	Status	Comment
Code complexity	Low-Medium	-
Code readability and clarity	Low-Medium	Comments are not always adherent to the implementation.
Level of documentation	Low-Medium	The mint module has no technical documentation.
Test coverage	Medium	60.8% test coverage for the mint module. 55.9% test coverage for the tax module.

### **Detailed Findings**

# 1. Unbounded iteration in the tax module's AnteHandler could be used by an attacker to slow down or halt the chain

#### **Severity: Major**

In x/tax/keeper/taxdecorator.go:76-81, the ApplyTax function called from the tax module's AnteHandler is performing an unbounded iteration over the feeCoins provided by users.

An attacker could craft a message with a significant number of Coins with the intention of slowing down the block production, which in extreme cases may lead to Tendermint's propose timeout to be surpassed.

This can prevent the node from processing further ABCI messages such that it has to pause and contact peers to get the latest correct blocks. If a significant number of peers hit the timeout and halt simultaneously, block production may stop.

A test case is provided in Appendix 1.

#### Recommendation

We recommend implementing a guard which returns an error if duplicated denom Coins are provided and defining a maximum number of Coins that can be supplied.

**Status: Resolved** 

# 2. ValidateMinter does not guarantee that provided parameters are adherent to the defined minting schedule

#### **Severity: Minor**

In x/mint/types/minter.go:43, the ValidateMinter function does not verify that the parameters passed on genesis are conformant with the equation that defines the minting schedule. There is a risk that one or more of them are not correct, which would lead to a flawed minting schedule.

Once this happens, there is no straightforward way to return to the intended trajectory. It is important to note, nevertheless, that there are checks to ensure that the total minted amount does not exceed a predefined threshold.

Recommendation

We recommend ensuring that the Minter's parameters define an equation that converges to

the total amount to be minted in the defined period.

Status: Resolved

3. Silently handled broken invariant could lead to inconsistent chain

state

**Severity: Minor** 

In x/mint/abci.go:41-44, during the calculation of the time interval between two subsequent blocks, the quard that checks that the timestamp of the current block is greater

than the previous one does not panic or return an error. Instead, it assigns blocks with the

same timestamp, letting the execution flow continue.

Since the code should always enforce the invariant

nsecBetweenBlocks > 0

incorrect subsequent block order or simultaneous blocks should result in an error and

eventually halt the chain.

We classify this as a minor issue since Tendermint is already enforcing this invariant.

Recommendation

We recommend reworking the guard mentioned above to panic in case of broken invariants.

**Status: Resolved** 

4. The feeCaps parameter is not validated

**Severity: Minor** 

In x/tax/types/params.go:103-113, the validateFeeCaps function that is invoked by ValidateBasic is not validating the feeCaps parameter. Instead, a TODO comment is

present as a reminder to implement the validation logic.

Consequently, every string value could be provided as feeCaps parameter.

Recommendation

We recommend implementing the feeCaps parameter validation.

Status: Resolved

5. Minting cap is not enforced during genesis

**Severity: Minor** 

In x/mint/types/minter.go:48, the ValidateMinter function ensures that the TotalMinted parameter is positive, but it does not validate that the value is less than the

MintingCap.

This implies that during genesis, a TotalMinted parameter value that exceeds the

MintingCap amount is accepted and stored.

Recommendation

We recommend enforcing the TotalMinted parameter value to be less than the defined

MintingCap.

Status: Resolved

6. The FixedMintedAmount parameter value is incoherent with

the documentation

**Severity: Minor** 

In x/mint/types/minter.go:11, FixedMintedAmount is set to 103125 which

implies that 0.0825% of the total amount of tokens is minted per year.

However, the docs define this number to be 0.08% which is incoherent with the

implementation and could lead to an unintended minting schedule.

Recommendation

We recommend either updating the docs to match the implementation or replace the existing

FixedMintedAmount with 100000.

**Status: Resolved** 

7. Inefficient calculation of remainingFees

**Severity: Informational** 

In x/tax/keeper/taxdecorator.go:81, the SafeSub method, which has O(n)

asymptotic complexity, is used to calculate remainingFees.

However, since this variable is not used and Coins cannot be negative because the value of

feeRate is ensured to be in the [0,100) range, this calculation is not needed.

#### Recommendation

We recommend removing the statement in x/tax/keeper/taxdecorator.go:81 in order to improve code efficiency.

**Status: Resolved** 

### 8. mint module's parameters prev\_block\_timestamp and max\_mintable\_nanoseconds should be defined as unsigned integers

#### **Severity: Informational**

In proto/nolus/mint/v1beta1/mint.proto the prev\_block\_timestamp and
max\_mintable\_nanoseconds parameters are defined of type int64.

Since they contain UNIX timestamp information that is represented only by positive values, it is advisable to directly define them as uint.

#### Recommendation

We recommend modifying the type of prev\_block\_timestamp and max mintable nanoseconds parameters to uint.

**Status: Resolved** 

#### 9. Inconsistent package name in protobuf built golang types

#### **Severity: Informational**

In the following protobuf built files:

- x/tax/types/genesis.pb.go:72
- x/tax/types/params.pb.go:87
- x/tax/types/query.pb.go:117-118
- x/tax/types/query.pb.go:172
- x/tax/types/query.pb.go:207
- x/tax/types/query.pb.go:216
- x/tax/types/tx.pb.go:75

the code is referring to the veselin.venus package instead of the correct nomo.cosmzone one.

Since the defined package does not exist in the project, it cannot be reached.

#### Recommendation

We recommend rebuilding the protobuf in order to let them refer to the correct package name and rework the build pipeline if needed.

**Status: Resolved** 

10. The tax module implements a CLI for transaction commands even if it has no transactions defined

**Severity: Informational** 

In x/tax/client/cli/tx.go, the CLI command handler for submitting transactions is defined. Since the tax module does not define and support transactions, this is not needed and may be confusing for users.

Recommendation

We recommend removing CLI transaction commands from the tax module.

**Status: Resolved** 

11. The mint module's BeginBlocker could circuit break earlier when the total amount of tokens has already been minted

**Severity: Informational** 

In x/mint/abci.go:106, the BeginBlocker could avoid performing extra computations when the module already has minted the total amount of tokens.

Since the function has early access to the Minter, it is possible to perform a validation as soon as it retrieves from the storage. Then, calcTokens will not be called unnecessarily.

Recommendation

We recommend adding an early check that returns if the total minted amount is equal to the MintingCap.

**Status: Resolved** 

### **Appendix**

1. Test case for "Unbounded iteration in the tax module's

AnteHandler could be used by an attacker to slow down or halt the chain"

```
func (suite *KeeperTestSuite) TestTaxesOverload() {
      suite.SetupTest(true) // setup
      suite.txBuilder = suite.clientCtx.TxConfig.NewTxBuilder()
     // keys and addresses
     priv1, _, addr1 := sdktestutil.KeyTestPubAddr()
     // msg and signatures
     msg := sdktestutil.NewTestMsg(addr1)
     fmt.Println("Preparing...")
     var feeAmount sdk.Coins
     for i := 0; i < 1000000000; i++ {
            denom := "atom" + strconv.FormatInt(int64(i), 10)
           feeAmount = feeAmount.Add(sdk.NewCoin(denom, sdk.NewInt(1)))
            err := simapp.FundAccount(suite.app.BankKeeper, suite.ctx,
addr1, sdk.NewCoins(sdk.NewCoin(denom, sdk.NewInt(10))))
            suite.Require().NoError(err)
      }
     fmt.Println("Sending transaction...")
      gasLimit := sdktestutil.NewTestGasLimit()
      suite.Require().NoError(suite.txBuilder.SetMsgs(msg))
      suite.txBuilder.SetFeeAmount(feeAmount)
      suite.txBuilder.SetGasLimit(gasLimit)
      privs, accNums, accSeqs := []cryptotypes.PrivKey{priv1},
[]uint64{0}, []uint64{0}
     tx, err := suite.CreateTestTx(privs, accNums, accSeqs,
suite.ctx.ChainID())
      suite.Require().NoError(err)
      acc := suite.app.AccountKeeper.NewAccountWithAddress(suite.ctx,
addr1)
      suite.app.AccountKeeper.SetAccount(suite.ctx, acc)
      dfd := ante.NewDeductFeeDecorator(suite.app.AccountKeeper,
suite.app.BankKeeper, nil)
```

```
dtd := keeper.NewDeductTaxDecorator(suite.app.AccountKeeper,
suite.app.BankKeeper, suite.app.TaxKeeper)
    antehandler := sdk.ChainAnteDecorators(dfd, dtd)

_, err = antehandler(suite.ctx, tx, false)
    fmt.Println(err)
    suite.Require().NoError(err)
}
```