

# **Audit Report**

# XION and Burnt Contract Updates and Account Abstraction

v1.1

**September 22, 2023** 

# **Table of Contents**

Table of Contents	2
License	3
Disclaimer	3
Introduction	5
Purpose of This Report	5
Codebase Submitted for the Audit	5
Methodology	7
Functionality Overview	7
How to Read This Report	8
Code Quality Criteria	9
Summary of Findings	11
Detailed Findings	12
1. try_list poses centralization risk	12
2. MaxCoins function could potentially return an unexpected set of fees	12
3. buy_item does not properly validate sent funds	13
4. Incorrect gas limit used in PostHandle function	13
5. Missing Genesis validation in the InitGenesis function	14
6. Unused code relating to the Redeemable functionality	14
7. Missing check for sig_bytes length in verify function	14
8. Improve credentials processing in before_tx	15
9. Duplicate validations are inefficient	15
10. Usage of the deprecated function EmitEvents	16
11. Missing validation of parameters	16
12. Missing params validation in SetParams	16
13. Outdated dependencies in abstractaccount module	17
14. Contracts should implement a two-step ownership transfer	18
15. Misspelled error	18
16. Unused errors	18
17. Unused functions	19
18. Execute messages of allowable contract do not emit any attributes	19
19. Outstanding TODO comments in codebase	20

# License







THIS WORK IS LICENSED UNDER A CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION-NODERIVATIVES 4.0 INTERNATIONAL LICENSE.

# **Disclaimer**

THE CONTENT OF THIS AUDIT REPORT IS PROVIDED "AS IS", WITHOUT REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES OF ANY KIND.

THE AUTHOR AND HIS EMPLOYER DISCLAIM ANY LIABILITY FOR DAMAGE ARISING OUT OF, OR IN CONNECTION WITH, THIS AUDIT REPORT.

THIS AUDIT REPORT IS ADDRESSED EXCLUSIVELY TO THE CLIENT. THE AUTHOR AND HIS EMPLOYER UNDERTAKE NO LIABILITY OR RESPONSIBILITY TOWARDS THE CLIENT OR THIRD PARTIES.

COPYRIGHT OF THIS REPORT REMAINS WITH THE AUTHOR.

This audit has been performed by

**Oak Security** 

https://oaksecurity.io/ info@oaksecurity.io

## Introduction

## **Purpose of This Report**

Oak Security has been engaged by Thames Brook Associates, LLC to perform a security audit of XION and Burnt Updates and Account Abstraction.

The objectives of the audit are as follows:

- 1. Determine the correct functioning of the protocol, in accordance with the project specification.
- 2. Determine possible vulnerabilities, which could be exploited by an attacker.
- 3. Determine smart contract bugs, which might lead to unexpected behavior.
- 4. Analyze whether best practices have been applied during development.
- 5. Make recommendations to improve code safety and readability.

This report represents a summary of the findings.

As with any code audit, there is a limit to which vulnerabilities can be found, and unexpected execution paths may still be possible. The author of this report does not guarantee complete coverage (see disclaimer).

## **Codebase Submitted for the Audit**

The audit has been performed on the following targets:

Repository	https://github.com/burnt-labs/xion		
Reference	This repository is referred to with the prefix xion: in all paths below.		
Commit	3120374e88dbed49061c4794fe32cbfdb4252ab5		
Scope	Only changes since our last audit, which was performed on commit 432e5d73e8bfc0e88de22dda206f405542ee7c91, were in scope.		

Repository	https://github.com/burnt-labs/burnt-cw-std	
Reference	This repository is referred to with the prefix cw-std: in all paths below.	

Commit	0fe411e762d22384bb00f9304cac31585239c805	
Scope	Only changes since our last audit, which was performed on commit 432e5d73e8bfc0e88de22dda206f405542ee7c91, were in scope.	

Repository	https://github.com/burnt-labs/burnt-cw-hubs	
Reference	This repository is referred to with the prefix cw-hubs: in all paths below.	
Commit	3b75302aead752e86123fd9f792b5f9a22c8d8a6	
Scope	Only changes since our last audit, which has been performed on commit f840b6b09fe6227e11cf06007d60546cf641c57f, were in scope.	

Repository	https://github.com/larry0x/abstract-account
Reference	This repository is referred to with the prefix abstract-account: in all paths below.
Commit	2ea462db913fff198900ad94ad27d533ccdd681b
Scope	Only the Cosmos SDK module in the $x/abstractaccount$ directory and its imports from this repository were in scope of this audit.

Repository	https://github.com/burnt-labs/contracts
Reference	This repository is referred to with the prefix account-contract: in all paths below.
Commit	574395b76cac43ed13386eb180250a8de50a9f2f
Scope	All code was in scope.

## Methodology

The audit has been performed in the following steps:

- 1. Gaining an understanding of the code base's intended purpose by reading the available documentation.
- 2. Automated source code and dependency analysis.
- 3. Manual line-by-line analysis of the source code for security vulnerabilities and use of best practice guidelines, including but not limited to:
  - a. Race condition analysis
  - b. Under-/overflow issues
  - c. Key management vulnerabilities
- 4. Report preparation

## **Functionality Overview**

The audit focuses on functionality tied to the CosmosSDK app chain XION, including its associated hub and seat contracts. Additionally, it covers a suite of XION-specific CosmWasm standard libraries that are tailored to support smart contract developers in building contracts on the XION app chain. These items have previously been audited by Oak Security so the scope of this audit covers the changes implemented since the commit hashes mentioned above.

Additionally, this audit covers functionality to facilitate account abstraction. This includes the integration of both a Cosmos SDK module and a corresponding CosmWasm smart contract. Specifically, the abstractaccount module empowers smart contract accounts with the ability to initiate transactions.

# **How to Read This Report**

This report classifies the issues found into the following severity categories:

Severity	Description
Critical	A serious and exploitable vulnerability that can lead to loss of funds, unrecoverable locked funds, or catastrophic denial of service.
Major	A vulnerability or bug that can affect the correct functioning of the system, lead to incorrect states or denial of service.
Minor	A violation of common best practices or incorrect usage of primitives, which may not currently have a major impact on security, but may do so in the future or introduce inefficiencies.
Informational	Comments and recommendations of design decisions or potential optimizations, that are not relevant to security. Their application may improve aspects, such as user experience or readability, but is not strictly necessary. This category may also include opinionated recommendations that the project team might not share.

The status of an issue can be one of the following: Pending, Acknowledged, or Resolved.

Note that audits are an important step to improving the security of smart contracts and can find many issues. However, auditing complex codebases has its limits and a remaining risk is present (see disclaimer).

Users of the system should exercise caution. In order to help with the evaluation of the remaining risk, we provide a measure of the following key indicators: **code complexity**, **code readability**, **level of documentation**, and **test coverage**. We include a table with these criteria below.

Note that high complexity or low test coverage does not necessarily equate to a higher risk, although certain bugs are more easily detected in unit testing than in a security audit and vice versa.

# **Code Quality Criteria**

The auditor team assesses the codebase's code quality criteria as follows:

Criteria	Status	Comment	
Code complexity	Medium	The code in scope of this audit showed a relatively high complexity due to components that involved modified ante decorators, and signature validation.	
Code readability and clarity	Medium-High	-	
Level of documentation	Medium-High	Most of the components were well documented with provided readme documents. The abstractaccount module was very well documented.	
Test coverage	Medium	<pre>cw-std: 43.50% coverage, 231/531 lines covered  cw-hubs: Tests failing due to outdated dependency  account-contract: 16.44% coverage, 24/146 lines covered  abstract-account:</pre>	

<ul> <li>burnt-labs/xi nt/keeper: 89.</li> <li>burnt-labs/xi nt/simulation</li> <li>burnt-labs/xi nt/types: 1.8%</li> <li>burnt-labs/xi on/client/cli</li> </ul>
--

# **Summary of Findings**

No	Description	Severity	Status
1	try_list poses centralization threat	Major	Resolved
2	MaxCoins function could potentially return an unexpected set of fees	Minor	Resolved
3	buy_item does not properly validate sent funds	Minor	Resolved
4	Incorrect gas limit used in PostHandle function	Minor	Resolved
5	Missing Genesis validation in the InitGenesis function	Minor	Resolved
6	Unused code relating to the Redeemable functionality	Informational	Resolved
7	Missing check for sig_bytes length in verify function	Informational	Resolved
8	Improve credentials processing in before_tx	Informational	Resolved
9	Duplicate validations are inefficient	Informational	Resolved
10	Usage of the deprecated function EmitEvents	Informational	Resolved
11	Missing validation of parameters	Informational	Resolved
12	Missing params validation in SetParams	Informational	Resolved
13	Outdated dependencies in abstractaccount module	Informational	Partially resolved
14	Contracts should implement a two-step ownership transfer	Informational	Acknowledged
15	Misspelled error	Informational	Resolved
16	Unused errors	Informational	Resolved
17	Unused functions	Informational	Resolved
18	Execute messages of allowable contract do not emit any attributes	Informational	Resolved
19	Outstanding TODO comments in codebase	Informational	Resolved

# **Detailed Findings**

#### 1. try list poses centralization risk

#### **Severity: Major**

The try\_list function in sellable/src/execute.rs:212 allows the ownable.owner to list any token. While this is a privileged account, it allows anyone controlling this account to list tokens that belong to other accounts. If the ownable.owner account is compromised, it can create a situation where a user's tokens may be listed without the owner's consent at lower than market value prices, effectively allowing them to be stolen. This also introduces a discrepancy where the ownable.owner can list tokens, but the listed token.owner is the only address that can delist tokens.

#### Recommendation

We recommend only allowing the token owner to be able to list and delist their own token.

#### Status: Resolved

# 2. MaxCoins function could potentially return an unexpected set of fees

#### **Severity: Minor**

The globalfee module implements a combination of global and local minimum gas prices, similar to Gaia's implementation. The list of localFees is expected to initiate and enforce a higher transaction fee requirement, if the amounts are all greater than the globalFees amounts, as a spam prevention measure. The function GetTxFeeRequired in xion:x/globalfee/ante/fee.go:70 retrieves the global and local fees and returns the combined fee requirement using the MaxCoins function in line 88. However, the function implementation can return unexpected results in the following scenarios:

- If the local fees include denoms not present in the global fees, the transaction fee requirement will be set to the local fees, potentially including unwanted fee denominations.
- If the local fees include denoms not present in the global fees, the transaction fee requirement will be set to the local fees, potentially including lower fee amounts for denominations present in the global fees.
- If the local fees include at least one fee amount that is equal to or greater than the
  equivalent global fee, the transaction fee requirement will be set to the local fees,
  potentially including lower fee amounts for other denominations present in the global
  fees.

#### Recommendation

We recommend using the CombinedFeeRequirement function in xion:x/globalfee/ante/fee utils.go:27, instead of the MaxCoins function.

**Status: Resolved** 

#### 3. buy item does not properly validate sent funds

#### **Severity: Minor**

The buy\_item function in cw-std:sales/src/execute.rs:136 contains a logic error that does not properly validate that the funds provided in the message are greater than or equal to the price of the item being bought. While this issue has the potential to have a severe impact on the balance of the contract, it is not directly exploitable under normal conditions due to the fact that the contract is not intended to hold a balance.

Since the contract does not hold a balance, the bank message that performs the funds transfer to the item owner will error due to insufficient funds. If the contract was extended in the future though to hold funds, or if funds are accidentally sent to the contract, an attacker could use the <code>buy\_item</code> function to steal these funds by listing their own item for a large sum and supplying a very small amount of funds so that they will receive the excess balance.

#### Recommendation

We recommend updating the value in line 136 to compare the info.funds amount to the sales price of the item.

Status: Resolved

#### 4. Incorrect gas limit used in PostHandle function

#### **Severity: Minor**

In the PostHandle function in abstract-account:x/abstractaccount/ante.go:180, the sudoWithGasLimit function is called with params.MaxGasBefore as the maximum gas parameter. Instead, the maximum amount of gas that can be consumed by the contract call in the after\_tx decorator should be set to MaxGasAfter.

#### Recommendation

We recommend updating sudoWithGasLimit's maxGas parameter from MaxGasBefore to MaxGasAfter when it is called in the PostHandle function.

**Status: Resolved** 

5. Missing Genesis validation in the InitGenesis function

**Severity: Minor** 

In abstract-account:x/abstractaccount/module.go:98-103, the genesis state is created from the supplied input data during genesis initialization. However, the Validate

function is not called for the created type. Consequently, the message is not validated,

potentially leading to an invalid genesis state.

Recommendation

We recommend validating the input genesis using the Validate function.

Status: Resolved

6. Unused code relating to the Redeemable functionality

**Severity: Informational** 

While the Redeemable functionality has been removed, messages relating to the instantiation and querying of redemption of tokens still exist in the codebase in

redeemable::InstantiateMsg in cw-hubs:seat/src/msg.rs:14 redeemable::QueryMsg in cw-hubs:seat/src/msg.rs:41.

Recommendation

We recommend removing the messages and related unused code, as well as updating the

documentation to reflect the removal of the functionality.

Status: Resolved

7. Missing check for sig bytes length in verify function

**Severity: Informational** 

In account-contract:account/src/eth crypto.rs:37, there is no validation that the length of sig bytes equals 65 bytes. If the verify function is called with sig bytes having a length of less than 65 bytes, it will cause the function to panic. Panics go against best practices since they do not provide error messages to the caller which can help to

understand and resolve the cause of the error.

Recommendation

We recommend validating the length of the sig byte and returning a descriptive error if the

validation fails.

Status: Resolved

14

#### 8. Improve credentials processing in before tx

#### **Severity: Informational**

In account-contract:account/src/execute.rs:38-54, the credential processing is implemented as follows: Check the length of the credential bytes, if the length is less than 1, return an error. The implementation then checks the cred\_byte (the first byte) and derives the signature algorithm. All other bytes form sig\_bytes and are interpreted as signature bytes. As a result, the current implementation accepts cred\_bytes of arbitrary length. It is a widespread implementation practice to read the exact number of signature bytes because the length of the signature is known prior.

#### Recommendation

We recommend improving the implementation in the following way:

- 1. In account-contract:account/src/execute.rs:39, ensure that the length of cred\_bytes is at least 65 bytes: 1 byte to encode the signature algorithm and at least 64 bytes to carry the signature bytes.
- 2. In account-contract:account/src/execute.rs:48, validate cred\_index value equal to one of the known Authenticator values.
- 3. In account-contract:account/src/execute.rs:49, if cred\_index corresponds to Ed25519 or Sec256K1, ensure that the length of sig\_bytes equals 64 bytes. Otherwise, return an error. If cred\_index corresponds to EthWallet, ensure that the length of sig\_bytes equals 65 bytes. Otherwise, return an error.

Status: Resolved

#### 9. Duplicate validations are inefficient

#### **Severity: Informational**

Across the codebase, instances of duplicate validation have been found, which decrease the efficiency of the code.

#### Recommendation

We recommend increasing the efficiency of the code by applying the following suggestions:

Remove the validation of salt in abstract-account:x/abstractaccount/client/tx.go:65 as it is validated already in abstract-account:x/abstractaccount/types/tx.go:52.

• Remove the validation of params in abstract-account:x/abstractaccount/keeper/msg\_server.go:32 as it is validated already during a stateless check of the MsgUpdateParams message.

**Status: Resolved** 

#### 10. Usage of the deprecated function EmitEvents

#### **Severity: Informational**

The deprecated function EmitEvents is used in abstract-account:x/abstractaccount/keeper/msg\_server.go:102. Using deprecated functions is generally discouraged as they may be removed in future versions of the library, leading to potential compatibility issues.

#### Recommendation

We recommend using EmitTypedEvents instead.

**Status: Resolved** 

#### 11. Missing validation of parameters

#### **Severity: Informational**

The Validate function in abstract-account:x/abstractaccount/types/params.go:22 validates only the maxGasBefore and maxGasAfter parameters, but no validation is performed for allowAllCodeIDs and allowedCodeIDs parameters. This can allow for a situation where these parameters introduce potential misconfigurations.

#### Recommendation

We recommend ensuring that allowedCodeIDs is not empty when AllowAllCodeIDs is false and does not include the O code ID.

**Status: Resolved** 

#### 12. Missing params validation in SetParams

#### **Severity: Informational**

The SetParams function in abstract-account:x/abstractaccount/keeper/keeper.go:67-78 does not implement validation of the params input. SetParams is used in the UpdateParams function in abstract-account:x/abstractaccount/keeper/msg server.go:36

and in the InitGenesis function in
abstract-account:x/abstractaccount/keeper/genesis.go:12.

While the UpdateParams function validates input params before passing it to the SetParams function, the InitGenesis function does not do that. By validating params in the SetParams function it will improve the overall consistency of input validation and help with future maintainability.

#### Recommendation

We recommend implementing validation of the params input in the SetParams function directly.

**Status: Resolved** 

#### 13. Outdated dependencies in abstractaccount module

#### **Severity: Informational**

Many packages (e.g., cometbft, cosmos-sdk, net, runtime) are outdated and have known vulnerabilities fixed in the newest versions.

Examples of known vulnerabilities:

- 1. GO-2023-1861. Cosmos "Barberry" vulnerability in github.com/cosmos/cosmos-sdk.
  - Found in: github.com/cosmos/cosmos-sdk@v0.47.2.
  - Fixed in: github.com/cosmos/cosmos-sdk@v0.47.3.
- 2. GO-2023-1883. Denial of service via OOM in github.com/cometbft/cometbft.
  - Found in: github.com/cometbft/cometbft@v0.37.1.
  - Fixed in: github.com/cometbft/cometbft@v0.37.2.
- 3. GO-2023-1878. Insufficient sanitization of Host header in net/http.
  - Found in: net/http@gol.19.7.
  - Fixed in: net/http@go1.20.6.
- 4. GO-2023-1840. Unsafe behavior in setuid/setgid binaries in runtime. Found in: runtime@go1.19.7.
  - Fixed in: runtime@go1.20.5.
- 5. GO-2023-1705. Excessive resource consumption in net/http, net/textproto, and mime/multipart.

Found in: net/textproto@go1.19.7.

Fixed in: net/textproto@go1.20.3.

#### Recommendation

We recommend updating the dependencies and the Go compiler version.

#### **Status: Partially Resolved**

#### 14. Contracts should implement a two-step ownership transfer

#### **Severity: Informational**

The contracts within the scope of this audit allow the current owner to execute a one-step ownership transfer. While this is common practice, it presents a risk for the ownership of the contract to become lost if the owner transfers ownership to the incorrect address. A two-step ownership transfer will allow the current owner to propose a new owner, and then the account that is proposed as the new owner may call a function that will allow them to claim ownership and actually execute the config update.

#### Recommendation

We recommend implementing a two-step ownership transfer. The flow can be as follows:

- 1. The current owner proposes a new owner address that is validated.
- 2. The new owner account claims ownership, which applies the configuration changes.

#### Status: Acknowledged

#### 15. Misspelled error

#### **Severity: Informational**

In abstract-account:x/abstractaccount/types/errors.go:8, the error ErrNotSingleSignautre is misspelled.

#### Recommendation

We recommend updating the error to ErrNotSingleSignature.

#### **Status: Resolved**

#### 16. Unused errors

#### **Severity: Informational**

The codebase contains several errors that are unused. These errors should be removed if they are not intended to be used.

#### Recommendation

We recommend removing the following errors:

- Remove unused error NoTokensDefined in cw-std:sellable/src/errors.rs:43.
- Remove unused error CustomError in cw-std:allowable/src/errors.rs:15.

- Remove unused error RedeemableError in cw-hubs:seat/src/error.rs:22.
- Remove unused error Unauthorized in cw-hubs:seat/src/error.rs:10.
- Remove unused error Serde in account-contract:account/src/error.rs:7.

**Status: Resolved** 

#### 17. Unused functions

#### **Severity: Informational**

The codebase contains several functions that are unused. These functions should be removed if they are not intended to be used.

#### Recommendation

We recommend removing the following functions:

- Remove unused function checkTxFeeWithValidatorMinGasPrices in xion:x/globalfee/ante/validator tx fee.go:13.
- Remove unused function getTxPriority in xion:x/globalfee/ante/validator tx fee.go:52.
- Remove unused function checkGas in xion:x/globalfee/ante/fee utils.go:125.
- Remove unused function checkTxFee in xion:x/globalfee/ante/fee utils.go:133.

Status: Resolved

# 18. Execute messages of allowable contract do not emit any attributes

#### **Severity: Informational**

The allowable contract's execute messages in cw-std:allowable/src/lib.rs:95 do not emit any attributes. It is best practice to emit attributes whenever execute messages are handled to allow off-chain listeners such as indexing service observe state changes.

#### Recommendation

We recommend emitting relevant attributes for the executed messages of the allowable contract.

Status: Resolved

#### 19. Outstanding TODO comments in codebase

#### **Severity: Informational**

Across the codebase, instances of TODO comments have been found. These markers often signal areas where additional work or improvements are needed:

- xion:x/globalfee/queirer.go:34
- abstract-account:x/abstractaccount/ante.go:77

#### Recommendation

We recommend resolving all TODOs in the codebase before the production release, especially those which may relate to important logic.

**Status: Resolved**