

Protocol Security Review Report

Version 1.0

User1935

April 13, 2024

PasswordStore Security Review Report

User1935

13 April, 2024

Prepared by: User1935

Lead Security Researcher:

- User1935

Assisting Security Researcher:

- None

Table of Contents

- Table of Contents
- Protocol Summary
- About User1935
- Disclaimer
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details
 - Scope
 - Roles
- Executive Summary
 - Issues found
- Findings
 - High

- * [H-1] Storing the password on-chain makes it visible to anyone
- * [H-2] Missing access control for `PasswordStore::setPassword()`, allowing anyone to modify `PasswordStore::s_password`
 - Medium
 - Low
 - Informational
 - [I-1] The `PasswordStore::getPassword()` function natspec indicates a parameter that doesn't exist, causing the natspec to be incorrect
- Gas

Protocol Summary

PasswordStore is a protocol dedicated to storage and retrieval of a user's passwords. The protocol is designed to be used by a single user, and is not designed to be used by multiple users. Only the owner should be able to set and access this password.

About User1935

I am a beginner security researcher who is undertaking multiple security orientated courses to develop skills and knowledge that can be used to help secure the future of Web3.

This is the first security review/audit report I am completing as part of the Cyfrin security and auditing course.

Disclaimer

The User1935 team makes all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit by the team is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

Risk Classification

		Impact		
		High	Medium	Low
Likelihood	High	H	H/M	M
	Medium	H/M	M	M/L
	Low	M	M/L	L

We use the CodeHawks severity matrix to determine severity. See the documentation for more details.

Audit Details

The findings described in this document correspond with the following commit hash:

```
1 2e8f81e263b3a9d18fab4fb5c46805ffc10a9990
```

Scope

```
1 src/
2 --- PasswordStore.sol
```

Roles

- **Owner:** Is the only one who should be able to set and access the password.

For this contract, only the owner should be able to interact with the contract.

Executive Summary

Issues found

Severity	Number of issues found
High	2

Severity	Number of issues found
Medium	0
Low	1
Info	1
Gas Optimizations	0
Total	3

Findings

High

[H-1] Storing the password on-chain makes it visible to anyone

Description: All data stored on-chain is visible to anyone and can be read by anyone, regardless of any Solidity visibility keywords applied to variables. The `PasswordStore : s_password` variable is intended to securely and privately store a password set by the user, and only read by the user through the `PasswordStore : getPassword()` function which has an only owner check and revert. However, the `PasswordStore : s_password` variable is not private because it is stored on-chain.

We demonstrate one such method to read any data off the chain below.

Impact: Anybody can read the intended private password, severely breaking the functionality and intended service of the protocol.

Proof of Concept: The below test case shows how we can read any data from the blockchain.

1. Create a local chain with anvil

```
1 $ make anvil
```

2. Deploy the contract

```
1 $ make deploy
```

3. Get value from storage location

We use 1 for storage slot because `s_password` is defined second in the contract, index starts at zero, and therefore occupies storage slot 1 in the contract.

```
1 cast storage <address> <storage slot> --rpc-url <RPC URL>
```

4. Make value human readable

```
1 $ cast parse-bytes32-string 0  
x6d7950617373776f726440000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
```

5. Private variable output

```
1 myPassword
```

Recommended Mitigation: All data on the blockchain is public. To store sensitive information, additional encryption or off-chain solutions should be considered. Sensitive and personal data should never be stored on the blockchain in plaintext or weakly encrypted or encoded format.

[H-2] Missing access control for PasswordStore::setPassword(), allowing anyone to modify PasswordStore::s_password

Description: `PasswordStore::setPassword()` is an `external` function that can be called outside of the contract. This function is used to modify the `PasswordStore::s_password`. The intended use of this function is that only the owner of the contract can modify `PasswordStore::s_password` through this function, as detailed in the natspec of the contract - “`This function allows only the owner to set a new password`”. However, the `PasswordStore::setPassword()` function does not carry out any checks if the caller of this function is the contract owner.

```
1 // @audit - no access control
2 function setPassword(string memory newPassword) external {
3     s_password = newPassword;
4     emit SetNetPassword();
5 }
```

Impact: Anybody can call this function to modify the stored password, severely breaking the intended functionality of the contract.

FOR SPEED OF LEARNING, THE FOLLOWING SECTIONS OF THIS FINDING HAVE BEEN COPIED FROM THE GITHUB CODE BASE OF THIS LESSON

Proof of Concept:

The `makeAddr` helper function is used to setup an `attacker` address to call the `setPasword()` function:

```
1 contract PasswordStoreTest is Test {
2     PasswordStore public passwordStore;
3     DeployPasswordStore public deployer;
4     address public owner;
5 +   address public attacker;
6
7     function setUp() public {
8         deployer = new DeployPasswordStore();
9         passwordStore = deployer.run();
10        owner = msg.sender;
11        // attacker address
12 +   attacker = makeAddr("attacker");
13    }
14 }
```

The following test, sets the password to `"attackerPassword"` using the attacker address. When run, this test will pass, demonstrating that the attacker can set the password:

```
1 function test_poc_non_owner_set_password() public {
2     // initiate the transaction from the non-owner attacker address
3     vm.prank(attacker);
4     string memory newPassword = "attackerPassword";
5     // attacker attempts to set the password
6     passwordStore.setPassword(newPassword);
7     console.log("The attacker successfully set the password:"
8         newPassword);
9 }
```

Run the test:

```
1 forge test --mt test_poc_non_owner_set_password -vvvv
```

Which yields the following output:

```
1 Running 1 test for test/PasswordStore.t.sol:PasswordStoreTest
2 [PASS] test_poc_non_owner_set_password() (gas: 20776)
3 Logs:
4   The attacker successfully set the password: attackerPassword
5
6 Traces:
7   [20776] PasswordStoreTest::test_poc_non_owner_set_password()
8     - [0] VM::prank(attacker: [0
9         x9dF0C6b0066D5317aA5b38B36850548DaCCa6B4e])
10    - [6686] PasswordStore::setPassword(attackerPassword)
11      - emit SetNetPassword()
12    - ()
```

```
13     - [0] console::log(The attacker successfully set the password:
14         attackerPassword) [staticcall]
15     - ()
16
17 Test result: ok. 1 passed; 0 failed; 0 skipped; finished in 1.36ms
```

Recommended Mitigation

Include access control to restrict who can call the `setPassword` function to be only the owner: `s_owner`. This can be achieved in two ways:

1. Using an `if` statement, as used in the `getPassword` function, and revert with the `PasswordStore__NotOwner()` custom error if the address calling the function is not the owner:

```
1 function setPassword(string memory newPassword) external {
2     // @audit check that the function caller is the owner of the
3     // contract
4     if (msg.sender != s_owner) {
5         revert PasswordStore__NotOwner();
6     }
7     s_password = newPassword;
8     emit SetNetPassword();
9 }
```

2. Using an access modifier e.g. OpenZeppelin's `onlyOwner`. To use this modifier, the `PasswordStore` contract will need to inherit from OpenZeppelin's `Ownable` contract and call its constructor inside the constructor of `PasswordStore`:

```
1 // @audit import the ownable contract from OpenZeppelin
2 + import "@openzeppelin/contracts/ownership/Ownable.sol";
3
4 // @audit inherit from the Ownable contract
5 + contract PasswordStore is Ownable {
6     error PasswordStore__NotOwner();
7
8     address private s_owner;
9     string private s_password;
10
11     event SetNetPassword();
12
13 +     constructor() Ownable() {
14         s_owner = msg.sender;
15     }
16 }
```

As per the OpenZeppelin documentation, by default, the `owner` of an `Ownable` contract is the account

that deployed it, meaning that the `s_owner` state variable can be removed.

Using `onlyOwner` modifier adds logic to check that the `msg.sender` is the `owner` of the contract before executing the function's

logic:

```
1  /*
2  * @notice This function allows only the owner to set a new password.
3  * @param newPassword The new password to set.
4  */
5  + function setPassword(string memory newPassword) external onlyOwner {
6      s_password = newPassword;
7      emit SetNetPassword();
8  }
```

Medium

Low

Informational

[I-1] The PasswordStore::getPassword() function natspec indicates a parameter that doesn't exist, causing the natspec to be incorrect

Description: The `PasswordStore::getPassword()` function signature is `getPassword()` which the natspec says should actually be `getPassword(string)`.

```
1  /*
2  * @notice This allows only the owner to retrieve the password.
3  * @param newPassword The new password to set.
4  */
5  //@audit-info - incorrect documentation for parameter inputs
6  function getPassword() external view returns (string memory) {
7      if (msg.sender != s_owner) {
8          revert PasswordStore__NotOwner();
9      }
10     return s_password;
11 }
```

Impact: The natspec of code is incorrect.

Recommended Mitigation: Remove incorrect natspec.

```
1 /*  
2  * @notice This allows only the owner to retrieve the password.  
3  - * @param newPassword The new password to set.  
4  */
```

Gas